Lecture 10—C++ atomics; Compilers and You

January 26, 2015

Roadmap

Last Time: Synchronization Mechanisms This Time: C++ atomics; C Compilers; Dependencies

Part I

C++ atomics

About C++ atomics

```
You can use the default std::memory_order. (= sequential consistency)
```

Don't use relaxed atomics unless you're an expert!

http://stackoverflow.com/questions/9553591/c-stdatomic-what-is-stdmemory-order-and-how-to-use-them

Really, don't use C++ relaxed atomics!



C++ atomics: Key Idea

An atomic operation is indivisible.

Other threads see state before or after the operation, nothing in between.

Simplest: atomic_flag

Represents a boolean flag.

```
#include <atomic>
atomic_flag f = ATOMIC_FLAG_INIT;
```

Operations on atomic_flag

Can clear, and can test-and-set:

```
#include <atomic>
atomic_flag f = ATOMIC_FLAG_INIT;
int foo() {
  f.clear();
  if (f.test_and_set()) {
    // was true
  }
}
```

test_and_set: atomically sets to true, returns previous value.

No assignment (=) operator.

Using more general C++ atomics

Declaring them:

```
#include <atomic>
atomic<int> x;
```

```
Libary's implementation: on small types, lock-free operations; on large types, mutexes.
```

What to do with Atomics

Kinds of operations:

- reads
- writes
- read-modify-write (RMW)

Reads and Writes

C++ has syntax to make these all transparent:

```
#include <atomic>
#include <iostream>

std::atomic<int> ai;
int i;

int main() {
    ai = 4;
    i = ai;
    ai = i;
    std::cout << i;
}</pre>
```

Can also use i = ai.load() and ai.store(i).

Read-Modify-Write (RMW)

```
Consider ai++.
This is really
  tmp = ai.read(); tmp++; ai.write(tmp);
Hardware can do that atomically.
Other RMWs: +-, &=, etc, compare-and-swap
more info:
http://preshing.com/20130618/
atomic-vs-non-atomic-operations/
```

Part II

Making C Compilers Work For You

Three Address Code

- An intermediate code used by compilers for analysis and optimization.
- Statements represent one fundamental operation—we can consider each operation atomic.
- Statements have the form:
 result := operand₁ operator operand₂
- Useful for reasoning about data races, and easier to read than assembly. (separates out memory reads/writes).

GIMPLE

- GIMPLE is the three address code used by gcc.
- To see the GIMPLE representation of your code use the -fdump-tree-gimple flag.
- To see all of the three address code generated by the compiler use -fdump-tree-all. You'll probably just be interested in the optimized version.
- Use GIMPLE to reason about your code at a low level without having to read assembly.

Live Coding Demo: GIMPLE

Branch Prediction Hints

As seen earlier in class, gcc allows you to give branch prediction hints by calling this builtin function:

long __builtin_expect (long exp, long c)
The expected result is that exp equals c.

Compiler reorders code & tells CPU the prediction.

The restrict Keyword

A new feature of C99: "The restrict type qualifier allows programs to be written so that translators can produce significantly faster executables."

• To request C99 in gcc, use the -std=c99 flag.

restrict means: you are promising the compiler that the pointer will never alias (another pointer will not point to the same data) for the lifetime of the pointer.

Example of restrict (1)

Pointers declared with restrict must never point to the same data.

From Wikipedia:

```
void updatePtrs(int* ptrA, int* ptrB, int* val) {
   *ptrA += *val;
   *ptrB += *val;
}
```

Would declaring all these pointers as restrict generate better code?

Example of restrict (2)

Let's look at the GIMPLE:

```
void updatePtrs(int* ptrA, int* ptrB, int* val) {
    D.1609 = *ptrA;
    D.1610 = *val;
    D.1611 = D.1609 + D.1610;
    *ptrA = D.1611;
    D.1612 = *ptrB;
    D.1610 = *val;
    D.1613 = D.1612 + D.1610;
    *ptrB = D.1613;
}
```

 Could any operation be left out if all the pointers didn't overlap?

Example of restrict (3)

```
void updatePtrs(int* ptrA, int* ptrB, int* val) {
    D.1609 = *ptrA;
    D.1610 = *val;
    D.1611 = D.1609 + D.1610;
    *ptrA = D.1611;
    D.1612 = *ptrB;
    D.1610 = *val;
    D.1613 = D.1612 + D.1610;
    *ptrB = D.1613;
}
```

- If ptrA and val are not equal, you don't have to reload the data on line 7.
- Otherwise, you would: there might be a call updatePtrs(&x, &y, &x);

Example of restrict (4)

Hence, this markup allows optimization:

```
void updatePtrs(int* restrict ptrA,
int* restrict ptrB,
int* restrict val)
```

Note: you can get the optimization by just declaring ptrA and val as restrict; ptrB isn't needed for this optimization

Summary of restrict

- Use restrict whenever you know the pointer will not alias another pointer (also declared restrict)
 It's hard for the compiler to infer pointer aliasing information; it's easier for you to specify it.
- ⇒ compiler can better optimize your code (more perf!)

