



Machine Learning - Classification

Amir H. Payberah
payberah@kth.se
14/11/2018







The Course Web Page

<https://id2223kth.github.io>

Where Are We?



Where Are We?



Let's Start with an Example

Example (1/4)

- Given the dataset of m cancer tests.

Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots

Example (1/4)

- ▶ Given the dataset of m cancer tests.


Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots

- ▶ Predict the risk of cancer, as a function of the tumor size?

Example (2/4)

Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots


$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 330 \\ 120 \\ 400 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$



Example (2/4)

Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 330 \\ 120 \\ 400 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$




► $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$: $x_1^{(i)}$ is the **tumor size** of the **i**th instance in the **training set**.

Example (3/4)

Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 330 \\ 120 \\ 400 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$




- Predict the risk of cancer \hat{y} as a function of the tumor sizes x_1 , i.e., $\hat{y} = f(x_1)$
- E.g., what is \hat{y} , if $x_1 = 500$?

Example (3/4)

Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 330 \\ 120 \\ 400 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$




- Predict the risk of cancer \hat{y} as a function of the tumor sizes x_1 , i.e., $\hat{y} = f(x_1)$
- E.g., what is \hat{y} , if $x_1 = 500$?
- As an initial choice: $\hat{y} = f_w(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1 x_1$

Example (3/4)



Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1
\vdots	\vdots

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 330 \\ 120 \\ 400 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$



- Predict the risk of cancer \hat{y} as a function of the tumor sizes x_1 , i.e., $\hat{y} = f(x_1)$
- E.g., what is \hat{y} , if $x_1 = 500$?
- As an initial choice: $\hat{y} = f_w(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1 x_1$
- Bad model!

Example (4/4)




► A better model $\hat{y} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 + w_1 x_1)}}$

Sigmoid Function

- ▶ The **sigmoid function**, denoted by $\sigma(\cdot)$, outputs a number **between 0 and 1**.

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t}}$$




- ▶ When $t < 0$, then $\sigma(t) < 0.5$
- ▶ when $t \geq 0$, then $\sigma(t) \geq 0.5$

Binomial Logistic Regression

Binomial Logistic Regression (1/2)

- ▶ Our goal: to build a system that takes input $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and predicts output $\hat{y} \in \{0, 1\}$.
- ▶ To specify which of 2 categories an input \mathbf{x} belongs to.





Binomial Logistic Regression (2/2)

- ▶ **Linear regression**: the model computes the **weighted sum of the input features** (plus a bias term).

$$\hat{y} = w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \cdots + w_nx_n = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression (2/2)

- ▶ **Linear regression**: the model computes the **weighted sum of the input features** (plus a bias term).

$$\hat{y} = w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \cdots + w_nx_n = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$


- ▶ **Binomial logistic regression**: the model computes a **weighted sum of the input features** (plus a bias term), but it **outputs the logistic of this result**.

$$z = w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \cdots + w_nx_n = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$$

How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{w} ?

Linear Regression - Cost Function



- ▶ One reasonable model should make \hat{y} close to y , at least for the training dataset.
- ▶ Cost function $J(\mathbf{w})$: the mean squared error (MSE)

$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2$$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (1/5)

- Naive idea: minimizing the Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) &= (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2 \\ J(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2\end{aligned}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (1/5)

- Naive idea: minimizing the Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2$$
$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \text{MSE}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}} - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (1/5)


- Naive idea: minimizing the Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2$$
$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^2$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \text{MSE}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}} - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$


- This cost function is a non-convex function for parameter optimization.

- ▶ What do we mean by **non-convex**?
- ▶ If a line joining two points on the curve, **crosses the curve**.
- ▶ The algorithm may converge to a **local minimum**.



Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (2/5)

- ▶ What do we mean by **non-convex**?
- ▶ If a line joining two points on the curve, **crosses the curve**.
- ▶ The algorithm may converge to a **local minimum**.
- ▶ We want a **convex** logistic regression **cost function** $J(\mathbf{w})$.





Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (3/5)

- ▶ The predicted value $\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$
- ▶ $\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = ?$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (3/5)

- ▶ The predicted value $\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$
- ▶ $\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = ?$
- ▶ The $\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ should be
 - Close to 0, if the predicted value \hat{y} will be close to true value y .
 - Large, if the predicted value \hat{y} will be far from the true value y .


Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (3/5)

- ▶ The predicted value $\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$
- ▶ $\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = ?$
- ▶ The $\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ should be
 - Close to 0, if the predicted value \hat{y} will be close to true value y .
 - Large, if the predicted value \hat{y} will be far from the true value y .


