Bulletproofing your data-based stories

Jennifer LaFleur
The Dallas Morning News
jlafleur@dallasnews.com

Danielle Cervantes
The San Diego Union-Tribune
danielle.cervantes@uniontrib.com

Integrity checks for every data set

- Make sure you know how many records you should have and that you have them all. If you or someone in your newsroom tells you they have 65,536 records, be concerned. That is the exact limit of Excel.
- Double-check totals or counts. Check for studies or summary reports.
- Consistency-checked all fields. Are all city names spelled the same way. How about other important fields? Check by running a GROUP BY and sorting alphabetically by every important field. Check it for spelling inconsistencies. For example, if you're analyzing a database of highway accidents, GROUP BY and sort ascending on the road name to check for inconsistencies.
- Other basic checks: make sure all states/cities/counties are included. Check the range of fields. (For example, check for DOBs that would make people too old or too young.)
- Check for missing data or blank fields. Are they real values, or did something happen with an import or append query?
- Check your methodology (if necessary) against other similar research.

Beyond basic checks

- Keep a data notebook (or computer file) and write down everything you do. (You will regret NOT doing this!)
- Know the source of the data.
- Get similar data from another source.
- Create a back-up copy of the database.
- Check against reports.
- Make sure you're using the right tool. You may need to do more than counting and sorting.
- Check with experts from different sides of the issue.
- Find similar stories and study what they did. (IRE resource center is great for this.)
- Look at it. If you can actually physically go spot check records, do it.
- Don't forget the gut check. If someone just doesn't seem right, it probably isn't.
- Use a different program, or a hand-held calculator, to fact-check every number.
- If you think you're in over your head, call on an expert to help.

Some notes about dealing with polls and surveys

- Get the questionnaire and the methodology. If they won't give it to you, that's a red flag.
- Beware of nonscientific methods: Web surveys, man on the street or other self-selection.
- Know the sample size, which will give you the sampling error.
- Again, know the source.
- Account for margin of error and non-response or "don't know" when drawing conclusions.
- If possible, run statistical tests on the data. What may look significant to you, may not be.
- When reporting, avoid false precision. Saying 52.18 percent of people think "blah, blah, blah" is portraying an impossible accuracy to readers.

Find the right methodology

- Read research reports.
- Finding an existing data model - There are some accepted methodologies for dealing with certain types of data.
- Find an expert to bounce your methodology off during the process.
- Show findings to the targets of the story.
- Duplicate your work. To make sure you didn't mess something up along the way.
- Maintain a consistent universe of cases. If you have to filter or redefine your universe, be able to explain why you isolated certain records or cases.
- Give yourself enough time to follow through on collecting information for your database before you start writing. If you've built an organic database, where information may need to be updated or will change after additional reporting, set a cut off date and don't make any more changes to the database unless the data is inaccurate or the new information will change the meaning of the story.

Other tips from our colleagues

Sarah Cohen of the Washington Post on homemade databases

- Number the pages of your documents to keep them in order and include the number when you enter the data. It helps you stay organized and with double-checking later on.

- Add fields that relate to how "publishable" information is. I usually create fields that anyone can fill in that say 1) Is the name spelling checked and confirmed (and possibly date of birth, if you're using it to calculate ages), and reporter signoff (which one of us has said it's ok to publish in this form, or possibly just a checkmark that says, "this is good to go". And which editor has read it (if it's got anything in there that they might edit, like a thumbnail.) It helps because you can keep a view that forces you to stare at those last few things that you have to do without getting sidetracked with ones you think you're done with.

Ron Campbell of the Orange County Register on documenting your work

"I try to document every stage of my work using three tools:"

- A work log (in Word or a text file); I describe what I'm trying to do at each stage and paste in queries.
- Query files. Easy to do in SQL Server. Just be sure to put in a comment above the query explaining what the hell you were trying to do.
- The Comment tool in Excel. Again useful for documenting what you're trying to do.

Russell Clemmings of the Fresno Bee on rechecking your data:

- Write a different query that should yield the same results and see if it does so.
- Pull a random sample from your results and check them against the raw data.
- Have someone who knows the data check your results before publication -- even the target of the story, if possible.
- Double-check surprising results -- if citations spiked by 50 percent in one year, it could be a story or it could (more likely) be an error.

For more information

Numbers in the Newsroom: Using Math and Statistics in News by Sarah Cohen for Investigative Reporters and Editors, Inc.

Precision Journalism by Philip Meyer. Indiana University Press, Bloomington. 4th Edition. 2002.

News and Numbers by Victor Cohn. Iowa State University Press, Ames. 1989.

How to Lie with Statistics by Darrell Huff. W. W. Norton & Company, New York. 1954 (renewed 1984)

Innumeracy: Mathematical Illiteracy and Its Consequences by John Allen Paulos. Vintage Books, New York. 1990.

A Mathematician Reads the Newspaper by John Allen Paulos. Anchor Books, New York. 1995. (Also, check out the tape from Paulos keynote address at NICAR 2002 in Philadelphia)

IRE Resource Center: www.ire.org