

Alex Johnson

11/28/2024

GNU FDL and CC BY-SA comparison

The GNU Free Documentation License and the Creative Commons Attribution-Sharealike are fundamentally very different licenses. The CC license is broad and designed to be applicable to any form of Licensed Material, whereas the GFDL is designed only for pieces of text and specifically designed for software manuals.

They do also have considerable overlap as well. For the case of copying and distributing an unmodified digital version of a licensed document, or printing less than 100 physical copies of the licensed document, they have some overlap. You must reproduce the copyright notices, add no further restrictions to the license, and use no technical measures to control the reading or copying of what you distribute. They also both make no distinction between whether this is done commercially or non-commercially. There are also noticeable differences in this case, the GFDL requires that the entire license is reproduced in a copy, whereas the CC just requires that you refer to the public license. The CC does impose two extra conditions, you must add a link to the Licensed Material and identify its creator(s) in “any reasonable manner requested”.

The GFDL has specific conditions that are imposed if printing or distributing more than 100 copies of a document. If you print 100 physical copies you must encase them in a cover that has both front and back cover texts printed on them. And if you distribute more than 100 as an opaque digital format you must also include a machine-readable transparent copy, or direct to a network location where one is available to download using public-standard network protocols. The CC applies no such limitations based on quantity.

In the case of a modified document there are some additional requirements applied, on top of all those listed above. Both licenses require an adapted version to be distributed on the same license, although CC does give the option of using a compatible CC license. Both also require you to indicate if you modified the material and retain any indication of any previous modifications, although the GFDL does this via a “History” section that documents the new and previous authors, titles, and publishers, as well as the year of modification. Additionally, both require that any endorsements giving to the licensed material are removed, and that any new

endorsements added are specific to your modified copy. The GFDL also goes further requiring a new title for the modified document, as well as listing at least 5 of the previous authors on the title page. It also allows authors to add “Invariant” sections to a document that are not modifiable.

The GFPL also adds further requirements for specific modified copies, Translations, Collections of Documents and Combining Documents. It uses mainly the same requirements for these as standard modified documents, with a couple of exceptions. In collections and combinations, all included documents must be licensed under the FGPL and only a single copy of the license is necessary within the collection or combination. Additionally, in combinations only a single copy of identical invariant is necessary, and you can distinguish between two identically named invariants by adding the author or publisher’s name to the title in parentheses. For translations an English copy of the license must be included, a translated copy can also be included but isn’t required. The invariant sections must receive special permissions to just include the translated, but you can include a translated copy along with the English. The CC license doesn’t deal with these edge cases directly, but they are all included under the modified document conditions.