$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} -\log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 1 \\ -\log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (4/5)

$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} -\log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 1 \\ -\log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 0 \end{cases}$$



when $y = 1$



when $y = 0$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (5/5)

- We can define $J(\mathbf{w})$ as below

$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} -\log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 1 \\ -\log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (5/5)

- We can define $J(\mathbf{w})$ as below

$$\text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} -\log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 1 \\ -\log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}) & \text{if } y^{(i)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$



How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{w} ?

- ▶ We want to choose \mathbf{w} so as to minimize $J(\mathbf{w})$.
- ▶ An approach to find \mathbf{w} : gradient descent
 - Batch gradient descent
 - Stochastic gradient
 - Mini-batch gradient descent



Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (1/3)

► **Goal:** find \mathbf{w} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$.



Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (1/3)

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{w} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (1/3)

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{w} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:
 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (1/3)

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{w} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:
 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$
 2. Choose a **step size** η

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (1/3)

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{w} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:
 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$
 2. Choose a **step size** η
 3. **Update** the parameters: $\mathbf{w}^{(\text{next})} = \mathbf{w} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$ (**simultaneously** for all parameters)

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (2/3)

- 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$.

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (2/3)

- 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$.

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \text{cost}(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \left(y^{(i)} \frac{1}{\hat{y}^{(i)}} - (1 - y^{(i)}) \frac{1}{1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}} \right) \frac{\partial \hat{y}^{(i)}}{\partial w_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \left(y^{(i)} \frac{1}{\hat{y}^{(i)}} - (1 - y^{(i)}) \frac{1}{1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}} \right) \hat{y}^{(i)} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}{\partial w_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \left(y^{(i)} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}) - (1 - y^{(i)}) \hat{y}^{(i)} \right) x_j \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_i (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) x_j \end{aligned}$$



Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent (3/3)

- ▶ 2. Choose a step size η
- ▶ 3. Update the parameters: $w_j^{(\text{next})} = w_j - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j}$
 - $0 \leq j \leq n$, where n is the number of features.

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent - Example (1/4)

Tumor size	Cancer
330	1
120	0
400	1

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 330 \\ 1 & 120 \\ 1 & 400 \end{array} \right] \quad \mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

- Predict the risk of cancer \hat{y} as a function of the tumor sizes x_1 .
- E.g., what is \hat{y} , if $x_1 = 500$?

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent - Example (2/4)

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 330 \\ 1 & 120 \\ 1 & 400 \end{array} \right] \quad \mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1)}}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent - Example (2/4)

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 330 \\ 1 & 120 \\ 1 & 400 \end{array} \right] \quad \mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1)}}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_0} &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_i^3 (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) \mathbf{x}_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + 330\mathbf{w}_1)}} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + 120\mathbf{w}_1)}} - 0 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + 400\mathbf{w}_1)}} - 1 \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent - Example (3/4)

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 330 \\ 1 & 120 \\ 1 & 400 \end{array} \right] \quad \mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1)}}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent - Example (3/4)

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 330 \\ 1 & 120 \\ 1 & 400 \end{array} \right] \quad \mathbf{y} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x}_1)}}$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_1} &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_i^3 (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) \mathbf{x}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[330 \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + 330\mathbf{w}_1)}} - 1 \right) + 120 \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + 120\mathbf{w}_1)}} - 0 \right) + 400 \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\mathbf{w}_0 + 400\mathbf{w}_1)}} - 1 \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression Gradient Descent - Example (4/4)

$$w_0^{(\text{next})} = w_0 - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_0}$$
$$w_1^{(\text{next})} = w_1 - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_1}$$



Binomial Logistic Regression in Spark

```
case class cancer(x1: Long, y: Long)

val trainData = Seq(cancer(330, 1), cancer(120, 0), cancer(400, 1)).toDF
val testData = Seq(cancer(500, 0)).toDF
```



Binomial Logistic Regression in Spark

```
case class cancer(x1: Long, y: Long)

val trainData = Seq(cancer(330, 1), cancer(120, 0), cancer(400, 1)).toDF
val testData = Seq(cancer(500, 0)).toDF

import org.apache.spark.ml.feature.VectorAssembler

val va = new VectorAssembler().setInputCols(Array("x1")).setOutputCol("features")

val train = va.transform(trainData)
val test = va.transform(testData)
```




