

# The Singular Value Decomposition

We are interested in more than just sym+def matrices. But the eigenvalue decompositions discussed in the last section of notes will play a major role in solving general systems of equations

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad \mathbf{A} \text{ is } M \times N, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We have seen that a symmetric positive definite matrix can be decomposed as  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{V}$  is an orthogonal matrix ( $\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{I}$ ) whose columns are the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Because both orthogonal and diagonal matrices are trivial to invert, this eigenvalue decomposition makes it very easy to solve systems of equations  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  and analyze the stability of these solutions.

The **singular value decomposition** (SVD) takes apart an arbitrary  $M \times N$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  in a similar manner. The SVD of a real-valued  $M \times N$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with rank<sup>1</sup>  $R$  is

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$$

where

1.  $\mathbf{U}$  is an  $M \times R$  matrix

$$\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \mid \mathbf{u}_2 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{u}_R],$$

whose columns  $\mathbf{u}_m \in \mathbb{R}^M$  are orthonormal. Note that while  $\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ , in general  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^T \neq \mathbf{I}$  when  $R < M$ . The columns of  $\mathbf{U}$  are an orthobasis for the range space of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that the rank of a matrix is the number of linearly independent columns of a matrix (which is always equal to the number of linearly independent rows).

2.  $\mathbf{V}$  is an  $N \times R$  matrix

$$\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \mid \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{v}_R],$$

whose columns  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are orthonormal. Again, while  $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$ , in general  $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^T \neq \mathbf{I}$  when  $R < N$ . The columns of  $\mathbf{V}$  are an orthobasis for the range space of  $\mathbf{A}^T$  (recall that  $\text{Range}(\mathbf{A}^T)$  consists of everything orthogonal to the nullspace of  $\mathbf{A}$ ).

3.  $\Sigma$  is an  $R \times R$  diagonal matrix with positive entries:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \sigma_R \end{bmatrix}.$$

We call the  $\sigma_r$  the **singular values** of  $\mathbf{A}$ . By convention, we will order them such that  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_R$ .

4. The  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_R$  are eigenvectors of the positive semi-definite matrix  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \Sigma \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{V} \Sigma^2 \mathbf{V}^T,$$

and so the singular values  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_R$  are the square roots of the non-zero eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ .

5. Similarly,

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{U} \Sigma^2 \mathbf{U}^T,$$

and so the  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_R$  are eigenvectors of the positive semi-definite matrix  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ . Since the non-zero eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$  are the same, the  $\sigma_r$  are also square roots of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ .

The rank  $R$  is the dimension of the space spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{A}$ , this is the same as the dimension of the space spanned by the rows. Thus  $R \leq \min(M, N)$ . We say  $\mathbf{A}$  is **full rank** if  $R = \min(M, N)$ .

As before, we will often times find it useful to write the SVD as the sum of  $R$  rank-1 matrices:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T = \sum_{r=1}^R \sigma_r \mathbf{u}_r \mathbf{v}_r^T.$$

When  $\mathbf{A}$  is **overdetermined** ( $M > N$ ), the decomposition looks like this

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

When  $\mathbf{A}$  is **underdetermined** ( $M < N$ ), the SVD looks like this

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

When  $\mathbf{A}$  is **square** and full rank ( $M = N = R$ ), the SVD looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

# The Least-Squares Problem

We can use the SVD to “solve” the general system of linear equations

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$

where  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $M \times N$  matrix.

Given  $\mathbf{y}$ , we want to find  $\mathbf{x}$  in such a way that

1. when there is a unique solution, we return it;
2. when there is no solution, we return something reasonable;
3. when there are an infinite number of solutions, we choose one to return in a “smart” way.

The **least-squares** framework revolves around finding an  $\mathbf{x}$  that minimizes the length of the residual

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}.$$

That is, we want to solve the optimization problem

$$\underset{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\text{minimize}} \quad \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the standard Euclidean norm. We will see that the SVD of  $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T, \quad (2)$$

plays a pivotal role in solving this problem.

