


Affi Network Core

Smart Contract Security Audit

V1.0

No. 202303101850





Contents

Summary of Audit Results	1
1 Overview	
1.1 Project Overview	EOSIN
1.2 Audit Overview	
2 Findings	
[Affi Network Core-1] Lack of event triggers	
3 Appendix	
3.1 Vulnerability Assessment Metrics and Status in Smart Contracts	
3.2 Audit Categories	8
3.3 Disclaimer	10
3.4 About Beosin	11






















Summary of Audit Results

After auditing, 1 Info-risk item was identified in the Affi Network Core project. Specific audit details will be presented in the Findings section. Users should pay attention to the following aspects when interacting with this project:























Project Description:

1. Business overview

The Affi Network Core is a result-based affiliate marketing project and the project is deployed on the Polygon chain. It mainly includes two contracts, CampaignContract and CampaignFactory. The CampaignFactory contract is deployed by the protocol, and advertisers can create campaign instances through CampaignFactory. The end date of an campaign instance cannot be less than 30 days old. After the campaign instance is created, the instance owner needs to transfer a certain amount of Token (DAI or USDC) to the campaign instance before the campaign is officially opened. The owner of the campaign instance has the right to modify the end time of the campaign, withdraw excess Token after the campaign ends, and increase COA(cost of acquisition). The contract instance also contains information such as the target contract address. Whenever a transaction occurs at the target contract address, the automatic robot will call the *sealADeal* function to distribute COA to the protocol, publisher, and buyer in different proportions. Among them, the protocol accounts for 10% of the COA, the publisher = ((0.9*COA * publisherShare) / 100), the publisherShare is set when the activity instance is created, and the buyer gets the remaining COA.







1 Overview

1.1 Project Overview

Project Name	Affi Network Core	
Platform	Polygon	
Audit scope	https://github.com/AffiNetwork/core-v1	
File Hash	7a92d5f256f797e75ef72da1eab74010cd620c15	

1.2 Audit Overview

Audit work duration: Mar 9, 2023 – Mar 10, 2023

Audit methods: Formal Verification, Static Analysis, Typical Case Testing and Manual Review.

Audit team: Beosin Security Team.



2 Findings

Index	Risk description	Severity level	Status
Affi Network Core-1	Lack of event triggers	Info	Acknowledged

Status Notes:

1. Affi Network Core-1 is not fixed and may not cause any issue.







Finding Details:

[Affi Network Core-1] Lack of event triggers

Severity Level	Info	
Туре	Coding Conventions	1949 BEOSIN
Lines	CampaignContract.sol#L214-240	

Description No event triggered when changing key parameter variables.

```
function increaseCOA(uint256 _coa) external isOwner {
    // check if campaign is still parrticipating need to call increasePoolBudget first
    if (!isCampaignActive()) revert CampaignIsInActive();

    // can only increase COA
    if (_coa < campaign.costOfAcquisition)
        revert COAisSmallerThanPrevious();

campaign.costOfAcquisition = _coa;
}

/**

@dev increase the campaign end date by _timestamp.
    it should be at least 1 day from now.

*/

function increaseTime(uint256 _timestamp) external isOwner {
    // check if campaign is still parrticipating
    if (!isCampaignActive()) revert CampaignIsInActive();
    // need to be at least 1 day from now
    if (_timestamp <= block.timestamp + 1 days)
        revert campaignDurationTooShort();

// can only increase time
    if (_timestamp <= campaign.endDate) revert timeIsSmallerThanPrevious();

campaign.endDate = _timestamp;
}</pre>
```

Figure 1 Source code of increaseCOA, increaseTime functions

Recommendations	It is recommended to add relevant events and trigger them in the corresponding functions.
Status	Acknowledged.



3 Appendix

3.1 Vulnerability Assessment Metrics and Status in Smart Contracts

3.1.1 Metrics

In order to objectively assess the severity level of vulnerabilities in blockchain systems, this report provides detailed assessment metrics for security vulnerabilities in smart contracts with reference to CVSS 3.1 (Common Vulnerability Scoring System Ver 3.1).

According to the severity level of vulnerability, the vulnerabilities are classified into four levels: "critical", "high", "medium" and "low". It mainly relies on the degree of impact and likelihood of exploitation of the vulnerability, supplemented by other comprehensive factors to determine of the severity level.

Impact Likelihood	Severe	High	Medium	Low
Probable	Critical	High	Medium	Low
Possible	High	High	Medium	Low
Unlikely	Medium	Medium	Low	Info
Rare	Low	Low	Info	Info

3.1.2 Degree of impact

Severe

Severe impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a serious impact on the confidentiality, integrity, availability of smart contracts or their economic model, which can cause substantial economic losses to the contract business system, large-scale data disruption, loss of authority management, failure of key functions, loss of credibility, or indirectly affect the operation of other smart contracts associated with it and cause substantial losses, as well as other severe and mostly irreversible harm.

High

High impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a relatively serious impact on the confidentiality, integrity, availability of the smart contract or its economic model, which can cause a greater economic loss, local functional unavailability, loss of credibility and other impact to the contract business system.