Caveat: don't lie to the compiler, or you will get undefined behaviour.

Aside: restrict is not the same as const. const data can still be changed through an alias.

Next topic: Dependencies

Dependencies are the main limitation to parallelization.

Example: computation must be evaulated as XY and not YX.

Not synchronization

Assume (for now) no synchronization problems.

Only trying to identify code that is safe to run in parallel.

Memory-carried Dependencies

Dependencies limit the amount of parallelization.

Can we execute these 2 lines in parallel?

```
\begin{array}{l} x \ = \ 42 \\ x \ = \ x \ + \ 1 \end{array}
```

Memory-carried Dependencies

Dependencies limit the amount of parallelization.

Can we execute these 2 lines in parallel?

```
\begin{array}{l}
x = 42 \\
x = x + 1
\end{array}
```

No.

• Assume x initially 1. What are possible outcomes?

Memory-carried Dependencies

Dependencies limit the amount of parallelization.

Can we execute these 2 lines in parallel?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & = & 42 \\ x & = & x & + & 1 \end{array}$$

No.

• Assume x initially 1. What are possible outcomes? x = 43 or x = 42

Next, we'll classify dependencies.

Read After Read (RAR)

Can we execute these 2 lines in parallel? (initially x is 2)

$$y = x + 1$$
$$z = x + 5$$

Read After Read (RAR)

Can we execute these 2 lines in parallel? (initially x is 2)

```
\begin{vmatrix}
y = x + 1 \\
z = x + 5
\end{vmatrix}
```

Yes.

- Variables y and z are independent.
- Variable x is only read.

RAR dependency allows parallelization.

Read After Write (RAW)

What about these 2 lines? (again, initially x is 2):

```
\begin{array}{l}
x = 37 \\
z = x + 5
\end{array}
```

Read After Write (RAW)

What about these 2 lines? (again, initially x is 2):

$$\begin{array}{c}
x = 37 \\
z = x + 5
\end{array}$$

No, z = 42 or z = 7.

RAW inhibits parallelization: can't change ordering. Also known as a true dependency.

Write After Read (WAR)

What if we change the order now? (again, initially x is 2)

$$\begin{vmatrix} z = x + 5 \\ x = 37 \end{vmatrix}$$

Write After Read (WAR)

What if we change the order now? (again, initially x is 2)

$$z = x + 5$$

$$x = 37$$

No. Again, z = 42 or z = 7.

- WAR is also known as a anti-dependency.
- But, we can modify this code to enable parallelization.

Removing Write After Read (WAR) Dependencies

Make a copy of the variable:

```
x_copy = x
z = x_copy + 5
x = 37
```

Removing Write After Read (WAR) Dependencies

Make a copy of the variable:

```
x_copy = x
z = x_copy + 5
x = 37
```

We can now run the last 2 lines in parallel.

- Induced a true dependency (RAW) between first 2 lines.
- Isn't that bad?

Removing Write After Read (WAR) Dependencies

Make a copy of the variable:

```
x_copy = x
z = x_copy + 5
x = 37
```

We can now run the last 2 lines in parallel.

- Induced a true dependency (RAW) between first 2 lines.
- Isn't that bad?

Not always:

```
z = very_long_function(x) + 5
x = very_long_calculation()
```

Write After Write (WAW)

Can we run these lines in parallel? (initially x is 2)

```
\begin{bmatrix} z = x + 5 \\ z = x + 40 \end{bmatrix}
```

Write After Write (WAW)

Can we run these lines in parallel? (initially x is 2)

```
\begin{array}{c}
z = x + 5 \\
z = x + 40
\end{array}
```

Nope, z = 42 or z = 7.

- WAW is also known as an output dependency.
- We can remove this dependency (like WAR):

Write After Write (WAW)

Can we run these lines in parallel? (initially x is 2)

$$z = x + 5
z = x + 40$$

Nope, z = 42 or z = 7.

- WAW is also known as an output dependency.
- We can remove this dependency (like WAR):

```
z_{-}copy = x + 5

z = x + 40
```

Summary of Memory-carried Dependencies

		Second Access	
		Read	Write
First Access	Read	No Dependency Read After Read (RAR)	Anti-dependency Write After Read (WAR)
	Write	True Dependency Read After Write (RAW)	Output Dependency Write After Write (WAW)