

$$y = \omega_0 + \omega_1 x_1 + \dots + \omega_n x_n$$

These are independent & Gaussian
This also assumed

$$(x_1, t_1) (x_2, t_2) \dots (x_N, t_N)$$

$$x_n \sim N(y(x_n, \omega), \beta^{-1})$$

$$\beta^{-1} = \sigma^2$$

$$p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, \omega)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n | x_n, \omega_n) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2}$$

$p(t/x, \omega)$
(is likelihood)

$$= \frac{\beta^{N/2}}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2}$$

$$- \log(p(t_1, \dots, t_N | x_1, \dots, x_N, \omega))$$

$$= -\frac{N}{2} \log \beta + \frac{N}{2} (\log 2\pi + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2)$$

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2, \text{ and you optimal } \hat{y}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \dots}{\partial \beta} = \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2 = 0$$

$$= \frac{N}{\beta} = \sum_{n=1}^N \dots$$

$$= \beta = \frac{N}{\sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2} \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{N}{\sum_{n=1}^N (y(x_n, \omega) - t_n)^2}} \right\} \text{you get variance}$$

why are we getting a point estimate?

We can get a distribution of w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n
and choose its mean as probability distribution

probability Distribution of coefficients

$$p(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m | x, t)$$

$$p(\underline{w} | x, t) \propto p(t | x, w) p(w)$$

$$\therefore p(t | x) = \int_{\underline{w}} p(t | x, w) p(w) dw$$

= constant

may not
be always
true,
but it is
an
assumption
that
works
lol.
IRL

← Same variance

$$t \sim N(y(x, w), \sigma^2)$$

sum
rule in probability

We already know $p(t | x, w)$ [likelihood function]

We don't know the distribution of $p(w | x, t)$ (POSTERIOR)

We do however know the distribution of w
without seeing the training examples (PRIOR)

We can call $p(w)$ as prior & $p(w | x, t)$ posterior