# Adaptive Importance Sampling for Finite-Sum Optimization and Sampling with Decreasing Step-Sizes

Ayoub El Hanchi David A. Stephens

McGill University

December 2020

### Setup

- Loss/Log-density :  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(x)$
- SGD:  $x_{t+1} = x_t \alpha_t \hat{g}^t$
- SGLD:  $x_{t+1} = x_t \alpha_t \hat{g}^t + \mathcal{N}(0, 2\alpha_t)$
- where  $\hat{g}^t$  is an estimator for  $\nabla f(x_t)$
- We require:  $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{g}^{t}\right] = \nabla f(x_{t})$
- We run the algorithm for T iterations, and assume that the step sizes  $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=1}^T$  are decreasing.

### Setup

 The usual estimator is formed by sampling I<sub>t</sub> uniformly and defining:

$$\hat{g}^t = N\nabla f_{I_t}(x_t)$$

• More generally, we can sample  $I_t \sim p^t$ , and estimate the gradient with:

$$\hat{g}^t = \frac{1}{\rho_{I_t}^t} \nabla f_{I_t}(x_t)$$

• Question: How can we choose  $\{p^t\}_{t=1}^T$  so as to minimize the variance of  $\hat{g}^t \to$  accelerate convergence ?

### Setup

It is not hard to show that picking

$$p_i^t \propto \|\nabla f_i(x_t)\|_2$$

minimizes the variance of  $\hat{g}^t$ .

- Unfortunately, this means that computing the optimal distribution is as expensive as computing the full gradient.
- Alternatively, we can formulate the problem as an online learning problem and look for a no-regret algorithm.

### Online learning formulation

- At each time step t we will choose a distribution  $p^t$  from which we sample  $I_t$ , and we only get back  $\|\nabla f_{I_t}(x_t)\|_2$  as feedback.
- Cost function given by:  $c_t(p) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \|\nabla f_i(x_t)\|_2^2$
- Goal: design an algorithm with sub-linear expected dynamic regret:

$$\mathsf{Regret}_D(T) = \sum_{t=1}^T \left[ c_t(p^t) - \min_{p \in \Delta} c_t(p) 
ight]$$

where  $\Delta$  is the probability simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

#### Main Idea

- While we don't have access to  $\|\nabla f_i(x_t)\|_2$ , we can store  $\|h_i^t\|_2$ , the norm of the last seen gradient of the  $i^{th}$  function at time t. (à la SAGA)
- Naively, we could then choose  $p_i^t \propto \|h_i^t\|_2$ , but this runs the risk of assigning near zero probability to some index, from which our algorithm cannot recover.

### Main Idea

• Instead, at time t, we lower bound the probability of picking any index by  $\varepsilon_t$  and use the following sequence:

$$p^{t} \in \underset{p \in \Delta(\varepsilon_{t})}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \left\| h_{i}^{t} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

where:

$$\Delta(arepsilon_t) := \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid p_i \geq arepsilon_t, \ \sum_{i=1}^N p_i = 1 
ight\}$$

- Our analysis suggests a specific decay rate for  $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t=1}^T$  to achieve optimal dynamic regret.
- We also give an explicit algorithm for the computation of  $\{p^t\}_{t=1}^T$ .

### Theory

#### Theorem

Assuming the functions  $\{f_i(x)\}_{i=1}^N$  are smooth and have bounded gradients, if SGD is run with the given probabilities  $\{p^t\}_{t=1}^T$  and step-sizes  $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$ , then:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Regret_D(T)\right] \leq \mathcal{O}(T^{2/3})$$

and for SGLD:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Regret_D(T)\right] \leq \mathcal{O}(T^{5/6})$$

under the same conditions.

## **Experiments**

