### Lecture 08—Race Conditions; More Synchronization

January 21, 2015

#### Roadmap

Past: Non-blocking I/O;

Now: Race Conditions, Locking.

#### curl\_multi\_wait

example:

https://gist.github.com/clemensg/4960504

## Part I

### Race Conditions

#### Race Conditions

 A race occurs when you have two concurrent accesses to the same memory location, at least one of which is a write.

When there's a race, the final state may not be the same as running one access to completion and then the other.

Race conditions arise between variables which are shared between threads.

### Example Data Race (Part 1)

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <pthread.h>
void* run1(void* arg)
    int* \times = (int*) arg;
    *x += 1;
void* run2(void* arg)
    int* x = (int*) arg;
    *x += 2;
```

### Example Data Race (Part 2)

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int* x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x = 1;
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, &run1, x);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    printf("%d\n", *x);
    free(x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Do we have a data race? Why or why not?

### Example Data Race (Part 2)

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int* x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x = 1;
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, &run1, x);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL, &run2, x);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    printf("%d\n", *x);
    free(x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Do we have a data race? Why or why not?

• No, we don't. Only one thread is active at a time.

### Example Data Race (Part 2B)

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int* x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x = 1;
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, &run1, x);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL, &run2, x);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    printf("%d\n", *x);
    free(x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Do we have a data race now? Why or why not?

### Example Data Race (Part 2B)

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int* x = malloc(sizeof(int));
    *x = 1;
    pthread_t t1, t2;
    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, &run1, x);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL, &run2, x);
    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);
    printf("%d\n", *x);
    free(x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

Do we have a data race now? Why or why not?

• Yes, we do. We have 2 threads concurrently accessing the same data.

#### Tracing our Example Data Race

#### What are the possible outputs? (initially \*x is 1).

• Memory reads and writes are key in data races.

#### Outcome of Example Data Race

- Let's call the read and write from run1 R1 and W1;
   R2 and W2 from run2.
- Assuming a sane<sup>1</sup> memory model,  $R_n$  must precede  $W_n$ .

#### All possible orderings:

Order				*x
R1	W1	R2	W2	4
R1	R2	W1	W2	3
R1	R2	W2	W1	2
R2	W2	R1	W1	4
R2	R1	W2	W1	2
R2	R1	W1	W2	3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>sequentially consistent

### **Detecting Data Races Automatically**

Dynamic and static tools can help find data races in your program.

• helgrind is one such tool. It runs your program and analyzes it (and causes a large slowdown).

Run with valgrind --tool=helgrind prog>.

It will warn you of possible data races along with locations.

For useful debugging information, compile with debugging information (-g flag for gcc).

#### Helgrind Output for Example

```
==5036== Possible data race during read of size 4 at
         0 \times 53F2040 by thread #3
==5036== Locks held: none
==5036== at 0\times400710: run2 (in datarace.c:14)
==5036==
==5036== This conflicts with a previous write of size 4 by
         thread #2
==5036== Locks held: none
==5036== at 0\times400700: run1 (in datarace.c:8)
==5036==
==5036== Address 0\times53F2040 is 0 bytes inside a block of size
         4 alloc'd
         by 0x4005AE: main (in datarace.c:19)
==5036==
```

#### Mutual Exclusion

Mutexes are the most basic type of synchronization.

 Only one thread can access code protected by a mutex at a time.

 All other threads must wait until the mutex is free before they can execute the protected code.

### Live Coding Example: Mutual Exclusion

#### Creating Mutexes—Example

```
pthread_mutex_t m1 = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_mutex_t m2;

pthread_mutex_init(&m2, NULL);
...
pthread_mutex_destroy(&m1);
pthread_mutex_destroy(&m2);
```

- Two ways to initialize mutexes: statically and dynamically
- If you want to include attributes, you need to use the dynamic version

#### Mutex Attributes

- **Protocol**: specifies the protocol used to prevent priority inversions for a mutex
- **Prioceiling**: specifies the priority ceiling of a mutex

shared memory and mmap, which we won't get into.

• **Process-shared**: specifies the process sharing of a mutex You can specify a mutex as *process shared* so that you can access it between processes. In that case, you need to use

### Using Mutexes: Example

```
// code
pthread_mutex_lock(&m1);
// protected code
pthread_mutex_unlock(&m1);
// more code
```

- Everything within the lock and unlock is protected.
- Be careful to avoid deadlocks if you are using multiple mutexes.
- Also you can use pthread\_mutex\_trylock, if needed.

#### Data Race Example

Recall that dataraces occur when two concurrent actions access the same variable and at least one of them is a **write** 

```
static int counter = 0:
void* run(void* arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
        ++counter;
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
    // Create 8 threads
    // Join 8 threads
    printf("counter = \%i \setminus n", counter);
```

Is there a datarace in this example? If so, how would we fix it?

#### **Example Problem Solution**

