# Take home exam; Due: January 7

### Exercise 1

- (a) We know that:  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} PDF(x)dx = 1$ , as far that we know that the 0 < x < 4, we can change integration limits, so  $\int_{0}^{4} \frac{c}{\sqrt{x}}dx = 1$ . Finally we can conclude that:  $c = \frac{1}{4}$
- (b)  $CDF(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} PDF(x)dx$  $CDF(x) = \begin{cases} 0, x < 0\\ \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 4\\ 1, x > 4 \end{cases}$
- (c) P(x < 0.25) = CDF(0.25) = 0.25P(x > 1) = 1 - CDF(1) = 0.5
- (d)  $Y = \sqrt{X}$   $F_Y(y) = P(Y < y) = P(\sqrt{X} < y) = P(X < y^2) = CDF(y^2)$  $F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0, y < 0 \\ \frac{y}{2}, 0 \le y \le 2 \\ 1, y > 2 \end{cases}$
- (e)  $E(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \cdot PDF(y)dy$   $E(y) = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{y}{2} dy = 1$  $Var(y) = E(y^{2}) - E^{2}(y) = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{y^{2}}{2} dy - 1 = \frac{1}{3}$

### Exercise 2

(a) We know from the CLT that,  $\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - Ex \right) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , so we can derive, that:  $\overline{X} \sim N\left( Ex, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)$ 

From the initial distribution we can find Ex and  $\sigma^2$ :

$$PDF(x) = \frac{dF(x)}{dx} = 4x^{-5}$$

$$E(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot PDF(x) dx = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{x^{-4}} dx = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$\sigma^{2} = Ex^{2} - E^{2}x = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{x^{-3}} dx = \frac{2}{9}, \text{ and so:}$$

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{9 \cdot n}\right)$$

(b) Let 
$$g(x) = \ln(x)$$

We can use delta method, because  $g'(Ex) \neq 0$  and g(x) has a derivative equals to  $\frac{1}{x}$ 

As far as we know that:

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X} - \frac{4}{3}\right) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

We can conclude from delta method:

$$\sqrt{n}\left(g(\overline{X}) - g\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right) \sim N\left(0, \sigma^2\left[g'\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right]^2\right)$$
, and so:  $\ln(x) \sim N\left(\ln\left(\frac{4}{3}\right), \frac{1}{8 \cdot n}\right)$ 

(c) We know that:

$$\frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \overline{X} - \frac{4}{3} \right) \sim N(0, 1)$$
Thus,  $\frac{9 \cdot n}{2} \left( \overline{X} - \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$ 

$$n \cdot \left( \overline{X} - \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 \sim \frac{2}{9} \cdot \chi_1^2$$

$$n \cdot \left( \overline{X} - \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 = n \cdot \left( \overline{X} - 0.8 + 0.8 - \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 = n \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8)^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{8 \cdot n}{15} \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8) + \frac{64}{15^2}$$

$$2 \cdot \frac{8 \cdot n}{15} \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8) \sim N \left( \frac{32}{15}, \frac{15^2}{16^2 \cdot n^2} \right)$$
Let us define  $Z = n \cdot \left( \overline{X} - \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{16 \cdot n}{15} \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8) - \frac{64}{15^2}$ 

As far as Z consists of two random variables, the pdf of Z is difficult to find. We can just write that g(z), where g(z) is the PDF of Z is equal to

$$g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x) \cdot f_2(z-x) dx$$
, where  $f_1(x)$  is the PDF of  $n \cdot \left(\overline{X} - \frac{4}{3}\right)^2$  and

$$f_2(x)$$
 is the PDF of  $\frac{16 \cdot n}{15} \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8)$ 

However we can see, that  $\sigma^2$  of  $\frac{16 \cdot n}{15} \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8)$  asymptotically goes to zero, and so, we can think that it is the constant.

So, we can think, that asymptotically  $n \cdot (\overline{X} - 0.8)^2 \sim \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot \chi_1^2 + 1.848$ 

## Exercise 3

1. From Chebyshev-Markov inequality we know that:

$$P(|\overline{X} - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \varepsilon^2}$$

We can find that  $\varepsilon = 1.4$  and so, the probability that  $\overline{X}$  is not in interval less than 25 %

2. From CLT we know that  $\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$ 

To find the probability that X is not in the interval we have to find the value of Laplass function.

$$P\left(|N(128, \frac{6.3^2}{81}) - \mu| > \varepsilon\right) = 2 \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{\mu + \varepsilon}{\sigma^2}\right) = 0.02394$$

(b)  $129 \pm 1.96 \cdot 6.3 = [116.652, 141.348]$ 

Exercise 4
$$F(x) = 1 - \frac{Q}{x}, \ \mathbf{x} \ge Q$$

$$f(x|Q) = \frac{dF(x)}{dx} = \frac{Q}{x^2}, \ \mathbf{x} \ge Q$$
Let us define  $X_{(1)} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$ 
Joint pmf of  $X_1..X_n$  is :

$$f(x|Q) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Q}{X_i^2} I_{(Q,\infty)}(X_i) = I_{(Q,\infty)}(X_{(1)}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Q}{X_i^2} = I_{(Q,\infty)}(X_{(1)}) \frac{Q^n}{X_1^2 \cdot X_2^2 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n^2}$$

By the Factorization Theorem we can show defining:

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{X_1^2 \cdot X_2^2 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n^2}$$

$$g(t|Q) = Q^n I_{(Q,\infty)}(t)$$

that  $f(x|Q) = h(x) \cdot g(T(x)|Q)$ .

