# OpenMP Application Program Interface

Examples

Version 4.0.0 - November 2013

Copyright © 1997-2013 OpenMP Architecture Review Board. Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted, provided the OpenMP Architecture Review Board copyright notice and the title of this document appear. Notice is given that copying is by permission of OpenMP Architecture Review Board.

This page intentionally left blank.

Intro	duction 5		
Examples			
1	A Simple Parallel Loop		
2	The OpenMP Memory Model9		
3	Conditional Compilation		
4	Internal Control Variables (ICVs)16		
5	The parallel Construct19		
6	Controlling the Number of Threads on Multiple Nesting Levels 21		
7	Interaction Between the num_threads Clause and omp_set_dynamic24		
8	Fortran Restrictions on the do Construct		
9	Fortran Private Loop Iteration Variables		
10	The nowait clause		
11	The collapse clause		
12	The parallel sections Construct		
13	The firstprivate Clause and the sections Construct 39		
14	The single Construct41		
15	Tasking Constructs		
16	The taskyield Directive67		
17	The workshare Construct		
18	The master Construct		
19	The critical Construct		
20	worksharing Constructs Inside a critical Construct77		
21	Binding of barrier Regions79		
22	The atomic Construct82		
23	Restrictions on the atomic Construct88		

24	The flush Construct without a List92	
25	Placement of flush, barrier, taskwait and taskyield Directives95	
26	The ordered Clause and the ordered Construct99	
27	Cancellation Constructs10	3
28	The threadprivate Directive10	8
29	Parallel Random Access Iterator Loop114	4
30	Fortran Restrictions on shared and private Clauses with Common Blocks	5
31	The default (none) Clause11	7
32	Race Conditions Caused by Implied Copies of Shared Variables in	^
00	Fortran	
33	The private Clause	U
34	Fortran Restrictions on Storage Association with the private Clause12	4
35	C/C++ Arrays in a firstprivate Clause	7
36	The lastprivate Clause12	9
37	The reduction Clause13	0
38	The copyin Clause13	6
39	The copyprivate Clause13	8
40	Nested Loop Constructs14	2
41	Restrictions on Nesting of Regions	6
42	The omp_set_dynamic and omp_set_num_threads Routines15	2
43	The omp_get_num_threads Routine15	4
44	The omp_init_lock Routine15	6
45	Ownership of Locks	7
46	Simple Lock Routines	9
47	Nestable Lock Routines	1
48	target Construct16	4
49	target data Construct17	1
50	target update Construct	2

51	declare target Construct	. 186
52	teams Constructs	. 194
53	Asynchronous Execution of a target Region Using Tasks	. 202
54	Array Sections in Device Constructs	. 206
55	Device Routines	. 210
56	Fortran ASSOCIATE Construct	. 214

# Introduction

This collection of programming examples supplements the OpenMP API for Shared Memory Parallelization specifications, and is not part of the formal specifications. It assumes familiarity with the OpenMP specifications, and shares the typographical conventions used in that document.

**Note** – This first release of the OpenMP Examples reflects the OpenMP Version 4.0 specifications. Additional examples are being developed and will be published in future releases of this document.

The OpenMP API specification provides a model for parallel programming that is portable across shared memory architectures from different vendors. Compilers from numerous vendors support the OpenMP API.

The directives, library routines, and environment variables demonstrated in this document allow users to create and manage parallel programs while permitting portability. The directives extend the C, C++ and Fortran base languages with single program multiple data (SPMD) constructs, tasking constructs, device constructs, worksharing constructs, and synchronization constructs, and they provide support for sharing and privatizing data. The functionality to control the runtime environment is provided by library routines and environment variables. Compilers that support the OpenMP API often include a command line option to the compiler that activates and allows interpretation of all OpenMP directives.

Complete information about the OpenMP API and a list of the compilers that support the OpenMP API can be found at the OpenMP.org web site

http://www.openmp.org

This page is intentionally blank.

# **Examples**

The following are examples of the OpenMP API directives, constructs, and routines.

C/C++

A statement following a directive is compound only when necessary, and a non-compound statement is indented with respect to a directive preceding it.

C/C++

# 1 A Simple Parallel Loop

The following example demonstrates how to parallelize a simple loop using the parallel loop construct. The loop iteration variable is private by default, so it is not necessary to specify it explicitly in a **private** clause.

```
c/C++

Example 1.1c

void simple(int n, float *a, float *b)
{
   int i;

#pragma omp parallel for
   for (i=1; i<n; i++) /* i is private by default */
       b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0;
}</pre>
```

#### - Fortran -

#### Example 1.1f

```
SUBROUTINE SIMPLE(N, A, B)

INTEGER I, N

REAL B(N), A(N)

!$OMP PARALLEL DO !I is private by default

DO I=2,N

B(I) = (A(I) + A(I-1)) / 2.0

ENDDO

!$OMP END PARALLEL DO

END SUBROUTINE SIMPLE
```

Fortran –

# 2 The OpenMP Memory Model

In the following example, at Print 1, the value of x could be either 2 or 5, depending on the timing of the threads, and the implementation of the assignment to x. There are two reasons that the value at Print 1 might not be 5. First, Print 1 might be executed before the assignment to x is executed. Second, even if Print 1 is executed after the assignment, the value 5 is not guaranteed to be seen by thread 1 because a flush may not have been executed by thread 0 since the assignment.

The barrier after Print 1 contains implicit flushes on all threads, as well as a thread synchronization, so the programmer is guaranteed that the value 5 will be printed by both Print 2 and Print 3.

#### C/C++

#### Example 2.1c

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main(){
 int x;
 x = 2;
  #pragma omp parallel num threads(2) shared(x)
    if (omp get thread num() == 0) {
      x = 5;
    } else {
    /* Print 1: the following read of x has a race */
     printf("1: Thread# %d: x = %d\n", omp_get_thread_num(),x );
    #pragma omp barrier
    if (omp get thread num() == 0) {
    /* Print 2 */
     printf("2: Thread# %d: x = %d\n", omp get thread num(),x );
    } else {
    /* Print 3 */
     printf("3: Thread# %d: x = %d\n", omp get thread num(),x);
    }
 }
 return 0;
```

#### Fortran

#### Example 2.1f

```
PROGRAM MEMMODEL
 INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
                         ! or USE OMP LIB
 INTEGER X
 X = 2
!$OMP PARALLEL NUM THREADS(2) SHARED(X)
    IF (OMP GET THREAD NUM() .EQ. 0) THEN
      X = 5
    ! PRINT 1: The following read of x has a race
     PRINT *,"1: THREAD# ", OMP GET THREAD NUM(), "X = ", X
    ENDIF
 !SOMP BARRIER
   IF (OMP GET THREAD NUM() .EQ. 0) THEN
      PRINT *,"2: THREAD# ", OMP GET THREAD NUM(), "X = ", X
   ELSE
    ! PRINT 3
      PRINT *, "3: THREAD# ", OMP GET THREAD NUM(), "X = ", X
    ENDIF
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END PROGRAM MEMMODEL
```

Fortran

The following example demonstrates why synchronization is difficult to perform correctly through variables. The value of flag is undefined in both prints on thread 1 and the value of data is only well-defined in the second print.

#### Example 2.2c

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
    int data;
    int flag=0;
    #pragma omp parallel num threads(2)
       if (omp get thread num()==0)
        {
            /* Write to the data buffer that will be
            read by thread */
            data = 42;
            /* Flush data to thread 1 and strictly order
            the write to data
            relative to the write to the flag */
            #pragma omp flush(flag, data)
            /* Set flag to release thread 1 */
            flag = 1;
            /* Flush flag to ensure that thread 1 sees
            the change */
            #pragma omp flush(flag)
       else if(omp get thread num() == 1)
            /* Loop until we see the update to the flag */
            #pragma omp flush(flag, data)
            while (flag < 1)
                #pragma omp flush(flag, data)
            /* Values of flag and data are undefined */
            printf("flag=%d data=%d\n", flag, data);
            #pragma omp flush(flag, data)
            /* Values data will be 42, value of flag
            still undefined */
            printf("flag=%d data=%d\n", flag, data);
    }
    return 0;
}
                                   C/C++
```

#### Fortran

#### Example 2.2f

```
PROGRAM EXAMPLE
INCLUDE "omp lib.h" ! or USE OMP LIB
INTEGER DATA
INTEGER FLAG
FLAG = 0
!$OMP PARALLEL NUM THREADS(2)
  IF (OMP GET THREAD NUM() .EQ. 0) THEN
          ! Write to the data buffer that will be read by thread 1
          DATA = 42
         ! Flush DATA to thread 1 and strictly order the write to DATA
          ! relative to the write to the FLAG
          !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
          ! Set FLAG to release thread 1
          FLAG = 1;
          ! Flush FLAG to ensure that thread 1 sees the change */
          !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG)
  ELSE IF (OMP GET THREAD NUM() .EQ. 1) THEN
          ! Loop until we see the update to the FLAG
          !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
          DO WHILE (FLAG .LT. 1)
                  !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
          ENDDO
          ! Values of FLAG and DATA are undefined
          PRINT *, 'FLAG=', FLAG, ' DATA=', DATA
          !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
          !Values DATA will be 42, value of FLAG still undefined */
          PRINT *, 'FLAG=', FLAG, ' DATA=', DATA
  ENDIF
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END
```

#### Fortran

The next example demonstrates why synchronization is difficult to perform correctly through variables. Because the write(1)-flush(1)-flush(2)-read(2) sequence cannot be guaranteed in the example, the statements on thread 0 and thread 1 may execute in either order.

#### Example 2.3c

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
         int flag=0;
         #pragma omp parallel num_threads(3)
                 if(omp get thread num()==0)
                 {
                         /* Set flag to release thread 1 */
                         #pragma omp atomic update
                         flag++;
                       /* Flush of flag is implied by the atomic directive */
                 else if(omp_get_thread_num() ==1)
                         /* Loop until we see that flag reaches 1*/
                         #pragma omp flush(flag)
                         while(flag < 1)
                                  #pragma omp flush(flag)
                         printf("Thread 1 awoken\n");
                         /* Set flag to release thread 2 */
                         #pragma omp atomic update
                         flag++;
                       /* Flush of flag is implied by the atomic directive */
                 else if(omp_get_thread_num() == 2)
                         /* Loop until we see that flag reaches 2 */
                         #pragma omp flush(flag)
                         while(flag < 2)
                                  #pragma omp flush(flag)
                         printf("Thread 2 awoken\n");
                 }
         }
         return 0;
}
                                   C/C++
```

#### Example 2.3f

```
PROGRAM EXAMPLE
INCLUDE "omp_lib.h" ! or USE OMP_LIB
INTEGER FLAG
FLAG = 0
!$OMP PARALLEL NUM THREADS(3)
  IF (OMP GET THREAD NUM() .EQ. 0) THEN
          ! Set flag to release thread 1
          !$OMP ATOMIC UPDATE
                  FLAG = FLAG + 1
          !Flush of FLAG is implied by the atomic directive
  ELSE IF (OMP GET THREAD NUM() .EQ. 1) THEN
                  ! Loop until we see that FLAG reaches 1
                  !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
                  DO WHILE (FLAG .LT. 1)
                           !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
                  ENDDO
                  PRINT *, 'Thread 1 awoken'
                  ! Set FLAG to release thread 2
                  !$OMP ATOMIC UPDATE
                           FLAG = FLAG + 1
                  !Flush of FLAG is implied by the atomic directive
  ELSE IF (OMP_GET_THREAD_NUM() .EQ. 2) THEN
                  ! Loop until we see that FLAG reaches 2
                  !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG, DATA)
                  DO WHILE (FLAG .LT. 2)
                          !$OMP FLUSH(FLAG,
                                                DATA)
                  ENDDO
                  PRINT *, 'Thread 2 awoken'
  ENDIF
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END
```

Fortran -

# 3 Conditional Compilation

C/C++

The following example illustrates the use of conditional compilation using the OpenMP macro \_OPENMP . With OpenMP compilation, the \_OPENMP macro becomes defined.

#### Example 3.1c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
# ifdef _OPENMP
    printf("Compiled by an OpenMP-compliant implementation.\n");
# endif
    return 0;
}
```

Fortran -

The following example illustrates the use of the conditional compilation sentinel. With OpenMP compilation, the conditional compilation sentinel !\$ is recognized and treated as two spaces. In fixed form source, statements guarded by the sentinel must start after column 6.

#### Example 3.1f

```
PROGRAM EXAMPLE
```

END PROGRAM EXAMPLE

```
C234567890
!$ PRINT *, "Compiled by an OpenMP-compliant implementation."
```

Fortran -

# 4 Internal Control Variables (ICVs)

According to \$, an OpenMP implementation must act as if there are ICVs that control the behavior of the program. This example illustrates two ICVs, *nthreads-var* and *maxactive-levels-var*. The *nthreads-var* ICV controls the number of threads requested for encountered parallel regions; there is one copy of this ICV per task. The *max-active-levels-var* ICV controls the maximum number of nested active parallel regions; there is one copy of this ICV for the whole program.

In the following example, the *nest-var*, *max-active-levels-var*, *dyn-var*, and *nthreads-var* ICVs are modified through calls to the runtime library routines <code>omp\_set\_nested</code>, <code>omp\_set\_max\_active\_levels</code>, <code>omp\_set\_dynamic</code>, and <code>omp\_set\_num\_threads</code> respectively. These ICVs affect the operation of <code>parallel</code> regions. Each implicit task generated by a <code>parallel</code> region has its own copy of the *nest-var*, *dyn-var*, and *nthreads-var* ICVs.

In the following example, the new value of *nthreads-var* applies only to the implicit tasks that execute the call to **omp\_set\_num\_threads**. There is one copy of the *maxactive-levels-var* ICV for the whole program and its value is the same for all tasks. This example assumes that nested parallelism is supported.

The outer **parallel** region creates a team of two threads; each of the threads will execute one of the two implicit tasks generated by the outer **parallel** region.

Each implicit task generated by the outer parallel region calls omp\_set\_num\_threads(3), assigning the value 3 to its respective copy of nthreads-var. Then each implicit task encounters an inner parallel region that creates a team of three threads; each of the threads will execute one of the three implicit tasks generated by that inner parallel region.

Since the outer **parallel** region is executed by 2 threads, and the inner by 3, there will be a total of 6 implicit tasks generated by the two inner **parallel** regions.

Each implicit task generated by an inner **parallel** region will execute the call to **omp\_set\_num\_threads(4)**, assigning the value 4 to its respective copy of *nthreads-var*.

The print statement in the outer **parallel** region is executed by only one of the threads in the team. So it will be executed only once.

The print statement in an inner **parallel** region is also executed by only one of the threads in the team. Since we have a total of two inner **parallel** regions, the print statement will be executed twice -- once per inner **parallel** region.

