Software Testing, Quality Assurance and Maintenance	Winter 2015
Lecture 31 — March 23, 2015	
Patrick Lam	$version \ 0.5$

# Functionality-based Input Domain Modelling

Use the intended functionality of system to create tests.

contains Element example. Consider again the method contains Element, which takes a list 1 and an element e. It behaves as follows. If 1 or e is null, throw a NullPointer Exception. Otherwise, return true if 1 contains e and false otherwise.

Some possible characteristics:

#### Notes:

- (+) might yield better test cases due to domain knowledge;
- (+) can create these models from specifications; don't need implementations;
- (-) may be hard to identify values and characteristics;
- (-) harder to generate tests from such an IDM.

# **Identifying Characteristics**

Here are some possible sources of characteristics for functionality-based IDMs:

- preconditions and postconditions. e.g. 1) Object.wait() precondition: must hold lock; characteristic: lock held or not (the state includes the locks currently held). 2) TriTyp triangle classification; we might construct characteristics based on the return value.
- relationships between variables, e.g. parameter aliasing;
- specifications contain more concentrated domain knowledge, so it is easier to extract characteristics from them, if they are available.

Using fewer blocks usually gives a more tractable partition, and it is easier to ensure that the partition is disjoint and complete.

## Choosing Blocks and Values

This part is the most creative in input space testing. Here are some tips for finding good values to test with.

Be sure to include:

- valid values and invalid values;
- boundary values and non-boundary values;
- special values (0, null, empty set);

and choose your blocks and partitions appropriately; if you need more partitions, you can divide existing partitions, especially those containing valid values. Check that partitions are disjoint and complete.

**Trityp Example.** We discuss the standard triangle classification example again, which takes three side lengths as input.

Interface-based try 1:

side 1 is: 
$$> 0$$
  $< 0$   $= 0$   
side 2 is:  $> 0$   $< 0$   $= 0$   
side 3 is:  $> 0$   $< 0$   $= 0$ 

We might refine some partitions, assuming that we take integers as parameters:

Generating tests for these partitions is straightforward.

Functionality-based try (wrong):

```
scalene isoceles equilateral invalid
```

Unfortunately, equilateral triangles are also isoceles, so we should instead use:

```
scalene isoceles, not equilateral equilateral invalid
```

Observe that it's not completely straightforward to generate tests from functionality-based partitions. We can, however, generate the following tests:

$$(4,5,6)$$
  $(3,3,4)$   $(3,3,3)$   $(3,4,8)$ 

**Alternate approach.** Instead of multiple blocks (like 4 in the above example), use T/F characteristics (e.g. isEquilateral, etc). Then disjointness and completeness are guaranteed, but you get more characteristics.

**Multiple IDMs.** We can use one IDM for valid values and a second IDM for invalid values, then differentially refine or cover these IDMs.

### Verifying IDMs. Some tips:

- "Are we missing anything we could include?"
- check completeness and disjointness; if using multiple IDMs, only the sum of the IDMs needs to be complete.