

TC 5033

Text Generation

Team Members:

A01200230 - Armando Bringas Corpus

Activity 4: Building a Simple LSTM Text Generator using WikiText-2

Objective:

- Gain a fundamental understanding of Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks.
- Develop hands-on experience with sequence data processing and text generation in PyTorch. Given the simplicity of the model, amount of data, and computer resources, the text you generate will not replace ChatGPT, and results must likely will not make a lot of sense. Its only purpose is academic and to understand the text generation using RNNs.
- Enhance code comprehension and documentation skills by commenting on provided starter code.

Instructions:

- Code Understanding: Begin by thoroughly reading and understanding the code. Comment each section/block of the provided code to demonstrate your understanding. For this, you are encouraged to add cells with experiments to improve your understanding
- Model Overview: The starter code includes an LSTM model setup for sequence data processing.
 Familiarize yourself with the model architecture and its components. Once you are familiar with

- the provided model, feel free to change the model to experiment.
- Training Function: Implement a function to train the LSTM model on the WikiText-2 dataset. This function should feed the training data into the model and perform backpropagation.
- Text Generation Function: Create a function that accepts starting text (seed text) and a specified total number of words to generate. The function should use the trained model to generate a continuation of the input text.
- Code Commenting: Ensure that all the provided starter code is well-commented. Explain the purpose and functionality of each section, indicating your understanding.
- Submission: Submit your Jupyter Notebook with all sections completed and commented.
 Include a markdown cell with the full names of all contributing team members at the beginning of the notebook.

• Evaluation Criteria:

- Code Commenting (60%): The clarity, accuracy, and thoroughness of comments explaining the provided code. You are suggested to use markdown cells for your explanations.
- Training Function Implementation (20%): The correct implementation of the training function, which should effectively train the model.
- Text Generation Functionality (10%): A working function is provided in comments. You are free to use it as long as you make sure to uderstand it, you may as well improve it as you see fit. The minimum expected is to provide comments for the given function.
- Conclusions (10%): Provide some final remarks specifying the differences you notice between this model and the one used for classification tasks. Also comment on changes you made to the model, hyperparameters, and any other information you consider relevant. Also, please provide 3 examples of generated texts.

Import libraries

```
'2.1.0'

cuda

NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1650

(7, 5)

_CudaDeviceProperties(name='NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1650', major=7, minor=5, total_memory=4095MB, multi_processor_count=14)
```

Get the train and the test datasets and dataloaders

LSTM Model definition

LSTM class

This LSTM-based model is an architecture for handle various sequence processing tasks in NLP. It has a combination of embedding layers, LSTM cells, dropout, and fully connected layers, along with weight initialization. This LSTM-based model is suitable for text generation tasks.

In this case we added some initialization for the weights, we check through the PyTorch documentation for the type on initializators: https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/nn.init.html

Training function

Training LSTM

Hyperparameters definition.

Optimizer, Model definition & training

```
Epoch 0, Batch 0, Loss 10.267952919006348
Epoch 0, Batch 100, Loss 6.834283828735352
Epoch 0, Batch 200, Loss 6.512574672698975
Epoch 0, Batch 300, Loss 6.296056747436523
______
Epoch 0 completed with average loss 6.7500 in 838.35s
-----
Epoch 1, Batch 0, Loss 6.237114906311035
Epoch 1, Batch 100, Loss 6.113154411315918
Epoch 1, Batch 200, Loss 5.999763011932373
Epoch 1, Batch 300, Loss 5.8418450355529785
_____
Epoch 1 completed with average loss 6.0200 in 842.76s
Epoch 2, Batch 0, Loss 5.816137790679932
Epoch 2, Batch 100, Loss 5.7320122718811035
Epoch 2, Batch 200, Loss 5.7492876052856445
Epoch 2, Batch 300, Loss 5.564638137817383
Epoch 2 completed with average loss 5.7374 in 862.74s
______
Epoch 3, Batch 0, Loss 5.581632137298584
Epoch 3, Batch 100, Loss 5.557146072387695
Epoch 3, Batch 200, Loss 5.477694511413574
Epoch 3, Batch 300, Loss 5.489266395568848
Epoch 3 completed with average loss 5.5353 in 820.89s
_____
Epoch 4, Batch 0, Loss 5.338476657867432
Epoch 4, Batch 100, Loss 5.383350372314453
Epoch 4, Batch 200, Loss 5.384471416473389
Epoch 4, Batch 300, Loss 5.3710174560546875
-----
Epoch 4 completed with average loss 5.3741 in 806.76s
_____
Epoch 5, Batch 0, Loss 5.262752056121826
Epoch 5, Batch 100, Loss 5.25981330871582
Epoch 5, Batch 200, Loss 5.179980278015137
Epoch 5, Batch 300, Loss 5.1806793212890625
_____
Epoch 5 completed with average loss 5.2423 in 802.60s
______
```

