

## CS/ECE 374 ✧ Spring 2017

### 🌀 Homework 0 🌀

Due Wednesday, January 24, 2017 at 10am

- 
- **Each student must submit individual solutions for this homework.** For all future homeworks, groups of up to three students can submit joint solutions.
  - **Submit your solutions electronically on the course Gradescope site as PDF files.** Submit a separate PDF file for each numbered problem. If you plan to typeset your solutions, please use the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  solution template on the course web site. If you must submit scanned handwritten solutions, please use a black pen on blank white paper and a high-quality scanner app (or an actual scanner, not just a phone camera).
  - You are *not* required to sign up on Gradescope (or Piazza) with your real name and your illinois.edu email address; you may use any email address and alias of your choice. However, to give you credit for the homework, we need to know who Gradescope thinks you are. **Please fill out the web form linked from the course web page.**
- 

### 👉 Some important course policies 👉

- **You may use any source at your disposal**—paper, electronic, or human—but you *must* cite *every* source that you use, and you *must* write everything yourself in your own words. See the academic integrity policies on the course web site for more details.
  - Unlike some previous semesters we will **not** have the “I Don’t Know (IDK)” policy this semester for home works or exams.
  - **Avoid the Three Deadly Sins!** Any homework or exam solution that breaks any of the following rules will be given an *automatic zero*, unless the solution is otherwise perfect. Yes, we really mean it. We’re not trying to be scary or petty (Honest!), but we do want to break a few common bad habits that seriously impede mastery of the course material.
    - Always give complete solutions, not just examples.
    - Always declare all your variables, in English. In particular, always describe the specific problem your algorithm is supposed to solve.
    - Never use weak induction.
- 

**See the course web site for more information.**

If you have any questions about these policies,  
please don’t hesitate to ask in class, in office hours, or on Piazza.

---

1. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph. Unless we say otherwise, a graph has no loops or parallel edges.
  - Prove that if  $|V| \geq 2$  there are two distinct nodes  $u$  and  $v$  such that degree of  $u$  is equal to degree of  $v$ . Recall that the degree of a node  $x$  is the number of edges incident to  $x$ .
  - Prove that if  $G$  has at least one edge then there is a path between two distinct nodes  $u$  and  $v$  such that degree of  $u$  is equal to degree of  $v$ .
2. The **plus one**,  $w^+$ , of a string  $w \in \{0, 1, 2\}^*$  is obtained from  $w$  by replacing each symbol  $a$  in  $w$  by the symbol corresponding  $a + 1 \pmod 3$ . for example,  $0102101^+ = 1210212$ . The plus one function is formally defined as follows:

$$w^+ := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ 1 \cdot x^+ & \text{if } w = 0x \\ 2 \cdot x^+ & \text{if } w = 1x \\ 0 \cdot x^+ & \text{if } w = 2x \end{cases}$$

- (a) **Not to submit:** Prove by induction that  $|w| = |w^+|$  for every string  $w$ .
- (b) Prove by induction that  $(x \cdot y)^+ = x^+ \cdot y^+$  for all strings  $x, y \in \{0, 1, 2\}^*$ .

Your proofs must be formal and self-contained, and they must invoke the *formal* definitions of length  $|w|$ , concatenation  $x \cdot y$ , and plus one  $w^+$ . Do not appeal to intuition!

3. Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be two fixed vectors in the real plane. Recursively define a set  $L_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  as follows.
  - $L_0 = \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}\}$ . ( $\mathbf{0}$  denotes the zero vector  $(0, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .)
  - For integer  $n > 0$ ,  $L_n = \{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in L_{n-1}\}$ .

Let  $L = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n$ . Also, let  $D = \{a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the set of vectors obtained as integer linear combinations of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $D \subseteq L$ , by giving, for each  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , an explicit value of  $n$  such that  $a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} \in L_n$ . (You don't need to minimize the value of  $n$ ; but you must argue why  $a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{v} \in L_n$  for your choice of  $n$ .)
- (b) Use mathematical induction to prove that for all integers  $n \geq 0$ ,  $L_n \subseteq D$ , and hence  $L \subseteq D$ .

Each homework assignment will include at least one solved problem, similar to the problems assigned in that homework, together with the grading rubric we would apply *if* this problem appeared on a homework or exam. These model solutions illustrate our recommendations for structure, presentation, and level of detail in your homework solutions. Of course, the actual *content* of your solutions won't match the model solutions, because your problems are different!

## Solved Problems

4. Recall that the **reversal**  $w^R$  of a string  $w$  is defined recursively as follows:

$$w^R := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ x^R \cdot a & \text{if } w = a \cdot x \end{cases}$$

A **palindrome** is any string that is equal to its reversal, like **AMANAPLANACANALPANAMA**, **RACECAR**, **POOP**, **I**, and the empty string.

