

# Empirical Review of Models used for Predicting Financial Market Crashes Using Market Data

## Project: Literature Review

By Ping-Chieh Tu, Adrien Bélanger, and Inigo Torres

November 22<sup>nd</sup> 2024

### Background and Introduction

The idea of predicting stock market crashes using machine learning techniques is not new. Multiple papers have approached stock market crash prediction using Machine Learning techniques. Traditionally, time series analysis has relied on models like Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and ARIMA. More recently, the introduction of Transformer-based architectures has expanded the range of tools available for this approach [1], [2]. These models have been retroactively used with success in Market Crash prediction [3].

Even though reviews and comparisons of these models have been made, such as [3], a comprehensive empirical review of Time-Series Analysis models on equal footing is lacking in the literature. That's why this project aims to address this lack by providing an empirical comparison of the three aforementioned commonly used models in Time-Series Analysis to predict Market Crashes [1][2]. We shall use data freely available on the Yahoo finance database and tag historically factual Market Crashes by hand, as there are only a few. We shall use the crashes as listed in this National Bureau of Economic Research's report [4].

### ARIMA on predicting market crash

Time series in the stock market are mostly non-stationary, meaning that their statistical properties, such as mean and variance, change over time. While non-stationary series can exhibit local differences in level or trend, certain statistical frameworks, like ARIMA, can often be adapted to capture their behavior [5]. In 2015 Box et al. formalized a methodology for applying the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model, which combines an autoregressive component (modeling the relationship between an observation and its past values) with a moving average component (modeling the relationship between an observation and past errors) [6]. This mechanism makes ARIMA suitable for predicting non-stationary time series, as demonstrated by M. K. Ho et al. in their physics conference paper [5]. The ARIMA model  $ARIMA(p, d, q)$  is mathematically defined as [6]:

$$\varphi(B)z_t = \phi(B)\nabla^d z_t = \theta_0 + \theta(B)a_t$$

where

1.  $\phi(B)$  is the autoregressive part with  $p$  degrees,
2.  $\theta(B)$  is the moving average part with  $q$  degrees,
3.  $\nabla$  is the integrated (degree of differencing) part with  $d$  degrees,
4. and  $\theta_0$  is the constant term.

The use of ACF (autocorrelation function) and PACF (partial ACF) will help us decide the hyperparameters  $p, d, q$  for building our model [7].

## Reccurent Neural Networks

Recurrent Neural Networks are a class of neural network architectures designed to detect patterns in sequential data, such as handwriting, genomes, text, or numerical time series. In time-series, they are used to make sequential predictions based on sequential inputs [8]. In our context, they usually take the form of Long Term Short Memory (LSTM) cells [9], which we will use in our project, to mitigate the vanishing gradient problem. They do so by having cells with three gates: An input, output and a forget gate combined with a feedback loop to enhance long-term accuracy. These gates help control how the information flows through the network [9]. They have been used in multiple projects accounting to market Crashes, such as this project led by Tolo et al [10]. It is not well established when RNNs and Arimas outperform one another [9], this project will take a step into clearing up the most appropriate in the context of Market Crashes prediction.

## Transformers

Transformers are neural network architectures based on self-attention mechanisms. In other words, they are able to weigh the importance of input elements by computing attention scores between all positions. While transformers were originally developed for natural language processing tasks like machine translation [11], they have become popular for time-series forecasting due to their ability to handle long-range dependencies.

Transformers are an ideal choice for predicting trends in volatile time series, as they can capture complex temporal patterns by assigning varying importance to different time steps. In fact, Zhou et al. demonstrated this with the "Informer model," which efficiently handles long sequences and improves trend forecasting accuracy using a "self-attention" mechanism [12]. Moreover, Lim et al. were even able to outperform traditional methods (like ARIMA) by combining the Transformer architecture with recurrent layers [13].

What's more, the adaptable mathematical design of transformers makes them a powerful tool for predicting any kind of time series, and financial markets are no exception. Zhen Zeng studied the applicability of Transformers combined with RNNs in trend detection specifically for financial time series in his paper [14]. He concluded that combining these two architectures significantly improved forecasting accuracy for intraday stock price movements of S&P 500 constituents (S&P 500 refers to the stock market index that tracks the performance of 500 of the largest publicly traded companies in the United States). Hence, the literature suggests that the self-attention mechanism of Transformers makes them a pertinent choice for financial trend forecasting.

