Problem set 1 DUE: April 20, 2016

Problem 1

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} Ae^{-\lambda x}, x \ge 0\\ 0, x < 0 \end{cases}$$

We know that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)dx = 1$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty Ae^{-\lambda x}dx = 1$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} Ae^{-\lambda x} dx = -\frac{1}{\lambda} Ae^{-\lambda x} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} A(e^{-\infty} - e^{0}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} A = 1$$

Thus $A = \lambda$

$$Ex = \int_0^\infty x A e^{-\lambda x} dx = A \int_0^\infty x e^{-\lambda x} dx = \% u = x, du = dx, dv = e^{-\lambda x} dx, v = -\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} \% = -\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$=A\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}xe^{-\lambda x}|_0^\infty+\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x}dx\right)=A\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x}dx=-A\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(0-1)=A\frac{1}{\lambda^2}=\frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$Varx = Ex^2 - (Ex)^2$$

$$Ex^{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2} A e^{-\lambda x} dx = \%u = x^{2}, du = 2x dx, dv = e^{-\lambda x} dx, v = -\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} \% = \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$=A\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}x^2e^{-\lambda x}|_0^\infty+2\int_0^\infty x\frac{1}{\lambda}e^{-\lambda x}dx\right)=2A\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^\infty xe^{-\lambda x}dx=\frac{2}{\lambda^2}$$

Hence $Varx = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$

$$G(k) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{(ik-\lambda)x} dx = \frac{\lambda}{ik-\lambda} = \left(1 - \frac{ik}{\lambda}\right)^{-1}$$
$$E[X^m] = \frac{1}{im} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial k^m} G(k)|_{k=0}$$

$$E[X] = \left(1 - \frac{ik}{\lambda}\right)^{-2} \frac{1}{\lambda}|_{k=0} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$E[X^2] = 2\left(1 - \frac{ik}{\lambda}\right)^{-3} \frac{1}{\lambda^2}|_{k=0} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

$$E[X^m] = m! \left(1 - \frac{ik}{\lambda}\right)^{-(m+1)} \frac{1}{\lambda^m}|_{k=0} = \frac{m!}{\lambda^m}$$

Problem 2

According to CLT:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\right)-Ex\right)}{Stdx} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

$$Ex = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 26 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{13}{3} = 5$$

$$Stdx = \sqrt{Varx}$$

$$Varx = Ex^{2} - (Ex)^{2}$$

$$Ex^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 4 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 26^{2} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{13^{2} \cdot 2}{3} = \frac{4 + 169 \cdot 2}{3} = 114$$

$$Varx = 114 - 25 = 89$$

$$Stdx = \sqrt{89} \approx 9.43$$

$$E\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} = nEx = 500$$

Due to the fact that x_i are independent:

$$Var \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = nVar x = 890$$
$$Std \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \approx 29.83$$

According to CLT:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \xrightarrow{d} N(500, 890)$$

Z-score is $\frac{200-500}{890} = -0.34$

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ge 200\right) = 1 - P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i < 200\right) = 1 - 0.3669 = 63.31\%$$

Problem 3

According to recitations, for Z-chanel we have:

$$p(y = 0|x = 0) = 1$$

$$p(y = 1|x = 0) = 0$$

$$p(y = 1|x = 1) = 1 - f = 0.85$$

$$p(y = 0|x = 1) = f = 0.15$$

We know, that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P(y|x_j)P(x_j)$$

Hence

$$P(y) = P(y|x=0)P(x=0) + P(y|x=1)P(x=1) = P(y|x=0)0.9 + P(y|x=1)0.1$$

$$P(x = 0) = 0.9$$

$$P(x = 1) = 0.1$$

So we can now compute:

$$P(y = 1) = 0.1(1 - f) = 0.1 - 0.1f = 0.1 - 0.1 \cdot 0.15 = 0.1 - 0.015 = 0.085$$

