AetherNet – Initial Feasibility Analysis

Introduction

AetherNet – Conceptual Release

This is the launch of AetherNet — a concept design for early atmospheric intervention. It is not a field-ready technology, but a research idea released openly for global scientific evaluation.

Context

The concept addresses the pre-cyclogenesis stage, the critical hours before a cyclone can take shape. During this window, the atmosphere often develops turbulent patches spanning tens or even hundreds of square kilometers. Within these patches, a storm may begin from a single hotspot — a humidity peak or instability point. In just two to six hours, this turbulence can escalate into a catastrophic system. These moments, when convection is intensifying but not yet organized, are the window AetherNet is designed to study.

Conceptual Approach

The proposed method imagines using swarms of coordinated drones. Each 4-drone unit could suspend a lightweight mesh with micro-turbulent flippers, gently diffusing upward convection. The intention is not to force the weather, but to explore whether breaking vertical symmetries in airflow might reduce the likelihood of storm organization.

In principle, swarms might serve two purposes:

- Data gathering — measuring atmospheric conditions before and after a hypothetical intervention, providing insight into storm genesis.
- Conceptual intervention — brushing the air horizontally or at useful angles to diffuse symmetries.

Whether such a system could work at scale remains an open scientific question. Early sketches suggest that a swarm of thousands of drones across ~100 km^2 would be needed. But the physics, impacts, and limitations all require rigorous independent modeling before any practical steps can be imagined.

Purpose of Release

For this reason, AetherNet is not a deployment plan — it is a framework for research collaboration. With this release, the authors invite universities, agencies, and international bodies to examine, model, and test the assumptions.

To ensure openness:

- All materials are released under open licenses.
- The repository is free of ownership claims.
- All future simulations and results are committed to the public domain.
- The aim is to spark evaluation, regulation, and dialogue — not unilateral action.

Legal & Ethical Position

This release itself constitutes prior art.

The authors disclaim any and all patent rights.

AetherNet is permanently open, unowned, and free for all to study.

Background & Scientific Rationale

Tropical cyclogenesis depends on the vertical alignment and reinforcement of moist air columns. If this early organization is disrupted, storm development may be delayed, weakened, or prevented. Current interventions (forecasting, evacuation) only act *after* storm formation. No infrastructure exists to act during the early organizational stage.

AetherNet intervenes by:

- Scanning regions flagged as **Invest Areas** (pre-cyclogenesis zones identified by NOAA, JTWC, ECMWF, etc.).

- Detecting **relative peaks** of instability (e.g., CAPE, vorticity, humidity).

- Zooming in on 10–20 km^2 hotspots with drone swarms.

- Deploying turbulence meshes and micro-propellers to disrupt convective alignment.

- Logging **pre- and post-disruption data** to measure impact and feed back into targeting.

This approach leverages chaos sensitivity: even small, well-timed disruptions can prevent or delay storm feedback loops.

System Concept & Operations

- **Swarm size**: ~2,000 drones per deployment.
- **Unit grouping**: 4 drones per net → 500 turbulence units.
- **Mesh**: ~10m × 10m, lightweight fluoropolymer or Dyneema threads.
- **Altitude**: 0.5–1.5 km (targeting early convective columns).
- **Flight cycle**: 30–60 min endurance per drone; rotation schedules handled by base station logic.
- **Zoom-in strategy**: Concentrates drones on ~10 km^2 hotspot (20× denser than wide-area coverage).
- **Feedback loop**: Full-region scan → detect peak → zoom-in disruption → log impact → repeat.

Mathematical & Aerodynamic Estimates

Influence radius per net: ~25 m
Influence area: ~0.002 km^2 per cycle
500 nets: ~1 km^2 disrupted per sweep
10 cycles: ~10 km^2 hotspot coverage in <2 hours

Air volume disturbed per net: ~19,600 m^3
Momentum exchange: ~47,000 kg·m/s (sufficient vs. 1–2 m/s weak convergence)

Drag/turbulence evidence: Comparable interventions include winglet-induced vortex disruption, surface roughness delaying vortex breakdown, and controlled aerodynamic instabilities. Small-scale turbulence interventions can disrupt larger coherent structures.

Comparative Aero Disruption Evidence

Related aerodynamic research supports micro-vortex disruption:

- **Wingtip vortices reduction using winglets**: Modifying airflow at wingtips reduces vortex strength—analogous to the mesh breaking up larger swirl patterns.
    - https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10956045/
    - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wingtip_vortices

    - https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/aeronautical-journal/article/discovery-and-prediction-of-vortex-flow-aerodynamics/DE576518A55429F30FC2D32FE057EC90

- **Surface roughness delaying vortex breakdown**: Applying roughness reduces cavitation onset by 33%—demonstrating small-scale surface interventions can impact vortex structure.
    - https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00366
- **Vortex surface wrinkling disrupts coherence**: In turbulent flows, disturbances break up coherent vortex surfaces.
    - https://aia.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s42774-021-00100-y
- **Controlled aerodynamic instabilities** can be harnessed and modulated, indicating that purposeful disruption of flow is not just destructive but controllable.
    - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Controlled_aerodynamic_instability_phenomena

Feasibility via Expert Q&A

1. How can you be sure a storm will form in that location 48–72 hours in advance?

Answer: Forecasting is probabilistic; only ~10–30% of disturbances become storms. Agencies already identify Invest Areas 2–5 days in advance with skill scores >30%. AetherNet layers real-time drone sensing on top, refining targeting.
Conclusion: Feasible with current forecasting.
Work to be done: Retrospective ERA5 backtesting.
Responsible community: NOAA, ECMWF, NCAR, MIT Parsons Lab.