Binomial Logistic Regression in Spark

```
case class cancer(x1: Long, y: Long)

val trainData = Seq(cancer(330, 1), cancer(120, 0), cancer(400, 1)).toDF
val testData = Seq(cancer(500, 0)).toDF
```

```
import org.apache.spark.ml.feature.VectorAssembler

val va = new VectorAssembler().setInputCols(Array("x1")).setOutputCol("features")

val train = va.transform(trainData)
val test = va.transform(testData)
```

```
import org.apache.spark.ml.classification.LogisticRegression

val lr = new LogisticRegression().setFeaturesCol("features").setLabelCol("y")
    .setMaxIter(10).setRegParam(0.3).setElasticNetParam(0.8)

val lrModel = lr.fit(train)
lrModel.transform(test).show
```

Binomial Logistic Regression

Probabilistic Interpretation



Probability and Likelihood (1/2)

- Let $X : \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ be a discrete random variable drawn independently from a distribution probability p depending on a parameter θ .



Probability and Likelihood (1/2)

- Let $X : \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ be a discrete random variable drawn independently from a distribution probability p depending on a parameter θ .
- For six tosses of a coin, $X : \{h, t, t, t, h, t\}$, h : head, and t : tail.
 - Suppose you have a coin with probability θ to land heads and $(1 - \theta)$ to land tails.

Probability and Likelihood (1/2)

- ▶ Let $X : \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ be a discrete random variable drawn independently from a distribution probability p depending on a parameter θ .
 - For six tosses of a coin, $X : \{h, t, t, t, h, t\}$, h : head, and t : tail.
 - Suppose you have a coin with probability θ to land heads and $(1 - \theta)$ to land tails.
- ▶ $p(X \mid \theta = \frac{2}{3})$ is the probability of X given $\theta = \frac{2}{3}$.

Probability and Likelihood (1/2)

- ▶ Let $X : \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ be a **discrete random variable** drawn **independently** from a **distribution probability p** depending on a **parameter θ** .
 - For six tosses of a coin, $X : \{h, t, t, t, h, t\}$, **h**: head, and **t**: tail.
 - Suppose you have a **coin** with probability θ to land heads and $(1 - \theta)$ to land tails.
- ▶ $p(X \mid \theta = \frac{2}{3})$ is the **probability** of **X** given $\theta = \frac{2}{3}$.
- ▶ $p(X = h \mid \theta)$ is the **likelihood** of θ given $X = h$.

Probability and Likelihood (1/2)

- ▶ Let $X : \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ be a **discrete random variable** drawn **independently** from a **distribution probability p** depending on a **parameter θ** .
 - For six tosses of a coin, $X : \{h, t, t, t, h, t\}$, **h**: head, and **t**: tail.
 - Suppose you have a **coin** with probability θ to land heads and $(1 - \theta)$ to land tails.
- ▶ $p(X \mid \theta = \frac{2}{3})$ is the **probability** of **X** given $\theta = \frac{2}{3}$.
- ▶ $p(X = h \mid \theta)$ is the **likelihood** of θ given $X = h$.
- ▶ **Likelihood (L)**: a function of the **parameters (θ)** of a probability model, given **specific observed data**, e.g., $X = h$.

$$L(\theta) = p(X \mid \theta)$$

Probability and Likelihood (2/2)

- If samples in \mathbf{X} are **independent** we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= p(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) = p(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)} \mid \theta) \\ &= p(\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \mid \theta) p(\mathbf{x}^{(2)} \mid \theta) \cdots p(\mathbf{x}^{(m)} \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \theta) \end{aligned}$$



Likelihood and Log-Likelihood

- ▶ The Likelihood product is prone to numerical underflow.

$$L(\theta) = p(X | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$$

Likelihood and Log-Likelihood

- ▶ The Likelihood product is prone to numerical underflow.

$$L(\theta) = p(X | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$$

- ▶ To overcome this problem we can use the logarithm of the likelihood.
 - Transforms a product into a sum.

$$\log(L(\theta)) = \log(p(X | \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$$

Likelihood and Log-Likelihood

- ▶ The Likelihood product is prone to numerical underflow.

$$L(\theta) = p(X | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$$

- ▶ To overcome this problem we can use the logarithm of the likelihood.
 - Transforms a product into a sum.

$$\log(L(\theta)) = \log(p(X | \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$$

- ▶ Negative Log-Likelihood: $-\log L(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \log p(x^{(i)} | \theta)$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Log-Likelihood (1/2)

- Let's consider the value of $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ as the **probability**:

$$\begin{cases} p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \hat{y}^{(i)} \\ p(y^{(i)} = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \hat{y}^{(i)} \end{cases} \Rightarrow p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = (\hat{y}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})^{(1-y^{(i)})}$$



