ECE459: Programming for Performance Winter 2015

Lecture 19 — February 23, 2015

Patrick Lam *version 1*

A Warning About Using OpenMP Directives. Write your code so that simply eliding OpenMP directives gives a valid program. For instance, this is wrong:

```
if (a != 0)
    #pragma omp barrier // wrong!
if (a != 0)
    #pragma omp taskyield // wrong!
```

Use this instead:

```
if (a != 0) {
    #pragma omp barrier
}
if (a != 0) {
    #pragma omp taskyield
}
```

OpenMP Memory Model, Its Pitfalls, and How to Mitigate Them

OpenMP uses a **relaxed-consistency, shared-memory** model. This doesn't do what you want. Here are its properties:

- All threads share a single store called *memory*—this store may not actually represent RAM.
- Each thread can have its own *temporary* view of memory.
- A thread's *temporary* view of memory is not required to be consistent with memory.

We'll talk more about memory models later. Now we're going to talk about the OpenMP model and why it's a problem.

Memory Model Pitfall. Consider this code.

```
                    a = b = 0
/* thread 1 */                      /* thread 2 */

atomic(b = 1) // [1]                atomic(a = 1) // [3]
atomic(tmp = a) // [2]              atomic(tmp = b) // [4]
if (tmp == 0) then                  if (tmp == 0) then
    // protected section                // protected section
end if                              end if
```

Does this code actually prevent simultaneous execution? Let's reason about possible states.

Order				t1 tmp	t2 tmp
1	2	3	4	0	1
1	3	2	4	1	1
1	3	4	2	1	1
3	4	1	2	1	0
3	1	2	4	1	1
3	1	4	2	1	1

Looks like it (at least intuitively).

Sorry! With OpenMP's memory model, no guarantees: the update from one thread may not be seen by the other.

Restoring Sanity with Flush. We do rely on shared memory working "properly", but that's expensive. So OpenMP provides the **flush** directive.

`#pragma omp` **flush** *[(list)]*

This directive makes the thread's temporary view of memory consistent with main memory; it:

- enforces an order on the memory operations of the variables.

The variables in the list are called the *flush-set*. If you give no variables, the compiler will determine them for you.

Enforcing an order on the memory operations means:

- All read/write operations on the *flush-set* which happen before the **flush** complete before the flush executes.
- All read/write operations on the *flush-set* which happen after the **flush** complete after the flush executes.
- Flushes with overlapping *flush-sets* can not be reordered.

To show a consistent value for a variable between two threads, OpenMP must run statements in this order:

1. t_1 writes the value to v;
2. t_1 flushes v;
3. t_2 flushes v also;
4. t_2 reads the consistent value from v.

Let's revise the example again.

```
                    a = b = 0
/* thread 1 */                      /* thread 2 */

atomic(b = 1)                       atomic(a = 1)
flush(b)                            flush(a)
flush(a)                            flush(b)
atomic(tmp = a)                     atomic(tmp = b)
if (tmp == 0) then                  if (tmp == 0) then
    // protected section                // protected section
end if                              end if
```

OK. Will this now prevent simultaneous access?

Well, no.

The compiler can reorder the `flush(b)` in thread 1 or `flush(a)` in thread 2. If `flush(b)` gets reordered to after the protected section, we will not get our intended operation.

Correct Example. We have to provide a list of variables to `flush` to prevent re-ordering:

```
                    a = b = 0
/* thread 1 */                      /* thread 2 */

atomic(b = 1)                       atomic(a = 1)
flush(a, b)                         flush(a, b)
atomic(tmp = a)                     atomic(tmp = b)
if (tmp == 0) then                  if (tmp == 0) then
    // protected section                // protected section
end if                              end if
```

Where There's No Implicit Flush:

- at entry to **for**;
- at entry to, or exit from, **master**;
- at entry to **sections**;
- at entry to **single**;
- at exit from **for**, **single** or **sections** with a **nowait**
  - **nowait** removes implicit flush along with the implicit barrier

This is not true for OpenMP versions before 2.5, so be careful.

Final thoughts on flush. We've seen that it's very difficult to use flush properly. Really, you should be using mutexes or other synchronization instead of flush[1], because you'll probably just get it wrong. But now you know what flush means.

OpenMP Task Directive

`#pragma omp` **task** *[clause [[,] clause]*]*

Generates a task for a thread in the team to run. When a thread enters the region it may:

- immediately execute the task; or
- defer its execution. (any other thread may be assigned the task)

Allowed Clauses: **if, final, untied, default, mergeable, private, firstprivate, shared**

`if` and `final` Clauses.

if *(scalar-logical-expression)*

When expression is `false`, generates an undeferred task—
the generating task region is suspended until execution of the undeferred task finishes.

final *(scalar-logical-expression)*

When expression is `true`, generates a final task.
All tasks within a final task are *included*.
Included tasks are undeferred and also execute immediately in the same thread.