2. Is there evidence turbulence injection prevents cyclogenesis?

Answer: AetherNet targets mesoscale organization (seed vortices), not macro suppression. Natural analogs (shear events) show small disruptions can delay symmetry.
Conclusion: Plausible but unproven; requires modeling.
Work to be done: CFD + LES storm modeling.
Responsible community: Atmospheric physicists, CFD labs.

3. Where's the ERA5 data showing AetherNet would be in the right place?

Answer: ERA5 has hourly, ~30 km grid reanalysis. Historical storm backtracking (e.g., Laura 2020, Rai 2021) can overlay Invest zones and hypothetical drone coverage.
Conclusion: Data exists and is testable.
Work to be done: Historical case study overlay.
Responsible community: Climate reanalysis teams at NASA, NOAA, ECMWF.

4. Can nets at 1 km altitude affect convection at 8–12 km?

Answer: Towers build upward; disruption at 0.5–1.5 km can prevent vertical alignment and delay feedback loops.
Conclusion: Valid at early stage; not for mature storms.
Work to be done: Simulations of mid-lower turbulence.
Responsible community: Mesoscale modelers, tropical storm labs.

5. Is swarm turbulence enough to disrupt convection towers?

Answer: Early force estimates show 500–800 nets can generate 1 km^2 of disruption per sweep. Enough to disturb local symmetry in hotspots.
Conclusion: Plausible but requires CFD validation.
Work to be done: Parametric simulations of drag and turbulence injection.
Responsible community: Fluid dynamicists, aerospace engineers.

6. How is AI targeting governed?

Answer: Transparency is critical. AI logic is fully open-source, logged, and peer-reviewable. No decision logic may be enclosed; all models remain part of the open ecosystem.
Conclusion: Transparent by design.
Work to be done: Build public decision flowcharts + test cases.
Responsible community: AI ethics researchers, Climate Change AI community.

7. Even if it works once, how do you know it's not weather variability?

Answer: Requires long-term, multi-season comparison of intervention vs. control. Metrics: storm genesis rate, intensity progression, timing delays.
Conclusion: Needs 2–3 years of trials.
Work to be done: Statistical climatology studies.
Responsible community: Climatologists, insurance risk analysts.

8. Can drones survive humid, gusty pre-storm conditions?

Answer: Gusts ~8–20 m/s are survivable with hardened drones; redundancy absorbs losses. Precipitation is usually scattered.
Conclusion: Manageable engineering task.
Work to be done: Environmental stress testing.
Responsible community: UAV dynamics labs, drone manufacturers.

9. Can drones reach hotspots fast enough?

Answer: Peaks evolve in 30–120 min. Drones at 10–20 m/s can cover 100–150 km in 30–60 min, fitting within growth windows.
Conclusion: Feasible with good detection.
Work to be done: Optimize swarm redeployment strategies.
Responsible community: UAV ops specialists, storm trackers.

10. What if disruption fails — does AetherNet still matter?

Answer: Not all storms will be stopped. Even partial delay or weakening creates value: reduced ACE, lower flood/damage risk, enhanced data.
Conclusion: Worst case = storm softening system, still beneficial.
Work to be done: Quantify impact on ACE and damage reduction.
Responsible community: Insurance analysts, climate economists.

11. Even if AetherNet breaks a peak or convective symmetry, won't the storm just reform since heat, moisture, and instability remain?

Answer: Cyclogenesis is not deterministic; ~70% of Invest Areas never form storms despite favorable conditions. Storms only form when feedback loops (vertical stacking → pressure drop → moisture inflow) remain unbroken long enough. By disrupting symmetry at the right moment, AetherNet resets the process. This delay creates divergence: wind shear may rise, ocean heat flux may shift, or dry air may intrude before the storm can reassemble.
Conclusion: Valid concern, but disruption buys critical time. In chaotic systems, delaying feedback often changes outcomes entirely.
Work to be done: CFD + mesoscale simulations of repeated disruption cycles; statistical backtesting on whether delayed formations track with environmental changes.
Responsible community: Mesoscale weather modelers, tropical storm genesis experts, CFD/LES simulation labs.

Feasibility Verdict

- **Physics:** Plausible, supported by analog evidence, requires simulation validation.
- **Forecasting:** Sufficient to guide deployments today.
- **Engineering:** Challenges appear addressable with existing drone technologies and swarm logic, but only at the conceptual level. Substantial testing, scaling research, and environmental stress evaluation would be required before any operational use could be considered.
- **Openness:** Fully secured under Apache 2.0 (code) + CC0 (data/docs). AI decision logic explicitly cannot be enclosed.

Overall: AetherNet is a plausible and testable research hypothesis, not a deployment-ready system. It is released openly for global scientific evaluation, critique, and refinement.

Governance & Open Access Commitment

All documents (including the OASP and repository materials) are permanently open.

Code is licensed under Apache 2.0, and documentation/data under CC0.

No AI decision logic used for targeting, zooming, or disruption cycles may be enclosed or claimed as proprietary. All models, weights, and policies remain irrevocably part of the AetherNet open-science ecosystem. Any attempt to enclose constitutes a violation of license and intent.

Disengagement Statement: The authors disengage after this release. Further evaluation, modeling, and governance discussion are fully in the hands of the global scientific community.

Prepared: September 2025 – Initial Public Release