Synchronization

The Dark Side of Concurrency

With interleaved executions, the order in which processes execute at runtime is *nondeterministic*.

- depends on the exact order and timing of process arrivals
- depends on exact timing of asynchronous devices (disk, clock)
- depends on scheduling policies

Some schedule interleavings may lead to incorrect behavior.

- Open the bay doors *before* you release the bomb.
- Two people can't wash dishes in the same sink at the same time.

The system must provide a way to coordinate concurrent activities to avoid incorrect interleavings.

Synchronization Motivation

- When threads concurrently read/write shared memory, program behavior is undefined
  - Two threads write to the same variable; which one should win?
- Thread schedule is non-deterministic
  - Behavior changes when re-run program
- Compiler/hardware instruction reordering
- Multi-word operations are not atomic

Question: Can this panic?

Thread 1

```
p = someComputation();
pInitialized = true;
```

Thread 2

```
while (!pInitialized)
    ;
q = someFunction(p);
if (q != someFunction(p))
    panic
```

Why Reordering?

- Why do compilers reorder instructions?
  - Efficient code generation requires analyzing control/data dependency
  - If variables can spontaneously change, most compiler optimizations become impossible
- Why do CPUs reorder instructions?
  - Write buffering: allow next instruction to execute while write is being completed

Fix: **memory barrier**

- Instruction to compiler/CPU
- All ops before barrier complete before barrier returns
- No op after barrier starts until barrier returns

Too Much Milk Example

	Person A	Person B
12:30	Look in fridge. Out of milk.	
12:35	Leave for store.	
12:40	Arrive at store.	Look in fridge. Out of milk.
12:45	Buy milk.	Leave for store.
12:50	Arrive home, put milk away.	Arrive at store.
12:55		Buy milk.
1:00		Arrive home, put milk away. Oh no!

Definitions

Race condition: output of a concurrent program depends on the order of operations between threads

Mutual exclusion: only one thread does a particular thing at a time

- **Critical section:** piece of code that only one thread can execute at once

Lock: prevent someone from doing something

- Lock before entering critical section, before accessing shared data
- Unlock when leaving, after done accessing shared data
- Wait if locked (all synchronization involves waiting!)

Too Much Milk, Try #1

- Correctness property
  - Someone buys if needed (liveness)
  - At most one person buys (safety)
- Try #1: leave a note

```
if (!note)
    if (!milk) {
        leave note
        buy milk
        remove note
    }
```

Too Much Milk, Try #2

Thread A

```
leave note A
if (!note B) {
    if (!milk)
        buy milk
}
remove note A
```

Thread B

```
leave note B
if (!noteA) {
    if (!milk)
        buy milk
}
remove note B
```

Too Much Milk, Try #3

Thread A

```
leave note A
while (note B) // X
    do nothing;
if (!milk)
    buy milk;
remove note A
```

Thread B

```
leave note B
if (!noteA) {   // Y
    if (!milk)
        buy milk
}
remove note B
```

Can guarantee at X and Y that either:

(i) Safe for me to buy

(ii) Other will buy, ok to quit

Lessons

- Solution is complicated
  - “obvious” code often has bugs
- Modern compilers/architectures reorder instructions
  - Making reasoning even more difficult
- Generalizing to many threads/processors
  - Even more complex: see Peterson’s algorithm

Roadmap

Concurrent Applications

Shared Objects

Bounded Buffer Barrier

Synchronization Variables

Semaphores Locks Condition Variables

Atomic Instructions

Interrupt Disable Test-and-Set

Hardware

Multiple Processors Hardware Interrupts

Locks

- Lock::acquire
  - wait until lock is free, then take it
- Lock::release
  - release lock, waking up anyone waiting for it

1. At most one lock holder at a time (safety)
2. If no one holding, acquire gets lock (progress)
3. If all lock holders finish and no higher priority waiters, waiter eventually gets lock (progress)

Too Much Milk, #4

Locks allow concurrent code to be much simpler:

```
lock.acquire();
if (!milk)
    buy milk
lock.release();
```

Lock Example: Malloc/Free

```
char *malloc (n) {
    heaplock.acquire();
    p = allocate memory
    heaplock.release();
    return p;
}
```

```
void free(char *p) {
    heaplock.acquire();
    put p back on free list
    heaplock.release();
}
```

Rules for Using Locks

- Lock is initially free
- Always acquire before accessing shared data structure
  - Beginning of procedure!
- Always release after finishing with shared data
  - End of procedure!
  - Only the lock holder can release
  - DO NOT throw lock for someone else to release
- Never access shared data without lock
  - Danger!

Will this code work?

```
if (p == NULL) {
    lock.acquire();
    if (p == NULL) {
        p = newP();
    }
    lock.release();
}
use p->field1
```

```
newP() {
    p = malloc(sizeof(p));
    p->field1 = …
    p->field2 = …
    return p;
}
```

Semaphores

- Semaphore has a non-negative integer value
  - P() atomically waits for value to become > 0, then decrements
  - V() atomically increments value (waking up waiter if needed)
- Semaphores are like integers except:
  - Only operations are P and V
  - Operations are atomic
    - If value is 1, two P's will result in value 0 and one waiter
- Semaphores are useful for
  - Unlocked wait: interrupt handler, fork/join

Condition Variables

- Waiting inside a critical section
  - Called only when holding a lock

- Wait: atomically release lock and relinquish processor
  - Reacquire the lock when wakened
- Signal: wake up a waiter, if any
- Broadcast: wake up all waiters, if any

Condition Variable Design Pattern

```
methodThatWaits() {
    lock.acquire();
    // Read/write shared state

    while (!testSharedState()) {
        cv.wait(&lock);
    }

    // Read/write shared state
    lock.release();
}
```

```
methodThatSignals() {
    lock.acquire();
    // Read/write shared state

    // If testSharedState is now true
    cv.signal(&lock);

    // Read/write shared state
    lock.release();
}
```

C#

- Lock

```
static object _Lock = new object();
```

```
lock (_Lock)
{
   …
}
```

- Condition Variable

```
lock (_Lock)
{
   …
   Monitor.Wait(_Lock);
   or
   Monitor.Pulse(_Lock);
   Monitor.PulseALL(_Lock);
   …
}
```

C#

- Semaphore

```
Semaphore s = new Semaphore(1, 1);

s.WaitOne();  // P()
 …
 …
 …
s.Release(); //V()
```