And so, T(x) is sufficient, QED

### Exercise 5

(a) Let  $T = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$  and let  $f_t(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n | \theta)$  be the joint density of  $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$ .

$$f_t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta x}, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$=\theta^n e^{-\theta t} \cdot h\left(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\right) = g\left(t, \theta\right) h\left(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\right) = \theta^n e^{-\theta t}$$

Where

$$g(t,\theta) = \theta^n e^{-\theta t}$$

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, if \ x > 0, \\ 0, otherwise \end{cases}$$

Hence, T is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(b) 
$$f(x;\theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$$

$$l(x;\theta) = log(\theta e^{-\theta x})$$

Fisher information is:

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\eth^2 l(x;\theta)}{\eth \theta^2}\right) = -E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

Hence, Cramer-Rao lower bound:

$$Var\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

(c) The likelihood function for  $\theta$ , given an iid sample  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ :

$$L\left(\theta\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta e^{-\theta x} = \theta^{n} e^{-\theta n \overline{x}}, where \ \overline{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$

The derivative if the likelihood function's logarithm is

$$\frac{\eth}{\eth\theta}ln\left(L\left(\theta\right)\right) = \frac{\eth}{\eth\theta}\left(nln\theta - \theta n\overline{x}\right) = \begin{cases} > 0, 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{\overline{x}}, \\ = 0, \theta = \frac{1}{\overline{x}}, \\ < 0, \theta > \frac{1}{\overline{x}} \end{cases}$$

Consequently the MLE

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\overline{x}}$$

We know, that 
$$\overline{x} \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{\theta^2}{n}\right)$$
.

The question is how to find the distribution of  $\frac{1}{x}$ . We know that:

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot (\overline{x} - \theta) \sim N(0, \theta^2)$$

We know from delta method, that:

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot (g(\overline{x}) - g(\theta)) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \cdot [g'(\theta)]^2)$$

And so, the asymptotic distribution of the estimator is:

$$N(\frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 \cdot n})$$

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n e^{-\theta n \overline{x}}$$

$$H_0: \theta = 1$$

$$H_1: \theta > 1, \theta = \theta_a$$

Ratio of the likelihood functions is:

$$\frac{L(1)}{L(\theta_a)} = \frac{e^{-n\overline{x}}}{\theta_a^n e^{-\theta_a n\overline{x}}} \le k$$

$$e^{n\overline{x}(\theta_a-1)}\theta_a^{-n} \le k$$

$$(\theta_a - 1) n\overline{x} - nln(\theta_a) \le ln(k)$$

$$(\theta_a - 1) \sum x_i \le ln(k) + nln(\theta_a)$$

$$\sum x_i \le \frac{\ln(k) + n\ln(\theta_a)}{(\theta_a - 1)} = k^*$$

Therefore, the best critical region of size  $\alpha$  for test  $H_0$ :  $\theta = 1$  against each simple alternative  $H_1$ :  $\theta = \theta_a$ , where  $\theta_a > 1$  if given by:

$$C = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n), \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \le k^* \right\}$$

Where  $k^*$  is selected

$$\alpha = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \leq k^{*}, when \ \theta = 1\right)$$

$$0.05 = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \leq k^{*}, when \ \theta = 1\right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} = \eta \sim \Gamma\left(100, 1\right)$$

$$F_{\eta}\left(k^{*}\right) = P\left(\eta \leq k^{*}\right) = 0.05$$

$$\int_{0}^{k^{*}} f_{\eta}\left(x\right) dx = \int_{0}^{k^{*}} x^{0} \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{100}}}{100\Gamma\left(1\right)} dx = 0.05$$

$$\int_{0}^{k^{*}} e^{-\frac{x}{100}} dx = 5, \ t = -\frac{x}{100}, \ dt = -\frac{dx}{100}, \ dx = -100dt, x = k^{*} \rightarrow t = -\frac{k^{*}}{100}$$

$$\int_{0}^{-\frac{k^{*}}{100}} e^{t} dt = 5$$

$$\int_{0}^{-\frac{k^{*}}{100}} e^{t} dt = -0.05$$

$$e^{-\frac{k^{*}}{100}} - 1 = -0.05$$

$$k^* = -100ln (0.95)$$

Hence, our test is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \le -100ln(0.95)$$

The Wald Statistic is:

$$Z_w = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\overline{x}} - 1\right)^2}{\theta} = (\overline{x} - 1)^2$$

In our task

$$Z_w \le \chi^2 (99) = 81.4$$

Hence

$$(\overline{x}-1)^2 \leq 81.4 \ if \ H_0, H_1 \ otherwise$$

#### Exercise 6

Note that:

$$L(\theta) \propto \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \cdot (1 - \theta)^{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}, \text{ so we can find:}$$

$$\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} = \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \theta_0}{1 - \theta_1}\right)^{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

$$\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} = \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\theta_0}{1-\theta_1}\right)^{1-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

Since this is decreasing function of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ , we accept  $H_1$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i > c$ We have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \sim \text{Bin}(n,\theta)$  and from CLT  $\text{Bin}(n,\theta) \approx N(n\theta, n\theta(1-\theta))$ , and hence  $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1-\theta)}} \sim N(0,1)$ 

hence 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1-\theta)}} \sim N(0,1)$$

We now wish to find n so that:

$$P_{\theta=0.5} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i < c \right) = 0.9$$

$$P_{\theta=0.5} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i < c \right) = 0.9$$

$$P_{\theta=0.6} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i < c \right) = 0.1$$

This amounts to solving the equations

$$1.28 = \frac{c - 0.5n}{\sqrt{0.25n}}$$

$$-1.28 = \frac{c - 0.6n}{\sqrt{0.24n}}$$
 and so we got, that n > 160.54, it means, that n = 161