#### Example 4.1c

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main (void)
  omp set nested(1);
  omp set max active levels(8);
  omp set dynamic(0);
  omp set num threads(2);
  #pragma omp parallel
      omp set num threads(3);
      #pragma omp parallel
          omp set num threads(4);
          #pragma omp single
               * The following should print:
               * Inner: max act lev=8, num thds=3, max thds=4
               * Inner: max act lev=8, num thds=3, max thds=4
              printf ("Inner: max act lev=%d, num thds=%d, max thds=%d\n",
              omp get max active levels(), omp get num threads(),
              omp_get_max_threads());
        }
      #pragma omp barrier
      #pragma omp single
        {
           * The following should print:
           * Outer: max act lev=8, num thds=2, max thds=3
           */
          printf ("Outer: max act lev=%d, num thds=%d, max thds=%d\n",
                  omp get max active levels(), omp get num threads(),
                  omp get max threads());
        }
    return 0;
}
                                   C/C++
```

17

#### **Fortran**

#### Example 4.1f

```
program icv
     use omp lib
     call omp_set_nested(.true.)
      call omp set max active levels(8)
      call omp_set_dynamic(.false.)
      call omp_set_num_threads(2)
!$omp parallel
      call omp set num threads(3)
!$omp parallel
     call omp set num threads (4)
!$omp single
      The following should print:
       Inner: max act lev= 8 , num thds= 3 , max thds= 4
      Inner: max act lev= 8 , num thds= 3 , max thds= 4
      print *, "Inner: max act lev=", omp get max active levels(),
                 ", num thds=", omp get num threads(),
    &
                 ", max_thds=", omp_get_max_threads()
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
!$omp barrier
!$omp single
      The following should print:
      Outer: max act lev= 8 , num thds= 2 , max thds= 3
      print *, "Outer: max act lev=", omp get max active levels(),
                 ", num_thds=", omp_get_num_threads(),
                 ", max thds=", omp get max threads()
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
      end
```

Fortran

# 5 The parallel Construct

The **parallel** construct can be used in coarse-grain parallel programs. In the following example, each thread in the **parallel** region decides what part of the global array *x* to work on, based on the thread number:

```
C/C++
Example 5.1c
#include <omp.h>
void subdomain(float *x, int istart, int ipoints)
  int i;
  for (i = 0; i < ipoints; i++)
     x[istart+i] = 123.456;
void sub(float *x, int npoints)
    int iam, nt, ipoints, istart;
#pragma omp parallel default(shared) private(iam,nt,ipoints,istart)
        iam = omp get thread num();
        nt = omp get num threads();
        ipoints = npoints / nt; /* size of partition */
        istart = iam * ipoints; /* starting array index */
        if (iam == nt-1)
                           /* last thread may do more */
          ipoints = npoints - istart;
        subdomain(x, istart, ipoints);
}
int main()
    float array[10000];
    sub(array, 10000);
    return 0;
                                  C/C++
```

#### Example 5.1f

```
SUBROUTINE SUBDOMAIN(X, ISTART, IPOINTS)
          INTEGER ISTART, IPOINTS
         REAL X(*)
         INTEGER I
         DO 100 I=1, IPOINTS
            X(ISTART+I) = 123.456
100
         CONTINUE
      END SUBROUTINE SUBDOMAIN
      SUBROUTINE SUB(X, NPOINTS)
          INCLUDE "omp_lib.h"
                               ! or USE OMP_LIB
         REAL X(*)
          INTEGER NPOINTS
         INTEGER IAM, NT, IPOINTS, ISTART
!$OMP PARALLEL DEFAULT(PRIVATE) SHARED(X,NPOINTS)
          IAM = OMP GET THREAD NUM()
         NT = OMP_GET_NUM_THREADS()
         IPOINTS = NPOINTS/NT
         ISTART = IAM * IPOINTS
          IF (IAM .EQ. NT-1) THEN
              IPOINTS = NPOINTS - ISTART
         CALL SUBDOMAIN (X, ISTART, IPOINTS)
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE SUB
      PROGRAM PAREXAMPLE
         REAL ARRAY(10000)
         CALL SUB (ARRAY, 10000)
     END PROGRAM PAREXAMPLE
```

Fortran -

# 6 Controlling the Number of Threads on Multiple Nesting Levels

The following examples demonstrate how to use the **OMP\_NUM\_THREADS** environment variable to control the number of threads on multiple nesting levels:

C/C++

#### Example 6.1c

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main (void)
       omp set nested(1);
       omp_set_dynamic(0);
       #pragma omp parallel
          #pragma omp parallel
              #pragma omp single
              * If OMP NUM THREADS=2,3 was set, the following should print:
              * Inner: num thds=3
              * Inner: num thds=3
              * If nesting is not supported, the following should print:
              * Inner: num thds=1
              * Inner: num thds=1
                  printf ("Inner: num thds=%d\n", omp get num threads());
           }
           #pragma omp barrier
          omp set nested(0);
           #pragma omp parallel
              #pragma omp single
              * Even if OMP NUM THREADS=2,3 was set, the following should
              * print, because nesting is disabled:
              * Inner: num thds=1
              * Inner: num thds=1
                  printf ("Inner: num thds=%d\n", omp get num threads());
          }
```

C/C++

Fortran

#### Example 6.1f

```
program icv
         use omp lib
         call omp set nested(.true.)
         call omp set dynamic(.false.)
!$omp parallel
!$omp parallel
!$omp single
         ! If OMP NUM THREADS=2,3 was set, the following should print:
         ! Inner: num thds= 3
         ! Inner: num thds= 3
         ! If nesting is not supported, the following should print:
         ! Inner: num thds= 1
         ! Inner: num thds= 1
         print *, "Inner: num thds=", omp get num threads()
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
!$omp barrier
         call omp set nested(.false.)
!$omp parallel
!$omp single
         ! Even if OMP NUM THREADS=2,3 was set, the following should print,
         ! because nesting is disabled:
         ! Inner: num thds= 1
         ! Inner: num thds= 1
         print *, "Inner: num thds=", omp get num threads()
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
!$omp barrier
!$omp single
         ! If OMP NUM THREADS=2,3 was set, the following should print:
         ! Outer: num thds= 2
         print *, "Outer: num_thds=", omp_get_num_threads()
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
```

end

Fortran -

# 7 Interaction Between the num\_threads Clause and omp\_set\_dynamic

The following example demonstrates the **num\_threads** clause and the effect of the **omp\_set\_dynamic** routine on it.

The call to the omp\_set\_dynamic routine with argument 0 in C/C++, or .FALSE. in Fortran, disables the dynamic adjustment of the number of threads in OpenMP implementations that support it. In this case, 10 threads are provided. Note that in case of an error the OpenMP implementation is free to abort the program or to supply any number of threads available.

```
C/C++
Example 7.1c
#include <omp.h>
int main()
  omp set dynamic(0);
  #pragma omp parallel num threads(10)
    /* do work here */
  return 0;
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 7.1f
      PROGRAM EXAMPLE
        INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
                                 ! or USE OMP LIB
        CALL OMP SET DYNAMIC(.FALSE.)
!$OMP
         PARALLEL NUM THREADS (10)
            ! do work here
! SOMP
         END PARALLEL
      END PROGRAM EXAMPLE
                                  Fortran
```

The call to the omp\_set\_dynamic routine with a non-zero argument in C/C++, or .TRUE. in Fortran, allows the OpenMP implementation to choose any number of threads between 1 and 10.

```
C/C++
Example 7.2c
#include <omp.h>
int main()
  omp set dynamic(1);
  #pragma omp parallel num threads(10)
    /* do work here */
  return 0;
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 7.2f
      PROGRAM EXAMPLE
        INCLUDE "omp_lib.h"
                               ! or USE OMP LIB
        CALL OMP SET DYNAMIC(.TRUE.)
          PARALLEL NUM THREADS (10)
!$OMP
            ! do work here
!$OMP
          END PARALLEL
      END PROGRAM EXAMPLE

    Fortran -
```

It is good practice to set the *dyn-var* ICV explicitly by calling the **omp\_set\_dynamic** routine, as its default setting is implementation defined.

# 8 Fortran Restrictions on the do Construct

If an **end do** directive follows a *do-construct* in which several **DO** statements share a **DO** termination statement, then a **do** directive can only be specified for the outermost of these **DO** statements. The following example contains correct usages of loop constructs:

#### Example 8.1f

```
SUBROUTINE WORK (I, J)
      INTEGER I,J
      END SUBROUTINE WORK
      SUBROUTINE DO GOOD()
        INTEGER I, J
        REAL A(1000)
        DO 100 I = 1,10
!$OMP
          DO
          DO 100 J = 1,10
            CALL WORK(I,J)
        CONTINUE ! ! $OMP ENDDO implied here
100
!$OMP
       DΩ
        DO 200 J = 1,10
200
        A(I) = I + 1
!$OMP
        ENDDO
!$OMP
       DO
        DO 300 I = 1,10
          DO 300 J = 1,10
            CALL WORK (I, J)
300
        CONTINUE
        ENDDO
!$OMP
      END SUBROUTINE DO GOOD
```

The following example is non-conforming because the matching **do** directive for the **end do** does not precede the outermost loop:

#### Example 8.2f

```
SUBROUTINE WORK(I, J)
INTEGER I,J
END SUBROUTINE WORK
SUBROUTINE DO_WRONG
INTEGER I, J
```

```
DO 100 I = 1,10
!$OMP DO
DO 100 J = 1,10
CALL WORK(I,J)

100 CONTINUE
!$OMP ENDDO
END SUBROUTINE DO_WRONG
```

Fortran

# 9 Fortran Private Loop Iteration Variables

In general loop iteration variables will be private, when used in the *do-loop* of a **do** and **parallel do** construct or in sequential loops in a **parallel** construct (see \$ and \$). In the following example of a sequential loop in a **parallel** construct the loop iteration variable *I* will be private.

#### Example 9.1f

In exceptional cases, loop iteration variables can be made shared, as in the following example:

#### Example 9.2f

```
SUBROUTINE PLOOP 2(A,B,N,I1,I2)
REAL A(*), B(*)
INTEGER I1, I2, N
!$OMP PARALLEL SHARED(A,B,I1,I2)
!$OMP SECTIONS
!$OMP SECTION
    DO I1 = I1, N
       IF (A(I1).NE.0.0) EXIT
     ENDDO
!$OMP SECTION
     DO I2 = I2, N
       IF (B(I2).NE.0.0) EXIT
    ENDDO
!$OMP END SECTIONS
!$OMP SINGLE
    IF (I1.LE.N) PRINT *, 'ITEMS IN A UP TO ', I1, 'ARE ALL ZERO.'
    IF (I2.LE.N) PRINT *, 'ITEMS IN B UP TO ', I2, 'ARE ALL ZERO.'
!$OMP END SINGLE
```

!\$OMP END PARALLEL

END SUBROUTINE PLOOP\_2

Note however that the use of shared loop iteration variables can easily lead to race conditions.

Fortran

#### 10 The nowait clause

If there are multiple independent loops within a parallel region, you can use the nowait clause to avoid the implied barrier at the end of the loop construct, as follows:

```
C/C++
Example 10.1c
#include <math.h>
void nowait example(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
    #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=1; i<n; i++)
        b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0;
    #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<m; i++)
        y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
  }
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 10.1f
        SUBROUTINE NOWAIT EXAMPLE(N, M, A, B, Y, Z)
        INTEGER N, M
        REAL A(*), B(*), Y(*), Z(*)
        INTEGER I
!$OMP PARALLEL
!$OMP DO
        DO I=2,N
          B(I) = (A(I) + A(I-1)) / 2.0
        ENDDO
!$OMP END DO NOWAIT
!$OMP DO
        DO I=1,M
```

```
Y(I) = SQRT(Z(I))
ENDDO
!$OMP END DO NOWAIT
!$OMP END PARALLEL
```

!\$OMP PARALLEL

!SOMP DO SCHEDULE (STATIC)

END SUBROUTINE NOWAIT EXAMPLE

Fortran

In the following example, static scheduling distributes the same logical iteration numbers to the threads that execute the three loop regions. This allows the **nowait** clause to be used, even though there is a data dependence between the loops. The dependence is satisfied as long the same thread executes the same logical iteration numbers in each loop.

Note that the iteration count of the loops must be the same. The example satisfies this requirement, since the iteration space of the first two loops is from 0 to n-1 (from 1 to n in the Fortran version), while the iteration space of the last loop is from 1 to n (2 to n+1 in the Fortran version).

```
C/C++
Example 10.2c
#include <math.h>
void nowait example2(int n, float *a, float *b, float *c, float *y, float *z)
   int i;
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for schedule(static) nowait
   for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i] = (a[i] + b[i]) / 2.0f;
#pragma omp for schedule(static) nowait
   for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      z[i] = sqrtf(c[i]);
#pragma omp for schedule(static) nowait
   for (i=1; i<=n; i++)
      y[i] = z[i-1] + a[i];
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran -
Example 10.2f
   SUBROUTINE NOWAIT EXAMPLE2(N, A, B, C, Y, Z)
   INTEGER N
  REAL A(*), B(*), C(*), Y(*), Z(*)
   INTEGER I
```

```
DO I=1,N
     C(I) = (A(I) + B(I)) / 2.0
  ENDDO
!$OMP END DO NOWAIT
!$OMP DO SCHEDULE(STATIC)
  DO I=1,N
     Z(I) = SQRT(C(I))
   ENDDO
!$OMP END DO NOWAIT
!$OMP DO SCHEDULE(STATIC)
  DO I=2,N+1
     Y(I) = Z(I-1) + A(I)
  ENDDO
!$OMP END DO NOWAIT
!$OMP END PARALLEL
  END SUBROUTINE NOWAIT EXAMPLE2
```

- Fortran -

### 11 The collapse clause

In the following example, the **k** and **j** loops are associated with the loop construct. So the iterations of the **k** and **j** loops are collapsed into one loop with a larger iteration space, and that loop is then divided among the threads in the current team. Since the **i** loop is not associated with the loop construct, it is not collapsed, and the **i** loop is executed sequentially in its entirety in every iteration of the collapsed **k** and **j** loop.

#### C/C++

The variable j can be omitted from the **private** clause when the **collapse** clause is used since it is implicitly private. However, if the **collapse** clause is omitted then j will be shared if it is omitted from the **private** clause. In either case, k is implicitly private and could be omitted from the **private** clause.

#### Example 11.1c

```
void bar(float *a, int i, int j, int k);
int kl, ku, ks, jl, ju, js, il, iu,is;
void sub(float *a)
{
    int i, j, k;
    #pragma omp for collapse(2) private(i, k, j)
    for (k=kl; k<=ku; k+=ks)
        for (j=jl; j<=ju; j+=js)
            for (i=il; i<=iu; i+=is)
            bar(a,i,j,k);
}</pre>
```

C/C++

Fortran

#### Example 11.1f

```
subroutine sub(a)
real a(*)
integer kl, ku, ks, jl, ju, js, il, iu, is
common /csub/ kl, ku, ks, jl, ju, js, il, iu, is
integer i, j, k
!$omp do collapse(2) private(i,j,k)
do k = kl, ku, ks
    do j = jl, ju, js
    do i = il, iu, is
        call bar(a,i,j,k)
    enddo
enddo
enddo
```

Fortran

In the next example, the  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{j}$  loops are associated with the loop construct. So the iterations of the  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{j}$  loops are collapsed into one loop with a larger iteration space, and that loop is then divided among the threads in the current team.

The sequential execution of the iterations in the **k** and **j** loops determines the order of the iterations in the collapsed iteration space. This implies that in the sequentially last iteration of the collapsed iteration space, **k** will have the value 2 and **j** will have the value 3. Since **klast** and **jlast** are **lastprivate**, their values are assigned by the sequentially last iteration of the collapsed **k** and **j** loop. This example prints: 2 3.

#### Example 11.2c

C/C++

Fortran -

# Example 11.2f

```
program test
!$omp parallel
!$omp do private(j,k) collapse(2) lastprivate(jlast, klast)
     do k = 1,2
        do j = 1,3
          jlast=j
         klast=k
        enddo
      enddo
!$omp end do
!$omp single
                print *, klast, jlast
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
      end program test
                                Fortran -
```

The next example illustrates the interaction of the collapse and ordered clauses.

In the example, the loop construct has both a **collapse** clause and an **ordered** clause. The **collapse** clause causes the iterations of the **k** and **j** loops to be collapsed into one loop with a larger iteration space, and that loop is divided among the threads in the current team. An **ordered** clause is added to the loop construct, because an ordered region binds to the loop region arising from the loop construct.

According to \$, a thread must not execute more than one ordered region that binds to the same loop region. So the collapse clause is required for the example to be conforming. With the collapse clause, the iterations of the k and j loops are collapsed into one loop, and therefore only one ordered region will bind to the collapsed k and j loop. Without the collapse clause, there would be two ordered regions that bind to each iteration of the k loop (one arising from the first iteration of the j loop, and the other arising from the second iteration of the j loop).