- $$\begin{cases} p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \hat{y}^{(i)} \\ p(y^{(i)} = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \hat{y}^{(i)} \end{cases} \Rightarrow p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = (\hat{y}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})^{(1-y^{(i)})}$$

- $$L(\mathbf{w}) = p(y \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\hat{y}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})^{(1-y^{(i)})}$$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Log-Likelihood (1/2)

- ▶ Let's consider the value of $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ as the **probability**:

$$\begin{cases} p(y^{(i)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \hat{y}^{(i)} \\ p(y^{(i)} = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \hat{y}^{(i)} \end{cases} \Rightarrow p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = (\hat{y}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})^{(1-y^{(i)})}$$

- ▶ So the **likelihood** is:

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\hat{y}^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})^{(1-y^{(i)})}$$

- ▶ And the **negative log-likelihood**:

$$-\log(L(\mathbf{w})) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \log p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})$$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Log-Likelihood (2/2)

- The **negative log-likelihood**:

$$-\log(L(\mathbf{w})) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \log p(y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})$$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Log-Likelihood (2/2)

- ▶ The **negative log-likelihood**:

$$-\log(L(\mathbf{w})) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \log p(y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})$$

- ▶ This equation is the same as the the **logistic regression cost function**.

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Log-Likelihood (2/2)

- ▶ The **negative log-likelihood**:

$$-\log(L(\mathbf{w})) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \log p(y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})$$

- ▶ This equation is the same as the the **logistic regression cost function**.

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

- ▶ **Minimize the negative log-likelihood** to **minimize the cost function** $J(\mathbf{w})$.

Binomial Logistic Regression and Cross-Entropy (1/2)

- ▶ Negative log-likelihood is also called the **cross-entropy**
- ▶ **Cross-entropy**: quantify the **difference (error)** between **two probability distributions**.
- ▶ **How close** is the **predicted distribution** to the **true distribution**?

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

- ▶ Where **p** is the **true distribution**, and **q** is the **predicted distribution**.



Binomial Logistic Regression and Cross-Entropy (2/2)

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Cross-Entropy (2/2)

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

- The **true probability** distribution: $p(y = 1) = y$ and $p(y = 0) = 1 - y$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Cross-Entropy (2/2)

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

- ▶ The **true probability** distribution: $p(y = 1) = y$ and $p(y = 0) = 1 - y$
- ▶ The **predicted probability** distribution: $q(y = 1) = \hat{y}$ and $q(y = 0) = 1 - \hat{y}$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Cross-Entropy (2/2)

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

- ▶ The **true probability** distribution: $p(y = 1) = y$ and $p(y = 0) = 1 - y$
- ▶ The **predicted probability** distribution: $q(y = 1) = \hat{y}$ and $q(y = 0) = 1 - \hat{y}$
- ▶ $p \in \{y, 1 - y\}$ and $q \in \{\hat{y}, 1 - \hat{y}\}$

Binomial Logistic Regression and Cross-Entropy (2/2)

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

- ▶ The **true probability** distribution: $p(y = 1) = y$ and $p(y = 0) = 1 - y$
- ▶ The **predicted probability** distribution: $q(y = 1) = \hat{y}$ and $q(y = 0) = 1 - \hat{y}$
- ▶ $p \in \{y, 1 - y\}$ and $q \in \{\hat{y}, 1 - \hat{y}\}$
- ▶ So, the **cross-entropy** of p and q is nothing but the **logistic cost function**.

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j) = -(y \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})) = \text{cost}(y, \hat{y})$$



$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j)$$

- ▶ The **true probability** distribution: $p(y = 1) = y$ and $p(y = 0) = 1 - y$
- ▶ The **predicted probability** distribution: $q(y = 1) = \hat{y}$ and $q(y = 0) = 1 - \hat{y}$
- ▶ $p \in \{y, 1 - y\}$ and $q \in \{\hat{y}, 1 - \hat{y}\}$
- ▶ So, the **cross-entropy** of p and q is nothing but the **logistic cost function**.

$$H(p, q) = - \sum_j p_j \log(q_j) = -(y \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})) = \text{cost}(y, \hat{y})$$

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m \text{cost}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m H(p, q) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m (y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)}))$$

- Minimize the cross-entropy to minimize the cost function $J(\mathbf{w})$.

Multinomial Logistic Regression



Multinomial Logistic Regression

- ▶ **Multinomial classifiers** can distinguish between **more than two classes**.
- ▶ Instead of $y \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$.



