

## Zero Knowledge Proof

University of Antioquia, Medellín

Electiva de Blockchain Énfasis en Construcción de Desarrollo Seguro

ADRIEN ELOI MORIN



# Índice

Parte 1: Análisis de Vulnerabilidades	3
Actividad 1: OWASP Top 10 – Introducción y Reconocimiento	3
Impacto	3
Cómo Identificarla	3
Cómo Prevenirla	4
Actividad 2: Escaneo de vulnerabilidades con OWASP ZAP	7
2.1 ¿Qué vulnerabilidades fueron detectadas?	8
2.2 ¿Qué categorías de OWASP Top 10 cubren estas vulnerabilidades?	9
Parte 2: Explotación de Vulnerabilidades	11
Actividad 3: Explotación de Inyección SQL (A01 – Broken Access Control) con mulnerable	áquina 11
3.1 Práctica	11
3.2 ¿Qué tipo de datos se pudo obtener?	15
3.3 ¿Cómo se podría mitigar esta vulnerabilidad?	15
Actividad 4: Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) (A03 – Inyección)	16
4.1 Práctica	16
4.2 ¿Cómo afectó el XSS al sitio web?	21
4.3 ¿Qué tipos de datos podrían ser comprometidos a través de XSS?	23
4.4 ¿Cómo mitigar está vulnerabilidad?.	24
Actividad 5. Identificación y explotación de fallo Libre : Apache vulnerabilidad	25
3. Referencias	29



### Introducción

The concept of Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs) represents a fascinating and powerful cryptographic tool that has gained increasing attention in recent years. In a world where privacy and security are paramount, particularly in digital communication and blockchain technologies, Zero-Knowledge Proofs provide a unique solution to a central problem: how can one party prove knowledge of a specific piece of information to another party without revealing the information itself?

A Zero-Knowledge Proof allows one party, known as the "prover", to convince another party, known as the "verifier", that they possess certain knowledge or have completed a specific task, without sharing any details beyond the fact that the knowledge or task completion is genuine. This principle is counterintuitive at first, but offers immense potential for privacy in digital systems and blockchain.

#### Origins and Importance of Zero-Knowledge Proofs

Zero-Knowledge Proofs were first introduced in the 1980s by researchers Shafi Goldwasser, Silvio Micali, and Charles Rackoff. In their foundational paper "The Knowledge Complexity of Interactive Proof-Systems" (1985), they formalized the concept and laid the groundwork for modern applications of this cryptographic primitive. Their work was revolutionary, as it addressed a major issue in cryptographic communications: the need to prove or verify a statement without revealing sensitive data.

The invention of ZKPs arose from the broader context of public-key cryptography, a field that deals with securely transmitting information over insecure channels. While encryption allows two parties to exchange data in a confidential manner, proving knowledge of a fact or attribute without exposing it presents a different challenge. Goldwasser, Micali, and Rackoff's work opened new avenues for addressing this challenge.



#### **Defining Zero-Knowledge Proofs**

A Zero-Knowledge Proof must satisfy three essential properties:

- 1. **Completeness**: If the statement is true, an honest prover can convince an honest verifier that they possess the necessary knowledge.
- 2. **Soundness**: If the statement is false, no dishonest prover can convince the verifier that the statement is true, except with some negligible probability.
- Zero-Knowledge: If the statement is true, the verifier learns nothing beyond
  the fact that the statement is true. In other words, the verifier does not gain
  any additional information about the statement or the knowledge the prover
  has.

These three properties ensure that ZKPs are both secure and private, making them ideal for applications where confidentiality is critical. A ZKP can be interactive or non-interactive, depending on whether multiple rounds of communication between the prover and verifier are required.

#### A Simple Example of Zero-Knowledge Proof

To illustrate the concept of Zero-Knowledge Proofs, consider a simple analogy known as the "Ali Baba cave". Imagine a cave shaped like a ring, with an entrance and a magic door deep inside that only the prover knows how to open. The prover wishes to convince the verifier that they know how to open the door, but without revealing the secret.

The verifier stands at the entrance of the cave, and the prover walks down one of the two paths in the cave, either the left path or the right path, until they reach the door. The verifier, who cannot see which path the prover took, asks the prover to reappear from a specific path. If the prover truly knows how to open the magic door, they can switch between the two paths using the door and exit through the one requested by the verifier.

By repeating this process multiple times, the verifier can be confident that the prover knows how to open the door (because otherwise, the prover would fail at least some of the time). However, the verifier learns nothing about *how* the prover opens the



door. This is a Zero-Knowledge Proof: the prover convinces the verifier of a fact without revealing any knowledge about how they accomplish it.

#### **Types of Zero-Knowledge Proofs**

Zero-Knowledge Proofs come in several varieties, each suited for different scenarios:

- Interactive Zero-Knowledge Proofs: In this model, the prover and verifier engage in a back-and-forth communication process. The prover provides responses based on challenges posed by the verifier. Interactive proofs are often used in protocols where real-time interaction is possible.
- 2. Non-Interactive Zero-Knowledge Proofs (NIZK): In this version, there is no need for interaction between the prover and verifier. The prover generates a proof that can be verified by the verifier at any time, without further communication. Non-interactive proofs are more practical for distributed systems like blockchain, where interaction between users and systems may be limited or impossible.
- 3. Succinct Non-Interactive Arguments of Knowledge (SNARKs): SNARKs are a type of NIZK proof that is compact and can be verified quickly. This makes them ideal for applications like blockchain, where efficiency is critical. SNARKs are currently used in projects like Zcash, a cryptocurrency that provides enhanced privacy through Zero-Knowledge Proofs.
- 4. Zero-Knowledge Succinct Transparent Arguments of Knowledge (ZK-STARKs): ZK-STARKs are another variant of Zero-Knowledge Proofs that emphasize transparency and scalability. They avoid some of the cryptographic assumptions required by SNARKs, making them more secure and less reliant on trusted setups.



## 3. Reference bibliography

- 1. Goldwasser, S., Micali, S., & Rackoff, C. (1985). The Knowledge Complexity of Interactive Proof-Systems. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 18(1), 186-208.
- Circularise. (2022). Zero-Knowledge Proofs Explained in 3 Examples.
   Retrieved from Circularise blog.
- 3. <u>Partisia.</u> (n.d.). The Power of Zero-Knowledge Proofs: Prove Anything Without Revealing Everything.
- 4. Wikipedia. (n.d.). Zero-Knowledge Proof. Retrieved from Wikipedia.
- 5. Aleo. (n.d.). What is a Zero-Knowledge Proof?
- Cryptology ePrint Archive. (2024). Do You Need a Zero Knowledge Proof?
   Technical University of Munich, Imperial College London, University College London.