To start, note that we can write any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0. \quad (3)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{V}$  is the  $N \times R$  matrix appearing in the SVD decomposition (2), and  $\mathbf{V}_0$  is a  $N \times (N - R)$  matrix whose columns are orthogonal to one another and to the columns in  $\mathbf{V}$ . We have the relations

$$\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{V}_0^T \mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{0}.$$

You can think of  $\mathbf{V}_0$  as an orthobasis for the null space of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Of course,  $\mathbf{V}_0$  is not unique, as there are many orthobases for  $\text{Null}(\mathbf{A})$ , but any such set of vectors will serve our purposes here. The decomposition (3) is possible since  $\text{Range}(\mathbf{A}^T)$  and  $\text{Null}(\mathbf{A})$  partition  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for any  $M \times N$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . Taking

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = \mathbf{V}_0^T \mathbf{x},$$

we see that (3) holds since

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{V}_0 \mathbf{V}_0^T \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^T + \mathbf{V}_0 \mathbf{V}_0^T) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x},$$

where we have made use of the fact that  $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^T + \mathbf{V}_0 \mathbf{V}_0^T = \mathbf{I}$ , because  $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^T$  and  $\mathbf{V}_0 \mathbf{V}_0^T$  are ortho-projectors onto complementary subspaces<sup>2</sup> of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . So we can solve for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  by solving for the pair  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^R$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-R}$ .

Similarly, we can decompose  $\mathbf{y}$  as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}_0 \boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbf{U}$  is the  $M \times R$  matrix from the SVD decomposition, and  $\mathbf{U}_0$  is a  $M \times (M - R)$  complementary orthogonal basis. Again,

$$\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{U}_0^T \mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{0},$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Subspaces  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  are **complementary** in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  if  $\mathcal{S}_1 \perp \mathcal{S}_2$  (everything in  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is orthogonal to everything in  $\mathcal{S}_2$ ) and  $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathbb{R}^N$ . You can think of  $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$  as a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  into two orthogonal subspaces.

and we can think of  $\mathbf{U}_0$  as an orthogonal basis for everything in  $\mathbb{R}^M$  that is not in the range of  $\mathbf{A}$ . As before, we can calculate the decomposition above using

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{y}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = \mathbf{U}_0^T \mathbf{y}.$$

Using the decompositions (2), (3), and (4) for  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\mathbf{y}$ , we can write the residual  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} &= \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T(\mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \\ &= \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha} \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{0}) \\ &= \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

We want to choose  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  that minimizes the energy of  $\mathbf{r}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{r}\|_2^2 &= \langle \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{U}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}_0, \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \rangle \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_0\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the facts that  $\mathbf{U}_0^T\mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ , and  $\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ . We have no control over  $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}_0\|_2^2$ , since it is determined entirely by our observations  $\mathbf{y}$ . Therefore, our problem has been reduced to finding  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  that minimizes the second term  $\|\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_2^2$  above, which is non-negative. We can make it zero (i.e. as small as possible) by taking

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Finally, the  $\mathbf{x}$  which minimizes the residual (solves (1)) is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{y}. \quad (5)$$

Thus we can calculate the solution to (1) simply by applying the linear operator  $\mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T$  to the input data  $\mathbf{y}$ . There are two interesting facts about the solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  in (5):

1. When  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M\})$ , we have  $\beta_0 = \mathbf{U}_0^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , and so the residual  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ . In this case, there is at least one exact solution, and the one we choose satisfies  $\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}$ .
2. Note that if  $R < N$ , then the solution is not unique. In this case,  $\mathbf{V}_0$  has at least one column, and any part of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in the range of  $\mathbf{V}_0$  is not seen by  $\mathbf{A}$ , since

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{0}).$$

As such,

$$\mathbf{x}' = \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0$$

for *any*  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-R}$  will have exactly the same residual, since  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ . In this case, our solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  is the solution with smallest norm, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}'\|_2^2 &= \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0, \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{x}} \rangle + 2\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0, \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \rangle \\ &= \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{V}_0\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \rangle + \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{V}_0^T\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{I}) \\ &= \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\|_2^2 \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

which is minimized by taking  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .

To summarize,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{y}$  has the desired properties stated at the beginning of this module, since

1. when  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  has a unique exact solution, it must be  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ,
2. when an exact solution is not available,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  is the solution to (1),

3. when there are an infinite number of minimizers to (1),  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  is the one with smallest norm.