Binomial vs. Multinomial Logistic Regression (1/2)

- ▶ In a **binary class** $y \in \{0, 1\}$, the **estimator** is $\hat{y} = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$.
 - We find **one** set of parameters \mathbf{w} .

$$\mathbf{w}^T = [w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n]$$

Binomial vs. Multinomial Logistic Regression (1/2)

- ▶ In a **binary class** $y \in \{0, 1\}$, the **estimator** is $\hat{y} = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$.
 - We find **one** set of parameters \mathbf{w} .

$$\mathbf{w}^T = [w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n]$$

- ▶ In **multiclass** $y \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we need to estimate the result for each **individual label**, i.e., $\hat{y}_j = p(y = j \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$.

Binomial vs. Multinomial Logistic Regression (1/2)

- ▶ In a **binary class** $y \in \{0, 1\}$, the **estimator** is $\hat{y} = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$.
 - We find **one** set of parameters \mathbf{w} .

$$\mathbf{w}^T = [w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n]$$

- ▶ In **multiclass** $y \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we need to estimate the result for each **individual label**, i.e., $\hat{y}_j = p(y = j \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$.
 - We find **k** set of parameters \mathbf{W} .

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} [w_{0,1}, w_{1,1}, \dots, w_{n,1}] \\ [w_{0,2}, w_{1,2}, \dots, w_{n,2}] \\ \vdots \\ [w_{0,k}, w_{1,k}, \dots, w_{n,k}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^T \\ \mathbf{w}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_k^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Binomial vs. Multinomial Logistic Regression (2/2)

- In a **binary class**, $y \in \{0, 1\}$, we use the **sigmoid** function.

$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_n x_n$$

$$\hat{y} = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$$

Binomial vs. Multinomial Logistic Regression (2/2)

- In a **binary class**, $y \in \{0, 1\}$, we use the **sigmoid** function.


$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = w_0 x_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_n x_n$$
$$\hat{y} = p(y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$$

- In **multiclass**, $y \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we use the **softmax** function.

$$\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} = w_{0,j} x_0 + w_{1,j} x_1 + \cdots + w_{n,j} x_n, 1 \leq j \leq k$$
$$\hat{y}_j = p(y = j \mid \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}}}$$

Sigmoid vs. Softmax

- ▶ **Sigmoid** function: $\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}}}$
- ▶ **Softmax** function: $\sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}}}$
 - Calculate the probabilities of each target class over all possible target classes.
 - The softmax function for two classes is equivalent to the sigmoid function.



How Does Softmax Work? - Step 1

- For each instance \mathbf{x} , computes the score $\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}$ for each class j .

$$\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} = w_{0,j}x_0 + w_{1,j}x_1 + \cdots + w_{n,j}x_n$$

- Note that each class j has its own dedicated parameter vector \mathbf{w}_j .

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} [w_{0,1}, w_{1,1}, \cdots, w_{n,1}] \\ [w_{0,2}, w_{1,2}, \cdots, w_{n,2}] \\ \vdots \\ [w_{0,k}, w_{1,k}, \cdots, w_{n,k}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^T \\ \mathbf{w}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_k^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- $$\hat{y}_j^{(i)} = p(y^{(i)} = j \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}{\sum_{l=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}$$



How Does Softmax Work? - Step 3

- Predicts the class with the **highest estimated probability**.

Softmax Model Estimation and Prediction - Example (1/2)

- Assume we have a **training set** consisting of $m = 4$ instances from $k = 3$ **classes**.

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{class1}, \mathbf{y}^{(1)\top} = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{class2}, \mathbf{y}^{(2)\top} = [0 \ 1 \ 0]$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(3)} \rightarrow \text{class3}, \mathbf{y}^{(3)\top} = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(4)} \rightarrow \text{class3}, \mathbf{y}^{(4)\top} = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Softmax Model Estimation and Prediction - Example (1/2)

- Assume we have a **training set** consisting of $m = 4$ instances from $k = 3$ **classes**.

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{class1}, \mathbf{y}^{(1)\top} = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{class2}, \mathbf{y}^{(2)\top} = [0 \ 1 \ 0]$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(3)} \rightarrow \text{class3}, \mathbf{y}^{(3)\top} = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(4)} \rightarrow \text{class3}, \mathbf{y}^{(4)\top} = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Assume **training set** \mathbf{X} and random parameters \mathbf{W} are as below:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.1 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 1.1 & 2.3 \\ 1 & -1.1 & -2.3 \\ 1 & -1.5 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Softmax Model Estimation and Prediction - Example (2/2)

► Now, let's compute the softmax activation:

$$\hat{y}_j^{(i)} = p(y^{(i)} = j \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}{\sum_{l=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(3)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(4)T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.29 & 0.34 & \mathbf{0.36} \\ 0.21 & 0.33 & \mathbf{0.46} \\ \mathbf{0.43} & 0.33 & 0.24 \\ \mathbf{0.45} & 0.33 & 0.22 \end{bmatrix}$$

the predicted classes = $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

The correct classes = $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Softmax Model Estimation and Prediction - Example (2/2)

