## **Operational Neutrality Policy Memo (2 pages)**

```
**To:** Director, Deputy Director, ADs of CID/NSB; DOJ OIG Liaison
**From: ** Eric Michael O'Brien, Operational Integrity Working Group
**Date: ** September 26, 2025, 4:37 AM
**Subject:** A Practical Plan to De∎politicize Sensitive Investigations (12∎month rollout)
## Executive Summary
Rank and file agents report that politically sensitive cases can feel steered or second guessed for non pope
1) **Blind Intake + Randomized Assignment** to remove "handpicked team" concerns.
2) **Command■Influence Ledger** that records and justifies HQ directives.
3) **Rotating Peer Review Cell** from other field offices at key milestones.
4) **Protected Dissent With Teeth** and quick resolution SLAs.
5) **Public Trust Report (Aggregate Only)** to show process health without case details.
6) **Guardrails on Political Contact** with standard notes and sealing.
7) **Measurable Culture/Training** to align decisions regardless of political labels.
None of this requires new legislation. Use existing policy authority, the OIG framework, and a small sealed
## Objectives
- Ensure **neutral, evidence■based predication** and resourcing for sensitive matters.
- Preserve **operational speed** while adding auditable transparency.
- Increase **agent confidence** and **public trust** without compromising cases.
## Policy Components
### 1) Blind Intake + Randomized Assignment
- **Predication gate: ** A 1■page checklist captures facts, statute(s), source reliability, corroboration ste
- **Randomized routing:** After the gate clears, a system assigns a case team from a multi∎office pool (conf
- **Outcome: ** Removes perception of bespoke teams and limits steering.
### 2) Command■Influence Ledger (tamper■evident)
- Any HQ intervention affecting **scope, timing, warrants, use of CHSs, or public actions** must be logged w
- Stored on **WORM** (write ■once, read ■many) infrastructure with role ■based access for DOJ OIG and a sealed
- **Quarterly sealed audits**; a public summary publishes counts and categories only.
### 3) Rotating Peer Review Cell
- A 3■person panel from other FOs reviews at **open**, **major warrant**, and **close**.
- Panel feedback is **advisory but must be answered in writing** in the case file within five business days.
- Roster rotates quarterly to prevent "shadow ownership."
### 4) Protected Dissent That Actually Protects
- **Direct OIG line + SAC/union ombuds** with 10■day acknowledgment / 60■day disposition.
- Automatic review of transfers/ratings within 12 months of a protected disclosure; **presumption of remedy*
- Anonymous analytics surfaced to division leadership quarterly.
### 5) Public Trust Report (aggregate)
- Annual, no names/no case details. Includes: counts of sensitive matters, ledger interventions by category,
- Clears with DOJ to avoid prejudice to ongoing matters.
### 6) Guardrails on Political Contact
- Meetings with political appointees/campaign∎adjacent officials require **standard agenda + minutes + legal
- **Cooling■off** rotations for SES who had direct political■liaison roles before leading sensitive squads.
### 7) Culture & Training (measurable)
- **Bias■hygiene drills:** identical fact patterns skinned Left/Right must yield the same predication decisi
- **Language linting: ** templates avoid charged labels unless probative.
- **Scenario capstone: ** 1 ■ day exercise during the pilot; scored and trended division ■ wide.
## Implementation Plan (12 months)
**Days 0-30: Design + Build**
- Approve the 1∎page predication checklist (Attachment A).
- Stand up randomized routing + WORM ledger (COTS where possible).
```

- Draft SOPs for peer review cells and political contact notes.

\*\*Days 30-120: Pilot\*\*

```
- Pilot in 3 field offices (urban, suburban, mixed) + one HQ division.
- Weekly OIG touchpoint; track cycle time, agent sentiment, and legal sufficiency.
- Run the first bias hygiene drill; remediate trends.
**Month 5-6: Expand Mechanics**
- Establish national roster for peer review cells; virtual training (2 days).
- Begin quarterly sealed audits of the ledger.
**Month 6-12: Scale + Report**
- Roll out to all FOs; publish the first **Operational Neutrality Report** (aggregate only).
- Tune thresholds (e.g., which interventions must be logged) based on pilot data.
## Governance & Roles
- **Executive Sponsor:** Deputy Director.
- **Process Owner:** AD, Inspection Division, with OGC/OIG liaison.
- **Tech Owner: ** CIO (ledger + routing).
- **Training Owner:** AD, Training Division.
- **Sealed Oversight: ** 3 ■ judge panel on rotation; quarterly, under seal.
## Metrics (reported quarterly)
- \geq95% of sensitive openings pass the predication gate on first submission.
- **Intervention density: ** downward trend in scope ■change directives per case.
- **Peer review disagreement rate** and **affidavit strength** (fewer suppression issues).
- **Time■to■warrant** within ±5% of baseline (speed preserved).
- **Agent survey:** +20■point gain on "freedom from political pressure" within 12 months.
- **Retaliation Monitor: ** no statistically significant negative deltas in ratings/transfers for dissenters.
## Risks & Mitigations
- **Operational drag:** Keep the gate to 10 minutes; reviews only at three checkpoints; Service■Level Agreem
- **Over■documentation: ** Use short templates; auto■populate fields from case systems.
- **Perceived gag on leadership: ** Discretion remains - **reasons are recorded **.
- **Public reporting worries: ** Aggregate stats only; vetted with DOJ to prevent prejudice.
## Resource Snapshot (pilot■level)
- **Tech:** $450-650k for WORM logging, randomized router, and SSO/RBAC integration.
- **People:** 1 PM, 1 BA, 2 engineers (6 months), 0.5 FTE OGC, 0.5 FTE OIG liaison.
- **Training: ** 2 days virtual per peer reviewer; 1 day bias hygiene drill/division.
## Decision Requested
- Approve 12■month rollout and authorize pilot in three field offices and one HQ division.
- Direct CIO to provision the ledger and router; Inspection Division to issue SOPs within 30 days.
### Attachment A - Predication Checklist (1 page, used at intake)
1. **Statute(s) potentially violated** (cite).
2. **Articulable facts** (no names/labels; events only).
3. **Source type & reliability** (CHS/CI/open■source/official; corroboration status).
4. **Nexus to federal jurisdiction** (how/why).
5. **Threat/impact** (harm, imminence).
6. **Initial investigative steps** (lawful, least■intrusive).
7. **Conflicts check** complete (Y/N).
8. **Approval** (SAC/designee) with timestamp.
### Attachment B - Command■Influence Ledger: Minimum Fields
- Case ID; intervention type (scope/timing/warrant/CHS/public action).
- Directive text; legal basis; risk/benefit rationale.
- Issuer & role; recipients; timestamp; version hash.
- Attachments (memos/emails); follow up actions; closure notes.
- Access: OGC, OIG, sealed court panel; FOIA exempt, aggregate stats only.
### Attachment C - Political ■Contact Minutes (Standard, file within 48 hrs)
- Date/time/location; participants & roles.
- Purpose; legal authority cited.
- Topics discussed; any asks/constraints; decisions.
- Next steps; responsible owner; due dates.
- Prepared by; approved by; ledger entry ID.
```