## Criteria and Analysis

For this project, we will base our analysis and success criteria on the financial definition of a market crash. A market crash is typically defined as a rapid decline of 20% or more from a recent peak over a short period [15], [16]. Our analysis will evaluate models using the following metrics: Mean Absolute Error (MAE) to assess prediction accuracy for time-series data [7], computational efficiency to measure runtime and resource usage for practical feasibility [13], and precision and recall to evaluate the accuracy of classifying periods as "Crash" or "Non-Crash." This definition and these metrics provide a robust framework for labeling, training, and evaluating our models.

# References

- [1] S. Ahmed, I. E. Nielsen, A. Tripathi, S. Siddiqui, R. P. Ramachandran, and G. Ra-sool, “Transformers in time-series analysis: A tutorial,” *Circuits Syst. Signal Process.*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 7433–7466, 2023, ISSN: 0278-081X. DOI: 10.1007/s00034-023-02454-8. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00034-023-02454-8>.
- [2] K. E. ArunKumar, D. V. Kalaga, C. Mohan Sai Kumar, M. Kawaji, and T. M. Brenza, “Comparative analysis of gated recurrent units (gru), long short-term memory (lstm) cells, autoregressive integrated moving average (arima), seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (sarima) for forecasting covid-19 trends,” *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 7585–7603, 2022, ISSN: 1110-0168. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.01.011>. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110016822000138>.
- [3] P. Okpeke, P. O. Paul, and T. V. Iyelolu, “Predicting stock market crashes with machine learning: A review and methodological proposal,” *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology*, 2024.
- [4] F. S. Mishkin and E. N. White, “U.s. stock market crashes and their aftermath: Implications for monetary policy,” National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 8992, Jun. 2002. DOI: 10.3386/w8992. [Online]. Available: <http://www.nber.org/papers/w8992>.
- [5] M. K. Ho *et al.*, “Application of arima models for non-stationary time series,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1988, no. 1, p. 012041, 2021, See also Box *et al.* [2015] and Hyndman *et al.* [2018]. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1988/1/012041. [Online]. Available: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1988/1/012041/pdf>.
- [6] G. E. P. Box, G. M. Jenkins, G. C. Reinsel, and G. M. Ljung, *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*, 5th. Wiley, 2015. [Online]. Available: [http://repo.darmajaya.ac.id/4781/1/Time%20Series%20Analysis\\_%20Forecasting%20and%20Control%20%28PDFDrive%29.pdf](http://repo.darmajaya.ac.id/4781/1/Time%20Series%20Analysis_%20Forecasting%20and%20Control%20%28PDFDrive%29.pdf).
- [7] R. J. Hyndman and G. Athanasopoulos, *Forecasting: Principles and Practice*, 2nd. OTexts, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://otexts.com/fpp2/>.
- [8] R. M. Schmidt, “Recurrent neural networks (rnns): A gentle introduction and overview,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1912.05911, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05911>.
- [9] H. Hewamalage, C. Bergmeir, and K. Bandara, “Recurrent neural networks for time series forecasting: Current status and future directions,” *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 388–427, 2021, ISSN: 0169-2070. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2020.06.008>. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169207020300996>.
- [10] E. Tölö, “Predicting systemic financial crises with recurrent neural networks,” *Journal of Financial Stability*, vol. 49, p. 100746, 2020, ISSN: 1572-3089. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2020.100746>. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1572308920300243>.

- [11] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, *et al.*, “Attention is all you need,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762*, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>.
- [12] H. Zhou, S. Zhang, J. Peng, *et al.*, “Informer: Beyond efficient transformer for long sequence time-series forecasting,” *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 11 106–11 115, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/17325>.
- [13] B. Lim, S. O. Arik, N. Loeff, and T. Pfister, “Temporal fusion transformers for interpretable multi-horizon time series forecasting,” *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1748–1764, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijforecast.2021.03.012. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2021.03.012>.
- [14] Z. Zeng *et al.*, “Financial time series forecasting using cnn and transformer,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04912*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.04912>.
- [15] M. Fonville, “Understanding stock market corrections and crashes (2024),” 2024. [Online]. Available: [https://www.covenantwealthadvisors.com/post/understanding-stock-market-corrections-and-crashes?utm\\_source=chatgpt.com](https://www.covenantwealthadvisors.com/post/understanding-stock-market-corrections-and-crashes?utm_source=chatgpt.com).
- [16] J. Chen, “Guide to stock market crash,” 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/stock-market-crash.asp>.