

# Group 6

Axel Abrica, Yu Fan Mei, Abby Porter  
Introduction to Proof and Problem Solving

January 12, 2026

**Problem 1.** For what values of  $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$  is

$$\sum_{j=0}^n 2^j$$

prime?

*Proof.* The only values of  $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$  for which the sum is prime is  $n = 1$ . We will prove this by showing that the sum is divisible by 3 for all  $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$ . That is, we will prove for all  $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$ , there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n 2^j = 3k.$$

*Base Case:* Set  $n_0 = 1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 2^j = 2^0 + 2^1 = 1 + 2 = 3 = 3k_0,$$

where  $k_0 = 1$ .

*Induction Step:* We assume there exists an odd positive integer  $n_0 \geq 1$  and an integer  $k_0$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n_0} 2^j = 3k_0.$$

We want to show that there exists an integer  $l$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n_0+2} 2^j = 3l.$$

Set  $l_0 = k_0 + 2^{n_0+1}$ . Since integers are closed under addition and multiplication,  $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Rewriting the sum, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n_0+2} 2^j &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_0} 2^j + 2^{n_0+1} + 2^{n_0+2} \\
&= 3k_0 + 2^{n_0} \cdot 2 + 2^{n_0} \cdot 2^2 \\
&= 3k_0 + 2^{n_0}(2 + 2^2) \\
&= 3k_0 + 2^{n_0}(6) \\
&= 3k_0 + 3(2^{n_0} \cdot 2) \\
&= 3(k_0 + 2^{n_0+1}) \\
&= 3l_0.
\end{aligned}$$

So, for all  $n_0 \in \mathbb{O}^+$ , the sum is divisible by 3. However, the sum only equals 3 when  $n_0 = 1$ , and 3 is the only value divisible by 3 that is prime. Thus, for all other odd  $n_0 > 1$ , the sum is divisible by 3, but not prime.  $\square$

**Problem 2.** For each natural number  $n$ , the  $n$ th Fibonacci number  $f_n$  is given by

$$f_1 = 1, f_2 = 1, \text{ and } f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be the positive solution and  $\beta$  the negative solution to the equation  $x^2 = x + 1$ . (The values are  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  and  $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ .) Show for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$f_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}.$$

*Proof.* We start by checking the two base cases. Set  $n_0 = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= \frac{\alpha^1 - \beta^1}{\alpha - \beta} \\
&= \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - \beta} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This matches  $f_1 = 1$  so the base case holds for  $n_0 = 1$ . We now set  $n_0 = 2$ . Then we get

$$f_2 = \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha - \beta}.$$

We know  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are roots of the equation  $x^2 = x + 1$ . Using the identity  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$  and  $\beta^2 = \beta + 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{\alpha - \beta} &= \frac{(\alpha + 1) - (\beta + 1)}{\alpha - \beta} \\
&= \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - \beta} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This matches  $f_2 = 1$ , so the base case holds for  $n_0 = 2$ . We now move on to the induction step. Assume there exists an integer  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that the formula holds true for  $n_0$  and  $n_0 + 1$ . That is, we assume

$$f_{n_0} = \frac{\alpha^{n_0} - \beta^{n_0}}{\alpha - \beta}$$

and

$$f_{n_0+1} = \frac{\alpha^{n_0+1} - \beta^{n_0+1}}{\alpha - \beta}.$$

We need to show that the formula holds for  $n_0 + 2$ . That is, we need to prove

$$f_{n_0+2} = \frac{\alpha^{n_0+2} - \beta^{n_0+2}}{\alpha - \beta}.$$

Using the recurrence relation  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$ , we have that

$$f_{n_0+2} = f_{n_0} + f_{n_0+1}.$$

From the induction step, we can substitute the expressions for  $f_{n_0}$  and  $f_{n_0+1}$  into this equation to get that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n_0+2} &= f_{n_0} + f_{n_0+1} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{n_0} - \beta^{n_0}}{\alpha - \beta} + \frac{\alpha^{n_0+1} - \beta^{n_0+1}}{\alpha - \beta} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{n_0} + \alpha^{n_0+1} - (\beta^{n_0} + \beta^{n_0+1})}{\alpha - \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We know  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are roots of the equation  $x^2 = x + 1$ . Using the identity  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$  and  $\beta^2 = \beta + 1$ , we can express  $\alpha^{n_0+2}$  and  $\beta^{n_0+2}$  in terms of previous powers. We get that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{n_0+2} &= \alpha^2 \cdot \alpha^{n_0} \\ &= (\alpha + 1) \cdot \alpha^{n_0} \\ &= \alpha^{n_0+1} + \alpha^{n_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get that

$$\beta^{n_0+2} = \beta^{n_0+1} + \beta^{n_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{n_0+2} - \beta^{n_0+2} &= (\alpha^{n_0+1} + \alpha^{n_0}) - (\beta^{n_0+1} + \beta^{n_0}) \\ &= \alpha^{n_0+1} - \beta^{n_0+1} + \alpha^{n_0} - \beta^{n_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We now substitute this back into our expression for  $f_{n_0+2}$  to get:

$$f_{n_0+2} = \frac{\alpha^{n_0+2} - \beta^{n_0+2}}{\alpha - \beta},$$

which is what we needed to show. Thus by induction, the formula  $f_n$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$