- Now, let's compute the softmax activation:

$$\hat{y}_j^{(i)} = p(y^{(i)} = j \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}{\sum_{l=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(3)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(4)T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.29 & 0.34 & \mathbf{0.36} \\ 0.21 & 0.33 & \mathbf{0.46} \\ \mathbf{0.43} & 0.33 & 0.24 \\ \mathbf{0.45} & 0.33 & 0.22 \end{bmatrix}$$

the predicted classes = $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

The correct classes = $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

- They are terribly wrong.

Softmax Model Estimation and Prediction - Example (2/2)

- Now, let's compute the softmax activation:

$$\hat{y}_j^{(i)} = p(y^{(i)} = j \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}{\sum_{l=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(3)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(4)T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.29 & 0.34 & \mathbf{0.36} \\ 0.21 & 0.33 & \mathbf{0.46} \\ \mathbf{0.43} & 0.33 & 0.24 \\ \mathbf{0.45} & 0.33 & 0.22 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{the predicted classes} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{The correct classes} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- They are terribly wrong.
- We need to update the weights based on the cost function.

Softmax Model Estimation and Prediction - Example (2/2)

- Now, let's compute the softmax activation:

$$\hat{y}_j^{(i)} = p(y^{(i)} = j \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \mathbf{w}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}{\sum_{l=1}^k e^{\mathbf{w}_l^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}}}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(2)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(3)T} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(4)T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.29 & 0.34 & \mathbf{0.36} \\ 0.21 & 0.33 & \mathbf{0.46} \\ \mathbf{0.43} & 0.33 & 0.24 \\ \mathbf{0.45} & 0.33 & 0.22 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{the predicted classes} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{The correct classes} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- They are terribly wrong.
- We need to update the weights based on the cost function.
- What is the cost function?



Multinomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (1/2)

- ▶ The **objective** is to have a model that estimates a **high probability** for the target class, and consequently a **low probability** for the other classes.

Multinomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (1/2)

- ▶ The **objective** is to have a model that estimates a **high probability** for the target class, and consequently a **low probability** for the other classes.
- ▶ **Cost function**: the **cross entropy** between the **correct classes** and **predicted class** for all classes.

$$J(\mathbf{w}_j) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k y_j^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}_j^{(i)})$$

Multinomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (1/2)

- ▶ The **objective** is to have a model that estimates a **high probability** for the target class, and consequently a **low probability** for the other classes.
- ▶ **Cost function**: the **cross entropy** between the **correct classes** and **predicted class** for all classes.

$$J(\mathbf{w}_j) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k y_j^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}_j^{(i)})$$

- ▶ $y_j^{(i)}$ is 1 if the target class for the i th instance is j , otherwise, it is 0.



Multinomial Logistic Regression - Cost Function (2/2)

- If there are two classes ($k = 2$), this cost function is equivalent to the **logistic regression's cost function**.

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m [y^{(i)} \log(\hat{y}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(i)})]$$



How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{W} ?

- Goal: find \mathbf{W} that minimizes $J(\mathbf{W})$.



How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{W} ?

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{W} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{W})$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:



How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{W} ?

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{W} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{W})$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:
 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$



How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{W} ?

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{W} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{W})$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:
 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$
 2. Choose a **step size** η

How to Learn Model Parameters \mathbf{W} ?

- ▶ **Goal:** find \mathbf{W} that **minimizes** $J(\mathbf{W})$.
- ▶ Start at a **random point**, and repeat the following **steps**, until the **stopping criterion** is satisfied:
 1. Determine a **descent direction** $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$
 2. Choose a **step size** η
 3. **Update** the parameters: $\mathbf{w}^{(\text{next})} = \mathbf{w} - \eta \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$ (**simultaneously** for **all parameters**)



Multinomial Logistic Regression in Spark

```
val training = spark.read.format("libsvm").load("multiclass_data.txt")
```



Multinomial Logistic Regression in Spark

```
val training = spark.read.format("libsvm").load("multiclass_data.txt")
```

```
import org.apache.spark.ml.classification.LogisticRegression
```

```
val lr = new LogisticRegression().setMaxIter(10).setRegParam(0.3).setElasticNetParam(0.8)  
val lrModel = lr.fit(training)
```



Multinomial Logistic Regression in Spark

```
val training = spark.read.format("libsvm").load("multiclass_data.txt")
```

```
import org.apache.spark.ml.classification.LogisticRegression
```

```
val lr = new LogisticRegression().setMaxIter(10).setRegParam(0.3).setElasticNetParam(0.8)  
val lrModel = lr.fit(training)
```

```
println(s"Coefficients: \n${lrModel.coefficientMatrix}")  
println(s"Intercepts: \n${lrModel.interceptVector}")
```

Performance Measures



Performance Measures

- ▶ Evaluate the performance of a model.
- ▶ Depends on the application and its requirements.
- ▶ There are many different types of classification algorithms, but the evaluation of them share similar principles.



