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Abstract

This section should be an **attention grabber**. It should provide a short summary of what your paper is about so provide enough detail to satisfy your client that you met his/her needs and allows the reader to decide if the report is of interest. This is stand alone and should not refer to any other part of the document.

You should include 3 short sections:

• Background to the paper and aim of what you’re trying to achieve.

• What you did (and how).

• What you found and what you conclude from your findings (not too much detail but enough to show that your project is clearly wonderful). Not all projects are a success – and that’s ok too for the purpose of this work, and you can point that out – but preferably with solutions.
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# Introduction

## Application Model

### Process Frame

### The Stack

## Buffer Overflow Exploits

# Procedure and Results

## Overview of Procedure

There are four stages to the methodology used throughout this investigation (proof that the vulnerability exists, investigate the vulnerability, perform a proof of concept attack and perform and advanced attack with reverse shell with DEP disabled and DEP enabled.

The application’s memory can be viewed by attaching it to a debugging software.

Inputs affect underlying process can craft an overflow spec for this app

*Cool Player* has two inputs – Playlists in the form of .m3u files and Skins in the form of .ini files. The focus of this investigation was the Skins.

## Identifying the Vulnerability

The first step is to identify that the vuln exists. Where is the vuln – skin file

### Skins (.ini)

## DEP Disabled

* Use as many sub-headings in the Procedure as you think are necessary.
* Include what you found.

### Proof of Concept

### Advanced

## DEP Enabled

* Enable dep

### Proof of Concept

### Advanced

# Discussion

## Buffer Overflow Prevention/Mitigation

## Evasion of Intrusion Detection Systems

Here, you want to discuss your results/outcomes.

* What does it all mean? Discuss anything of interest. How does this relate to other work in this area (if relevant)?

* Relate the findings back to your aims - how well have you met your aim?

## Future Work

* What would you do if given more time and resources?
* More advanced shellcode
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# Appendices

## Appendix A – Perl Code

### DEP Disabled

#### Proof of Concept

#### Advanced

### DEP Enabled

#### Proof of Concept

#### Advanced