C/C++

#### The code prints

- 0 1 1
- 0 1 2
- 0 2 1
- 1 2 2
- 1 3 1 1 3 2

# Example 11.3c

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
void work(int a, int j, int k);
void sub()
{
   int j, k, a;
   #pragma omp parallel num threads(2)
      #pragma omp for collapse(2) ordered private(j,k) schedule(static,3)
      for (k=1; k<=3; k++)
         for (j=1; j<=2; j++)
         {
            #pragma omp ordered
            printf("%d %d %d\n", omp get thread num(), k, j);
            /* end ordered */
            work(a,j,k);
         }
   }
}
```

C/C++

#### - Fortran -

# Example 11.3f

Fortran

# 12 The parallel sections Construct

In the following example routines **XAXIS**, **YAXIS**, and **ZAXIS** can be executed concurrently. The first **section** directive is optional. Note that all **section** directives need to appear in the **parallel sections** construct.

```
C/C++
Example 12.1c
void XAXIS();
void YAXIS();
void ZAXIS();
void sect example()
  #pragma omp parallel sections
    #pragma omp section
     XAXIS();
    #pragma omp section
      YAXIS();
    #pragma omp section
      ZAXIS();
  }
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 12.1f
      SUBROUTINE SECT EXAMPLE()
!$OMP PARALLEL SECTIONS
!$OMP SECTION
        CALL XAXIS()
!$OMP SECTION
        CALL YAXIS()
!SOMP SECTION
        CALL ZAXIS()
!$OMP END PARALLEL SECTIONS
      END SUBROUTINE SECT EXAMPLE
                                  Fortran •
```

# 13 The firstprivate Clause and the sections Construct

In the following example of the **sections** construct the **firstprivate** clause is used to initialize the private copy of **section\_count** of each thread. The problem is that the **section** constructs modify **section\_count**, which breaks the independence of the **section** constructs. When different threads execute each section, both sections will print the value 1. When the same thread executes the two sections, one section will print the value 1 and the other will print the value 2. Since the order of execution of the two sections in this case is unspecified, it is unspecified which section prints which value.

```
C/C++
```

```
Example 13.1c
```

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NT 4
int main() {
    int section count = 0;
    omp set dynamic(0);
    omp set num threads(NT);
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp sections firstprivate( section count )
#pragma omp section
        section count++;
        /* may print the number one or two */
       printf( "section_count %d\n", section_count );
#pragma omp section
        section count++;
        /* may print the number one or two */
        printf( "section_count %d\n", section_count );
    }
}
   return 1;
}
```

C/C++

#### Fortran

# Example 13.1f

```
program section
   use omp_lib
   integer :: section count = 0
   integer, parameter :: NT = 4
    call omp_set_dynamic(.false.)
   call omp set num threads(NT)
!$omp parallel
!$omp sections firstprivate ( section_count )
!$omp section
    section count = section count + 1
! may print the number one or two
   print *, 'section_count', section_count
!$omp section
   section_count = section_count + 1
! may print the number one or two
   print *, 'section count', section count
!$omp end sections
!$omp end parallel
end program section
```

Fortran -

# 14 The single Construct

The following example demonstrates the <code>single</code> construct. In the example, only one thread prints each of the progress messages. All other threads will skip the <code>single</code> region and stop at the barrier at the end of the <code>single</code> construct until all threads in the team have reached the barrier. If other threads can proceed without waiting for the thread executing the <code>single</code> region, a <code>nowait</code> clause can be specified, as is done in the third <code>single</code> construct in this example. The user must not make any assumptions as to which thread will execute a <code>single</code> region.

C/C++

```
Example 14.1c
#include <stdio.h>
void work1() {}
void work2() {}
void single example()
  #pragma omp parallel
    #pragma omp single
      printf("Beginning work1.\n");
    work1();
    #pragma omp single
      printf("Finishing work1.\n");
    #pragma omp single nowait
      printf("Finished work1 and beginning work2.\n");
    work2();
  }
}
                                  Fortran
Example 14.1f
      SUBROUTINE WORK1()
      END SUBROUTINE WORK1
      SUBROUTINE WORK2()
```

#### END SUBROUTINE WORK2

PROGRAM SINGLE EXAMPLE

!\$OMP PARALLEL

!\$OMP SINGLE

print \*, "Beginning work1."

!\$OMP END SINGLE

CALL WORK1()

!\$OMP SINGLE

print \*, "Finishing work1."

!\$OMP END SINGLE

!\$OMP SINGLE

print \*, "Finished work1 and beginning work2."

!\$OMP END SINGLE NOWAIT

CALL WORK2()

!\$OMP END PARALLEL

END PROGRAM SINGLE EXAMPLE

——— Fortran –

# 15 Tasking Constructs

The following example shows how to traverse a tree-like structure using explicit tasks. Note that the **traverse** function should be called from within a parallel region for the different specified tasks to be executed in parallel. Also note that the tasks will be executed in no specified order because there are no synchronization directives. Thus, assuming that the traversal will be done in post order, as in the sequential code, is wrong.

```
C/C++
Example 15.1c
struct node {
  struct node *left;
  struct node *right;
};
extern void process(struct node *);
void traverse( struct node *p ) {
  if (p->left)
#pragma omp task
                  // p is firstprivate by default
      traverse (p->left);
  if (p->right)
                    // p is firstprivate by default
#pragma omp task
      traverse (p->right);
  process(p);
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
```

# Example 15.1f

```
RECURSIVE SUBROUTINE traverse ( P )
   TYPE Node
     TYPE(Node), POINTER :: left, right
   END TYPE Node
   TYPE(Node) :: P
   IF (associated(P%left)) THEN
           !SOMP TASK
                        ! P is firstprivate by default
               call traverse(P%left)
           !$OMP END TASK
   ENDIF
   IF (associated(P%right)) THEN
           !$OMP TASK
                          ! P is firstprivate by default
               call traverse (P%right)
           !$OMP END TASK
```

```
ENDIF
CALL process ( P )
END SUBROUTINE
```

#### Fortran -

In the next example, we force a postorder traversal of the tree by adding a **taskwait** directive. Now, we can safely assume that the left and right sons have been executed before we process the current node.

```
C/C++
Example 15.2c
struct node {
 struct node *left;
  struct node *right;
extern void process(struct node *);
void postorder traverse( struct node *p ) {
    if (p->left)
       #pragma omp task // p is firstprivate by default
          postorder traverse(p->left);
    if (p->right)
       #pragma omp task // p is firstprivate by default
          postorder traverse(p->right);
    #pragma omp taskwait
   process(p);
}
                                  C/C++ =
```

#### Fortran

# Example 15.2f

```
RECURSIVE SUBROUTINE traverse ( P )
       TYPE(Node), POINTER :: left, right
   END TYPE Node
   TYPE(Node) :: P
   IF (associated(P%left)) THEN
         !$OMP TASK ! P is firstprivate by default
             call traverse (P%left)
         !$OMP END TASK
   ENDIF
    IF (associated(P%right)) THEN
         !$OMP TASK
                     ! P is firstprivate by default
             call traverse (P%right)
         !$OMP END TASK
   ENDIF
    !$OMP TASKWAIT
```

Fortran

The following example demonstrates how to use the task construct to process elements of a linked list in parallel. The thread executing the single region generates all of the explicit tasks, which are then executed by the threads in the current team. The pointer p is firstprivate by default on the task construct so it is not necessary to specify it in a firstprivate clause.

#### C/C++

#### Example 15.3c

```
typedef struct node node;
struct node {
      int data;
      node * next;
};
void process(node * p)
{
    /* do work here */
void increment list items(node * head)
    #pragma omp parallel
        #pragma omp single
               node * p = head;
               while (p) {
                     #pragma omp task
                      // p is firstprivate by default
                            process(p);
                      p = p->next;
            }
     }
}
```

C/C++

**Fortran** 

# Example 15.3f

```
MODULE LIST

TYPE NODE

INTEGER :: PAYLOAD

TYPE (NODE), POINTER :: NEXT
```

```
END TYPE NODE
CONTAINS
   SUBROUTINE PROCESS(p)
       TYPE (NODE), POINTER :: P
           ! do work here
   END SUBROUTINE
    SUBROUTINE INCREMENT LIST ITEMS (HEAD)
        TYPE (NODE), POINTER :: HEAD
        TYPE (NODE), POINTER :: P
        !$OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE(P)
           !$OMP SINGLE
                P => HEAD
                DO
                   !$OMP TASK
                       ! P is firstprivate by default
                       CALL PROCESS(P)
                   !$OMP END TASK
                   P => P%NEXT
                   IF ( .NOT. ASSOCIATED (P) ) EXIT
                END DO
          !$OMP END SINGLE
       !$OMP END PARALLEL
    END SUBROUTINE
END MODULE
```

Fortran -

The **fib()** function should be called from within a **parallel** region for the different specified tasks to be executed in parallel. Also, only one thread of the **parallel** region should call **fib()** unless multiple concurrent Fibonacci computations are desired.

C/C++

```
Example 15.4c
```

```
int fib(int n) {
  int i, j;
  if (n<2)
    return n;
  else {
    #pragma omp task shared(i)
        i=fib(n-1);
    #pragma omp task shared(j)
        j=fib(n-2);
    #pragma omp taskwait
    return i+j;
}</pre>
```

C/C++

}

# Example 15.4f

```
RECURSIVE INTEGER FUNCTION fib(n) RESULT(res)
INTEGER n, i, j
IF ( n .LT. 2) THEN
res = n
ELSE
!$OMP TASK SHARED(i)
i = fib( n-1 )
!$OMP END TASK
!$OMP TASK SHARED(j)
j = fib( n-2 )
!$OMP END TASK
!$OMP TASK
SHARED(j)
res = i+j
END IF
END FUNCTION
```

Fortran

Note: There are more efficient algorithms for computing Fibonacci numbers. This classic recursion algorithm is for illustrative purposes.

The following example demonstrates a way to generate a large number of tasks with one thread and execute them with the threads in the team. While generating these tasks, the implementation may reach its limit on unassigned tasks. If it does, the implementation is allowed to cause the thread executing the task generating loop to suspend its task at the task scheduling point in the **task** directive, and start executing unassigned tasks. Once the number of unassigned tasks is sufficiently low, the thread may resume execution of the task generating loop.

#### C/C++

#### Example 15.5c

```
}
}
```

#### C/C++

#### Fortran

#### Example 15.5f

```
real*8 item(10000000)
    integer i

!$omp parallel
!$omp single ! loop iteration variable i is private
    do i=1,10000000
!$omp task
        ! i is firstprivate, item is shared
        call process(item(i))
!$omp end task
        end do
!$omp end single
!$omp end parallel
    end
```

**Fortran** 

The following example is the same as the previous one, except that the tasks are generated in an untied task. While generating the tasks, the implementation may reach its limit on unassigned tasks. If it does, the implementation is allowed to cause the thread executing the task generating loop to suspend its task at the task scheduling point in the task directive, and start executing unassigned tasks. If that thread begins execution of a task that takes a long time to complete, the other threads may complete all the other tasks before it is finished.

In this case, since the loop is in an untied task, any other thread is eligible to resume the task generating loop. In the previous examples, the other threads would be forced to idle until the generating thread finishes its long task, since the task generating loop was in a tied task.

#### C/C++

# Example 15.6c

C/C++

**Fortran** 

#### Example 15.6f

Fortran

The following two examples demonstrate how the scheduling rules illustrated in \$ affect the usage of threadprivate variables in tasks. A threadprivate variable can be modified by another task that is executed by the same thread. Thus, the value of a threadprivate variable cannot be assumed to be unchanged across a task scheduling point. In untied tasks, task scheduling points may be added in any place by the implementation.

A task switch may occur at a task scheduling point. A single thread may execute both of the task regions that modify **tp**. The parts of these task regions in which **tp** is modified may be executed in any order so the resulting value of **var** can be either 1 or 2.

```
C/C++
```

# Example 15.7c

```
int tp;
#pragma omp threadprivate(tp)
```

49

```
int var;
void work()
#pragma omp task
        /* do work here */
#pragma omp task
            tp = 1;
            /* do work here */
#pragma omp task
                /* no modification of tp */
            }
            var = tp; //value of tp can be 1 or 2
        tp = 2;
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 15.7f
     module example
      integer tp
!$omp threadprivate(tp)
      integer var
      contains
      subroutine work
     use globals
!$omp task
         ! do work here
!$omp task
         tp = 1
         ! do work here
!$omp task
           ! no modification of tp
!$omp end task
        var = tp
                   ! value of var can be 1 or 2
!$omp end task
        tp = 2
!$omp end task
      end subroutine
```

In this example, scheduling constraints prohibit a thread in the team from executing a new task that modifies **tp** while another such task region tied to the same thread is suspended. Therefore, the value written will persist across the task scheduling point.

Fortran

end module

```
Example 15.8c
```

```
int tp;
#pragma omp threadprivate(tp)
int var;
void work()
#pragma omp parallel
        /* do work here */
#pragma omp task
        {
            tp++;
            /* do work here */
#pragma omp task
                /* do work here but don't modify tp */
            var = tp; //Value does not change after write above
        }
    }
}
                                   C/C++ -
```

Fortran -

Fortran

# Example 15.8f

```
module example
     integer tp
!$omp threadprivate(tp)
     integer var
     contains
     subroutine work
!$omp parallel
         ! do work here
!$omp task
         tp = tp + 1
         ! do work here
!$omp task
           ! do work here but don't modify tp
!$omp end task
                   ! value does not change after write above
        var = tp
!$omp end task
!$omp end parallel
     end subroutine
     end module
```

The following two examples demonstrate how the scheduling rules illustrated in \$ affect the usage of locks and critical sections in tasks. If a lock is held across a task scheduling point, no attempt should be made to acquire the same lock in any code that may be interleaved. Otherwise, a deadlock is possible.

In the example below, suppose the thread executing task 1 defers task 2. When it encounters the task scheduling point at task 3, it could suspend task 1 and begin task 2 which will result in a deadlock when it tries to enter critical region 1.

```
C/C++
Example 15.9c
void work()
   #pragma omp task
   { //Task 1
       #pragma omp task
       { //Task 2
            #pragma omp critical //Critical region 1
            {/*do work here */ }
       #pragma omp critical //Critical Region 2
           //Capture data for the following task
           #pragma omp task
           { /* do work here */ } //Task 3
   }
}
                                  C/C++
```

Fortran

# Example 15.9f

```
module example
contains
subroutine work
!$omp task
! Task 1
!$omp task
! Task 2
!$omp critical
! Critical region 1
! do work here
!$omp end critical
!$omp end task
!$omp critical
! Critical region 2
```

```
! Capture data for the following task
!$omp task
!Task 3
! do work here
!$omp end task
!$omp end critical
!$omp end task
end subroutine
end module
```

----- Fortran -

In the following example, lock is held across a task scheduling point. However, according to the scheduling restrictions, the executing thread can't begin executing one of the non-descendant tasks that also acquires lock before the task region is complete. Therefore, no deadlock is possible.

```
C/C++
Example 15.10c
#include <omp.h>
void work() {
   omp_lock_t lock;
   omp init lock(&lock);
#pragma omp parallel
        int i;
#pragma omp for
        for (i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
#pragma omp task
                   // lock is shared by default in the task
                   omp set lock(&lock);
                // Capture data for the following task
#pragma omp task
                   // Task Scheduling Point 1
                { /* do work here */ }
                omp unset lock(&lock);
            }
        }
   omp destroy lock(&lock);
}
                                   C/C++
```

Fortran

#### Example 15.10f

```
module example
include 'omp_lib.h'
integer (kind=omp_lock_kind) lock
integer i
contains
subroutine work
call omp_init_lock(lock)
!$omp parallel
!$omp do
do i=1,100
    !$omp task
    ! Outer task
```

Fortran

The following examples illustrate the use of the mergeable clause in the task construct. In this first example, the task construct has been annotated with the mergeable clause. The addition of this clause allows the implementation to reuse the data environment (including the ICVs) of the parent task for the task inside foo if the task is included or undeferred. Thus, the result of the execution may differ depending on whether the task is merged or not. Therefore the mergeable clause needs to be used with caution. In this example, the use of the mergeable clause is safe. As  $\mathbf{x}$  is a shared variable the outcome does not depend on whether or not the task is merged (that is, the task will always increment the same variable and will always compute the same value for  $\mathbf{x}$ ).

```
x = 2
!$omp task shared(x) mergeable
x = x + 1
!$omp end task
!$omp taskwait
  print *, x ! prints 3
end subroutine
```

#### Fortran

This second example shows an incorrect use of the mergeable clause. In this example, the created task will access different instances of the variable  $\mathbf{x}$  if the task is not merged, as  $\mathbf{x}$  is firstprivate, but it will access the same variable  $\mathbf{x}$  if the task is merged. As a result, the behavior of the program is unspecified and it can print two different values for  $\mathbf{x}$  depending on the decisions taken by the implementation.