Evaluation of Classification Models (1/3)

- ▶ In a **classification problem**, there exists a **true output y** and a **model-generated predicted output \hat{y}** for each data point.
- ▶ The results for each instance point can be assigned to one of **four categories**:
 - True Positive (TP)
 - True Negative (TN)
 - False Positive (FP)
 - False Negative (FN)

-

Evaluation of Classification Models (3/3)

- False Positive (FP): the label y is negative but prediction \hat{y} is positive (type I error).
- False Negative (FN): the label y is positive but prediction \hat{y} is negative (type II error).





Why Pure Accuracy Is Not A Good Metric?

- **Accuracy**: how **close** the **prediction** is to the **true value**.



Why Pure Accuracy Is Not A Good Metric?

- ▶ **Accuracy**: how **close** the **prediction** is to the **true value**.
- ▶ Assume a highly **unbalanced dataset**
- ▶ E.g., a dataset where **95%** of the data points are **not fraud** and **5%** of the data points are **fraud**.



Why Pure Accuracy Is Not A Good Metric?

- ▶ **Accuracy**: how **close** the **prediction** is to the **true value**.
- ▶ Assume a highly **unbalanced dataset**
- ▶ E.g., a dataset where **95%** of the data points are **not fraud** and **5%** of the data points are **fraud**.
- ▶ A **naive classifier** that **predicts not fraud**, regardless of input, will be **95% accurate**.


Why Pure Accuracy Is Not A Good Metric?

- ▶ **Accuracy**: how **close** the **prediction** is to the **true value**.
- ▶ Assume a highly **unbalanced dataset**
- ▶ E.g., a dataset where **95%** of the data points are **not fraud** and **5%** of the data points are **fraud**.
- ▶ A **naive classifier** that **predicts not fraud**, regardless of input, will be **95% accurate**.
- ▶ For this reason, metrics like **precision** and **recall** are typically used.

Precision

- It is the **accuracy** of the **positive predictions**.

$$\text{Precision} = p(y = 1 \mid \hat{y} = 1) = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{Green Semi-circle}}{\text{Green and Red Semi-circles}}$$
A diagram illustrating the formula for Precision. The numerator is represented by a green semi-circle, and the denominator is represented by a circle divided vertically into a green left half and a red right half. The text 'Precision =' is placed to the left of the fraction line.



- $$\text{Recall} = p(\hat{y} = 1 \mid y = 1) = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{True Positives}}{\text{True Positives} + \text{False Negatives}}$$


F1 Score

- ▶ **F1 score**: combine precision and recall into a single metric.
- ▶ The **F1 score** is the harmonic mean of precision and recall.
- ▶ Whereas the regular mean treats all values equally, the harmonic mean gives much more weight to low values.
- ▶ F1 only gets high score if both recall and precision are high.










$$F1 = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\text{precision}} + \frac{1}{\text{recall}}}$$

Confusion Matrix

- ▶ The **confusion matrix** is $K \times K$, where K is the **number of classes**.
- ▶ It shows the **number of correct and incorrect predictions** made by the classification model **compared to the actual outcomes** in the data.



Confusion Matrix - Example

		Predicted		
		Negative	Positive	
Actual	Negative	  		TN
	Positive	 	  	TP
		FN		

Precision (e.g., 3 out of 4)

Recall (e.g., 3 out of 5)

$$TP = 3, TN = 5, FP = 1, FN = 2$$



$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} = \frac{3}{3 + 1} = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\text{Recall (TPR)} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} = \frac{3}{3 + 2} = \frac{3}{5}$$

$$\text{FPR} = \frac{FP}{TN + FP} = \frac{1}{5 + 1} = \frac{1}{6}$$



Precision-Recall Tradeoff

- Precision-recall tradeoff: increasing precision reduces recall, and vice versa.





Precision-Recall Tradeoff

- **Precision-recall tradeoff:** increasing precision reduces recall, and vice versa.
- Assume a classifier that detects number 5 from the other digits.
 - If an instance score is greater than a threshold, it assigns it to the positive class, otherwise to the negative class.