Epoch 6, Batch 0, Loss 5.161752700805664

```
Epoch 6, Batch 100, Loss 5.0628252029418945
Epoch 6, Batch 200, Loss 5.113908290863037
Epoch 6, Batch 300, Loss 5.107614040374756
_____
Epoch 6 completed with average loss 5.1287 in 801.80s
-----
Epoch 7, Batch 0, Loss 4.99995231628418
Epoch 7, Batch 100, Loss 5.022647380828857
Epoch 7, Batch 200, Loss 4.963942050933838
Epoch 7, Batch 300, Loss 5.053882122039795
______
Epoch 7 completed with average loss 5.0306 in 802.12s
_____
Epoch 8, Batch 0, Loss 4.9972405433654785
Epoch 8, Batch 100, Loss 4.8533101081848145
Epoch 8, Batch 200, Loss 5.002138614654541
Epoch 8, Batch 300, Loss 4.90820837020874
Epoch 8 completed with average loss 4.9443 in 806.23s
______
Epoch 9, Batch 0, Loss 4.737703800201416
Epoch 9, Batch 100, Loss 4.832128524780273
Epoch 9, Batch 200, Loss 4.884164333343506
Epoch 9, Batch 300, Loss 4.805817127227783
______
Epoch 9 completed with average loss 4.8652 in 801.65s
_____
Epoch 10, Batch 0, Loss 4.735334873199463
Epoch 10, Batch 100, Loss 4.825525283813477
Epoch 10, Batch 200, Loss 4.815614223480225
Epoch 10, Batch 300, Loss 4.752321243286133
Epoch 10 completed with average loss 4.7948 in 801.77s
______
Epoch 11, Batch 0, Loss 4.685385227203369
Epoch 11, Batch 100, Loss 4.592047214508057
Epoch 11, Batch 200, Loss 4.7573018074035645
Epoch 11, Batch 300, Loss 4.764608383178711
_____
Epoch 11 completed with average loss 4.7268 in 802.43s
______
Epoch 12, Batch 0, Loss 4.690743923187256
Epoch 12, Batch 100, Loss 4.6815996170043945
```

