Pseudocodes

- 1940 50 known pseudocodes
 - Better than assembly
- 1949- Short code
 - Interpreted
 - called Automatic programming
 - o no floats
- 1954 Speed coding
 - interpreted
 - Easier than Machine language
- 1951-53 UNIVAC arithmetic lang?
 - COmpile time converted lang to machine code
- 1950-54 Fortran
 - first compiled High Level programming language
 - Speed of code was advantage
 - IBM 704 computers

date	version
1954	FORTRAN-0
1957	FORTRAN-1
58	f-2independent compilation of subroutines, not total recompile
60-62	f-4 (ansi 66)
77	FORTRAN-77 subroutine to subroutine passing
90	Fortran (note the lowercase change in name)-90 recursive calls
95	f-95 forall iterative
2003	f-2003 object oriented
2008	f-2008 coarray ,concurrent exec

• prevalent for 40-50 years

- o compiled, high level
- o imperative language
- declarative language: tell what should be done, not how to do it (SQL for example)

LISt Processing - LISP

- Functional programming languages
- 1950 Based on applying functions to arguments
 - Need Some methods to allow computers to process symbolic data in linked lists
 - at that time, most computations were on data in Arrays
 - required by rule based problem solving domains like in AI
- scheme lisp
- common lisp amalgamation of all lisp flavors became complex

ALGOL 58 - ALGOrithmic Language

- Descendant of fortran
 - o did not become popular as IBM wanted to use ALGOL

ALGOL 60

- Backus-Naur Form
 - Didn't achieve popularity in the US
 - Even in Europe, although more popular in US, and MUCH more popular than ALGOL
 58, not popular too flexible
 - people couldn't understand
 - Input Output wasn't included in Lang spec
 - Different implementations provided differently
 - so less portability
 - IBM didn't support
- A programming language
 - Abstract Syntax Tree to parse
 - you use a grammar to describe the language

COmmon Business-Oriented Language COBOL (1960)

- Progenitor was FLOW-MATIC (early 1950)
- Problem was that computers were used by the scientific community only
 - not much focus in business areas

•	 Philosophy: Data processing programs must use English like words rather than mathematical expressions 	
	Thatherhadical expressions	