Precision-Recall Tradeoff

- **Precision-recall tradeoff**: increasing precision reduces recall, and vice versa.
- Assume a classifier that detects number 5 from the other digits.
 - If an instance score is greater than a threshold, it assigns it to the positive class, otherwise to the negative class.
- Raising the threshold (move it to the arrow on the right), the false positive (the 6) becomes a true negative, thereby increasing precision.





Precision-Recall Tradeoff

- **Precision-recall tradeoff**: increasing precision reduces recall, and vice versa.
- Assume a classifier that detects number 5 from the other digits.
 - If an instance score is greater than a threshold, it assigns it to the positive class, otherwise to the negative class.
- Raising the threshold (move it to the arrow on the right), the false positive (the 6) becomes a true negative, thereby increasing precision.
- Lowering the threshold increases recall and reduces precision.




The ROC Curve (1/2)

- ▶ True positive rate (TPR) (recall): $p(\hat{y} = 1 \mid y = 1)$
- ▶ False positive rate (FPR): $p(\hat{y} = 1 \mid y = 0)$




- $$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{True Positives}}{\text{True Positives} + \text{False Negatives}}$$

FPR = $\frac{\text{Red Half}}{\text{Red Full}}$



The ROC Curve (2/2)

- ▶ Here is a **tradeoff**: the **higher** the **TPR**, the **more** **FPR** the classifier produces.
- ▶ The **dotted line** represents the ROC curve of a **purely random** classifier.
- ▶ A **good classifier** moves toward the **top-left** corner.
- ▶ **Area under the curve (AUC)**





Binomial Logistic Regression Measurements in Spark

```
val lr = new LogisticRegression()  
val lrModel = lr.fit(training)
```

Binomial Logistic Regression Measurements in Spark

```
val lr = new LogisticRegression()
val lrModel = lr.fit(training)

val trainingSummary = lrModel.binarySummary

// obtain the objective per iteration.
val objectiveHistory = trainingSummary.objectiveHistory
objectiveHistory.foreach(loss => println(loss))

// obtain the ROC as a dataframe and areaUnderROC.
val roc = trainingSummary.roc
roc.show()
println(s"areaUnderROC: ${trainingSummary.areaUnderROC}")

// set the model threshold to maximize F-Measure
val fMeasure = trainingSummary.fMeasureByThreshold
val maxFMeasure = fMeasure.select(max("F-Measure")).head().getDouble(0)
val bestThreshold = fMeasure.where($"F-Measure" === maxFMeasure)
    .select("threshold").head().getDouble(0)
lrModel.setThreshold(bestThreshold)
```



Multinomial Logistic Regression in Spark (1/2)

```
val trainingSummary = lrModel.summary

// for multiclass, we can inspect metrics on a per-label basis
println("False positive rate by label:")
trainingSummary.falsePositiveRateByLabel.zipWithIndex.foreach { case (rate, label) =>
  println(s"label $label: $rate")
}

println("True positive rate by label:")
trainingSummary.truePositiveRateByLabel.zipWithIndex.foreach { case (rate, label) =>
  println(s"label $label: $rate")
}
```

Multinomial Logistic Regression in Spark (2/2)

```
println("Precision by label:")
trainingSummary.precisionByLabel.zipWithIndex.foreach { case (prec, label) =>
  println(s"label $label: $prec")
}

println("Recall by label:")
trainingSummary.recallByLabel.zipWithIndex.foreach { case (rec, label) =>
  println(s"label $label: $rec")
}

val accuracy = trainingSummary.accuracy
val falsePositiveRate = trainingSummary.weightedFalsePositiveRate
val truePositiveRate = trainingSummary.weightedTruePositiveRate
val fMeasure = trainingSummary.weightedFMeasure
val precision = trainingSummary.weightedPrecision
val recall = trainingSummary.weightedRecall
```

Summary

- ▶ Binomial logistic regression
 - $y \in \{0, 1\}$
 - Sigmoid function
 - $-\log$ as the cost function
 - Minimize the cross-entropy

- ▶ Multinomial logistic regression
 - $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$
 - Softmax function
 - $-\log$ as the cost function

- ▶ Performance measurements
 - TP, TF, FP, FN
 - Precision, recall, F1
 - Threshold and ROC



Reference

- ▶ Ian Goodfellow et al., Deep Learning (Ch. 4, 5)
- ▶ Aurélien Géron, Hands-On Machine Learning (Ch. 3)
- ▶ Matei Zaharia et al., Spark - The Definitive Guide (Ch. 26)

Questions?