



The Mirror Effect within Perception: Not another Recognition Memory Study


Adriana F. Chávez De la Peña

National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM); Faculty of Psychology Lab 25; PAPIIT IN



Introduction: A memory phenomenon?

Signal detection theory has been applied to Recognition Memory studies to describe subjects' ability to discriminate between stimuli that have been presented before from a new set of stimuli (Wixted, 2007). When comparing subjects' performance between two classes of stimuli, one being more easily recognized (A) than the other (B), the response patterns obtained show that the difference in their discriminability is reflected in the identification of both target and lure stimuli, suggesting that stimuli distributions involved move along the decision axis leading to its identification as the Mirror Effect, (Glanzer, Adams, Kim, 1993).



Evidence in favor of the Mirror Effect has been reported across different SDT-alike procedures. In typical Yes/No tasks, the Mirror Effect appears as:

$$FalseAlarms(A) < FalseAlarms(B) < Hits(B) < Hits(A)$$
 (1)

When participants are asked to valuate how confident they felt while answering to each trial:

$$R(AN) < R(BN) < R(BS) < R(AS) \tag{2}$$

However, the Mirror Effect has only been studied within Recognition Memory and so, most theories and models proposed to explain it tend to do it in terms of high-level processes engaged in the study phase, (DeCarlo, 2007, Glanzer et. al, 1993). The main goal of the present study was to explore the existence of the Mirror Effect outside Recognition Memory, testing these assumptions.


Method: A perceptual task

Ebbinghaus illusion: Two levels of perceptual discriminability, (Massaro, 1971).

• High accuracy (A): Ebbinghaus illusions with 2 or 3 surrounding circles.

• Low accuracy (B): Ebbinghaus illusions with 7 or 8 surrounding circles.







Confident Rating: How confident are you of your response?

Two experiments:

- Experiment 1: Just the right circle is shown as part of an Ebbinghaus illusion.
- Experiment 2: Both circles were constructed as Ebbinghaus illusions.







What did we find? (Spoiler alert!)

In both experiments, the pattern of responses identified as the Mirror Effect was found on at least 85% of the participants.

Bayesian Modeling



Evidence in f



Conclusion

The present study is the first to show evidence for the existence of the Mirror Effect patterns of response, on a SD task that does not involve recognition memory. The perceptual task here presented lacked of a pre-experimental phase where participants had the chance to manipulate how powerful the illusions included in each condition were, contradicting what has been proposed within recognition memory studies. This may be suggesting that there's a much more basic principle regulating the patterns of response observed.

References

Acknowledgements

First of all,

Contact Information

Web: http://www.university.edu/smithlab

Email: john@smith.com

Phone: +1 (000) 111 1111