Because the matrix  $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T$  gives us such an elegant solution to this problem, we give it a special name: the **pseudo-inverse**.

## The Pseudo-Inverse

The **pseudo-inverse** of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with singular value decomposition (SVD)  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$  is

$$\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T. \quad (6)$$

Other names for  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  include **natural inverse**, **Lanczos inverse**, and **Moore-Penrose inverse**.

Given an observation  $\mathbf{y}$ , taking  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{y}$  gives us the **least squares** solution to  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ . The pseudo-inverse  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  always exists, since every matrix (with rank  $R$ ) has an SVD decomposition  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$  with  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  as an  $R \times R$  diagonal matrix with  $\Sigma[r, r] > 0$ .

When  $\mathbf{A}$  is full rank ( $R = \min(M, N)$ ), then we can calculate the pseudo-inverse without using the SVD. There are three cases:

- When  $\mathbf{A}$  is square and invertible ( $R = M = N$ ), then

$$\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^{-1}.$$

This is easy to check, as here

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \quad \text{where both } \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \text{ are } N \times N,$$



and since in this case  $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \\ &= \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \\ &= \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T \\ &= \mathbf{I}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{I}$ , and so  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  is both a left and right inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and thus  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

- When  $\mathbf{A}$  more rows than columns and has full column rank ( $R = N \leq M$ ), then  $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, and

$$\mathbf{A}^\dagger = (\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T. \quad (7)$$

This type of  $\mathbf{A}$  is “tall and skinny”

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix},$$

and its columns are linearly independent. To verify equation (7), recall that

$$\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^2\mathbf{V}^T,$$

and so

$$(\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-2}\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T,$$

which is exactly the content of (6).

- When  $\mathbf{A}$  has more columns than rows and has full row rank ( $R = M \leq N$ ), then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$  is invertible, and

$$\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

This occurs when  $\mathbf{A}$  is “short and fat”

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & \mathbf{A} & \\ & & \end{bmatrix},$$

and its rows are linearly independent. To verify equation (8), recall that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}^2\mathbf{U}^T,$$

and so

$$\mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-2}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T,$$

which again is exactly (6).

**$\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  is as close to an inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  as possible**

As discussed in above, when  $\mathbf{A}$  is square and invertible,  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  is exactly the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ . When  $\mathbf{A}$  is not square, we can ask if there is a better right or left inverse. We will argue that there is not.

**Left inverse** Given  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ , we would like  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$  for any  $\mathbf{x}$ . That is, we would like  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  to be a *left inverse* of  $\mathbf{A}$ :  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ . Of course, this is not always possible, especially when  $\mathbf{A}$  has more columns than rows,  $M < N$ . But we can ask if any other matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  comes closer to being a left inverse

than  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$ . To find the “best” left-inverse, we look for the matrix which minimizes

$$\min_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}} \|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_F^2. \quad (9)$$

Here,  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is the *Frobenius norm*, defined for an  $N \times M$  matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  as the sum of the squares of the entries:<sup>3</sup>

$$\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 = \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{m=1}^N |Q[m, n]|^2$$

With (9), we are finding  $\mathbf{H}$  such that  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}$  is as close to the identity as possible in the least-squares sense.

The pseudo-inverse  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  minimizes (9). To see this, recognize (see the exercise below) that the solution  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$  to (9) must obey

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \hat{\mathbf{H}}^T = \mathbf{A}. \quad (10)$$

We can see that this is indeed true for  $\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger$ :

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}^{\dagger T} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{A}.$$

So there is no  $N \times M$  matrix that is closer to being a left inverse than  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>It is also true that  $\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2$  is the sum of the squares of the singular values of  $\mathbf{Q}$ :  $\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_p^2$ . This is something that you will prove on the next homework.