Epoch 12, Batch 200, Loss 4.666337490081787

Epoch 12, Batch 300, Loss 4.731950283050537

```
Epoch 18 completed with average loss 4.3506 in 802.73s
______
Epoch 19, Batch 0, Loss 4.2198686599731445
Epoch 19, Batch 100, Loss 4.278253078460693
Epoch 19, Batch 200, Loss 4.325565338134766
Epoch 19, Batch 300, Loss 4.434560298919678
_____
Epoch 19 completed with average loss 4.3052 in 801.49s
_____
Epoch 20, Batch 0, Loss 4.153954029083252
Epoch 20, Batch 100, Loss 4.1899333000183105
Epoch 20, Batch 200, Loss 4.23619270324707
Epoch 20, Batch 300, Loss 4.235925674438477
______
Epoch 20 completed with average loss 4.2602 in 801.93s
_____
Epoch 21, Batch 0, Loss 4.25771951675415
Epoch 21, Batch 100, Loss 4.229190826416016
Epoch 21, Batch 200, Loss 4.166518688201904
Epoch 21, Batch 300, Loss 4.2935404777526855
_____
Epoch 21 completed with average loss 4.2173 in 802.73s
Epoch 22, Batch 0, Loss 4.101994037628174
Epoch 22, Batch 100, Loss 4.192526340484619
Epoch 22, Batch 200, Loss 4.2172346115112305
Epoch 22, Batch 300, Loss 4.196609973907471
Epoch 22 completed with average loss 4.1762 in 801.80s
_____
Epoch 23, Batch 0, Loss 4.022215366363525
Epoch 23, Batch 100, Loss 4.1735615730285645
Epoch 23, Batch 200, Loss 4.181331157684326
Epoch 23, Batch 300, Loss 4.131230354309082
Epoch 23 completed with average loss 4.1342 in 801.96s
Epoch 24, Batch 0, Loss 3.9640164375305176
Epoch 24, Batch 100, Loss 4.088408946990967
Epoch 24, Batch 200, Loss 4.200056076049805
Epoch 24, Batch 300, Loss 4.156118392944336
Epoch 24 completed with average loss 4.0960 in 801.26s
```

```
Epoch 25, Batch 0, Loss 3.9424755573272705
Epoch 25, Batch 100, Loss 4.053533554077148
Epoch 25, Batch 200, Loss 4.033069133758545
Epoch 25, Batch 300, Loss 4.1308674812316895
Epoch 25 completed with average loss 4.0578 in 802.33s
_____
Epoch 26, Batch 0, Loss 3.940448522567749
Epoch 26, Batch 100, Loss 3.9569079875946045
Epoch 26, Batch 200, Loss 3.9917783737182617
Epoch 26, Batch 300, Loss 4.041839122772217
Epoch 26 completed with average loss 4.0212 in 802.05s
_____
Epoch 27, Batch 0, Loss 4.009219169616699
Epoch 27, Batch 100, Loss 4.043494701385498
Epoch 27, Batch 200, Loss 3.9369277954101562
Epoch 27, Batch 300, Loss 4.0703020095825195
_____
Epoch 27 completed with average loss 3.9848 in 802.79s
_____
Epoch 28, Batch 0, Loss 3.8649916648864746
Epoch 28, Batch 100, Loss 3.8571088314056396
Epoch 28, Batch 200, Loss 3.889878511428833
Epoch 28, Batch 300, Loss 3.91973876953125
Epoch 28 completed with average loss 3.9503 in 802.23s
_____
Epoch 29, Batch 0, Loss 3.8586084842681885
Epoch 29, Batch 100, Loss 3.934353828430176
Epoch 29, Batch 200, Loss 4.0065836906433105
Epoch 29, Batch 300, Loss 4.006514072418213
_____
Epoch 29 completed with average loss 3.9176 in 802.35s
Epoch 30, Batch 0, Loss 3.889730215072632
Epoch 30, Batch 100, Loss 3.903712749481201
Epoch 30, Batch 200, Loss 3.9084503650665283
Epoch 30, Batch 300, Loss 3.945368766784668
Epoch 30 completed with average loss 3.8861 in 802.39s
```

```
Epoch 31, Batch 0, Loss 3.796024799346924
Epoch 31, Batch 100, Loss 3.879132032394409
Epoch 31, Batch 200, Loss 3.9028306007385254
Epoch 31, Batch 300, Loss 3.911132574081421
Epoch 31 completed with average loss 3.8536 in 801.32s
______
Epoch 32, Batch 0, Loss 3.7424488067626953
Epoch 32, Batch 100, Loss 3.8054208755493164
Epoch 32, Batch 200, Loss 3.8263790607452393
Epoch 32, Batch 300, Loss 3.845512628555298
_____
Epoch 32 completed with average loss 3.8217 in 801.93s
_____
Epoch 33, Batch 0, Loss 3.7514727115631104
Epoch 33, Batch 100, Loss 3.8061022758483887
Epoch 33, Batch 200, Loss 3.736781597137451
Epoch 33, Batch 300, Loss 3.8150887489318848
Epoch 33 completed with average loss 3.7936 in 801.93s
_____
Epoch 34, Batch 0, Loss 3.6780450344085693
Epoch 34, Batch 100, Loss 3.703847646713257
Epoch 34, Batch 200, Loss 3.759312629699707
Epoch 34, Batch 300, Loss 3.7719740867614746
______
Epoch 34 completed with average loss 3.7634 in 801.23s
_____
Epoch 35, Batch 0, Loss 3.658438205718994
Epoch 35, Batch 100, Loss 3.703782081604004
Epoch 35, Batch 200, Loss 3.670518159866333
Epoch 35, Batch 300, Loss 3.7931385040283203
Epoch 35 completed with average loss 3.7355 in 824.86s
_____
Epoch 36, Batch 0, Loss 3.649303913116455
Epoch 36, Batch 100, Loss 3.639050006866455
Epoch 36, Batch 200, Loss 3.754610538482666
Epoch 36, Batch 300, Loss 3.7921905517578125
_____
Epoch 36 completed with average loss 3.7087 in 874.41s
______
Epoch 37, Batch 0, Loss 3.5893630981445312
```