# #include <stdio.h> void foo () { int x = 2; #pragma omp task mergeable { x++; } #pragma omp taskwait

#### Fortran

C/C++

# Example 15.12f

}

```
subroutine foo()
  integer :: x
  x = 2
!$omp task mergeable
  x = x + 1
!$omp end task
!$omp taskwait
  print *, x ! prints 2 or 3
end subroutine
```

 $printf("%d\n",x); // prints 2 or 3$ 

Fortran

The following example shows the use of the **final** clause and the **omp\_in\_final** API call in a recursive binary search program. To reduce overhead, once a certain depth of recursion is reached the program uses the **final** clause to create only included tasks, which allow additional optimizations.

The use of the <code>omp\_in\_final</code> API call allows programmers to optimize their code by specifying which parts of the program are not necessary when a task can create only included tasks (that is, the code is inside a <code>final</code> task). In this example, the use of a different state variable is not necessary so once the program reaches the part of the computation that is finalized and copying from the parent state to the new state is eliminated. The allocation of <code>new\_state</code> in the stack could also be avoided but it would make this example less clear. The <code>final</code> clause is most effective when used in conjunction with the <code>mergeable</code> clause since all tasks created in a <code>final</code> task region are included tasks that can be merged if the <code>mergeable</code> clause is present.

#### C/C++

#### *Example 15.13c*

```
#include <string.h>
#include <omp.h>
#define LIMIT 3 /* arbitrary limit on recursion depth */
void check solution(char *);
void bin search (int pos, int n, char *state)
   if ( pos == n ) {
      check solution(state);
      return;
   #pragma omp task final( pos > LIMIT ) mergeable
      char new state[n];
      if (!omp in final() ) {
        memcpy(new state, state, pos );
        state = new state;
      state[pos] = 0;
      bin search(pos+1, n, state );
   #pragma omp task final( pos > LIMIT ) mergeable
      char new state[n];
      if (! omp in final() ) {
        memcpy(new state, state, pos );
        state = new state;
      }
      state[pos] = 1;
      bin search(pos+1, n, state );
   #pragma omp taskwait
```

**Fortran** 

C/C++

# Example 15.13f

}

```
recursive subroutine bin search(pos, n, state)
 use omp lib
 integer :: pos, n
 character, pointer :: state(:)
 character, target, dimension(n) :: new state1, new state2
 integer, parameter :: LIMIT = 3
 if (pos .eq. n) then
   call check solution(state)
    return
 endif
!$omp task final(pos > LIMIT) mergeable
 if (.not. omp in final()) then
   new state1(1:pos) = state(1:pos)
    state => new state1
 endif
 state(pos+1) = 'z'
 call bin search(pos+1, n, state)
!$omp end task
!$omp task final(pos > LIMIT) mergeable
 if (.not. omp in final()) then
   new state2(1:pos) = state(1:pos)
    state => new state2
 endif
 state(pos+1) = 'y'
 call bin search(pos+1, n, state)
!$omp end task
!Somp taskwait
end subroutine
```

Fortran

The following example illustrates the difference between the if and the final clauses. The if clause has a local effect. In the first nest of tasks, the one that has the if clause will be undeferred but the task nested inside that task will not be affected by the if clause and will be created as usual. Alternatively, the final clause affects all task constructs in the final task region but not the final task itself. In the second nest of tasks, the nested tasks will be created as included tasks. Note also that the conditions for the if and final clauses are usually the opposite.

C/C++ -

# Example 15.14c

#### Example 15.14f

```
subroutine foo()
integer i
!$omp task if(.FALSE.) ! This task is undeferred
!$omp task
                      ! This task is a regular task
 do i = 1, 3
    !$omp task
                          ! This task is a regular task
     call bar()
    !$omp end task
 enddo
!$omp end task
!$omp end task
!$omp task final(.TRUE.) ! This task is a regular task
!$omp task
                       ! This task is included
 do i = 1, 3
   !$omp task
                            ! This task is also included
    call bar()
    !$omp end task
 enddo
!$omp end task
!$omp end task
end subroutine
```

Fortran

# **Task Dependences**

# Flow Dependence

In this example we show a simple flow dependence expressed using the **depend** clause on the **task** construct.

```
#pragma omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         printf("x = %d\n", x);
   }
   return 0;
                                   C/C++ -
                                 - Fortran ·
Example 15.15f
program example
   integer :: x
   !$omp parallel
   !$omp single
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
         x = 2
      !Somp end task
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         print*, "x = ", x
      !$omp end task
   !$omp end single
   !$omp end parallel
end program

    Fortran
```

The program will always print "x = 2", because the **depend** clauses enforce the ordering of the tasks. If the **depend** clauses had been omitted, then the tasks could execute in any order and the program and the program would have a race condition.

# **Anti-dependence**

In this example we show an anti-dependence expressed using the **depend** clause on the **task** construct.

```
#pragma omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
         x = 2;
   }
   return 0;
}
                                   C/C++ -
                                  Fortran
Example 15.16f
program example
   integer :: x
  x = 1
   !$omp parallel
   !$omp single
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         print*, "x = ", x
      !$omp end task
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
      !$omp end task
   !$omp end single
   !$omp end parallel
end program

    Fortran
```

The program will always print "x = 1", because the **depend** clauses enforce the ordering of the tasks. If the **depend** clauses had been omitted, then the tasks could execute in any order and the program would have a race condition.

# **Output Dependence**

In this example we show an output dependence expressed using the **depend** clause on the **task** construct.

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
   int x;
   #pragma omp parallel
   #pragma omp single
   {
        #pragma omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
```

```
x = 1;
      #pragma omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
      #pragma omp taskwait
      printf("x = %d\n", x);
   return 0;
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 15.17f
program example
   integer :: x
   !$omp parallel
   !$omp single
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
         x = 1
      !$omp end task
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
         x = 2
      !$omp end task
      !$omp taskwait
      print*, "x = ", x
   !$omp end single
   !$omp end parallel
end program
                                 Fortran
```

The program will always print "x = 2", because the **depend** clauses enforce the ordering of the tasks. If the **depend** clauses had been omitted, then the tasks could execute in any order and the program would have a race condition.

# **Concurrent Execution with Dependences**

In this example we show potentially concurrent execution of tasks using multiple flow dependences expressed using the **depend** clause on the **task** construct.

```
Example 15.18c

#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
   int x = 1;
```

```
#pragma omp parallel
   #pragma omp single
      #pragma omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
      #pragma omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         printf("x + 1 = %d. ", x+1);
      #pragma omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         printf("x + 2 = %d\n", x+2);
   return 0;
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 15.18f
program example
   integer :: x
   x = 1
   !$omp parallel
   !$omp single
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(out: x)
         x = 2
      !$omp end task
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         print*, "x + 1 = ", x+1, "."
      !$omp end task
      !$omp task shared(x) depend(in: x)
         print*, "x + 2 = ", x+2, "."
      !$omp end task
   !$omp end single
   !$omp end parallel
end program
```

The last two tasks are dependent on the first task. However there is no dependence between the last two tasks, which may execute in any order (or concurrently if more than one thread is available). Thus, the possible outputs are "x + 1 = 3. x + 2 = 4." and "x + 2 = 4. x + 1 = 3." If the **depend** clauses had been omitted, then all of the tasks could execute in any order and the program would have a race condition.

- Fortran

# **Matrix** multiplication

This example shows a task-based blocked matrix multiplication. Matrices are of NxN elements, and the multiplication is implemented using blocks of BSxBS elements.

```
C/C++
Example 15.19c
// Assume BS divides N perfectly
\label{local_problem} \mbox{\sc void matmul\_depend(int N, int BS, float A[N][N], float B[N][N], float C[N][N])} \\
  int i, j, k, ii, jj, kk;
   for (i = 0; i < N; i+=BS) {
     for (j = 0; j < N; j+=BS) {
        for (k = 0; k < N; k+=BS) {
depend ( inout: C[i:BS][j:BS] )
           for (ii = i; ii < i+BS; ii++ )
              for (jj = j; jj < j+BS; jj++ )
                 for (kk = k; kk < k+BS; kk++)
                    C[ii][jj] = C[ii][jj] + A[ii][kk] * B[kk][jj];
     }
  }
                                C/C++
```

#### Fortran

# Example 15.19f

```
! Assume BS divides N perfectly
subroutine matmul depend (N, BS, A, B, C)
   integer :: N, BS, BM
   real, dimension(N, N) :: A, B, C
   integer :: i, j, k, ii, jj, kk
  BM = BS - 1
   do i = 1, N, BS
      do j = 1, N, BS
         do k = 1, N, BS
\verb|!$omp task depend ( in: A(i:i+BM, k:k+BM), B(k:k+BM, j:j+BM) ) &
!$omp depend ( inout: C(i:i+BM, j:j+BM) )
            do ii = i, i+BS
               do jj = j, j+BS
                  do kk = k, k+BS
                     C(jj,ii) = C(jj,ii) + A(kk,ii) * B(jj,kk)
               end do
            end do
!$omp end task
         end do
      end do
   end do
end subroutine
```

Fortran

# 16 The taskyield Directive

The following example illustrates the use of the taskyield directive. The tasks in the example compute something useful and then do some computation that must be done in a critical region. By using taskyield when a task cannot get access to the critical region the implementation can suspend the current task and schedule some other task that can do something useful.

```
C/C++
Example 16.1c
#include <omp.h>
void something useful ( void );
void something critical (void);
void foo ( omp lock t * lock, int n )
{
   int i;
   for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
      #pragma omp task
          something useful();
          while ( !omp_test_lock(lock) ) {
             #pragma omp taskyield
          something critical();
          omp unset lock(lock);
      }
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 16.1f
subroutine foo (lock, n)
   use omp lib
   integer (kind=omp lock kind) :: lock
   integer n
   integer i
   do i = 1, n
     !$omp task
       call something useful()
       do while ( .not. omp test lock(lock) )
```

```
!$omp taskyield
end do
call something_critical()
call omp_unset_lock(lock)
!$omp end task
end do
```

end subroutine

- Fortran -----

# 17 The workshare Construct

The following are examples of the workshare construct.

In the following example, **workshare** spreads work across the threads executing the **parallel** region, and there is a barrier after the last statement. Implementations must enforce Fortran execution rules inside of the **workshare** block.

#### Example 17.1f

END SUBROUTINE WSHARE1

In the following example, the barrier at the end of the first **workshare** region is eliminated with a **nowait** clause. Threads doing **CC** = **DD** immediately begin work on **EE** = **FF** when they are done with **CC** = **DD**.

# 

# Example 17.2f

```
SUBROUTINE WSHARE2 (AA, BB, CC, DD, EE, FF, N)
      INTEGER N
      REAL AA(N,N), BB(N,N), CC(N,N)
      REAL DD(N,N), EE(N,N), FF(N,N)
!$OMP
       PARALLEL
! SOMP
          WORKSHARE
            AA = BB
            CC = DD
!$OMP
          END WORKSHARE NOWAIT
!$OMP
          WORKSHARE
            EE = FF
!$OMP
         END WORKSHARE
!$OMP
      END PARALLEL
       END SUBROUTINE WSHARE2
```

The following example shows the use of an **atomic** directive inside a **workshare** construct. The computation of **SUM(AA)** is workshared, but the update to **R** is atomic.

#### Example 17.3f

```
SUBROUTINE WSHARE3 (AA, BB, CC, DD, N)
     INTEGER N
     REAL AA(N,N), BB(N,N), CC(N,N), DD(N,N)
     REAL R
       R = 0
!$OMP PARALLEL
! $OMP WORKSHARE
          AA = BB
!$OMP
          ATOMIC UPDATE
           R = R + SUM(AA)
          CC = DD
!$OMP
        END WORKSHARE
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE WSHARE3
```

Fortran **WHERE** and **FORALL** statements are *compound statements*, made up of a *control* part and a *statement* part. When **workshare** is applied to one of these compound statements, both the control and the statement parts are workshared. The following example shows the use of a **WHERE** statement in a **workshare** construct.

Each task gets worked on in order by the threads:

```
AA = BB then
CC = DD then
EE .ne. 0 then
FF = 1 / EE then
GG = HH
```

# Example 17.4f

#### END SUBROUTINE WSHARE4

In the following example, an assignment to a shared scalar variable is performed by one thread in a workshare while all other threads in the team wait.

# Example 17.5f

```
SUBROUTINE WSHARE5 (AA, BB, CC, DD, N)
     INTEGER N
     REAL AA(N,N), BB(N,N), CC(N,N), DD(N,N)
        INTEGER SHR
!$OMP
       PARALLEL SHARED (SHR)
!$OMP
         WORKSHARE
           AA = BB
           SHR = 1
           CC = DD * SHR
        END WORKSHARE
! SOMP
!$OMP END PARALLEL
```

END SUBROUTINE WSHARE5

The following example contains an assignment to a private scalar variable, which is performed by one thread in a workshare while all other threads wait. It is nonconforming because the private scalar variable is undefined after the assignment statement.

# Example 17.6f

```
SUBROUTINE WSHARE6 WRONG (AA, BB, CC, DD, N)
     INTEGER N
     REAL AA(N,N), BB(N,N), CC(N,N), DD(N,N)
        INTEGER PRI
      PARALLEL PRIVATE (PRI)
!$OMP
!$OMP
        WORKSHARE
           AA = BB
           PRI = 1
           CC = DD * PRI
!$OMP
        END WORKSHARE
!$OMP
      END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE WSHARE6_WRONG
```

Fortran execution rules must be enforced inside a **workshare** construct. In the following example, the same result is produced in the following program fragment regardless of whether the code is executed sequentially or inside an OpenMP program with multiple threads:

## Example 17.7f

Fortran -

# 18 The master Construct

The following example demonstrates the master construct. In the example, the master keeps track of how many iterations have been executed and prints out a progress report. The other threads skip the master region without waiting.

