### K-nearest neighbours optimization

Victor Kitov v.v.kitov@yandex.ru



November-December 2015.

### Parzen window method

Parzen window method:

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \arg\max_{y \in Y} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{I}[y_n = y] K\left(\frac{\rho(x, x_n)}{h(x)}\right)$$

- Selection of h(x):
  - h(x) = const
  - $h(x) = \rho(x, z_K)$ , where  $z_K$  K-th nearest neighbour.
    - better for unequal distribution of objects
    - for  $K(z) = \mathbb{I}[z \le 1]$  and  $h(x) = \rho(x, z_K)$  leads to K-NN.

# Complexity of K-NN

- Complexity of training: no training needed!
- Complexity of prediction: O(N)
  - distance from x to all objects of training sample need to be calculated
- Variants to simplify search:
  - · decrease the size of the training set
    - remove outliers (editing)
    - delete uninformative objects (condensing)
  - structurize feature space to accelerate search
    - KD-tree, ball-tree, LAESA.

### Table of Contents

- Reduction of training sample
- Structuring of feature space

### Margin

- Consider the training set:  $(x_1, c_1), (x_2, c_2), ...(x_N, c_N)$ , where  $c_i$  is the correct class for object  $x_i$ , and  $\mathbf{C} = \{1, 2, ... C\}$  the set of possible classes.
- Define margin:

$$extbf{M}(x_i, c_i) = g_{c_i}(x_i) - \max_{c \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{c}_i\}} g_c(x_i)$$

- margin is negative  $\ll$  object  $x_i$  was incorrectly classified
- the value of margin shows the preference of algorithm to assign  $x_i$  the correct class  $c_i$  compared to other classes

### Removal of outliers (editing)

#### Main idea

Outliers are objects that deviate significantly from model's expectations. They can be defined as

$$\{\boldsymbol{x}_i:\,\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{x}_i,\boldsymbol{c}_i)<-\delta\}$$

for sufficiently large  $\delta > 0$ .

#### Listing 1: Removal of outliers

for each  $x_i, c_i$  in the training set TS: calculate  $M(x_i, c_i)$ 

return filtered training set:  $\{(x_i, c_i) : M(x_i, c_i) \ge -\delta\}$ 

Several iterations of algorithm may be needed.

# Removal of uninformative observations (condensing)

#### Main idea

Uninformative observations do not contribute to class information when they are accounted for.

- Usually the majority of observations are uninformative for datasets of large size with simple class separating curve.
- Editing should precede condensing, otherwise all outliers will be included.

#### Listing 2: Removal of uninformative observations

```
for each class c=1,2,...C: # add the most x(c)=\arg\max_{x_i:c_i=c}\{M(x_i,c_i)\} # representative example Initialize etalons: \Omega=\{x(c),c=1,2,...C\} repeat while accuracy significantly increases: x_i=\arg\min_{x_i\in T\Omega}M(x,\Omega) # add object \Omega=\Omega\cup x_i # with smallest margin
```

return  $\Omega$ 

### Table of Contents

- Reduction of training sample
- 2 Structuring of feature space

### Structuring of feature space

- Hierarchical arrangement of space through a sequence of simple nested figures
  - KD-trees nested rectangles
  - ball-trees nested balls
- Comments:
  - K-NN stops being online, because the space structure needs to be recalculated as new observations arrive
  - distance metric should satisfy triangle inequality:

$$\forall x_1, x_2, z : \rho(x_1, x_2) \leq \rho(x_1, z) + \rho(z, x_2)$$

### KD-tree

Tree construction uses the following recursive function:

```
build_node(\Omega):
    if |\Omega| < n_{min}:
        return node with assigned objects \Omega
    else:
        find feature with maximal spread in \Omega:
           x^i = \arg\max_{x^i} \sigma(x^i)
        find median \Omega: \mu = median\{x^i\}
        return inner node with two child-nodes:
             left child =
                build_node(x^i, < \mu, \{x_i \in \Omega : x_i^j < \mu\})
             right child =
                build_node(x^i, > \mu, {x_i \in \Omega : x_i^j > \mu})
```

### KD-tree

- complexity O(DN log<sub>2</sub> N), because on level h with k inner nodes:
  - $|\Omega_1| = n_1, ... |\Omega_k| = n_k$ , so total number of objects  $n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_k \le N$
  - need to calculate  $\sigma$  for D features complexity  $D(n_1 + ... + n_k) \leq DN$
- procedure is repeated for h = 1, 2, ...H, H ≤ \[ log\_2 N \],
   because each time the number of objects in a node is divided in half (so the tree is balanced)
- geometrically it is better to take mean instead of median, but tree may become unbalanced.