Epoch 37, Batch 100, Loss 3.687694787979126

```
Epoch 37, Batch 200, Loss 3.6619317531585693
Epoch 37, Batch 300, Loss 3.6857733726501465
_____
Epoch 37 completed with average loss 3.6824 in 872.09s
______
Epoch 38, Batch 0, Loss 3.641207695007324
Epoch 38, Batch 100, Loss 3.6234164237976074
Epoch 38, Batch 200, Loss 3.728297233581543
Epoch 38, Batch 300, Loss 3.6995999813079834
Epoch 38 completed with average loss 3.6551 in 871.82s
______
Epoch 39, Batch 0, Loss 3.584510087966919
Epoch 39, Batch 100, Loss 3.7361221313476562
Epoch 39, Batch 200, Loss 3.6356899738311768
Epoch 39, Batch 300, Loss 3.6664485931396484
Epoch 39 completed with average loss 3.6325 in 4642.96s
Epoch 40, Batch 0, Loss 3.5742011070251465
Epoch 40, Batch 100, Loss 3.570418119430542
Epoch 40, Batch 200, Loss 3.6597607135772705
Epoch 40, Batch 300, Loss 3.684755563735962
______
Epoch 40 completed with average loss 3.6058 in 863.65s
______
Epoch 41, Batch 0, Loss 3.4757680892944336
Epoch 41, Batch 100, Loss 3.449211359024048
Epoch 41, Batch 200, Loss 3.6067256927490234
Epoch 41, Batch 300, Loss 3.69199275970459
_____
Epoch 41 completed with average loss 3.5829 in 863.91s
______
Epoch 42, Batch 0, Loss 3.4456000328063965
Epoch 42, Batch 100, Loss 3.5996456146240234
Epoch 42, Batch 200, Loss 3.6827785968780518
Epoch 42, Batch 300, Loss 3.5827133655548096
Epoch 42 completed with average loss 3.5613 in 865.51s
_____
Epoch 43, Batch 0, Loss 3.4760053157806396
Epoch 43, Batch 100, Loss 3.490980625152588
Epoch 43, Batch 200, Loss 3.5125222206115723
Epoch 43, Batch 300, Loss 3.633470058441162
```

```
Epoch 43 completed with average loss 3.5395 in 864.27s
______
Epoch 44, Batch 0, Loss 3.424654245376587
Epoch 44, Batch 100, Loss 3.464897394180298
Epoch 44, Batch 200, Loss 3.5410289764404297
Epoch 44, Batch 300, Loss 3.525073289871216
Epoch 44 completed with average loss 3.5151 in 864.28s
______
Epoch 45, Batch 0, Loss 3.4438858032226562
Epoch 45, Batch 100, Loss 3.488055944442749
Epoch 45, Batch 200, Loss 3.5350828170776367
Epoch 45, Batch 300, Loss 3.5552256107330322
_____
Epoch 45 completed with average loss 3.4941 in 864.46s
_____
Epoch 46, Batch 0, Loss 3.396148920059204
Epoch 46, Batch 100, Loss 3.5242137908935547
Epoch 46, Batch 200, Loss 3.421900987625122
Epoch 46, Batch 300, Loss 3.4529242515563965
_____
Epoch 46 completed with average loss 3.4750 in 867.65s
_____
Epoch 47, Batch 0, Loss 3.391603469848633
Epoch 47, Batch 100, Loss 3.4138152599334717
Epoch 47, Batch 200, Loss 3.4773247241973877
Epoch 47, Batch 300, Loss 3.473972797393799
______
Epoch 47 completed with average loss 3.4530 in 863.89s
_____
Epoch 48, Batch 0, Loss 3.3335628509521484
Epoch 48, Batch 100, Loss 3.4155097007751465
Epoch 48, Batch 200, Loss 3.4925928115844727
Epoch 48, Batch 300, Loss 3.56992244720459
Epoch 48 completed with average loss 3.4345 in 865.67s
_____
Epoch 49, Batch 0, Loss 3.2505855560302734
Epoch 49, Batch 100, Loss 3.366615056991577
Epoch 49, Batch 200, Loss 3.3907852172851562
Epoch 49, Batch 300, Loss 3.4022743701934814
```