Medium

Medium impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a relatively minor impact on the confidentiality, integrity, availability of the smart contract or its economic model, which can cause a small amount of economic loss to the contract business system, individual business unavailability and other impact.

Low

Low impact generally refers to the vulnerability can have a minor impact on the smart contract, which can pose certain security threat to the contract business system and needs to be improved.

3.1.4 Likelihood of Exploitation

Probable

Probable likelihood generally means that the cost required to exploit the vulnerability is low, with no special exploitation threshold, and the vulnerability can be triggered consistently.

Possible

Possible likelihood generally means that exploiting such vulnerability requires a certain cost, or there are certain conditions for exploitation, and the vulnerability is not easily and consistently triggered.

Unlikely

Unlikely likelihood generally means that the vulnerability requires a high cost, or the exploitation conditions are very demanding and the vulnerability is highly difficult to trigger.

Rare

Rare likelihood generally means that the vulnerability requires an extremely high cost or the conditions for exploitation are extremely difficult to achieve.

3.1.5 Fix Results Status

Status Description		
Fixed The project party fully fixes a vulnerability.		(Wittel)
Partially Fixed The project party did not fully fix the issue, but only mitigated the		
Acknowledged	The project party confirms and chooses to ignore the issue.	(967) B





3.2 Audit Categories

No.		Categories	Subitems
			Compiler Version Security
		SIN	Deprecated Items
1 Blockchain		Coding Conventions	Redundant Code
		require/assert Usage	
		Gas Consumption	
IN	IN MIRFOSIN	RED BEOSIN	Integer Overflow/Underflow
		Literative Stelledy	Reentrancy
			Pseudo-random Number Generator (PRNG)
		CINI	Transaction-Ordering Dependence
		Security	DoS (Denial of Service)
			Function Call Permissions
2		General Vulnerability	call/delegatecall Security
			Returned Value Security
		BEOSIN	tx.origin Usage
			Replay Attack
			Overriding Variables
	SIN	Third-party Protocol Interface Consistency	
	10 1 to 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Business Logics
			Business Implementations
IN		Business Security	Manipulable Token Price
3			Centralized Asset Control
			Asset Tradability
		SIN	Arbitrage Attack

Beosin classified the security issues of smart contracts into three categories: Coding Conventions, General Vulnerability, Business Security. Their specific definitions are as follows:

Coding Conventions



Audit whether smart contracts follow recommended language security coding practices. For example, smart contracts developed in Solidity language should fix the compiler version and do not use deprecated keywords.

• General Vulnerability

General Vulnerability include some common vulnerabilities that may appear in smart contract projects. These vulnerabilities are mainly related to the characteristics of the smart contract itself, such as integer overflow/underflow and denial of service attacks.

Business Security

Business security is mainly related to some issues related to the business realized by each project, and has a relatively strong pertinence. For example, whether the lock-up plan in the code match the white paper, or the flash loan attack caused by the incorrect setting of the price acquisition oracle.





^{*}Note that the project may suffer stake losses due to the integrated third-party protocol. This is not something Beosin can control. Business security requires the participation of the project party. The project party and users need to stay vigilant at all times.



3.3 Disclaimer

The Audit Report issued by Beosin is related to the services agreed in the relevant service agreement. The Project Party or the Served Party (hereinafter referred to as the "Served Party") can only be used within the conditions and scope agreed in the service agreement. Other third parties shall not transmit, disclose, quote, rely on or tamper with the Audit Report issued for any purpose.

The Audit Report issued by Beosin is made solely for the code, and any description, expression or wording contained therein shall not be interpreted as affirmation or confirmation of the project, nor shall any warranty or guarantee be given as to the absolute flawlessness of the code analyzed, the code team, the business model or legal compliance.

The Audit Report issued by Beosin is only based on the code provided by the Served Party and the technology currently available to Beosin. However, due to the technical limitations of any organization, and in the event that the code provided by the Served Party is missing information, tampered with, deleted, hidden or subsequently altered, the audit report may still fail to fully enumerate all the risks.

The Audit Report issued by Beosin in no way provides investment advice on any project, nor should it be utilized as investment suggestions of any type. This report represents an extensive evaluation process designed to help our customers improve code quality while mitigating the high risks in blockchain.



3.4 About Beosin

Beosin is the first institution in the world specializing in the construction of blockchain security ecosystem. The core team members are all professors, postdocs, PhDs, and Internet elites from world-renowned academic institutions. Beosin has more than 20 years of research in formal verification technology, trusted computing, mobile security and kernel security, with overseas experience in studying and collaborating in project research at well-known universities. Through the security audit and defense deployment of more than 2,000 smart contracts, over 50 public blockchains and wallets, and nearly 100 exchanges worldwide, Beosin has accumulated rich experience in security attack and defense of the blockchain field, and has developed several security products specifically for blockchain.







Official Website

https://www.beosin.com

Telegram

https://t.me/+dD8Bnqd133RmNWN1

Twitter

https://twitter.com/Beosin_com

Email