### Nearest neighbour search using KD-tree

Step 1: For object of interest x find the leaf of tree, to which it belongs, then find initial estimate of nearest neighbour:

```
CURRENT NODE ← root node of TREE
while CURRENT NODE is not leaf node:
   x^i \leftarrow \text{discriminative feature of CURRENT_NODE}
   \mu \leftarrow \mathsf{threshold} \ \mu \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{current\_node}
   if x^i < \mu:
       CURRENT NODE ← left child of CURRENT NODE
   else:
       CURRENT_NODE ← right child of CURRENT_NODE
NN \leftarrow closest object to x from all objects associated
       with the leaf node.
NN DIST \leftarrow distance from x to NN.
```

# Nearest neighbour search using KD-tree

#### Step 2: Ascending search

# Nearest neighbour search using KD-tree

Utility function, making descending search:

```
function check_tree(CURRENT_NODE, x, NN, NN_DIST):
   if CURRENT NODE is leaf node:
       CURRENT_NN \leftarrow closest object to x from all objects
                         associated with CURRENT NODE.
       CURRENT NN DIST \leftarrow distance from x to CURRENT NN.
       if CURRENT NN DIST < NN DIST:</pre>
           NN ← CURRENT NN
           NN DIST ← CURRENT NN DIST
       return NN, NN_DIST
   else:
       for each NODE from children of CURRENT NODE:
           \mathsf{DIST} \leftarrow \mathsf{distnace} \; \mathsf{from} \; x \; \mathsf{to} \; \mathsf{rectangle} \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathsf{CURRENT\_NODE}
           if NN_DIST > DIST:
               mark NODE and all its descendants as checked
           else:
               NN,NN_DIST = check\_tree(NODE,x,NN,NN_DIST)
```

# KD-tree: finding distance from x to rectangle

• Distance from  $x = [x^1, ... x^D]^T$  to rectangle  $\{(h_1, ... h_D) : h_d^{min} \le h_d \le h_d^{max}\}$  equals to  $\rho(x, z)$ , where z - is the closest to x point on the rectangle with the following coordinates:

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{z}^d &= egin{cases} h_d^{min} & x^d < h_d^{min} \ x^d & h_d^{min} \leq x^d \leq h_d^{max} \ h_d^{max} & x^d > h_d^{max} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- Tree depth:
  - Best case: [log<sub>2</sub> N]
  - Worst case: N

#### Ball trees

- Nested sequence of balls
- Nesting is not in geometrical sense. It means that parent ball contains all objects contained in its child balls
- Each object from the parent ball is associated with single child ball.
- Characteristics of each ball:
  - center c
  - objects, associated with ball  $z_1, z_2, ... z_K$
  - radius  $R = \max_i ||z_i c||$

# Ball trees: recursive generation

- for parent ball  $Ball(c, \Omega)$  (with center c and associated objects  $\Omega$ ):
  - select  $c_1 = \arg \max_{z_i \in \Omega} ||z_i c||$
  - select  $c_2 = \arg\max_{z_i \in \Omega} \|z_i c_1\|$
  - ullet divide  $\Omega$  into two groups:

$$\Omega_1 = \{z_i : ||z_i - c_1|| < ||z_i - c_2||\}$$
  
 $\Omega_2 = \{z_i : ||z_i - c_2|| < ||z_i - c_1||\}$ 

• set for  $Ball(c,\Omega)$  two child balls  $Ball(c_1,\Omega_1)$  and  $Ball(c_2,\Omega_2)$ .

# Minimum distance from x to B = Ball(c, R)

From triangle inequality for every  $z \in B$ :

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + \rho(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{c})$$

It follows that

$$\rho(x,z) \ge \rho(x,c) - \rho(z,c) \ge \rho(x,c) - R$$

### Comments

- For application of KD-tree μ ball-tree distance metric ρ(x,z) should satisfy triangle inequality.
- Algorithm can be extended to find K nearest neighbours instead of one (maintaining a queue).
- The larger is *D*, the less efficient is feature space structuring:
  - its purpose is to split objects into geometrically compact groups
  - and for large D almost all objects become equally distant from each other
  - for example in KD-tree closeness in one coordinate does not guarantee general closeness of objects
- For large *D* ball-trees are more efficient than KD-trees, because balls are more compact figures than rectangles and give more tight lower bounds to contained objects.