

## Question 1

DNA is made of chemical building blocks called nucleotides. The nitrogen bases found in these nucleotides are: adenine (A), thymine (T), guanine (G) and cytosine (C). A is a complementary base to T, and G is a complementary base to C. A DNA sequence is said to be a palindrome if it's equal to its reverse complement. For example, ACCTAGGT is palindromic since it's complement is TGGATCCA. Reversing this complement gives us back the original sequence. Let's call this a "genetic palindrome".

A palindrome partition arises when a given sequence is broken into sub-strings such that each sub-string is also a palindrome. For example, "ACA—G—CCTCC—G—T—ACA" is a palindrome partitioning of the sequence "ACAGCCTCCGTACA", with 5 cuts. If the sequence is a palindrome, 0 cuts are needed.

Your job as a bored lab assistant is as follows: given a DNA sequence, find the fewest cuts required to palindrome partition a sequence. You are very interested in 'genetic palindrome' partitions but finding them seems too difficult. You decide first you will work out how to calculate palindrome partitions efficiently.

**1.1** You are given a list  $S$  of  $n$  nitrogen bases. We want to build an  $O(n^3)$  Dynamic Programming algorithm that will find the minimum cuts 'genetic palindrome' partition (You are guaranteed that one exists). Define the sub-problems, the base cases and the recursion relation between the sub-problems and justify the time complexity of your solution.

We define the sub-problem  $P(i,j)$  as the minimum cuts to create a palindrome partition of the sub-list  $S[i:j]$ . The base case is  $P(i,j) = 0$  if  $S[i:j]$  is a palindrome or 1 otherwise. We may define the recurrence relation as  $P(i,j) = \min\{P(i,k) + P(k+1,j) + 1, i \leq k \leq j-1\}$ . We are populating an  $n$  by  $n$  lookup table which takes  $O(n^2)$  and for each index we must check if the corresponding sub-string is a palindrome which takes  $O(n)$  so the time complexity of this algorithm is  $O(n^3)$  overall.

- [2 marks] Correct sub-problems identified
- [2 marks] Correct base cases identified
- [2 marks] Valid recurrence relation
- [1 mark] Justification for time complexity provided

**1.2** Describe your Dynamic Programming algorithm in pseudocode and verify that it achieves the desired  $O(n^3)$  time complexity based on your coded solution.

We can solve the problem by taking a bottom-up approach. We also know that every substring of length 1 is a palindrome.

```
// Returns the minimum number of cuts
// needed to partition a string
// such that every part is a palindrome
minPartitons(string seq)
{
    n = seq.length

    // bool pal[n][n] = 1 if substring seq[i..j] is
```

```

//          palindrome, else 0
// int cuts[n][n] = min number of cuts for
//          substring seq[i..j]

//initialization
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    pal[i][i] = true;
    cuts[i][i] = 0;
}

// l is length of the subsequence
for (int l = 2; l <= n; l++) {

    //try every starting index for each subsequence
    for (int i = 0; i < n - l + 1; i++) {
        int j = i + l - 1; // Set ending index

        //case for just 2 characters in the sequence
        if (l == 2)
            pal[i][j] = (seq[i] == seq[j]);
        else
            pal[i][j] = (seq[i] == seq[j]) && pal[i + 1][j - 1];

        // if seq[i..j] is palindrome, then C[i][j] is 0
        if (pal[i][j] == true)
            cuts[i][j] = 0;
        else {

            // Make a cut at every possible
            // location and get the minimum cost cut.
            cuts[i][j] = int_max; //some arbitrary large number
            for (int k = i; k <= j - 1; k++)
                cuts[i][j] = min(cuts[i][j],
                                cuts[i][k] + cuts[k + 1][j] + 1);
        }
    }
}

// minimum cuts for given subsequence
return cuts[0][n - 1];

```

The running time for this algorithm is  $O(n^3)$ , since there is a loop with 2 inner loops present in the solution. Justification provided in 1.1.

- [1 mark] Takes a top-down or bottom up dynamic programming solution
- [4 marks] Provides a correct solution to the problem with justifications for the algorithm design
- [1 mark] Correct time complexity with valid reasoning
- Pseudocode or solutions with better running times are also accepted.

**1.3** Describe how you could optimize your algorithm so that the time complexity is reduced to  $O(n^2)$ .

What is the time complexity of finding all sub-strings of S which are palindromes?

For this question it is important to notice that, for our algorithm in 1.1, it takes  $O(n^3)$  because we have to check if each sub-string is a palindrome as we go, with each check taking  $O(n)$ . If we pre-compute which sub-strings of S are palindromes and store this information in our lookup table  $\text{Pal}[i][j]$ , then we may subsequently perform our algorithm from 1.1 but it will only take  $O(n^2)$  as we may check if a sub-string is a palindrome in  $O(1)$  using our lookup table. Since we may pre-compute which sub-strings of S are palindromes in  $O(n^2)$ , and then perform our algorithm from 1.1 using these results in  $O(n^2)$ , the overall time complexity has been reduced to  $O(n^2)$ .

- **[2 mark]** Recognises how we may perform pre-computation to reduce time complexity - **[1 mark]** Provides justification for why the precomputing reduces the time complexity