 $P(y = 0) = 0.9 + 0.1f = 0.9 + 0.1 \cdot 0.15 = 0.915$

$$P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)P(x)}{P(y)}$$

Hence

$$P(x = 1|y = 0) = \frac{0.1f}{0.9 + 0.1f} = \frac{0.15}{0.915} = \frac{1}{61} \approx 0.016$$
$$I(X;Y) = S(Y) - S(Y|X)$$

$$\begin{split} S(Y) &= -P(Y=0)log(P(Y=0)) - P(Y=1)log(P(Y=1)) = -0.915log(0.915) - 0.085log(0.085) \\ S(Y|X) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n_x} P(x_i) \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} P(y_j|x_i)log(P(y_j|x_i)) = -P(x=0)(P(y=0|x=0)) \\ O(y=0|x=0)) - P(y=1|x=0)log(P(y=1|x=0))) + P(x=1)(P(y=0|x=1)) \\ O(x=1)log(P(y=0|x=1)) + P(y=1|x=1)log(P(y=1|x=1))) = -0.1(0.15log(0.15) + 0.85log(0.85)) \\ O(x=1)log(0.85)) = -0.015log(0.15) - 0.085log(0.85) \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$I(X;Y) = -0.915log(0.915) - 0.085log(0.085) + 0.015log(0.15) + 0.085log(0.85) =$$

$$= log\left(\frac{0.15^{0.015} \cdot 0.85^{0.085}}{0.915^{0.915} \cdot 0.085^{0.085}}\right) \approx log(1.28) \approx 0.36$$

The capacity of the channel $C(Q) = \max_{P(x)} I(X; Y)$

In general $I(X;Y) = S_{binary}(P(x=1)(1-f)) - P(x=1)S_{binary}(y|x=1) = S_{binary}(P(x=1)(1-f)) - P(x=1)S_{binary}(f)$

Now we can differentiate I(X;Y) with respect to p.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial I(X;Y)}{\partial p} &= \frac{-p(1-f)log_2(p(1-f)) - (1-p(1-f))log_2(1-p(1-f))}{\partial p} - S_{binary}(f) = \\ &= \frac{f-1}{ln(2)} \left(ln(p(1-f)) + (1-f) \frac{p}{p(1-f)} \right) + \frac{1}{ln(2)} \left((1-f)ln(1-p(1-f)) + \frac{p(1-f)-1}{1-p(1-f)}(f-1) \right) - S_{binary}(f) = \\ &= \frac{f-1}{ln(2)} \left(ln(p(1-f)) + 1 \right) + \frac{1-f}{ln(2)} \left(ln(1-p(1-f)) + 1 \right) - S_{binary}(f) \end{split}$$

Now let's find optimum:

$$\frac{f-1}{\ln(2)} \left(\ln(p(1-f)) + 1 \right) + \frac{1-f}{\ln(2)} \left(\ln(1-p(1-f)) + 1 \right) - S_{binary}(f) = 0$$

$$\ln(p(1-f)) + 1 - \ln(1-p(1-f)) - 1 = S_{binary}(f) \frac{\ln(2)}{f-1}$$

$$\ln(p(1-f)) - \ln(1-p(1-f)) = S_{binary}(f) \frac{\ln(2)}{f-1}$$

$$\ln\left(\frac{p(1-f)}{1-p(1-f)}\right) = \ln(2^{S_{binary}(f)(f-1)})$$

$$\frac{1}{p(1-f)} - 1 = 2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}}$$
$$p = \frac{1}{(1-f)(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1)}$$

Now we can find

$$\begin{split} C(Q) &= S_{binary}(P(x=1)(1-f)) - P(x=1)S_{binary}(f)|p = \frac{1}{(1-f)(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1)} \\ C(Q) &= S_{binary}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) - \frac{S_{binary}(f)}{(1-f)(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1)} \\ C(Q) &= \left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) - \frac{S_{binary}(f)}{(1-f)(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1)}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(\frac{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) - \frac{S_{binary}(f)}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) - \left(\frac{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) - \frac{S_{binary}(f)}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}}} + 1\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1\right) - \frac{S_{binary}(f)}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}}{2^{\frac{S_{binary}(f)}{1-f}} + 1}\right) log_2\left(2^{\frac{S_{$$

$$C(Q) = log_2 \left(f^{\frac{f}{1-f}} - f^{\frac{1}{1-f}} + 1 \right)$$

Problem 4

$$\begin{pmatrix} GG & Gg & gg \\ GG & 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ Gg & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ gg & 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

MC is irreducible because there are no states that could become unreached from any state on any time step. Due to the fact, that MC contains self-loops it is aperiodic.

