## MA2102: LINEAR ALGEBRA

Lecture 28: Eigenspaces

4th November 2020



A natural generalization of the notion of eigenvectors is the concept of eigenspaces.

**Definition** [Eigenspace] Let  $T: V \to V$  be a linear map. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, then its eigenspace is defined as

$$E_{\lambda} := \{ v \in V \mid T(v) = \lambda v \}.$$

Note that  $E_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$  and it is a (vector) subspace. If T is diagonalizable, with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ . Then there exists a basis

$$\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

consisting of eigenvectors. Such a basis is called an eigenbasis. We may rearrange the  $v_j$ 's such that the first  $r_1$  vectors are eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1$ , the next  $r_2$  vectors are eigenvectors for  $\lambda_2$  and so on all the way up to the last  $r_k$  vectors are eigenvectors for  $\lambda_k$ .

It follows that

$$r_1 + \cdots + r_b = n$$
.

Consider the span S of  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r_1}\}$ . We know that it is contained in  $E_{\lambda_i}$ . If  $v \in E_{\lambda_i}$ , then write v in terms of  $v_i$ 's and look at

$$\begin{split} T(v) &=& T(c_1v_1+\dots+c_nv_n) \\ &=& \lambda_1(c_1v_1+\dots+c_{r_1}v_{r_1})+\lambda_2(c_{r_1+1}v_{r_1+1}+\dots+c_{r_1+r_2}v_{r_1+r_2}) \\ &+\dots+\lambda_k(c_{r_1+\dots+r_{k-1}+1}v_{r_1+\dots+r_{k-1}+1}+\dots+c_{r_1+\dots+r_k}v_{r_1+\dots+r_k}) \\ \lambda_1v &=& \lambda_1(c_1v_1+\dots+c_{r_1}v_{r_1})+\lambda_1(c_{r_1+1}v_{r_1+1}+\dots+c_{r_1+r_2}v_{r_1+r_2}) \\ &+\dots+\lambda_1(c_{r_1+\dots+r_{k-1}+1}v_{r_1+\dots+r_{k-1}+1}+\dots+c_{r_1+\dots+r_k}v_{r_1+\dots+r_k}) \end{split}$$

It follows from  $T(v) = \lambda_1 v$  that  $c_j = 0$  for  $j > r_1$ , whence  $v \in S$ . This establishes the equality  $E_{\lambda_1} = \operatorname{span}_F\{v_1, \dots, v_{r_1}\}$ .

Show that  $E_{\lambda_i} = \text{span}_F \{ v_{r_1 + \dots + r_{i-1} + 1}, \dots, v_{r_1 + \dots + r_i} \}.$ 

If  $v \in E_{\lambda_i} \cap E_{\lambda_i}$  for  $i \neq j$ , then

$$\lambda_i v = T(v) = \lambda_i v,$$

implying that  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)v = 0$ . This implies that v = 0,

$$E_{\lambda_i} \cap E_{\lambda_i} = \{0\}$$

and  $E_{\lambda_i} + E_{\lambda_i}$  is a direct sum. More generally, we claim that

$$E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_k} = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}.$$

This may be proven by induction, starting with  $E_{\lambda_1} + E_{\lambda_2} = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2}$ .

**Lemma** If  $v_1, ..., v_k$  are eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$ , then  $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$  is linearly independent.

## Proof.

Let *j* be the maximum index such that  $\{v_1, ..., v_j\}$  is linearly independent. If j = k we are done; otherwise

$$v_{j+1} = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_j v_j.$$

Applying *T* to both sides we obtain

$$\lambda_{j+1}(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_jv_j) = \lambda_{j+1}v_{j+1} = c_1\lambda_1v_1 + \dots + c_j\lambda_jv_j.$$

This leads us to

$$c_1(\lambda_{j+1}-\lambda_1)v_1+\cdots+c_j(\lambda_{j+1}-\lambda_j)v_1=0$$

implying that  $c_1 = \cdots = c_j = 0$ . Hence,  $v_{j+1} = 0$ , a contradiction.

Show that V is the direct sum of  $E_{\lambda_i}$ 's, when T is diagonalizable, i.e., every v can be written uniquely as  $v = v_1 + \dots + v_k$ , where  $v_j \in E_{\lambda_i}$ .

**Example** (1) Let  $T: V \to V$  admit two eigenvalues  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\dim_F V =$ 

2. Note that  $\dim_F E_\lambda \geq 1$  as well as  $\dim_F E_\mu \geq 1$ . As  $E_\lambda \oplus E_\mu$  has dimension at least 2, we must have  $V = E_\lambda \oplus E_\mu$ . Thus, both the eigenspaces have dimension 1. Choose a basis v and w of  $E_\lambda$  and  $E_\mu$  respectively. Then  $\beta = \{v, w\}$  is an eigenbasis of V and T is diagonal with respect to  $\beta$ .

(2) Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by

$$T(x,y) = (x + 2y, 3x + 2y).$$

The matrix with respect to the standard basis is

$$A = [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial is  $p_A(x) = (x-4)(x+1)$  (exercise).

By the previous example, we know that  $\mathbb{R}^2 = E_4 \oplus E_{-1}$ . If (x, y) is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 4, then

$$(x + 2y, 3x + 2y) = (4x, 4y).$$

Solving we obtain (x,y) = c(2,3). Show that any element of  $E_{-1}$  is of the form c(1,-1). Thus, T is diagonalizable with  $\{(2,3),(1,-1)\}$  as an eigenbasis.

- (3) Let  $P: V \to V$  be a projection map. If  $P(v) = \lambda v$  and  $v \neq 0$ , then applying P we obtain  $\lambda v = \lambda^2 v$ . This implies that  $\lambda \in \{0,1\}$  and eigenvalues of P can be either 0 or 1. We have seen earlier that  $E_0$  is the null space of P,  $E_1$  is the range of P and  $V = E_0 \oplus E_1$ .
- (4) Consider the map  $T: P_n(\mathbb{R}) \to P_n(\mathbb{R}), \ p(x) \mapsto xp'(x)$ . As  $T(x^k) = kx^k$ , for  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , the standard basis  $\beta = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$

As  $I(x^*) = kx^*$ , for k = 0, ..., n, the standard basis  $\beta = \{1, x, x^*, ..., x^*\}$  is an eigenbasis.

There are n + 1 distinct eigenvalues and

$$P_n(\mathbb{R}) = E_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_n$$

(5) Consider the *shear* matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

and the associated linear map

$$T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$$
,  $T(x, y) = (x + y, y)$ .

The only eigenvalue is 1 and

$$E_1 = \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Thus, A or T is not diagonalizable. However, A has the same trace, rank, determinant, eigenvalues and characteristic polynomial as  $I_2$ .