Disease Detectives B - Disease Detectives - Pearl City Invitational - 12-12-2020

As a reminder you may not leave this tab of the test.
1. (1.00 pts) Select the most accurate definition of Zoonosis.
A) A disease that originates in animals
O B) A disease that is capable of transmission from humans to animals
O C) A disease that is capable of transmission from animals to humans
O D) A disease that originates in the wild
2. (1.00 pts) A disease affects a small population over the course of a few years in a consistent area. What is this called?
O A) Endemic
O B) Epidemic
O C) Outbreak
O D) Small-Scale Outbreak
3. (1.00 pts) What is the most common disease survey?
○ A) Cohort
○ B) Case-Control
O C) Cross-Sectional
O) Experimental (Trial)
4. (1.00 pts) A disease is capable of transmission via paper. What is the paper called?
O A) Infectant
○ B) Vector
C) Intermediate
O) Fomite
5. (1.00 pts) Which of these is not part of Hill's Criteria of Casuality?
3. (1.00 pts) Willion of these is not part of this s officera of casuality:
○ A) Weakness
O B) Strength
O C) Biological Gradients
O) Analogy
○ E) Coherence

https://scilympiad.com/hi-pchs/ES/PrevTest?tid=0001Q4

What is an example of selection bias?

6. (1.00 pts)

○ A) Selection of participants via volunteering
C) Participants are gathered and cast into a pool, from which are randomly taken from
On A participant does not remember what they ate due to a large selection of time
7. (1.00 pts) What are the Characteristics of a Causative Agent?
(Mark ALL correct answers) A) Virulence
B) Pathogenicity
D) Infectivity
□ E) Severity
8. (1.00 pts) What does BSL stand for and what is it's range?
O A) Biosafety Level (1-4)
O B) Biosafety Level (0-4)
○ C) Border Selection Levels (0-4)
O) Border Selection Levels (1-4)
9. (1.00 pts) What is ecological fallacy?
O A) The assumption that the standard applies to all
O B) The assumption that one applies to all
C) The assumption that the environment always plays a factor
O D) The assumption that the environment almost never plays a factor
10. (18.00 pts) Provide 2 examples of each of the steps of the stages in the chain of infection. Provide one disease that the example may be associated with.
Sample Answer:
(Step of the Chain):
- Item A (Disease X)
- Item B (Disease Y)
11. (4.00 pts) Name two diseases that have totally been eradicated via vaccine.

12. (4.00 pts) Give an example of a scenario in which disease eradication was necessary but was not possible. Include a general timeframe for which this disease was most relevant. (That's not COVID-19 IoI)
13. (2.00 pts) For the previous answer, how did the disease phase out or go away if there was no means of eradication?
14. (5.00 pts) Describe a scenario in which a Cross-Sectional study would be used/preferred over a Cohort study and explain why.
15. (1.00 pts) Public Health measures are easier to implement than uniform Clinical Health measures.
○ True ○ False
16. (1.00 pts) The following is a public health measure: Smoking is banned at the beach due to pollution
○ True ○ False
17. (1.00 pts) The following is an example of clinical health: A number of healthcare workers are diagnosed with HIV following specific blood tests formulated on their individual blood types.
○ True ○ False
18. (1.00 pts) The following is an example of public health: An epilepsy warning is placed at the beginning of a movie.
○ True ○ False

In 1980, ovarian cancer ranked as the fourth leading cause of cancer mortality among women in the United States. An estimated 18,000 new cases and more than 11,000 attributable deaths occurred among American women that year. Several studies had noted an increased risk of ovarian cancer among women of low parity, suggesting that pregnancy exerts a protective effect. By preventing pregnancy, oral contraceptives (OCs) might be expected to increase the risk of ovarian cancer. On the other hand, by simulating

pregnancy through suppression of pituitary gonadotropin release and inhibition of ovulation, OCs might be expected to protect against the subsequent development of ovarian cancer. Because by 1980 OCs had been used by more than 40 million women in the United States, the public health impact of an association in either direction could be substantia. To study the relationship between oral contraceptive use and ovarian cancer (as well as breast and endometrial cancer), CDC initiated a case-control study – the Cancer and Steroir Hormone (CASH) Study in 1980. Case-patients were enrolled through eight regional cancer registries participating in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program of the National Cancer Institute.

19. (4.00 pts)	Name two biases that could come into play from this study. Provide specific scenarios of how they can affect the validity of this study.
20. (2.00 pts)	If the population of females in the US is roughly 140,000,000, what is the mortality rate of Ovarian Cancer in Scientific Notation
21. (6.00 pts)	Ovarian cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer amongst mortality among woman. Name the other 3. (Tiebreaker)
22. (2.00 pts)	Provide an explanation as to why OCs may cause cancer.