**Right inverse** If we re-apply  $\mathbf{A}$  to our solution  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{y}$ , we would like it to be as close as possible to our observations  $\mathbf{y}$ . That is, we would like  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  to be as close to the identity as possible. Again, achieving this goal exactly is not always possible, especially if  $\mathbf{A}$  has more rows than columns. But we can attempt to find the “best” right inverse, in the least-squares sense, by solving

$$\underset{\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}}{\text{minimize}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}\|_F^2. \quad (11)$$

The solution  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$  to (11) (see the exercise below) must obey

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{A}^T. \quad (12)$$

Again, we show that  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$  satisfies (12), and hence is a minimizer to (11):

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{V} \Sigma^2 \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{V} \Sigma \mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{A}^T.$$

Moral:

$\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{V} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T$  is as close (in the least-squares sense) to an inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  as you could possibly have.

### Exercise:

Show that the minimizer  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$  to (9) must obey (10). Do this by using the fact that the derivative of the functional  $\|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_F^2$  with respect to an entry  $H[k, \ell]$  in  $\mathbf{H}$  must obey

$$\frac{\partial \|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_F^2}{\partial H[k, \ell]} = 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq N, 1 \leq \ell \leq M,$$

to be a solution to (9). Do the same for (11) and (12).

## Technical Details: Existence of the SVD

In this section we will prove that any  $M \times N$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = R$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$$

where  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}$  have the five properties listed at the beginning of the last section.

Since  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$  is symmetric positive semi-definite, we can write:

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n \mathbf{v}_n^T,$$

where the  $\mathbf{v}_n$  are orthonormal and the  $\lambda_n$  are real and non-negative. Since  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = R$ , we also have  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}) = R$ , and so  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_R$  are all strictly positive above, and  $\lambda_{R+1} = \dots = \lambda_N = 0$ .

Set

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_m, \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, R, \quad \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{u}_R].$$

Notice that these  $\mathbf{u}_m$  are orthonormal, as

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_\ell \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m \lambda_\ell}} \mathbf{v}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_m = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_\ell}} \mathbf{v}_\ell^T \mathbf{v}_m = \begin{cases} 1, & m = \ell, \\ 0, & m \neq \ell. \end{cases}$$

These  $\mathbf{u}_m$  also happen to be eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ , as

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{u}_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_m = \sqrt{\lambda_m} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_m = \lambda_m \mathbf{u}_m.$$

Now let  $\mathbf{u}_{R+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  be an orthobasis for the null space of  $\mathbf{U}^T$  — concatenating these two sets into  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_M$  forms an orthobasis for all of  $\mathbb{R}^M$ .

Let  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_R]$ . In addition, let

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = [\mathbf{v}_{R+1} \ \mathbf{v}_{R+2} \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_N], \quad \mathbf{V}_{\text{full}} = [\mathbf{V} \ \mathbf{V}_0]$$

and

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = [\mathbf{u}_{R+1} \ \mathbf{u}_{R+2} \ \cdots \ \mathbf{u}_M], \quad \mathbf{U}_{\text{full}} = [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{U}_0].$$

It should be clear that  $\mathbf{V}_{\text{full}}$  is an  $N \times N$  orthonormal matrix and  $\mathbf{U}_{\text{full}}$  is a  $M \times M$  orthonormal matrix. Consider the  $M \times N$  matrix  $\mathbf{U}_{\text{full}}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_{\text{full}}$  — the entry in the  $m^{\text{th}}$  rows and  $n^{\text{th}}$  column of this matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{U}_{\text{full}}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_{\text{full}})[m, n] &= \mathbf{u}_m^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_n} \mathbf{u}_m^T \mathbf{u}_n & n = 1, \dots, R \\ 0, & n = R+1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_n}, & m = n = 1, \dots, R \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{U}_{\text{full}}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_{\text{full}} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{full}}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{\text{full}}[m, n] = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_n}, & m = n = 1, \dots, R \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mathbf{U}_{\text{full}} \mathbf{U}_{\text{full}}^T = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{\text{full}} \mathbf{V}_{\text{full}}^T = \mathbf{I}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}_{\text{full}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{full}} \mathbf{V}_{\text{full}}^T.$$

Since  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{full}}$  is non-zero only in the first  $R$  locations along its main diagonal, the above reduces to

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^T, \quad \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} & & & \\ & \sqrt{\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sqrt{\lambda_R} \end{bmatrix}.$$