

# MA2102: LINEAR ALGEBRA

## Lecture 5: Linear Dependence

26th August 2020

Indian Institute of Science Education & Research Kolkata



●  $\text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2)$

*Before proving this, recall that*

$$T_1 + T_2 := \{v + w \mid v \in T_1, w \in T_2\}$$

*for any two subsets  $T_1, T_2$  of a vector space  $V$ . For example, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $B = (0, 1)$  inside  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, if  $C = [0, 1)$ , then  $A + C = \mathbb{R}$ .*

*As  $S_i \subset S_1 \cup S_2$ , we have  $\text{span}(S_i) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$ . As  $\text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$  is a vector space, if  $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$  and  $w \in \text{span}(S_2)$ , then  $v + w \in \text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$ . Thus, we have the  $\supseteq$  inclusion.*

*Conversely, if  $v = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_k v_k + d_1 w_1 + \cdots + d_l w_l$  with  $v_i \in S_1$  and  $w_j \in S_2$ , then  $v \in \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2)$ .*

**Let  $V_1, V_2$  be subspaces of  $V$ . Show that  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is a subspace.**

In fact,  $V_1 + V_2$  is the smallest subspace containing  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

•  $\text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$

As  $S_1 \cap S_2 \subset S_i$ , we have  $\text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$ .

Let  $V_1, V_2$  be subspaces of  $V$ . Show that  $V_1 + V_2$  is a subspace.

**Remark** The converse is not true. Let  $v$  be a non-zero vector. Let  $S_1 = \{v\}$  and  $S_2 = \{-v\}$  with  $\text{span}(S_1) = \text{span}(S_2)$ . Then

$$\{0\} = \text{span}(\emptyset) = \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \not\subseteq \text{span}(S_1).$$

### Illustrative Examples

(1) Consider the set  $S$

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

We observe that  $M_2(\mathbb{R}) = \text{span}(S)$ . In fact, there is no unique way of representing  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$  as a linear combination of elements of  $S$ . For example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the span of

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Convince yourself that  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  cannot be the span of a set of size three.

(2) Consider  $\text{span}(\{1-x, 1+x, x^2-x\})$  in  $P(\mathbb{R})$ , the space of all polynomials. Note that if  $p(x)$  is in the span, then  $\deg(p) \leq 2$ . On the other hand,

$$1 = \frac{1}{2}(1-x) + \frac{1}{2}(1+x)$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(1+x) - \frac{1}{2}(1-x)$$

$$x^2 = 1(x^2-x) + \frac{1}{2}(1+x) - \frac{1}{2}(1-x)$$

implies that any polynomial of degree at most 2 is in the span. Thus, the span is  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Show that if  $a(1-x) + b(1+x) + c(x^2-x) = 0$ , then  $a = b = c = 0$ .

Convince yourself that  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  cannot be the span of a set of size two.

(3) Let  $\mathbf{u}_1 = (2, -1, 4)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2 = (1, -1, 3)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3 = (1, 1, -1)$  and  $\mathbf{u}_4 = (1, -2, -1)$ . If  $\mathbf{u}_1$  is a linear combination of the other  $\mathbf{u}_i$ 's, then

$$S := \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\}) = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\}).$$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = 2\mathbf{u}_1 - 3\mathbf{u}_2 = 2\mathbf{u}_1 - 3\mathbf{u}_2 + 0\mathbf{u}_4.$$

This implies that  $S = \text{span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_4\})$ . The above relation can be rewritten as

$$2\mathbf{u}_1 - 3\mathbf{u}_2 - 1\mathbf{u}_3 + 0\mathbf{u}_4 = \mathbf{0},$$

i.e., there is a *non-trivial* linear combination of  $\mathbf{u}_i$ 's which is zero.

Show that no non-trivial combination of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$  and  $\mathbf{u}_4$  is zero.

In fact, from basic matrix theory, we can see that  $S = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Definition** [Linear Dependence] A subset  $S$  of a vector space (over a field  $F$ ) is called linearly dependent if there exists distinct vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  in  $S$  and scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F$ , not all zero, such that

$$c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_k v_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

A set  $S$  is called **linearly independent** if it is not linearly dependent.

**Remarks** (1) Note that if  $v \in S$ , then  $2v + (-2)v = \mathbf{0}$ , although true, does not imply anything about  $S$ . However, if  $-v \in S$ , then  $2v + 2(-v) = \mathbf{0}$  implies that  $S$  is linearly dependent.

(2) Any set containing the zero vector is always linearly dependent.

A linear combination  $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_k v_k = \mathbf{0}$  is called non-trivial if some  $c_i$ 's are non-zero.

**Example** (1) Consider the set  $S$  consisting of

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = (1,0), \mathbf{u}_2 = (0,1), \mathbf{u}_3 = (1,1), \mathbf{u}_4 = (-2,-2).$$

Observe that the following relations hold

$$\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{u}_3 + \mathbf{u}_4 = (0,0)$$

$$2\mathbf{u}_1 + 2\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{u}_3 + 2\mathbf{u}_4 = (0,0)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_3 = (0,0)$$

$$2\mathbf{u}_3 + \mathbf{u}_4 = (0,0)$$

Show that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_3\}$  are linearly independent and all these sets span  $\mathbb{R}^2$  while  $\{\mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4\}$  is linearly dependent.

**Question** Can you think of a linearly independent set of size 3 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?



**Example** (1) Consider the subsets

$$S_1 = \{1 - x, 1 + x, x\}, \quad S_2 = \{1 - x, 1 + x, e\}$$

of  $P(\mathbb{R})$ , the set of polynomials. Note that  $e$  stands for the constant polynomial that takes the value  $e$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} -1(1 - x) + 1(1 + x) - 2(x) &= 0 \\ 1(1 - x) + 1(1 + x) - \frac{2}{e}(e) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

the sets  $S_1, S_2$  are linearly dependent. However, any subset of size two in  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is linearly independent (**exercise**).

**Question** *If  $S$  is linearly dependent, can we find  $v \in S$  such that  $\text{span}(S) = \text{span}(S - \{v\})$ ?*