The study design included several features to minimize selection and information bias. Ascertainment bias of disease status) a type of selection bias) was minimized by attempting to enroll as cases all women ages 20-54 years with newly diagnosed, histologically confirmed, primary ovarian cancer who resided in one of the eight geographic areas covered by the cancer registries. Controls were women ages 20-54 years selected randomly using telephone numbers from the same geographic areas. Because 93% of U.S. households had telephones, virtually all women residing in the same areas as the cases were eligible to be controls. (Interestingly, all the women enrolled with ovarian cancer had telephones.) To minimize interviewer bias, CDC investigators conducted group sessions to train interviewers in the administration of the pretested standard questionnaire. The same interviewers and questionnaires were used for both cases and controls. Neither cases nor controls were told of the specific a priori hypotheses to be tested by the study. Recall bias of oral contraceptive exposure was minimized by showing participants a book with photographs of all OC preparations ever marketed in the United States and by using a calendar to relat contraceptive and reproductive histories to other life events. The primary purpose of the CASH study was to measure and test the association between OC use and three types of reproductive cancer) breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and ovarian cancer. Enrollment of subjects into the study began in December 1980. During the first 10 months of the study 179 women with ovarian cancer were enrolled, as well as larger numbers of women with endometrial or breast cancer. During the same period, 1,872 controls were enrolled to equa the number of subjects with breast cancer. The same control group was used for the ovarian cancer analysis; however, the investigators excluded 226 women with no ovaries at the time of interview and four controls whose OC use was unknown, leaving 1,642 women to serve as control

Table	Ever-use Hormone	of oral c	ontracepti 1980-1981	ves among ovar	rian cancer case	s and controls, Ca	incer and Steroid						
		, o.a.g., .	1000		TROL STATUS								
				Case	Control	Total							
			Ever	a = 93	b = 959	H ₁ = 1052							
	USE OF	OCs	Never	c = 86	d = 683	H _o = 769							
			Total	V ₁ = 179	V ₀ = 1642	T = 1821							
23. (3.00	0 pts) Is	s it poss	ible to ca	alculate the ris	k from what w	e know? If so, o	calculate it. If not,	explain what	else we wou	uld need.			
24. (4.00 It is obv		ou use (Odds Ra	tios and Relat	ive Risk calcul	ations with diffe	erent studies, but	why? What ac	dvantage do	es using Odo	s Ratio or R	elative Risk ho	old in studies?
-	calculate			-	information? If	-	with the mortality	rate and estin	mate the sur	vival rate for	Ovarian Can	cer. If not, ex	plain what else
26. (4.00	0 pts) W	/hat is c	onfound	ing bias? Why	is age a confo	ounding variable	e in this case?						
were yo	unger than	control	ls.) There	fore, the inves	stigators decid	ed to stratify the	ed both to OC use le data by age and g a summary odds	d calculate stra	atum-specifi	ic and, if app	ropriate, sum		users; case-patien cs of the stratified
27. (2.00	0 pts) W	/hat is th	he point	of stratifying c	lata?								

28. (2.00 pts)	Define Effect I	Modification. W	hat do you look f	or?		
			f ovarian cancer, st	ratified by age, Cancer and Steroid		
Hormo	ne Study, 1980-19	981				
Ages 20-39 year	'S					
	Case	Control	Total	OR = 0.69 Expected(a) = 48.73		
Ever us	er 46	285	H, = 331	MH variance = 6.66		
Never us	er 12	51	H ₀ = 63	MH Chi = -1.06		
То	tal V ₁ = 58	V ₀ = 336	T = 394	95% CLs = 0.34, 1.38		
				•		
Ages 40-49 year			w	00 -		
	Case	Control	Total	OR = Expected(a) =		
Ever us		463	H ₁ = 493	MH variance = 13.39		
Never us		301	$H_0 = 331$	MH Chi =		
То	tal V ₁ = 60	$V_0 = 764$	T = 824	95% CLs = 0.38, 1.10		
Ages 50-54 year	'S					
. igos oo on year	Case	Control	Total	OR = 0.61		
Ever us		211	H, = 228	Expected(a) = 23.06 MH variance = 12.91		
Never us		331	H ₀ = 375	MH Chi = -1.69		
To		V ₀ = 542	T = 603			
10	1 - 01	-0-2	000	95% CLs = 0.34, 1.08		
29. (2.00 pts)	Effect Modific	ation is present				
, p/		- 1				
O True O	Falso					
O IIue O	aloc					
30. (6.00 pts)	Calculate the	appropriate risk	measure for eac	ch age group. Neglecting to sho	w work will result in a deduction of	points.
31. (4.00 pts)	If an Effect Mo	odifier is presen	it. is age an exam	nple of one? If an Effect Modifie	is present, why isn't age an examp	ole of one?
51. (±.00 pts)	an Elicot MC	oanior io preseri	ic, io age all exall	ipio oi oilo: ii ali Elicot Modille	io prosoni, why isn't age an examp	o. o. o. o.
	D		de to aliminata c	onfounding bias.		
32. (4.00 pts)	Provide 2 exai	mpies of metho	ds to eminiate c	ornounding blas.		
32. (4.00 pts)	Provide 2 exai	mples of metho	ds to eminate c	omeanang blac.		