```
C/C++
Example 18.1c
#include <stdio.h>
extern float average(float, float, float);
void master example( float* x, float* xold, int n, float tol )
  int c, i, toobig;
  float error, y;
  c = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel
    do{
      #pragma omp for private(i)
      for( i = 1; i < n-1; ++i)
        xold[i] = x[i];
      #pragma omp single
        toobig = 0;
      #pragma omp for private(i,y,error) reduction(+:toobig)
      for(i = 1; i < n-1; ++i){
        y = x[i];
        x[i] = average( xold[i-1], x[i], xold[i+1] );
        error = y - x[i];
        if( error > tol || error < -tol ) ++toobig;</pre>
      #pragma omp master
        printf( "iteration %d, toobig=%d\n", c, toobig );
    }while( toobig > 0 );
  }
}
```

C/C++

#### Fortran

# Example 18.1f

```
SUBROUTINE MASTER_EXAMPLE( X, XOLD, N, TOL )
      REAL X(*), XOLD(*), TOL
      INTEGER N
      INTEGER C, I, TOOBIG
      REAL ERROR, Y, AVERAGE
      EXTERNAL AVERAGE
      C = 0
      TOOBIG = 1
!$OMP PARALLEL
        DO WHILE ( TOOBIG > 0 )
!$OMP
          DO PRIVATE(I)
            DO I = 2, N-1
              XOLD(I) = X(I)
            ENDDO
!$OMP
          SINGLE
            TOOBIG = 0
!$OMP
          END SINGLE
!$OMP
         DO PRIVATE(I,Y,ERROR), REDUCTION(+:TOOBIG)
            DO I = 2, N-1
              Y = X(I)
              X(I) = AVERAGE(XOLD(I-1), X(I), XOLD(I+1))
              ERROR = Y-X(I)
              IF( ERROR > TOL .OR. ERROR < -TOL ) TOOBIG = TOOBIG+1</pre>
            ENDDO
!$OMP
          MASTER
            C = C + 1
            PRINT *, 'Iteration ', C, 'TOOBIG=', TOOBIG
!$OMP
          END MASTER
        ENDDO
!$OMP END PARALLEL
      END SUBROUTINE MASTER_EXAMPLE
```

- Fortran -

# 19 The critical Construct

The following example includes several **critical** constructs. The example illustrates a queuing model in which a task is dequeued and worked on. To guard against multiple threads dequeuing the same task, the dequeuing operation must be in a **critical** region. Because the two queues in this example are independent, they are protected by **critical** constructs with different names, *xaxis* and *yaxis*.

```
C/C++
Example 19.1c
int dequeue(float *a);
void work(int i, float *a);
void critical example(float *x, float *y)
  int ix next, iy next;
  #pragma omp parallel shared(x, y) private(ix next, iy next)
    #pragma omp critical (xaxis)
      ix next = dequeue(x);
    work(ix next, x);
    #pragma omp critical (yaxis)
      iy next = dequeue(y);
    work(iy_next, y);
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 19.1f
      SUBROUTINE CRITICAL EXAMPLE(X, Y)
        REAL X(*), Y(*)
        INTEGER IX NEXT, IY NEXT
!$OMP PARALLEL SHARED(X, Y) PRIVATE(IX NEXT, IY NEXT)
!$OMP CRITICAL(XAXIS)
        CALL DEQUEUE (IX NEXT, X)
!$OMP END CRITICAL(XAXIS)
```

CALL WORK(IX\_NEXT, X)

!\$OMP CRITICAL(YAXIS)

CALL DEQUEUE(IY\_NEXT,Y)

!\$OMP END CRITICAL(YAXIS)

CALL WORK (IY\_NEXT, Y)

!\$OMP END PARALLEL

END SUBROUTINE CRITICAL EXAMPLE

----- Fortran -

# 20 worksharing Constructs Inside a critical Construct

The following example demonstrates using a worksharing construct inside a critical construct. This example is conforming because the worksharing single region is not closely nested inside the critical region. A single thread executes the one and only section in the sections region, and executes the critical region. The same thread encounters the nested parallel region, creates a new team of threads, and becomes the master of the new team. One of the threads in the new team enters the single region and increments i by 1. At the end of this example i is equal to 2.

```
C/C++
Example 20.1c
void critical work()
  int i = 1;
  #pragma omp parallel sections
    #pragma omp section
      #pragma omp critical (name)
        #pragma omp parallel
          #pragma omp single
            i++;
      }
    }
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 20.1f
      SUBROUTINE CRITICAL WORK()
        INTEGER I
        I = 1
```

```
!$OMP
      PARALLEL SECTIONS
!$OMP
      SECTION
!$OMP
          CRITICAL (NAME)
!$OMP
            PARALLEL
!$OMP
               SINGLE
                 I = I + 1
!$OMP
               END SINGLE
!$OMP
            END PARALLEL
!$OMP
           END CRITICAL (NAME)
!$OMP END PARALLEL SECTIONS
     END SUBROUTINE CRITICAL_WORK
```

Fortran -

# 21 Binding of barrier Regions

The binding rules call for a **barrier** region to bind to the closest enclosing **parallel** region.

In the following example, the call from the main program to sub2 is conforming because the **barrier** region (in sub3) binds to the **parallel** region in sub2. The call from the main program to sub1 is conforming because the **barrier** region binds to the **parallel** region in subroutine sub2.

The call from the main program to *sub3* is conforming because the **barrier** region binds to the implicit inactive **parallel** region enclosing the sequential part. Also note that the **barrier** region in *sub3* when called from *sub2* only synchronizes the team of threads in the enclosing **parallel** region and not all the threads created in *sub1*.

## Example 21.1c

```
void work(int n) {}
void sub3(int n)
  work(n);
  #pragma omp barrier
  work(n);
void sub2(int k)
  #pragma omp parallel shared(k)
    sub3(k);
}
void sub1(int n)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel private(i) shared(n)
    #pragma omp for
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      sub2(i);
int main()
  sub1(2);
  sub2(2);
  sub3(2);
  return 0;
```

C/C++

Fortran

# Example 21.1f

```
SUBROUTINE WORK(N)

INTEGER N

END SUBROUTINE WORK

SUBROUTINE SUB3(N)

INTEGER N

CALL WORK(N)

! $OMP BARRIER

CALL WORK(N)
```

```
END SUBROUTINE SUB3
     SUBROUTINE SUB2 (K)
     INTEGER K
! $OMP PARALLEL SHARED(K)
         CALL SUB3 (K)
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE SUB2
     SUBROUTINE SUB1(N)
     INTEGER N
       INTEGER I
!$OMP
      PARALLEL PRIVATE(I) SHARED(N)
!$OMP
         DO I = 1, N
          CALL SUB2(I)
         END DO
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE SUB1
     PROGRAM EXAMPLE
       CALL SUB1(2)
       CALL SUB2(2)
       CALL SUB3(2)
```

END PROGRAM EXAMPLE

---- Fortran -

# 22 The atomic Construct

The following example avoids race conditions (simultaneous updates of an element of x by multiple threads) by using the **atomic** construct.

The advantage of using the **atomic** construct in this example is that it allows updates of two different elements of x to occur in parallel. If a **critical** construct were used instead, then all updates to elements of x would be executed serially (though not in any guaranteed order).

Note that the **atomic** directive applies only to the statement immediately following it. As a result, elements of *y* are not updated atomically in this example.

#### C/C++

#### Example 22.1c

```
float work1(int i)
 return 1.0 * i;
float work2(int i)
   return 2.0 * i;
void atomic example(float *x, float *y, int *index, int n)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel for shared(x, y, index, n)
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      #pragma omp atomic update
     x[index[i]] += work1(i);
     y[i] += work2(i);
}
int main()
  float x[1000];
  float y[10000];
 int index[10000];
  int i;
  for (i = 0; i < 10000; i++) {
    index[i] = i % 1000;
    y[i]=0.0;
```

```
for (i = 0; i < 1000; i++)
    x[i] = 0.0;
  atomic example(x, y, index, 10000);
  return 0;
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 22.1f
      REAL FUNCTION WORK1(I)
        INTEGER I
        WORK1 = 1.0 * I
        RETURN
      END FUNCTION WORK1
      REAL FUNCTION WORK2(I)
        INTEGER I
        WORK2 = 2.0 * I
        RETURN
      END FUNCTION WORK2
      SUBROUTINE SUB(X, Y, INDEX, N)
        REAL X(*), Y(*)
        INTEGER INDEX(*), N
        INTEGER I
!$OMP
        PARALLEL DO SHARED (X, Y, INDEX, N)
          DO I=1,N
!$OMP
            ATOMIC UPDATE
              X(INDEX(I)) = X(INDEX(I)) + WORK1(I)
            Y(I) = Y(I) + WORK2(I)
          ENDDO
      END SUBROUTINE SUB
      PROGRAM ATOMIC EXAMPLE
        REAL X(1000), Y(10000)
        INTEGER INDEX (10000)
        INTEGER I
        DO I=1,10000
          INDEX(I) = MOD(I, 1000) + 1
          Y(I) = 0.0
        ENDDO
        DO I = 1,1000
```

X(I) = 0.0

ENDDO

#### END PROGRAM ATOMIC EXAMPLE

_					
_	$\sim$	rt	r	$^{\circ}$	r
	u			_	

The following example illustrates the **read** and **write** clauses for the **atomic** directive. These clauses ensure that the given variable is read or written, respectively, as a whole. Otherwise, some other thread might read or write part of the variable while the current thread was reading or writing another part of the variable. Note that most hardware provides atomic reads and writes for some set of properly aligned variables of specific sizes, but not necessarily for all the variable types supported by the OpenMP API.

```
C/C++
Example 22.2c
int atomic read(const int *p)
    int value;
/* Guarantee that the entire value of *p is read atomically. No part of
 * *p can change during the read operation.
#pragma omp atomic read
    value = *p;
    return value;
}
void atomic write(int *p, int value)
/* Guarantee that value is stored atomically into *p. No part of *p can change
* until after the entire write operation is completed.
#pragma omp atomic write
    *p = value;
                                  C/C++
                                 Fortran
Example 22.2f
function atomic read(p)
      integer :: atomic read
       integer, intent(in) :: p
! Guarantee that the entire value of p is read atomically. No part of
! p can change during the read operation.
```

- Fortran -

The following example illustrates the **capture** clause for the **atomic** directive. In this case the value of a variable is captured, and then the variable is incremented. These operations occur atomically. This particular example could be implemented using the fetch-and-add instruction available on many kinds of hardware. The example also shows a way to implement a spin lock using the **capture** and **read** clauses.

#### C/C++

## Example 22.3c

```
int fetch and add(int *p)
/* Atomically read the value of *p and then increment it. The previous value is
 * returned. This can be used to implement a simple lock as shown below.
    int old;
#pragma omp atomic capture
    \{ old = *p; (*p)++; \}
    return old;
}
 * Use fetch and add to implement a lock
 */
struct locktype {
    int ticketnumber;
    int turn;
};
void do locked work(struct locktype *lock)
    int atomic read(const int *p);
    void work();
    // Obtain the lock
    int myturn = fetch and add(&lock->ticketnumber);
```

```
while (atomic read(&lock->turn) != myturn)
    // Do some work. The flush is needed to ensure visibility of
    // variables not involved in atomic directives
#pragma omp flush
    work();
#pragma omp flush
    // Release the lock
    fetch and add(&lock->turn);
}
                                  C/C++ -
                                  Fortran -
Example 22.3f
function fetch and add(p)
       integer:: fetch and add
       integer, intent(inout) :: p
! Atomically read the value of p and then increment it. The previous value is
! returned. This can be used to implement a simple lock as shown below.
!$omp atomic capture
       fetch and add = p
       p = p + 1
!$omp end atomic
       end function fetch and add
! Use fetch and add to implement a lock
       module m
       interface
         function fetch and add(p)
           integer :: fetch and add
           integer, intent(inout) :: p
         end function
         function atomic read(p)
           integer :: atomic read
           integer, intent(in) :: p
         end function
       end interface
       type locktype
          integer ticketnumber
          integer turn
       end type
       contains
       subroutine do locked work(lock)
       type(locktype), intent(inout) :: lock
       integer myturn
       integer junk
! obtain the lock
        myturn = fetch and add(lock%ticketnumber)
        do while (atomic read(lock%turn) .ne. myturn)
```

```
continue
    enddo
! Do some work. The flush is needed to ensure visibility of variables
! not involved in atomic directives
! $omp flush
    call work
! $omp flush
! Release the lock
    junk = fetch_and_add(lock%turn)
    end subroutine
    end module
```

- Fortran -

# 23 Restrictions on the atomic Construct

The following non-conforming examples illustrate the restrictions on the **atomic** construct.

```
C/C++
Example 23.1c
void atomic_wrong ()
 union {int n; float x;} u;
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp atomic update
    u.n++;
#pragma omp atomic update
    u.x += 1.0;
/* Incorrect because the atomic constructs reference the same location
   through incompatible types */
  }
}
                              - C/C++ -----
                                Fortran -
Example 23.1f
      SUBROUTINE ATOMIC WRONG()
        INTEGER:: I
        REAL:: R
       EQUIVALENCE (I,R)
! SOMP
       PARALLEL
!$OMP
        ATOMIC UPDATE
           I = I + 1
         ATOMIC UPDATE
! SOMP
           R = R + 1.0
! incorrect because I and R reference the same location
! but have different types
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE ATOMIC WRONG
                               - Fortran -
```

# Example 23.2c

```
void atomic_wrong2 ()
{
  int x;
  int *i;
  float *r;

  i = &x;
  r = (float *)&x;

#pragma omp parallel
  {
  #pragma omp atomic update
          *i += 1;

#pragma omp atomic update
          *r += 1.0;

/* Incorrect because the atomic constructs reference the same location through incompatible types */
  }
}
```

- C/C++ -

The following example is non-conforming because  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  reference the same location but have different types.

## Example 23.2f

```
SUBROUTINE SUB()
       COMMON /BLK/ R
       REAL R
!$OMP ATOMIC UPDATE
        R = R + 1.0
      END SUBROUTINE SUB
      SUBROUTINE ATOMIC WRONG2()
       COMMON /BLK/ I
       INTEGER I
!$OMP
       PARALLEL
!$OMP
         ATOMIC UPDATE
           I = I + 1
         CALL SUB()
!$OMP
       END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE ATOMIC WRONG2
```

Although the following example might work on some implementations, this is also non-conforming:

# Example 23.3f

```
SUBROUTINE ATOMIC WRONG3
       INTEGER:: I
       REAL:: R
       EQUIVALENCE(I,R)
!$OMP PARALLEL
! SOMP
        ATOMIC UPDATE
           I = I + 1
! incorrect because I and R reference the same location
! but have different types
!$OMP END PARALLEL
!$OMP PARALLEL
!$OMP
         ATOMIC UPDATE
           R = R + 1.0
! incorrect because I and R reference the same location
! but have different types
!$OMP END PARALLEL
```

----- Fortran -----

# 24 The flush Construct without a List

The following example distinguishes the shared variables affected by a **flush** construct with no list from the shared objects that are not affected:

C/C++

```
Example 24.1c
```

```
int x, *p = &x;
void f1(int *q)
  *q = 1;
 #pragma omp flush
 /* x, p, and *q are flushed */
 /* because they are shared and accessible */
  /* q is not flushed because it is not shared. */
void f2(int *q)
  #pragma omp barrier
  *q = 2;
  #pragma omp barrier
  /* a barrier implies a flush */
  /* x, p, and *q are flushed */
 /* because they are shared and accessible */
  /* q is not flushed because it is not shared. */
int g(int n)
  int i = 1, j, sum = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel reduction(+: sum) num_threads(10)
    f1(&j);
    /* i, n and sum were not flushed */
    /* because they were not accessible in f1 */
    /* j was flushed because it was accessible */
    sum += j;
    f2(&i);
    /* i, n, and sum were not flushed */
    /* because they were not accessible in f2 */
    /* j was flushed because it was accessible */
```

```
sum += i + j + *p + n;
  }
  return sum;
}
int main()
  int result = g(7);
  return result;
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 24.1f
     SUBROUTINE F1(Q)
        COMMON /DATA/ X, P
        INTEGER, TARGET :: X
        INTEGER, POINTER :: P
        INTEGER Q
        Q = 1
!$OMP
       FLUSH
        ! X, P and Q are flushed
        ! because they are shared and accessible
      END SUBROUTINE F1
      SUBROUTINE F2(Q)
        COMMON /DATA/ X, P
        INTEGER, TARGET :: X
        INTEGER, POINTER :: P
        INTEGER Q
!$OMP
        BARRIER
          Q = 2
!$OMP
        BARRIER
          ! a barrier implies a flush
          ! X, P and Q are flushed
          ! because they are shared and accessible
      END SUBROUTINE F2
      INTEGER FUNCTION G(N)
        COMMON /DATA/ X, P
        INTEGER, TARGET :: X
        INTEGER, POINTER :: P
        INTEGER N
        INTEGER I, J, SUM
```

I = 1 SUM = 0 P = 1

