

# MA2102: LINEAR ALGEBRA

## Lecture 17: Dual Spaces

29th September 2020

Indian Institute of Science Education & Research Kolkata



Recall the following result (cf. lecture 15).

**Proposition** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of dimension  $n$  and  $m$  over respectively. The space  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is isomorphic to  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

A linear isomorphism was constructed by first choosing ordered bases  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  of  $V$  and  $W$  respectively. Then we verified that the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \Phi(T) = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}.$$

was an isomorphism.

There are two extreme cases: either  $V = \mathbb{R}$  or  $W = \mathbb{R}$ . In the first case, any linear map from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $W$  is determined uniquely by its value at 1, i.e., the map

$$\text{ev} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, W) \rightarrow W, \quad T \mapsto T(1)$$

is a linear isomorphism (**exercise**).

We also have the *coordinate* map

$$C : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad w \mapsto [w]^\gamma.$$

We had observed (cf. lecture 14) that this was an isomorphism. The composition gives us a linear isomorphism

$$C \circ \text{ev} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, W) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad T \mapsto [T(1)]^\gamma.$$

Notice that  $C \circ \text{ev}$  is the linear isomorphism  $\Phi$  corresponding to  $\beta = \{1\}$  and  $\gamma$ .

**Definition** [Dual Spaces] Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . The **dual** of  $V$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{R})$ . It is denoted by  $V^*$ .

**Remark** The dual is defined similarly for a vector space  $V$  over  $F$ , by setting  $V^* = \mathcal{L}(V, F)$ .

Note that if  $V$  is finite dimensional, then  $\dim V^* = \dim V$ . Dual spaces appear frequently in physics.

- **General Relativity** : vectors and covectors
- **Quantum Mechanics** :  $\langle\psi|$  bras and  $|\psi\rangle$  kets
- **Solid State Physics** : crystalline and reciprocal lattice

**Examples (1)** [Dual of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ] Let  $T \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ , i.e.,  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a linear map. If  $T(\mathbf{e}_i) = a_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) &= T(v_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + v_n \mathbf{e}_n) \\ &= a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n \\ &= \langle (a_1, \dots, a_n), (v_1, \dots, v_n) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T$  may be identified with the vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . This defines a map from the dual of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Consider the linear maps

$$T_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto v_i.$$

Note that

$$T(v) = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = a_1 T_1(v) + \dots + a_n T_n(v).$$

Therefore, any  $T \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $T_j$ 's. As  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  has dimension  $n$ , the set  $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$  forms a basis. Elements of  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  are called *covectors* or *linear functionals*.

(2) [Dual Basis] Choose an ordered basis  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$ . Define linear maps

$$v_i^*: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \mapsto a_i.$$

**Claim** *The set  $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$  forms a basis of  $V^*$ .*

If  $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$  and  $T \in V^*$ , then

$$T(v) = a_1T(v_1) + \cdots + a_nT(v_n) = T(v_1)v_1^*(v) + \cdots + T(v_n)v_n^*(v).$$

This implies that we have an equality of linear maps

$$T = T(v_1)v_1^* + \cdots + T(v_n)v_n^*.$$

Thus,  $\beta^* = \{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$  span  $V^*$ . As  $\dim V^* = n$ ,  $\beta^*$  is a basis.

**Definition [Dual Basis]** The set  $\beta^*$  is called the **dual basis** of  $\beta$ .

Note that we have

$$v_i^*(v_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Consider the linear map  $T_\beta : V \rightarrow V^*$

$$T_\beta(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) = a_1v_1^* + \cdots + a_nv_n^*.$$

Show that  $T_\beta : V \rightarrow V^*$  is a linear isomorphism. This implies that there are plenty of linear isomorphisms between  $V$  and  $V^*$ .

**Remark** There is no canonical isomorphism between  $V$  and  $V^*$ . It seemed so in the case of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  due to the presence of the vector dot product.

Consider  $\beta = \{(1,0), (0,1)\}$  and  $\gamma = \{(1,0), (1,1)\}$  as two ordered bases of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . As

$$T_\beta(x,y) = xT_\beta(\mathbf{e}_1) + yT_\beta(\mathbf{e}_2) = x\mathbf{e}_1^* + y\mathbf{e}_2^*,$$

the map  $T_\beta$  is given by

$$T_\beta(x,y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (a,b) \mapsto ax + by.$$

Note that  $(a,b) = (a-b)(1,0) + b(1,1)$  in terms of  $\gamma$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\gamma}(x,y) &= T_{\gamma}((x-y)(1,0) + y(1,1)) \\&= (x-y)T_{\gamma}(1,0) + yT_{\gamma}(1,1) \\&= (x-y)(1,0)^* + y(1,1)^* \\ \Rightarrow T_{\gamma}(x,y)(a,b) &= T_{\gamma}(x,y)((a-b)(1,0) + b(1,1)) \\&= (a-b)(x-y) + by.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map  $T_{\gamma}$  is given by

$$T_{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2)^*, \quad T_{\gamma}(x,y) : (a,b) \mapsto a(x-y) + b(2y-x).$$

It follows that  $T_{\beta}$  and  $T_{\gamma}$  are quite different maps!

**Question**    *Given  $T : V \rightarrow W$ , is there a linear map  $T^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ ?*