#627 - MLcourse

**MLcourse** Home

#### **Project 1: Finding the Higgs** #627





<#517 Your submissions



Search

☑ Email notification

Select to receive email on updates to reviews and comments.

PC conflicts

None

#### **Submitted**



🝌 Submission → 0 26 Oct 2020 3:58:22pm CET → 🏕 9987fa82

Authors

F. Grötschla, A. Bertaud, M. Wessendorf [details]

Code submission

Opt-B: Username AND Submission ID on Alcrowd

username: FlorianGr submission id: 92737

**▶** Topics

PDFRepSco CodQuaSco

Review #627A

Review #627B

C

You are an author of this submission.



**Edit submission** 



Add comment



Reviews in plain text

## Review #627A

## PDF report feedback

- + Proper hyperparameter selection using grid search.
- + Cross-validation is done properly.
- + All the required algorithms are covered in the report.
- + Figures are helpful in understanding the results.
- A few more tables/figures could helped save more space for a more detailed discussion.
- Usage of SGD could have helped logistic reg. to converge faster.
- A more extensive exploratory data analysis would have been helpful.

## PDF report score

**6.** 70%

### Code feedback

1 sur 2 20/11/2020 à 11:53

- + Code is easy to read and understand.
- + README.md seems adequate.

## Code quality score

B. Adequate (full score)

## Review #627B

# PDF report feedback

- Handling error values: in the end did you keep the values at -999? It is not clear from the report
- Decisions are generally not well motivated
- + Good thing to spot the class imbalance, but removing data should be the last thing you try, you could have tried oversampling the minority first
- Seeing the degree plot should make you think why the curve is not smooth. This is due to the fact that using a 10-fold yields a small test set and therefore the accuracy would be less significant
- + Good plot for the hyperparameter tuning
- You should have reported the parameters and results of all the models for reproduciblity

### PDF report score

**6.** 70%

#### Code feedback

- You didn't mention the python and numpy version in readme
- + Code is concise and well documented
- Your prediction produce a score of 0.797, whereas in the submission it states it is 0.82

### Code quality score

C. Needs small improvements

+ Add Comment

**HotCRP** 

2 sur 2 20/11/2020 à 11:53