- Give a recursive definition of a palindrome over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- Prove  $w = w^R$  for every palindrome  $w$  (according to your recursive definition).
- Prove that every string  $w$  such that  $w = w^R$  is a palindrome (according to your recursive definition).

In parts (b) and (c), you may assume without proof that  $(x \cdot y)^R = y^R \cdot x^R$  and  $(x^R)^R = x$  for all strings  $x$  and  $y$ .

### Solution:

- A string  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is a palindrome if and only if either

- $w = \varepsilon$ , or
- $w = a$  for some symbol  $a \in \Sigma$ , or
- $w = axa$  for some symbol  $a \in \Sigma$  and some *palindrome*  $x \in \Sigma^*$ .

**Rubric:** 2 points =  $\frac{1}{2}$  for each base case + 1 for the recursive case. No credit for the rest of the problem unless this is correct.

- Let  $w$  be an arbitrary palindrome.

Assume that  $x = x^R$  for every palindrome  $x$  such that  $|x| < |w|$ .

There are three cases to consider (mirroring the three cases in the definition):

- If  $w = \varepsilon$ , then  $w^R = \varepsilon$  by definition, so  $w = w^R$ .
- If  $w = a$  for some symbol  $a \in \Sigma$ , then  $w^R = a$  by definition, so  $w = w^R$ .
- Suppose  $w = axa$  for some symbol  $a \in \Sigma$  and some palindrome  $x \in P$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} w^R &= (a \cdot x \cdot a)^R \\ &= (x \cdot a)^R \cdot a && \text{by definition of reversal} \\ &= a^R \cdot x^R \cdot a && \text{You said we could assume this.} \\ &= a \cdot x^R \cdot a && \text{by definition of reversal} \\ &= a \cdot x \cdot a && \text{by the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= w && \text{by assumption} \end{aligned}$$

In all three cases, we conclude that  $w = w^R$ .

**Rubric:** 4 points: standard induction rubric (scaled)

(c) Let  $w$  be an arbitrary string such that  $w = w^R$ .

Assume that every string  $x$  such that  $|x| < |w|$  and  $x = x^R$  is a palindrome.

There are three cases to consider (mirroring the definition of “palindrome”):

- If  $w = \epsilon$ , then  $w$  is a palindrome by definition.
- If  $w = a$  for some symbol  $a \in \Sigma$ , then  $w$  is a palindrome by definition.
- Otherwise, we have  $w = ax$  for some symbol  $a$  and some *non-empty* string  $x$ .  
The definition of reversal implies that  $w^R = (ax)^R = x^R a$ .  
Because  $x$  is non-empty, its reversal  $x^R$  is also non-empty.  
Thus,  $x^R = by$  for some symbol  $b$  and some string  $y$ .  
It follows that  $w^R = bya$ , and therefore  $w = (w^R)^R = (bya)^R = ay^R b$ .

*[At this point, we need to prove that  $a = b$  and that  $y$  is a palindrome.]*

Our assumption that  $w = w^R$  implies that  $bya = ay^R b$ .

The recursive definition of string equality immediately implies  $a = b$ .

Because  $a = b$ , we have  $w = ay^R a$  and  $w^R = ay a$ .

The recursive definition of string equality implies  $y^R a = ya$ .

It immediately follows that  $(y^R a)^R = (ya)^R$ .

Known properties of reversal imply  $(y^R a)^R = a(y^R)^R = ay$  and  $(ya)^R = ay^R$ .

It follows that  $ay^R = ay$ , and therefore  $y = y^R$ .

The inductive hypothesis now implies that  $y$  is a palindrome.

We conclude that  $w$  is a palindrome by definition.

In all three cases, we conclude that  $w$  is a palindrome.

**Rubric:** 4 points: standard induction rubric (scaled).

- No penalty for jumping from  $aya = ay^R a$  directly to  $y = y^R$ .

■

**Rubric (induction):** For problems worth 10 points:

- + 1 for explicitly considering an *arbitrary* object
- + 2 for a valid **strong** induction hypothesis
  - **Deadly Sin!** Automatic zero for stating a weak induction hypothesis, unless the rest of the proof is *perfect*.
- + 2 for explicit exhaustive case analysis
  - No credit here if the case analysis omits an infinite number of objects. (For example: all odd-length palindromes.)
  - −1 if the case analysis omits a finite number of objects. (For example: the empty string.)
  - −1 for making the reader infer the case conditions. Spell them out!
  - No penalty if cases overlap (for example:
- + 1 for cases that do not invoke the inductive hypothesis (“base cases”)
  - No credit here if one or more “base cases” are missing.
- + 2 for correctly applying the *stated* inductive hypothesis
  - No credit here for applying a *different* inductive hypothesis, even if that different inductive hypothesis would be valid.
- + 2 for other details in cases that invoke the inductive hypothesis (“inductive cases”)
  - No credit here if one or more “inductive cases” are missing.