Let's look at some examples of these clauses.

```
void foo () {
    int i;
    #pragma omp task if(0) // This task is undeferred
    {
        #pragma omp task
        // This task is a regular task
        for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
            #pragma omp task
            // This task is a regular task
```

[1] `http://www.thinkingparallel.com/2007/02/19/please-dont-rely-on-memory-barriers-for-synchronization/`

```
            bar();
        }
    }
    #pragma omp task final(1) // This task is a regular task
    {
        #pragma omp task // This task is included
        for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
            #pragma omp task
            // This task is also included
            bar();
        }
    }
}
```

`untied` and `mergeable` Clauses.

untied

- A suspended task can be resumed by any thread.
- "untied" is ignored if used with **final**.
- Interacts poorly with thread-private variables and `gettid()`.

mergeable

- For an undeferred or included task, allows the implementation to generate a merged task instead.
- In a merged task, the implementation may re-use the environment from its generating task (as if there was no task directive).

For more: `docs.oracle.com/cd/E24457_01/html/E21996/gljyr.html`

```
#include <stdio.h>
void foo () {
    int x = 2;
    #pragma omp task mergeable
    {
        x++; // x is by default firstprivate
    }
    #pragma omp taskwait
    printf("%d\n",x); // prints 2 or 3
}
```

This is an incorrect usage of **mergeable**: the output depends on whether or not the task got merged. Merging tasks (when safe) produces more efficient code.

Taskyield.

`#pragma omp` **taskyield**

This directive specifies that the current task can be suspended in favour of another task.

Here's a good use of **taskyield**.

```
void foo (omp_lock_t * lock, int n) {
    int i;
    for ( i = 0; i < n; i++ )
    #pragma omp task
    {
        something_useful();
        while (!omp_test_lock(lock)) {
            #pragma omp taskyield
        }
        something_critical();
        omp_unset_lock(lock);
    }
}
```

Taskwait.

`#pragma omp` **taskwait**

Waits for the completeion of the current task's child tasks.

OpenMP Examples

We are next going to look at a sequence of examples showing how to use OpenMP.

```
struct node {
    struct node *left;
    struct node *right;
};
```

```
extern void process(struct node *);

void traverse(struct node *p) {
    if (p->left)
        #pragma omp task
        // p is firstprivate by default
        traverse(p->left);
    if (p->right)
        #pragma omp task
        // p is firstprivate by default
        traverse(p->right);
    process(p);
}
```

If we want to guarantee a post-order traversal, we simply need to insert an explicit `#pragma omp taskwait` after the two calls to `traverse` and before the call to `process`.

Parallel Linked List Processing. We can spawn tasks to process linked list entries. It's hard to use two threads to traverse the list, though.

```
// node struct with data and pointer to next
extern void process(node* p);

void increment_list_items(node* head) {
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        #pragma omp single
        {
            node * p = head;
            while (p) {
                #pragma omp task
                {
                    process(p);
                }
                p = p->next;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Using Lots of Tasks. Let's see what happens if we spawn lots of tasks in a `single` directive.

```
#define LARGE_NUMBER 10000000
double item[LARGE_NUMBER];
extern void process(double);
```

```
int main() {
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        #pragma omp single
        {
            int i;
            for (i=0; i<LARGE_NUMBER; i++)
                #pragma omp task
                // i is firstprivate, item is shared
                process(item[i]);
        }
    }
}
```

In this case, the main loop generates tasks, which are all assigned to the executing thread as it becomes available (because of `single`). When too many tasks get generated, OpenMP suspends the main thread, runs some tasks, then resumes the loop in the main thread.

Improved code. It would be better to `untied` the spawned tasks, enabling them to run on multiple threads. Surround the for loop with `#pragma omp task untied`.

About Nesting: Restrictions. Let's consider nesting of parallel constructs.

- You cannot nest **for** regions.
- You cannot nest **single** inside a **for**.
- You cannot nest **barrier** inside a **critical/single/master/for**.

Here's something that OpenMP does allow:

```
void good_nesting(int n)
{
    int i, j;
    #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
    {
        #pragma omp for
        for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
            #pragma omp parallel shared(i, n)
            {
                #pragma omp for
                for (j=0; j < n; j++)
                    work(i, j);
            }
        }
```

```
    }
}
```

Why Your Code is Slow

Code too slow? Want it to run faster? Avoid these pitfalls:

1. Unnecessary flush directives.
2. Using critical sections or locks instead of atomic.
3. Unnecessary concurrent-memory-writing protection:
   - No need to protect local thread variables.
   - No need to protect if only accessed in **single** or **master**.
4. Too much work in a critical section.
5. Too many entries into critical sections.

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i = 0; i < N; ++i) {
    #pragma omp critical
    {
        if (arr[i] > max) max = arr[i];
    }
}
```

would be better as:

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i = 0 ; i < N; ++i) {
    #pragma omp flush(max)
    if (arr[i] > max) {
        #pragma omp critical
        {
            if (arr[i] > max) max = arr[i];
        }
    }
}
```