Let us define the matrix P in a general form:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
GG & Gg & gg \\
GG & p_{00} & p_{01} & p_{02} \\
Gg & p_{10} & p_{11} & p_{12} \\
gg & p_{20} & p_{21} & p_{22}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mu_1(GG) = p_{01} = 0.25$$
 $\mu_1(Gg) = p_{11} = 0.5$
 $\mu_1(gg) = p_{21} = 0.25$

$$\mu_2(GG) = \mu_1(GG)p_{00} + \mu_1(Gg)p_{01} + \mu_1(gg)p_{02} = p_{01}p_{00} + p_{11}p_{01} = 0.25 \cdot 0.5 + 0.5 \cdot 0.25 = 0.25$$

$$\mu_2(Gg) = \mu_1(GG)p_{10} + \mu_1(Gg)p_{11} + \mu_1(gg)p_{12} = p_{01}p_{10} + p_{11}p_{11} + p_{21}p_{12} = 0.25 \cdot 0.5 + 0.25 + 0.5 \cdot 0.25 = 0.5$$

$$\mu_2(gg) = \mu_1(GG)p_{20} + \mu_1(Gg)p_{21} + \mu_1(gg)p_{22} = p_{11}p_{21} + p_{21}p_{22} = 0.5 \cdot 0.25 + 0.25 \cdot 0.5 = 0.25$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu_3(GG) = \mu_2(GG)p_{00} + \mu_2(Gg)p_{01} + \mu_2(gg)p_{02} = 0.25 \cdot 0.5 + 0.25 \cdot 0.5 = 0.25 \\ \mu_3(Gg) = \mu_2(GG)p_{10} + \mu_2(Gg)p_{11} + \mu_2(gg)p_{12} = 0.25 \cdot 0.5 + 0.5 \cdot 0.5 + 0.25 \cdot 0.5 = 0.5 \\ \mu_3(gg) = \mu_2(GG)p_{20} + \mu_2(Gg)p_{21} + \mu_2(gg)p_{22} = 0.5 \cdot 0.25 + 0.25 \cdot 0.5 = 0.25 \\ \text{One can see that } \mu_n \text{ doesn't depend on n.} \end{array}$$

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.325 & 0.25 & 0.125 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.125 & 0.25 & 0.375 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.325 & 0.25 & 0.125 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.125 & 0.25 & 0.375 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3125 & 0.25 & 0.1875 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.1875 & 0.25 & 0.3125 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P^{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.125 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 0.5^{i} + 0.5^{n+1} & 0.25 & 0.5 - 0.125 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 0.5^{i} - 0.5^{n+1} \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 - 0.125 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 0.5^{i} - 0.5^{n+1} & 0.25 & 0.125 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 0.5^{i} + 0.5^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P\pi^* = \pi^*$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{cases} 0.5x + 0.25y = x \\ 0.5x + 0.5y + 0.5z = y \\ 0.25y + 0.5z = z \end{cases}$$
$$x = 0.5y$$
$$z = 0.5y$$
$$0.25y + 0.5y + 0.25y = y$$

So
$$\pi^* = (0.5y, y, 0.5y)^T$$

We know, that $\sum_{i} \pi^* = 1$, so 2y = 1, hence y = 0.5. $\pi^* = (0.25, 0.5, 0.25)^T$

It is easy to see, that the detailed balance holds.

Problem 5

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda = 10 \\ P(n \geq 20) = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{19} P(i,1) \\ P(n,t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t} \\ \text{Hence, } P(n \geq 20) \approx 0.34\% \end{array}$$

Due to the fact, that arrival follows the Poison law. We can find $\lambda_1 = (1 - p)\lambda$ that is woman arrival rate.

Thus, $P(n = 10, 1) = \frac{(\lambda_1 t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda_1 t} = \frac{((1-p)10)^{10}}{10!} e^{(p-1)10}$, where n is amount of women came.

For men we have $\lambda_2 = p\lambda$

For the probability distribution of the inter-arrival time one obtains $P(t) \approx pe^{-pt}$. So, expected inter-arrival time of men is $\frac{1}{p}$.

 $P(n=0,2)=e^{-20p}$, where n is amount of male customers