Total training time: 12h 29m 29s

Text Generation

Text generations samples

Example 1

i like lemon – 76 in birmingham . in the 1950s , thomas observed billy the new demon called < unk> and <unk> love america 's final direction for best story , to celebrate the devin towns end album , when i moved back on dangerously in love in the symphony for fame . the manga 's album video performances = = = god of war iii film revolution (father @-@ green , love) and john (author william ii [god] having a major <unk>) to be regarded as the seventh to cult ural influences . in the

Example 2

a cat in the midst of a buddhist shadow , with much meat and desired to direct seeing whateve r this offensive is in the history of king 's war . this she was forced to operate in that w orld . he said that the king was serious on creating my own rivals (when he made the develop ing way) , <unk> it was more careful to this concert rosebery believes to become the person 's challenging . despite eva perón 's own tenure , a believe of a criminal family that rene wed our imprisonment de <unk> <unk> his things to build within a formula . edmund claims that applewhite and nettles consciously learn , , the humans , said , and substance (

Example 3

she loves you because the wing 's pattern were handled . a large tertiary vessel was discove red by group japanese commanders in the united states , where the

Additional examples making some variations with starting text and numer of words

i hope , marking the first time to do there . he and $\langle unk \rangle$ did not figured on odaenathus 's name while calvert did not $\langle unk \rangle$. $\langle unk \rangle$ in $\langle unk \rangle$, the supreme court of spain did 's in kee ping him as the 22nd century when they began to pursue

the beatles are able to obtain <unk> as complementary items have been increased . the same li st for such <unk> <unk> has not been described as give little social <unk> , this may have be en <unk> between the help of a medium and nearest scientific figure . while by inari ii under conservation , latin @-@ americans had a group of different manifestation of it from blood @-@ material if <unk> . after finding more long @-@ derived reactions that specific objects that done in the path , goddesses believed that amun was the most regions of his lives , and the reason of the eighteenth jain @-@ speaking processes . the gods lived in other parts of the h istory of djedkare and isis , especially in such children , was only an important family of their issues . these objects were found in <unk> , combined with many other piedras phenomena , mostly or visitors to adapted for their myths . the eshmun statue was different , and they emerged in the most stone shiva on toniná . the sculpture begins by osiris , from <unk> , and a south section of an ancient temple held at the manor of maharashtra , a tiger , shows this a long mark to pure a <unk> <unk> . colonel <unk> <unk> a <unk> , which equated with shiva by north @-@ eastern kings with the animal , supported by the wives 's and personal deities . in magical texts , their only mastery