```
static pthread_mutex_t mutex = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
static int counter = 0;
void* run(void* arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i) {
         pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
         ++counter:
         pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    // Create 8 threads
    // Join 8 threads
    pthread_mutex_destroy(&mutex);
     printf("counter = \%i \setminus n", counter);
```

#### Part II

# More Synchronization

#### Mutexes Recap

Our focus is on how to use mutexes correctly:

- Call lock on mutex m1. Upon return from lock, you have exclusive access to m1 until you unlock it.
- Other calls to lock m1 will not return until m1 is available.

For background on selection algorithms, look at Lamport's bakery algorithm.

(Not in scope for this course.)

#### More on Mutexes

Can also "try-lock": grab lock if available, else return to caller (and do something else).

Excessive use of locks can serialize programs.

- Linux kernel used to rely on a Big Kernel Lock protecting lots of resources in the 2.0 era.
- Linux 2.2 improved performance on SMPs by cutting down on the use of the BKL.

Note: in Windows, "mutex" is an inter-process communication mechanism. Windows "critical sections" are our mutexes.

### **Spinlocks**

Functionally equivalent to mutex.

 pthread\_spinlock\_t, pthread\_spin\_lock, pthread\_spin\_trylock and friends

Implementation difference: spinlocks will repeatedly try the lock and will not put the thread to sleep.

Good if your protected code is short.

Mutexes may be implemented as a combination between spinning and sleeping (spin for a short time, then sleep).

#### Read-Write Locks

#### Two observations:

- If there are only reads, there's no datarace.
- Often, writes are relatively rare.

With mutexes/spinlocks, you have to lock the data, even for a read, since a write could happen.

But, most of the time, reads can happen in parallel, as long as there's no write.

Solution: Multiple threads can hold a read lock (pthread\_rwlock\_rdlock)

grabbing the write waits until current readers are done.

#### Semaphores

Semaphores have a value. You specify initial value.

Semaphores allow sharing of a # of instances of a resource.

Two fundamental operations: wait and post.

- wait is like lock; reserves the resource and decrements the value.
  - ▶ If value is 0, sleep until value is greater than 0.
- post is like unlock; releases the resource and increments the value.

#### **Barriers**

Allows you to ensure that (some subset of) a collection of threads all reach the barrier before finishing.

Pthreads: A barrier is a pthread\_barrier\_t.

Functions: \_init() (parameter: how many threads the barrier should wait for) and \_destroy().

Also \_wait(): similar to pthread\_join(), but waits for the specified number of threads to arrive at the barrier

#### Lock-Free Algorithms

We'll talk more about this in a few weeks.

Modern CPUs support atomic operations, such as compare-and-swap, which enable experts to write lock-free code.

Lock-free implementations are extremely complicated and must still contain certain synchronization constructs.

### Semaphores Usage

```
#include <semaphore.h>

int sem_init(sem_t *sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);
int sem_destroy(sem_t *sem);
int sem_post(sem_t *sem);
int sem_wait(sem_t *sem);
int sem_trywait(sem_t *sem);
```

- Also must link with -pthread (or -lrt on Solaris).
- All functions return 0 on success.
- Same usage as mutexes in terms of passing pointers.

How could you use as semaphore as a mutex?

### Semaphores Usage

```
#include <semaphore.h>

int sem_init(sem_t *sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);
int sem_destroy(sem_t *sem);
int sem_post(sem_t *sem);
int sem_wait(sem_t *sem);
int sem_trywait(sem_t *sem);
```

- Also must link with -pthread (or -lrt on Solaris).
- All functions return 0 on success.
- Same usage as mutexes in terms of passing pointers.

How could you use as semaphore as a mutex?

 If the initial value is 1 and you use wait to lock and post to unlock, it's equivalent to a mutex.

Here's an example from the book. How would you make this always print "Thread 1" then "Thread 2" using semaphores?

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <semaphore.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void* p1 (void* arg) { printf("Thread 1\n"); }
void* p2 (void* arg) { printf("Thread 2\n"); }
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    pthread_t thread[2];
    pthread_create(&thread[0], NULL, p1, NULL);
    pthread_create(&thread[1], NULL, p2, NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[0], NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[1], NULL);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
```

Here's their solution. Is it actually correct?

```
sem_t sem:
void* p1 (void* arg) {
  printf("Thread 1\n");
  sem_post(&sem);
void* p2 (void* arg) {
  sem_wait(&sem);
  printf("Thread 2\n");
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    pthread_t thread[2];
    sem_init(\&sem, 0, /* value: */ 1);
    pthread_create(&thread[0], NULL, p1, NULL);
    pthread_create(&thread[1], NULL, p2, NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[0], NULL);
    pthread_join(thread[1], NULL);
    sem_destroy(&sem);
```

- value is initially 1.
- Say p2 hits its sem\_wait first and succeeds.
- value is now 0 and p2 prints "Thread 2" first.
  - If p1 happens first, it would just increase value to 2.

- value is initially 1.
- Say p2 hits its sem\_wait first and succeeds.
- value is now 0 and p2 prints "Thread 2" first.
  - If p1 happens first, it would just increase value to 2.
  - Fix: set the initial value to 0.

Then, if p2 hits its sem\_wait first, it will not print until p1 posts (and prints "Thread 1") first.