```
!$OMP PARALLEL REDUCTION(+: SUM) NUM_THREADS(10)
         CALL F1(J)
            ! I, N and SUM were not flushed
            ! because they were not accessible in F1
            ! J was flushed because it was accessible
         SUM = SUM + J
         CALL F2(J)
            ! I, N, and SUM were not flushed
              because they were not accessible in f2
            ! J was flushed because it was accessible
         SUM = SUM + I + J + P + N
!$OMP END PARALLEL
       G = SUM
     END FUNCTION G
     PROGRAM FLUSH NOLIST
       COMMON /DATA/ X, P
       INTEGER, TARGET :: X
       INTEGER, POINTER :: P
       INTEGER RESULT, G
       P => X
       RESULT = G(7)
       PRINT *, RESULT
     END PROGRAM FLUSH NOLIST
```

Fortran -

OpenMP API • Version 4.0.0 - November 2013

# 25 Placement of flush, barrier, taskwait and taskyield Directives

The following example is non-conforming, because the **flush**, **barrier**, **taskwait**, and **taskyield** directives are stand-alone directives and cannot be the immediate substatement of an **if** statement.

C/C++

# Example 25.1c

```
void standalone wrong()
  int a = 1;
 if (a != 0)
  #pragma omp flush(a)
/* incorrect as flush cannot be immediate substatement
   of if statement */
 if (a != 0)
  #pragma omp barrier
/* incorrect as barrier cannot be immediate substatement
   of if statement */
 if(a!=0)
  #pragma omp taskyield
/* incorrect as taskyield cannot be immediate substatement of if statement */
 if (a != 0)
 #pragma omp taskwait
/* incorrect as taskwait cannot be immediate substatement
   of if statement */
}
```

The following example is non-conforming, because the flush, barrier, taskwait, and taskyield directives are stand-alone directives and cannot be the action statement of an if statement or a labeled branch target.

C/C++

## Example 25.1f

```
SUBROUTINE STANDALONE WRONG()
 A = 1
  ! the FLUSH directive must not be the action statement
  ! in an IF statement
  IF (A .NE. 0) !$OMP FLUSH(A)
  ! the BARRIER directive must not be the action statement
  ! in an IF statement
  IF (A .NE. 0) !$OMP BARRIER
  ! the TASKWAIT directive must not be the action statement
  ! in an IF statement
  IF (A .NE. 0) !$OMP TASKWAIT
  ! the TASKYIELD directive must not be the action statement
  ! in an IF statement
  IF (A .NE. 0) !$OMP TASKYIELD
  GOTO 100
  ! the FLUSH directive must not be a labeled branch target
  ! statement
  100 !$OMP FLUSH(A)
  GOTO 200
  ! the BARRIER directive must not be a labeled branch target
  ! statement
  200 !$OMP BARRIER
  GOTO 300
  ! the TASKWAIT directive must not be a labeled branch target
  ! statement
  300 !$OMP TASKWAIT
  GOTO 400
  ! the TASKYIELD directive must not be a labeled branch target
  ! statement
  400 !$OMP TASKYIELD
```

END SUBROUTINE

Fortran

The following version of the above example is conforming because the **flush**, **barrier**, **taskwait**, and **taskyield** directives are enclosed in a compound statement.

## Example 25.2c

```
void standalone_ok()
{
  int a = 1;

  #pragma omp parallel
  {
    if (a != 0) {
    #pragma omp flush(a)
    }
    if (a != 0) {
    #pragma omp barrier
    }
    if (a != 0) {
    #pragma omp taskwait
    }
  if (a != 0) {
    #pragma omp taskyield
    }
}
```

C/C++

The following example is conforming because the **flush**, **barrier**, **taskwait**, and **taskyield** directives are enclosed in an **if** construct or follow the labeled branch target.

Fortran

# Example 25.2f

```
SUBROUTINE STANDALONE OK()
 INTEGER A
  IF (A .NE. 0) THEN
    !$OMP FLUSH(A)
  ENDIF
 IF (A .NE. 0) THEN
    !$OMP BARRIER
 ENDIF
  IF (A .NE. 0) THEN
    !$OMP TASKWAIT
  ENDIF
  IF (A .NE. 0) THEN
    !$OMP TASKYIELD
 ENDIF
  GOTO 100
 100 CONTINUE
```

```
!$OMP FLUSH(A)
GOTO 200
200 CONTINUE
!$OMP BARRIER
GOTO 300
300 CONTINUE
!$OMP TASKWAIT
GOTO 400
400 CONTINUE
!$OMP TASKYIELD
END SUBROUTINE
```

---- Fortran ----

# 26 The ordered Clause and the ordered Construct

Ordered constructs are useful for sequentially ordering the output from work that is done in parallel. The following program prints out the indices in sequential order:

```
C/C++
Example 26.1c
#include <stdio.h>
void work(int k)
  #pragma omp ordered
    printf(" %d\n", k);
void ordered_example(int lb, int ub, int stride)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel for ordered schedule(dynamic)
  for (i=lb; i<ub; i+=stride)</pre>
    work(i);
}
int main()
  ordered example(0, 100, 5);
  return 0;
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 26.1f
      SUBROUTINE WORK(K)
        INTEGER k
!$OMP ORDERED
        WRITE(*,*) K
!$OMP END ORDERED
      END SUBROUTINE WORK
```

SUBROUTINE SUB(LB, UB, STRIDE)
INTEGER LB, UB, STRIDE
INTEGER I

!\$OMP PARALLEL DO ORDERED SCHEDULE(DYNAMIC)
DO I=LB,UB,STRIDE
CALL WORK(I)
END DO
!\$OMP END PARALLEL DO
END SUBROUTINE SUB

PROGRAM ORDERED\_EXAMPLE
CALL SUB(1,100,5)
END PROGRAM ORDERED EXAMPLE

Fortran

It is possible to have multiple **ordered** constructs within a loop region with the **ordered** clause specified. The first example is non-conforming because all iterations execute two **ordered** regions. An iteration of a loop must not execute more than one **ordered** region:

```
Example 26.2c
```

```
void work(int i) {}

void ordered_wrong(int n)
{
  int i;
  #pragma omp for ordered
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {

/* incorrect because an iteration may not execute more than one
  ordered region */
    #pragma omp ordered
    work(i);
    #pragma omp ordered
    work(i+1);
  }
}</pre>
```

C/C++

Fortran

# Example 26.2f

```
SUBROUTINE WORK(I)
     INTEGER I
     END SUBROUTINE WORK
     SUBROUTINE ORDERED WRONG(N)
     INTEGER N
       INTEGER I
       DO ORDERED
! SOMP
       DO I = 1, N
! incorrect because an iteration may not execute more than one
! ordered region
!$OMP
         ORDERED
           CALL WORK(I)
!$OMP
        END ORDERED
!$OMP
          ORDERED
            CALL WORK(I+1)
!$OMP
         END ORDERED
       END DO
     END SUBROUTINE ORDERED WRONG
```

Fortran

The following is a conforming example with more than one **ordered** construct. Each iteration will execute only one **ordered** region:

Fortran

!\$OMP

CALL WORK (I+1)

END SUBROUTINE ORDERED\_GOOD

END ORDERED

ENDIF ENDDO

# **27** Cancellation Constructs

C/C++

Example 27.1c

The following example shows how the <code>cancel</code> directive can be used to terminate an OpenMP region. Although the <code>cancel</code> construct terminates the OpenMP worksharing region, programmers must still track the exception through the pointer ex and issue a cancellation for the <code>parallel</code> region if an exception has been raised. The master thread checks the exception pointer to make sure that the exception is properly handled in the sequential part. If cancellation of the <code>parallel</code> region has been requested, some threads might have executed <code>phase\_1()</code>. However, it is guaranteed that none of the threads executed <code>phase\_2()</code>.

```
void example() {
    std::exception *ex = NULL;
#pragma omp parallel shared(ex)
#pragma omp for
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
            // no 'if' that prevents compiler optimizations
            try {
                causes_an_exception();
            catch (const std::exception *e) {
                // still must remember exception for later handling
#pragma omp atomic write
                ex = e;
                                // cancel worksharing construct
#pragma omp cancel for
   // if an exception has been raised, cancel parallel region
        if (ex) {
#pragma omp cancel parallel
       phase 1();
#pragma omp barrier
       phase 2();
   // continue here if an exception has been thrown in the worksharing loop
        // handle exception stored in ex
```

C/C++

## Example 27.1f

The following example illustrates the use of the cancel construct in error handling. If there is an error condition from the allocate statement, the cancellation is activated. The encountering thread sets the shared variable err and other threads of the binding thread set proceed to the end of the worksharing construct after the cancellation has been activated.

```
subroutine example(n, dim)
 integer, intent(in) :: n, dim(n)
 integer :: i, s, err
 real, allocatable :: B(:)
 err = 0
!$omp parallel shared(err)
! ...
!$omp do private(s, B)
 do i=1, n
!$omp cancellation point
   allocate(B(dim(i)), stat=s)
    if (s .qt. 0) then
!$omp atomic write
      err = s
!$omp cancel do
    endif
! deallocate private array B
    if (allocated(B)) then
      deallocate(B)
    endif
 enddo
!$omp end parallel
end subroutine
```

Fortran

C/C++

# Example 27.2c

The following example shows how to cancel a parallel search on a binary tree as soon as the search value has been detected. The code creates a task to descend into the child nodes of the current tree node. If the search value has been found, the code remembers the tree node with the found value through an atomic write to the result variable and then cancels execution of all search tasks. The function search\_tree\_parallel groups all search tasks into a single task group to control the effect of the cancel taskgroup directive. The level argument is used to create undeferred tasks after the first ten levels of the tree.

```
binary tree t *search tree(binary tree t *tree, int value, int level) {
    binary_tree_t *found = NULL;
    if (tree) {
        if (tree->value == value) {
            found = tree;
        else {
#pragma omp task shared(found) if(level < 10)</pre>
                binary tree t *found left = NULL;
                found left = search tree(tree->left, value, level + 1);
                if (found left) {
#pragma omp atomic write
                    found = found_left;
#pragma omp cancel taskgroup
#pragma omp task shared(found) if(level < 10)</pre>
                binary tree t *found right = NULL;
                found right = search tree(tree->right, value, level + 1);
                if (found right) {
#pragma omp atomic write
                    found = found right;
#pragma omp cancel taskgroup
#pragma omp taskwait
        }
    return found;
binary_tree_t *search_tree_parallel(binary_tree_t *tree, int value) {
    binary tree t *found = NULL;
#pragma omp parallel shared(found, tree, value)
#pragma omp master
#pragma omp taskgroup
                found = search tree(tree, value, 0);
        }
    }
    return found;
                                   C/C++
```

#### Example 27.2f

The following is the equivalent parallel search example in Fortran.

```
module parallel search
  type binary tree
    integer :: value
    type(binary_tree), pointer :: right
    type(binary tree), pointer :: left
  end type
contains
  recursive subroutine search tree(tree, value, level, found)
    type(binary_tree), intent(in), pointer :: tree
    integer, intent(in) :: value, level
    type(binary_tree), pointer :: found
    type(binary_tree), pointer :: found_left => NULL(), found_right => NULL()
    if (.not. associated(found)) then
      allocate (found)
    endif
    if (associated(tree)) then
      if (tree%value .eq. value) then
        found = tree
      else
!$omp task shared(found) if(level<10)
        call search tree(tree%left, value, level+1, found left)
        if (associated(found_left)) then
!$omp atomic write
          found = found left
!$omp cancel taskgroup
        endif
!$omp end task
!$omp task shared(found) if(level<10)
        call search_tree(tree%right, value, level+1, found_right)
        if (associated(found right)) then
!$omp atomic write
          found = found right
!$omp cancel taskgroup
        endif
!$omp end task
!$omp taskwait
      endif
    endif
  end subroutine
!
```

```
subroutine search_tree_parallel(tree, value, found)
    type(binary_tree), intent(in), pointer :: tree
   integer, intent(in) :: value
   type(binary tree), pointer :: found
!
   if (associated(found)) then
      allocate(found)
   endif
!$omp parallel shared(found, tree, value)
!$omp master
!$omp taskgroup
   call search tree(tree, value, 0, found)
!$omp end taskgroup
!$omp end master
!$omp end parallel
 end subroutine
end module parallel search
```

- Fortran -

## 28 The threadprivate Directive

The following examples demonstrate how to use the **threadprivate** directive to give each thread a separate counter.

```
C/C++
Example 28.1c
int counter = 0;
#pragma omp threadprivate(counter)
int increment_counter()
 counter++;
 return(counter);
                                 C/C++ -
                                - Fortran -
Example 28.1f
     INTEGER FUNCTION INCREMENT COUNTER()
       COMMON/INC COMMON/COUNTER
!$OMP THREADPRIVATE(/INC COMMON/)
       COUNTER = COUNTER +1
       INCREMENT COUNTER = COUNTER
       RETURN
     END FUNCTION INCREMENT COUNTER
                                 Fortran -
                                  C/C++ ----
The following example uses threadprivate on a static variable:
Example 28.2c
int increment counter 2()
  static int counter = 0;
  #pragma omp threadprivate(counter)
 counter++;
  return(counter);
}
```

The following example demonstrates unspecified behavior for the initialization of a **threadprivate** variable. A **threadprivate** variable is initialized once at an unspecified point before its first reference. Because **a** is constructed using the value of **x** (which is modified by the statement **x++**), the value of **a.val** at the start of the **parallel** region could be either 1 or 2. This problem is avoided for **b**, which uses an auxiliary **const** variable and a copy-constructor.

## Example 28.3c

```
class T {
 public:
    int val;
    T (int);
    T (const T&);
};
T :: T (int v) {
   val = v;
T :: T (const T& t) {
   val = t.val;
void g(T a, T b) {
   a.val += b.val;
int x = 1;
T a(x);
const T b_aux(x); /* Capture value of x = 1 */
T b(b aux);
#pragma omp threadprivate(a, b)
void f(int n) {
   x++;
   #pragma omp parallel for
   /* In each thread:
    * a is constructed from x (with value 1 or 2?)
    * b is copy-constructed from b aux
    */
   for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
       g(a, b); /* Value of a is unspecified. */
}
                                   C/C++
```

#### Fortran

The following examples show non-conforming uses and correct uses of the **threadprivate** directive.

The following example is non-conforming because the common block is not declared local to the subroutine that refers to it:

## Example 28.2f

```
MODULE INC_MODULE

COMMON /T/ A

END MODULE INC_MODULE

SUBROUTINE INC_MODULE_WRONG()

USE INC_MODULE
!$OMP THREADPRIVATE(/T/)
!non-conforming because /T/ not declared in INC_MODULE_WRONG
END SUBROUTINE INC MODULE WRONG
```

The following example is also non-conforming because the common block is not declared local to the subroutine that refers to it:

## Example 28.3f

```
SUBROUTINE INC_WRONG()
COMMON /T/ A

!$OMP THREADPRIVATE(/T/)

CONTAINS
SUBROUTINE INC_WRONG_SUB()
!$OMP PARALLEL COPYIN(/T/)
!non-conforming because /T/ not declared in INC_WRONG_SUB
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE INC_WRONG_SUB
END SUBROUTINE INC_WRONG_SUB
```

▼------ Fortran (cont.) ------

The following example is a correct rewrite of the previous example:

#### Example 28.4f

```
SUBROUTINE INC_GOOD()
COMMON /T/ A

!$OMP THREADPRIVATE(/T/)

CONTAINS
SUBROUTINE INC_GOOD_SUB()
COMMON /T/ A

!$OMP THREADPRIVATE(/T/)

!$OMP PARALLEL COPYIN(/T/)
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE INC_GOOD_SUB
END SUBROUTINE INC GOOD
```

The following is an example of the use of **threadprivate** for local variables:

## Example 28.5f