super mario is given the cap of the court around two \emptyset - \emptyset thirds of shiva and his left men in this desert . the shape of the facade is given the added walls in the town , which is called <unk> (the right <unk>) and and the <unk> \emptyset - \emptyset long (two \emptyset - \emptyset square layer) , at the inscription (<unk>) <unk> and the mosque , which contains a plantain meaning being ordered by the capitals . as the mirror is formed around the sculpture of one <unk> , the piers supported the inscription at bath as a small lady holds entrance to the east . each tower has parallel the window for the sky in the caves , which are built over the aisle . fig . 9 is number 66 . <unk> in australia contain surrounded by two short \emptyset - \emptyset style organs while simple classic . in addition to each tower (fig . 16) , m \emptyset - \emptyset 44 meant the immediately original structure of the <unk> ' building road .

Conclusion

In the initial LSTM class, we incorporated weight initialization to accelerate the model's convergence during training and to potentially enhance overall performance. The following methods were implemented:

- Xavier/Glorot initialization for input-hidden weights.
- Orthogonal initialization for LSTM hidden-hidden weights.
- Zero initialization for biases.

When comparing this LSTM, designed for Text Generation, with an RNN used for classification tasks, we observed notable differences. The RNN has a simpler structure focused primarily on prediction, where its performance is evaluated based on accuracy using a cross-entropy loss function. On the other hand, the LSTM, a specialized type of RNN architecture, is more complex. It features memory cells that regulate the flow of information. Unlike the RNN, LSTMs are trained to predict the next token in a sequence based on previous tokens, necessitating the maintenance of information over many time steps. In this case, we computed the cross-entropy loss function. However, for a more accurate evaluation of model performance, it would be appropriate to calculate perplexity.

We found this interesting page that very didactically explain the perplexity concept: https://lukesalamone.github.io/posts/perplexity/

where

$$z=-rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^N \ln(P_n)$$

Hopefully in the future we can implement an improved model in which we can calculate perplexity.

About the temperature, we asked to our dear professor in the class and looks like it is effectively related with Softmax adding a variable theta that affects the softmax distribution, as professor mentioned it can be interpreted of how much entropy or noise you want to have to the output, the more it ism the more "creative", we have now the next question, does this parameter could affect the hallucination of the model?

$$\sigma(z_i) = rac{e^{z_i heta}}{\sum_{j=0}^N e^{z_j heta}}$$

https://lukesalamone.github.io/posts/what-is-temperature/

For the weight initialization and hyperparameters selection we based as well on this Medium article: https://towardsdatascience.com/language-modeling-with-lstms-in-pytorch-381a26badcbf, for weight initialization they recommend to checked out this paper that has some studies to select different learning rates for regularization and optimization of LSTM models: https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.02182.

About the results of the generated text we tried to improve the hyperparameters by increasing the embedding size, neurons and epochs. In the first trial took us like 3 hours to have the model training but in the lasta attempt where we increase the embedding size the computing time creases by four and we didn't noticed relevant chances in the generated texts, we think that is due that this model is quite simple comparing with LLMs like GPT or BERT that incorporate transformers and attention mechanisms to deal with the context. As well, we trained with a very basic and modest setup and a relatively small dataset, the other models are trained with a huge quantity of data that used more demanding computational power. This excersice was very didactic, even we though a little bit dissapointing that we couln't improve over model quality of text generation was a good excersise to start getting familiarized with text generation and LLM models.