

1.

$$X \sim B(n, p)$$

N is large and p is close to 0.5(i.e 0.44)

By Binomial Approximation,

$$X \sim N(np, np(1-p)); (n = 50, p = 0.44)$$

$$X \sim N(44, 24.64)$$

$$\mu = 44 \text{ and } \sigma = 4.96$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z \geq 1.209) &= 1 - P(Z < 1.209) \\ &= 0.1132 \end{aligned}$$

2.

A)

After Calculating,

$$\text{Test statistic} = -3.16$$

Two tailed, so $\alpha = 0.025$

$$Df = 29;$$

$$T(\alpha/2) = 2.045; \text{ (which is our critical value)}$$

Test statistic (-3.16) does not lie between (-2.045 to 2.045);

So, we can reject null hypothesis.

There is a significant diff between means of weekly exercises.

B)

Find S_p ;

S_p is the pooled estimate of common SD for both large and small datasets.

$S_p = 0.122$; (always lies between $s(cs)$ and $s(bio)$)

$Df = 58$

$\alpha = 0.05$

$T(\alpha/2) = 1.96$

After calculating,

CI at 95perc interval = $-0.1 \pm (0.06)$

Margin of error = 0.06

C)

This means that we are 95% confident that the difference in mean weekly hours of exercise between cs and bio students is between -0.16 and -0.04

Because of the small sample size, a very imprecise estimate of difference in mean is produced.

3.

A)

No, always should include confidence Intervals along with p_value to understand significance much better.

Overall Significance = $1 - (1 - \alpha)^m$
 $= 0.401$