```
PROGRAM INC GOOD2
       INTEGER, ALLOCATABLE, SAVE :: A(:)
       INTEGER, POINTER, SAVE :: PTR
       INTEGER, SAVE :: I
       INTEGER, TARGET :: TARG
       LOGICAL :: FIRSTIN = .TRUE.
!$OMP
      THREADPRIVATE(A, I, PTR)
       ALLOCATE (A(3))
       A = (/1,2,3/)
       PTR => TARG
       I = 5
!$OMP PARALLEL COPYIN(I, PTR)
!$OMP CRITICAL
           IF (FIRSTIN) THEN
             TARG = 4
                               ! Update target of ptr
             I = I + 10
             IF (ALLOCATED(A)) A = A + 10
             FIRSTIN = .FALSE.
           END IF
           IF (ALLOCATED(A)) THEN
             PRINT *, 'a = ', A
           ELSE
```

```
▼------ Fortran (cont.) ------
```

```
PRINT *, 'A is not allocated'
           END IF
           PRINT *, 'ptr = ', PTR
           PRINT *, 'i = ', I
           PRINT *
        END CRITICAL
! SOMP
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END PROGRAM INC_GOOD2
```

The above program, if executed by two threads, will print one of the following two sets of output:

```
a = 11 12 13
ptr = 4
i = 15
A is not allocated
ptr = 4
i = 5
or
A is not allocated
ptr = 4
i = 15
a = 1 2 3
ptr = 4
i = 5
```

The following is an example of the use of **threadprivate** for module variables:

## Example 28.6f

```
MODULE INC MODULE GOOD3
       REAL, POINTER :: WORK(:)
       SAVE WORK
! $OMP THREADPRIVATE (WORK)
     END MODULE INC MODULE GOOD3
     SUBROUTINE SUB1(N)
     USE INC_MODULE_GOOD3
!$OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE (THE SUM)
       ALLOCATE (WORK (N))
```

Fortran

C/C++

The following example illustrates initialization of threadprivate variables for class-type T. t1 is default constructed, t2 is constructed taking a constructor accepting one argument of integer type, t3 is copy constructed with argument f():

#### Example 28.4c

```
static T t1;
#pragma omp threadprivate(t1)
static T t2( 23 );
#pragma omp threadprivate(t2)
static T t3 = f();
#pragma omp threadprivate(t3)
```

The following example illustrates the use of **threadprivate** for static class members. The **threadprivate** directive for a static class member must be placed inside the class definition.

## Example 28.5c

```
class T {
  public:
    static int i;
#pragma omp threadprivate(i)
};
```

C/C++

# 29 Parallel Random Access Iterator Loop

The following example shows a parallel random access iterator loop.

```
Example 29.1c
#include <vector>
void iterator_example()
{
    std::vector<int> vec(23);
    std::vector<int>::iterator it;
#pragma omp parallel for default(none) shared(vec)
    for (it = vec.begin(); it < vec.end(); it++)
    {
        // do work with *it //
    }
}</pre>
```

# 30 Fortran Restrictions on shared and private Clauses with Common Blocks

When a named common block is specified in a **private**, **firstprivate**, or **lastprivate** clause of a construct, none of its members may be declared in another data-sharing attribute clause on that construct. The following examples illustrate this point.

The following example is conforming:

## Example 30.1f

```
SUBROUTINE COMMON_GOOD()
COMMON /C/ X,Y
REAL X, Y

!$OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE (/C/)
! do work here
!$OMP END PARALLEL
!$OMP PARALLEL SHARED (X,Y)
! do work here
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE COMMON_GOOD
```

The following example is also conforming:

## Example 30.2f

```
SUBROUTINE COMMON GOOD2()
        COMMON /C/ X,Y
        REAL X, Y
        INTEGER I
! SOMP
        PARALLEL
!$OMP
          DO PRIVATE(/C/)
          DO I=1,1000
            ! do work here
          ENDDO
!$OMP
          END DO
!$OMP
          DO PRIVATE(X)
          DO I=1,1000
            ! do work here
          ENDDO
!$OMP
          END DO
!$OMP
        END PARALLEL
      END SUBROUTINE COMMON GOOD2
```

The following example is conforming:

## Example 30.3f

```
SUBROUTINE COMMON_GOOD3()

COMMON /C/ X,Y

!$OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE (/C/)

! do work here
!$OMP END PARALLEL
!$OMP PARALLEL SHARED (/C/)

! do work here
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE COMMON GOOD3
```

The following example is non-conforming because **x** is a constituent element of **c**:

#### Example 30.4f

```
SUBROUTINE COMMON_WRONG()
COMMON /C/ X,Y
! Incorrect because X is a constituent element of C
!$OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE(/C/), SHARED(X)
! do work here
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE COMMON WRONG
```

The following example is non-conforming because a common block may not be declared both shared and private:

## Example 30.5f

```
SUBROUTINE COMMON_WRONG2()
COMMON /C/ X,Y
! Incorrect: common block C cannot be declared both
! shared and private
! $OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE (/C/), SHARED(/C/)
! do work here
! $OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE COMMON WRONG2
```

Fortran

## 31 The default (none) Clause

SUBROUTINE DEFAULT\_NONE(A)
INCLUDE "omp lib.h" !

INTEGER A

The following example distinguishes the variables that are affected by the **default (none)** clause from those that are not.

```
C/C++
Example 31.1c
#include <omp.h>
int x, y, z[1000];
#pragma omp threadprivate(x)
void default none(int a) {
  const int c = 1;
  int i = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel default(none) private(a) shared(z)
     int j = omp get num threads();
          /* O.K. - j is declared within parallel region */
     a = z[j]; /* O.K. - a is listed in private clause */
                /*
                         - z is listed in shared clause */
                /* O.K. - x is threadprivate */
                         - c has const-qualified type */
     z[i] = y;
                /* Error - cannot reference i or y here */
  #pragma omp for firstprivate(y)
         /* Error - Cannot reference y in the firstprivate clause */
     for (i=0; i<10; i++) {
        z[i] = i; /* O.K. - i is the loop iteration variable */
               /* Error - cannot reference i or y here */
  }
}
                                Fortran
Example 31.1f
```

! or USE OMP LIB

```
INTEGER X, Y, Z(1000)
     COMMON/BLOCKX/X
     COMMON/BLOCKY/Y
     COMMON/BLOCKZ/Z
!$OMP THREADPRIVATE(/BLOCKX/)
       INTEGER I, J
       i = 1
!$OMP PARALLEL DEFAULT(NONE) PRIVATE(A) SHARED(Z) PRIVATE(J)
         J = OMP GET NUM THREADS();
                  ! O.K. - J is listed in PRIVATE clause
         A = Z(J) ! O.K. - A is listed in PRIVATE clause
                         - Z is listed in SHARED clause
         X = 1 ! O.K. - X is THREADPRIVATE
         Z(I) = Y ! Error - cannot reference I or Y here
!$OMP DO firstprivate(y)
    ! Error - Cannot reference y in the firstprivate clause
         DO I = 1,10
            Z(I) = I ! O.K. - I is the loop iteration variable
         END DO
         Z(I) = Y   ! Error - cannot reference I or Y here
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE DEFAULT NONE
```

— Fortran ———

# 32 Race Conditions Caused by Implied Copies of Shared Variables in Fortran

The following example contains a race condition, because the shared variable, which is an array section, is passed as an actual argument to a routine that has an assumed-size array as its dummy argument. The subroutine call passing an array section argument may cause the compiler to copy the argument into a temporary location prior to the call and copy from the temporary location into the original variable when the subroutine returns. This copying would cause races in the **parallel** region.

#### Example 32.1f

```
SUBROUTINE SHARED RACE
  INCLUDE "omp lib.h" ! or USE OMP LIB
  REAL A(20)
  INTEGER MYTHREAD
!$OMP PARALLEL SHARED(A) PRIVATE(MYTHREAD)
  MYTHREAD = OMP GET THREAD NUM()
  IF (MYTHREAD .EQ. 0) THEN
     CALL SUB(A(1:10)) ! compiler may introduce writes to A(6:10)
  ELSE
     A(6:10) = 12
  ENDIF
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE SHARED RACE
SUBROUTINE SUB(X)
  REAL X(*)
  X(1:5) = 4
END SUBROUTINE SUB
```

Fortran -

OpenMP

Examples

# 33 The private Clause

In the following example, the values of original list items i and j are retained on exit from the **parallel** region, while the private list items i and j are modified within the **parallel** construct.

```
C/C++
Example 33.1c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <assert.h>
int main()
  int i, j;
  int *ptr_i, *ptr_j;
  i = 1;
  j = 2;
  ptr i = &i;
  ptr_j = &j;
  #pragma omp parallel private(i) firstprivate(j)
    i = 3;
    j = j + 2;
    assert (*ptr_i == 1 && *ptr_j == 2);
  assert(i == 1 && j == 2);
  return 0;
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 33.1f
      PROGRAM PRIV EXAMPLE
        INTEGER I, J
        I = 1
        J = 2
! SOMP
       PARALLEL PRIVATE(I) FIRSTPRIVATE(J)
```

```
I = 3
J = J + 2
!$OMP END PARALLEL

PRINT *, I, J ! I .eq. 1 .and. J .eq. 2
END PROGRAM PRIV_EXAMPLE

Fortran
```

In the following example, all uses of the variable a within the loop construct in the routine f refer to a private list item a, while it is unspecified whether references to a in the routine g are to a private list item or the original list item.

```
C/C++
Example 33.2c
int a;
void g(int k) {
  a = k; /* Accessed in the region but outside of the construct;
          * therefore unspecified whether original or private list
          * item is modified. */
}
void f(int n) {
  int a = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel for private(a)
   for (int i=1; i<n; i++) {
       a = i;
       g(a*2);
                   /* Private copy of "a" */
    }
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 33.2f
     MODULE PRIV EXAMPLE2
        REAL A
        CONTAINS
          SUBROUTINE G(K)
            REAL K
            A = K ! Accessed in the region but outside of the
                   ! construct; therefore unspecified whether
                   ! original or private list item is modified.
```

```
SUBROUTINE G

SUBROUTINE F(N)
INTEGER N
REAL A

INTEGER I

!$OMP

PARALLEL DO PRIVATE(A)

DO I = 1,N
A = I
CALL G(A*2)
ENDDO

!$OMP

END PARALLEL DO
END SUBROUTINE F
```

END MODULE PRIV EXAMPLE2

Fortran

The following example demonstrates that a list item that appears in a **private** clause in a **parallel** construct may also appear in a **private** clause in an enclosed worksharing construct, which results in an additional private copy.

```
Example 33.3c
```

```
#include <assert.h>
void priv_example3()
{
   int i, a;

   #pragma omp parallel private(a)
   {
      a = 1;
        #pragma omp parallel for private(a)
        for (i=0; i<10; i++)
        {
            a = 2;
        }
        assert(a == 1);
   }
}</pre>
```

C/C++

Fortran

## Example 33.3f

```
SUBROUTINE PRIV_EXAMPLE3()
INTEGER I, A

! $OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE(A)
A = 1
! $OMP PARALLEL DO PRIVATE(A)
DO I = 1, 10
A = 2
END DO
! $OMP END PARALLEL DO
PRINT *, A ! Outer A still has value 1
! $OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE PRIV_EXAMPLE3
```

Fortran -

# 34 Fortran Restrictions on Storage Association with the private Clause

The following non-conforming examples illustrate the implications of the **private** clause rules with regard to storage association.

```
Example 34.1f
```

```
SUBROUTINE SUB()
       COMMON /BLOCK/ X
       PRINT *,X
                             ! X is undefined
       END SUBROUTINE SUB
       PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT
         COMMON /BLOCK/ X
         X = 1.0
!$OMP
         PARALLEL PRIVATE (X)
         X = 2.0
         CALL SUB()
!$OMP
         END PARALLEL
      END PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT
Example 34.2f
      PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT2
        COMMON /BLOCK2/ X
        X = 1.0
!$OMP
        PARALLEL PRIVATE (X)
          X = 2.0
          CALL SUB()
!$OMP
        END PARALLEL
       CONTAINS
          SUBROUTINE SUB()
          COMMON /BLOCK2/ Y
          PRINT *,X
                                   ! X is undefined
          PRINT *,Y
                                   ! Y is undefined
          END SUBROUTINE SUB
       END PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT2
```

```
▼------Fortran (cont.) -------
Example 34.3f
       PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT3
       EQUIVALENCE (X,Y)
       X = 1.0
! $OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE(X)
                                ! Y is undefined
        PRINT *,Y
        Y = 10
        PRINT *,X
                          ! X is undefined
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT3
Example 34.4f
     PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT4
       INTEGER I, J
       INTEGER A(100), B(100)
       EQUIVALENCE (A(51), B(1))
!$OMP PARALLEL DO DEFAULT(PRIVATE) PRIVATE(I,J) LASTPRIVATE(A)
         DO I=1,100
           DO J=1,100
             B(J) = J - 1
           ENDDO
           DO J=1,100
            A(J) = J! B becomes undefined at this point
           ENDDO
           DO J=1,50
             B(J) = B(J) + 1 ! B is undefined
                     ! A becomes undefined at this point
           ENDDO
         ENDDO
!$OMP END PARALLEL DO ! The LASTPRIVATE write for A has
                         ! undefined results
        PRINT *, B ! B is undefined since the LASTPRIVATE
```

! write of A was not defined

END PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT4

## Example 34.5f

```
SUBROUTINE SUB1(X)
       DIMENSION X(10)
       ! This use of X does not conform to the
       ! specification. It would be legal Fortran 90,
       ! but the OpenMP private directive allows the
       ! compiler to break the sequence association that
       ! A had with the rest of the common block.
       FORALL (I = 1:10) X(I) = I
     END SUBROUTINE SUB1
     PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT5
       COMMON /BLOCK5/ A
       DIMENSION B(10)
       EQUIVALENCE (A,B(1))
       ! the common block has to be at least 10 words
       A = 0
!$OMP PARALLEL PRIVATE(/BLOCK5/)
          ! Without the private clause,
          ! we would be passing a member of a sequence
          ! that is at least ten elements long.
          ! With the private clause, A may no longer be
          ! sequence-associated.
         CALL SUB1(A)
!$OMP
         MASTER
           PRINT *, A
!$OMP
         END MASTER
!SOMP END PARALLEL
     END PROGRAM PRIV RESTRICT5
```

- Fortran -

# 35 C/C++ Arrays in a firstprivate Clause

The following example illustrates the size and value of list items of array or pointer type in a **firstprivate** clause. The size of new list items is based on the type of the corresponding original list item, as determined by the base language.

#### In this example:

- The type of **A** is array of two arrays of two ints.
- The type of **B** is adjusted to pointer to array of **n** ints, because it is a function parameter.
- The type of C is adjusted to pointer to int, because it is a function parameter.
- The type of **D** is array of two arrays of two ints.
- The type of **E** is array of **n** arrays of **n** ints.

Note that **B** and **E** involve variable length array types.

The new items of array type are initialized as if each integer element of the original array is assigned to the corresponding element of the new array. Those of pointer type are initialized as if by assignment from the original item to the new item.

## Example 35.1c

```
#include <assert.h>
int A[2][2] = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};
void f(int n, int B[n][n], int C[])
  int D[2][2] = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};
 int E[n][n];
 assert(n >= 2);
 E[1][1] = 4;
 #pragma omp parallel firstprivate(B, C, D, E)
   assert(sizeof(B) == sizeof(int (*)[n]));
    assert(sizeof(C) == sizeof(int*));
    assert(sizeof(D) == 4 * sizeof(int));
    assert(sizeof(E) == n * n * sizeof(int));
    /* Private B and C have values of original B and C. */
    assert(&B[1][1] == &A[1][1]);
    assert(&C[3] == &A[1][1]);
    assert(D[1][1] == 4);
    assert(E[1][1] == 4);
}
int main() {
 f(2, A, A[0]);
 return 0;
```

C/C++

# 36 The lastprivate Clause

Correct execution sometimes depends on the value that the last iteration of a loop assigns to a variable. Such programs must list all such variables in a **lastprivate** clause so that the values of the variables are the same as when the loop is executed sequentially.