				ed as apparently protective agai ferent parity. Table 3 shows pari	nst ovarian cancer. The investigators were interested in seeing whether the association ty-specific data.
Table 3.	Ever-use of oral of	contraceptives and risk	of ovarian cancer, b	by parity*, CASH Study, 1980-1981	<u> </u>
Parity	Use of OCs	# Case-patients	# Controls	Age-adjusted odds ratios (95% confidence intervals)	
0	Ever user Never user	20 25	67 80	0.3 (0.1-0.8)	
1-2	Ever user Never user	42 26	369 199	0.8 (0.4-1.5)	
≥3	Ever user Never user	30 35	520 400	0.7 (0.4-1.2)	
	s seven controls nown parity.	(four never-users and the	hree ever-users) an	d one case (ever-user)	_
33. (4.00	pts) Is Effec	t Modification preser	nt in table 3? Hov	v can you tell?	
34. (4.00	pts) Odds R	atios are used in this	s table. Is this the	correct risk rate? If so, why? If	not, why not?
35. (4.00	pts) Based	on the results and rat	tes that you've ca	alculated, do OC's have a negat	ve, positive, or no effect on ovarian cancer?
36. (4.00	pts) This stu	idy is faulty. Provide	one reason why t	this study may not be applicable	
37. (7.00	pts) What is	public health surveil	llance? Name 3 ty	ypes of surveillance and explain	them.

	well-known pandemic, one such like COVID-19, is surveillance necessary? If yes, what type of surveillance should be used as to not overreach and overuse t, where should the resources be directed instead?
39. (4.00 pts)	COVID-19 is transmissible via droplet transmission, which can travel via sneezes or coughs. Explain why this is different than airborne transmission.
40. (1.00 pts)	What attributes signify and represent good surveillance?
District Health C health officer the become ill. Acco	40, the local health officer in the village of Lycoming, Oswego County, New York, reported the occurrence of an outbreak of acute gastrointestinal illness to the Officer in Syracuse. Dr. A. M. Rubin, epidemiologist-in-training, was assigned to conduct an investigation. When Dr. Rubin arrived in the field, he learned from the at all persons known to be ill had attended a church supper held on the previous evening, April 18. Family members who did not attend the church supper did not ordingly, Dr. Rubin focused the investigation on the supper. He completed Interviews with 75 of the 80 persons known to have attended, collecting information abour and time of onset of symptoms, and foods consumed. Of the 75 persons interviewed, 46 persons reported gastrointestinal illness.
41. (1.00 pts)	This is an epidemic.
O True O I	False
42. (10.00 pts)	List and explain the steps of an outbreak investigation.
43. (4.00 pts)	Recently, the number of steps was changed for the steps to an outbreak investigation. What benefit do these new steps have and what is now more accounted for

44. (2.00 pts) What is the difference between a fomite and a vehicle? Is there a difference?
The onset of illness in all cases was acute, characterized chiefly by nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. None of the ill persons reported having an elevated temperature all recovered within 24 to 30 hours. Approximately 20% of the ill persons visited physicians. No fecal specimens were obtained for bacteriologic examination.
45. (2.00 pts) Based on the symptoms, we can assume this virus is:
O A) Airborne
O B) Vector-Borne
○ C) Foodborne○ D) Dropletborne
46. (2.00 pts) What information should be collected from church-goers?
47. (5.00 pts) Would a line list be beneficial or is it not worth it to create with this many participants? Elaborate.
The supper was held in the basement of the village church. Foods were contributed by numerous members of the congregation. The supper began at 6:00 p.m. and continued until

The supper was held in the basement of the village church. Foods were contributed by numerous members of the congregation. The supper began at 6:00 p.m. and continued until 11:00 p.m. Food was spread out on a table and consumed over a period of several hours. Data regarding onset of illness and food eaten or water drunk by each of the 75 persons interviewed are provided in the attached line listing. The approximate time of eating supper was collected for only about half the persons who had gastrointestinal illness.

52. (4.00 pts)	Calculate the attack rate for Cabbage Salad.
53. (4.00 pts)	Calculate the attack rate for Water.
54. (4.00 pts)	Calculate the attack rate for Fruit Salad.
55. (4.00 pts)	Calculate the attack rate of Chocolate Ice Cream.
56. (6.00 pts)	Of those asked for the attack rate, which seems to be the most plausible cause of the virus? Which seems to be the least plausible cause?
57. (4.00 pts)	Given what you know now, give an explanation for how the outbreak may have occurred.

59. (4.00 pts)	Sufficient herd immunity is achieved at what % of immunity?
A) 50B) 70C) 80D) 95	
60. (2.00 pts)	What does ACIP stand for and what agency is it under?
61. (6.00 pts)	Describe a means of primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention for diabetes.
Thank you and	good luck!

© 2020 - powered by Scilympiad (https://scilympiad.com)

Support (/hi-pchs/Support) | Contact (/hi-pchs/Home/Contact)