```
C/C++
Example 36.1c
void lastpriv (int n, float *a, float *b)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
    #pragma omp for lastprivate(i)
    for (i=0; i<n-1; i++)
      a[i] = b[i] + b[i+1];
  a[i]=b[i];
                  /* i == n-1 here */
                                  Fortran
Example 36.1f
      SUBROUTINE LASTPRIV(N, A, B)
        INTEGER N
        REAL A(*), B(*)
        INTEGER I
!$OMP PARALLEL
!$OMP DO LASTPRIVATE(I)
        DO I=1,N-1
          A(I) = B(I) + B(I+1)
        ENDDO
!$OMP END PARALLEL
        A(I) = B(I)
                         ! I has the value of N here
      END SUBROUTINE LASTPRIV
                                  Fortran
```

## 37 The reduction Clause

The following example demonstrates the **reduction** clause; note that some reductions can be expressed in the loop in several ways, as shown for the **max** and **min** reductions below:

```
C/C++
Example 37.1c
#include <math.h>
void reduction1(float *x, int *y, int n)
  int i, b, c;
  float a, d;
  a = 0.0;
  b = 0;
  c = y[0];
  d = x[0];
  #pragma omp parallel for private(i) shared(x, y, n) \
                          reduction(+:a) reduction(^:b) \
                          reduction(min:c) reduction(max:d)
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      a += x[i];
      b ^= y[i];
      if (c > y[i]) c = y[i];
      d = fmaxf(d,x[i]);
    }
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 37.1f
SUBROUTINE REDUCTION1 (A, B, C, D, X, Y, N)
    REAL :: X(*), A, D
    INTEGER :: Y(*), N, B, C
    INTEGER :: I
    A = 0
    B = 0
    C = Y(1)
    D = X(1)
    !$OMP PARALLEL DO PRIVATE(I) SHARED(X, Y, N) REDUCTION(+:A) &
    !$OMP& REDUCTION(IEOR:B) REDUCTION(MIN:C) REDUCTION(MAX:D)
      DO I=1,N
        A = A + X(I)
        B = IEOR(B, Y(I))
```

```
C = MIN(C, Y(I))
IF (D < X(I)) D = X(I)
END DO</pre>
```

END SUBROUTINE REDUCTION1

Fortran

A common implementation of the preceding example is to treat it as if it had been written as follows:

C/C++

```
Example 37.2c
```

```
#include <limits.h>
#include <math.h>
void reduction2(float *x, int *y, int n)
  int i, b, b_p, c, c_p;
  float a, a_p, d, d_p;
  a = 0.0f;
 b = 0;
  c = y[0];
  d = x[0];
  #pragma omp parallel shared(a, b, c, d, x, y, n) \
                          private(a_p, b_p, c_p, d_p)
  {
    ap = 0.0f;
    b p = 0;
    c_p = INT_MAX;
    d p = -HUGE VALF;
    #pragma omp for private(i)
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      ap += x[i];
      b p ^= y[i];
      if (c_p > y[i]) c_p = y[i];
      dp = fmaxf(dp,x[i]);
    #pragma omp critical
      a += a_p;
      b ^= b p;
      if(c>cp)c=cp;
      d = fmaxf(d,d p);
  }
}
```

C/C++

## Example 37.2f

```
SUBROUTINE REDUCTION2 (A, B, C, D, X, Y, N)
  REAL :: X(*), A, D
  INTEGER :: Y(*), N, B, C
 REAL :: A_P, D_P
  INTEGER :: I, B P, C P
 A = 0
 B = 0
 C = Y(1)
 D = X(1)
  !$OMP PARALLEL SHARED(X, Y, A, B, C, D, N) &
  !$OMP&
                 PRIVATE(A P, B P, C P, D P)
   AP = 0.0
    BP=0
    C P = HUGE(C P)
   D P = -HUGE(D P)
    !$OMP DO PRIVATE(I)
    DO I=1,N
     A_P = A_P + X(I)
     B P = IEOR(B P, Y(I))
     C_P = MIN(C_P, Y(I))
      IF (D P < X(I)) D P = X(I)
    END DO
    !$OMP CRITICAL
     A = A + A P
     B = IEOR(B, B P)
     C = MIN(C, C P)
      D = MAX(D, D P)
    !$OMP END CRITICAL
  !$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE REDUCTION2
```

The following program is non-conforming because the reduction is on the *intrinsic* procedure name MAX but that name has been redefined to be the variable named MAX.

▼------ Fortran (cont.) ------

## Example 37.3f

```
PROGRAM REDUCTION_WRONG

MAX = HUGE(0)

M = 0

!$OMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(MAX: M)
! MAX is no longer the intrinsic so this is non-conforming

DO I = 1, 100

CALL SUB(M,I)
```

```
END DO
```

```
END PROGRAM REDUCTION_WRONG
SUBROUTINE SUB(M,I)
    M = MAX(M,I)
END SUBROUTINE SUB
```

The following conforming program performs the reduction using the *intrinsic procedure* name MAX even though the intrinsic MAX has been renamed to REN.

## Example 37.4f

```
MODULE M
INTRINSIC MAX
END MODULE M

PROGRAM REDUCTION3
USE M, REN => MAX
N = 0
!$OMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(REN: N) ! still does MAX
DO I = 1, 100
N = MAX(N,I)
END DO

END PROGRAM REDUCTION3
```

The following conforming program performs the reduction using *intrinsic procedure* name MAX even though the intrinsic MAX has been renamed to MIN.

## Example 37.5f

```
MODULE MOD
INTRINSIC MAX, MIN
END MODULE MOD

PROGRAM REDUCTION4
USE MOD, MIN=>MAX, MAX=>MIN
REAL :: R
R = -HUGE(0.0)

!$OMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(MIN: R) ! still does MAX
DO I = 1, 1000
R = MIN(R, SIN(REAL(I)))
END DO
PRINT *, R
END PROGRAM REDUCTION4
```

Fortran -

The following example is non-conforming because the initialization  $(\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0})$  of the original list item  $\mathbf{a}$  is not synchronized with the update of  $\mathbf{a}$  as a result of the reduction computation in the **for** loop. Therefore, the example may print an incorrect value for  $\mathbf{a}$ .

To avoid this problem, the initialization of the original list item **a** should complete before any update of **a** as a result of the **reduction** clause. This can be achieved by adding an explicit barrier after the assignment **a** = **0**, or by enclosing the assignment **a** = **0** in a **single** directive (which has an implied barrier), or by initializing **a** before the start of the **parallel** region.

```
C/C++
Example 37.3c
#include <stdio.h>
int main (void)
  int a, i;
  #pragma omp parallel shared(a) private(i)
   #pragma omp master
   a = 0;
   // To avoid race conditions, add a barrier here.
    #pragma omp for reduction(+:a)
    for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        a += i;
    #pragma omp single
   printf ("Sum is %d\n", a);
}
                                  C/C++
                                - Fortran -
Example 37.6f
      INTEGER A, I
!$OMP PARALLEL SHARED(A) PRIVATE(I)
!$OMP MASTER
      A = 0
```

## !\$OMP END MASTER ! To avoid race conditions, add a barrier here. !\$OMP DO REDUCTION(+:A) DO I= 0, 9 A = A + IEND DO !\$OMP SINGLE PRINT \*, "Sum is ", A !\$OMP END SINGLE !\$OMP END PARALLEL

END

----- Fortran

## 38 The copyin Clause

The **copyin** clause is used to initialize threadprivate data upon entry to a **parallel** region. The value of the threadprivate variable in the master thread is copied to the threadprivate variable of each other team member.

```
C/C++
Example 38.1c
#include <stdlib.h>
float* work;
int size;
float tol;
#pragma omp threadprivate(work, size, tol)
void build()
  int i;
  work = (float*)malloc( sizeof(float)*size );
  for( i = 0; i < size; ++i ) work[i] = tol;</pre>
}
void copyin_example( float t, int n )
  tol = t;
  size = n;
  #pragma omp parallel copyin(tol,size)
    build();
  }
}
                                   C/C++
                                   Fortran
Example 38.1f
      MODULE M
        REAL, POINTER, SAVE :: WORK(:)
        INTEGER :: SIZE
        REAL :: TOL
      THREADPRIVATE (WORK, SIZE, TOL)
!$OMP
      END MODULE M
```

```
SUBROUTINE COPYIN_EXAMPLE( T, N )
       USE M
       REAL :: T
       INTEGER :: N
       TOL = T
       SIZE = N
!$OMP PARALLEL COPYIN(TOL, SIZE)
       CALL BUILD
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE COPYIN_EXAMPLE
     SUBROUTINE BUILD
       USE M
       ALLOCATE (WORK (SIZE))
       WORK = TOL
     END SUBROUTINE BUILD
```

Fortran ——

## 39 The copyprivate Clause

The **copyprivate** clause can be used to broadcast values acquired by a single thread directly to all instances of the private variables in the other threads. In this example, if the routine is called from the sequential part, its behavior is not affected by the presence of the directives. If it is called from a **parallel** region, then the actual arguments with which **a** and **b** are associated must be private.

The thread that executes the structured block associated with the **single** construct broadcasts the values of the private variables **a**, **b**, **x**, and **y** from its implicit task's data environment to the data environments of the other implicit tasks in the thread team. The broadcast completes before any of the threads have left the barrier at the end of the construct.

```
C/C++
Example 39.1c
#include <stdio.h>
float x, y;
#pragma omp threadprivate(x, y)
void init(float a, float b ) {
    #pragma omp single copyprivate(a,b,x,y)
        scanf("%f %f %f %f", &a, &b, &x, &y);
}
                                  Fortran
Example 39.1f
      SUBROUTINE INIT(A,B)
      REAL A, B
        COMMON /XY/ X,Y
!$OMP
        THREADPRIVATE (/XY/)
! SOMP
        SINGLE
          READ (11) A,B,X,Y
!$OMP
       END SINGLE COPYPRIVATE (A,B,/XY/)
      END SUBROUTINE INIT
                                  Fortran
```

In this example, assume that the input must be performed by the master thread. Since the master construct does not support the copyprivate clause, it cannot broadcast the input value that is read. However, copyprivate is used to broadcast an address where the input value is stored.

C/C++

```
Example 39.2c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
float read next( ) {
  float * tmp;
  float return val;
  #pragma omp single copyprivate(tmp)
    tmp = (float *) malloc(sizeof(float));
  } /* copies the pointer only */
  #pragma omp master
    scanf("%f", tmp);
  #pragma omp barrier
  return val = *tmp;
  #pragma omp barrier
  #pragma omp single nowait
    free(tmp);
  return return val;
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 39.2f
        REAL FUNCTION READ NEXT()
        REAL, POINTER :: TMP
! SOMP
       SINGLE
          ALLOCATE (TMP)
!$OMP
        END SINGLE COPYPRIVATE (TMP) ! copies the pointer only
```

```
!$OMP
        MASTER
          READ (11) TMP
! SOMP
        END MASTER
!$OMP
        BARRIER
          READ NEXT = TMP
!$OMP
        BARRIER
!$OMP
        SINGLE
          DEALLOCATE (TMP)
!$OMP
       END SINGLE NOWAIT
        END FUNCTION READ NEXT
```

Fortran

Suppose that the number of lock variables required within a parallel region cannot easily be determined prior to entering it. The copyprivate clause can be used to provide access to shared lock variables that are allocated within that **parallel** region.

```
C/C++
Example 39.3c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <omp.h>
omp lock t *new lock()
 omp_lock_t *lock_ptr;
  #pragma omp single copyprivate(lock ptr)
    lock ptr = (omp lock t *) malloc(sizeof(omp lock t));
    omp init lock( lock ptr );
  return lock ptr;
}
                                  C/C++
                                 Fortran
Example 39.3f
     FUNCTION NEW LOCK()
     USE OMP LIB ! or INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
       INTEGER (OMP LOCK KIND), POINTER :: NEW LOCK
       SINGLE
```

!\$OMP

Note that the effect of the **copyprivate** clause on a variable with the **allocatable** attribute is different than on a variable with the **pointer** attribute. The value of **A** is copied (as if by intrinsic assignment) and the pointer **B** is copied (as if by pointer assignment) to the corresponding list items in the other implicit tasks belonging to the **parallel** region.

## Example 39.4f

```
SUBROUTINE S(N)
      INTEGER N
        REAL, DIMENSION(:), ALLOCATABLE :: A
        REAL, DIMENSION(:), POINTER :: B
       ALLOCATE (A(N))
!$OMP
       SINGLE
         ALLOCATE (B(N))
         READ (11) A,B
!$OMP
        END SINGLE COPYPRIVATE(A,B)
        ! Variable A is private and is
        ! assigned the same value in each thread
        ! Variable B is shared
!$OMP
       BARRIER
!$OMP
        SINGLE
          DEALLOCATE (B)
!$OMP
       END SINGLE NOWAIT
     END SUBROUTINE S
```

Fortran

# **40** Nested Loop Constructs

The following example of loop construct nesting is conforming because the inner and outer loop regions bind to different **parallel** regions:

```
C/C++
Example 40.1c
void work(int i, int j) {}
void good nesting(int n)
  int i, j;
  #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
    #pragma omp for
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      #pragma omp parallel shared(i, n)
        #pragma omp for
        for (j=0; j < n; j++)
          work(i, j);
    }
  }
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 40.1f
      SUBROUTINE WORK (I, J)
      INTEGER I, J
      END SUBROUTINE WORK
      SUBROUTINE GOOD NESTING (N)
      INTEGER N
        INTEGER I
!$OMP
        PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)
!$OMP
          DO
          DO I = 1, N
            PARALLEL SHARED(I,N)
!$OMP
! SOMP
              DO J = 1, N
                CALL WORK(I,J)
```

END DO

END PARALLEL !\$OMP

END DO

!\$OMP END PARALLEL

END SUBROUTINE GOOD\_NESTING

Fortran

The following variation of the preceding example is also conforming:

```
C/C++
Example 40.2c
void work(int i, int j) {}
void work1(int i, int n)
 int j;
  #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
    #pragma omp for
    for (j=0; j< n; j++)
     work(i, j);
}
void good nesting2(int n)
 int i;
  #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
    #pragma omp for
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
     work1(i, n);
  }
}
```

Fortran

C/C++

## Example 40.2f

```
SUBROUTINE WORK(I, J)
INTEGER I, J
END SUBROUTINE WORK

SUBROUTINE WORK1(I, N)
INTEGER J
!$OMP PARALLEL DEFAULT(SHARED)
!$OMP DO
DO J = 1, N
CALL WORK(I,J)
END DO
!$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE WORK1
```

```
SUBROUTINE GOOD_NESTING2(N)
     INTEGER N
!$OMP PARALLEL DEFAULT(SHARED)
!$OMP DO
     DO I = 1, N
        CALL WORK1(I, N)
!$OMP END PARALLEL
     END SUBROUTINE GOOD_NESTING2
```

— Fortran ————

#### **Restrictions on Nesting of Regions** 41

The examples in this section illustrate the region nesting rules.

The following example is non-conforming because the inner and outer loop regions are closely nested:

```
C/C++
Example 41.1c
void work(int i, int j) {}
void wrong1(int n)
  #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
   int i, j;
   #pragma omp for
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
      /* incorrect nesting of loop regions */
       #pragma omp for
         for (j=0; j<n; j++)
          work(i, j);
  }
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 41.1f
      SUBROUTINE WORK(I, J)
      INTEGER I, J
      END SUBROUTINE WORK
      SUBROUTINE WRONG1(N)
      INTEGER N
```

! incorrect nesting of loop regions

! SOMP

!\$OMP

!\$OMP

!\$OMP

INTEGER I,J

DO I = 1, N

END DO END DO

END PARALLEL

DO J = 1, N CALL WORK(I,J)

PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)

C/C++

The following orphaned version of the preceding example is also non-conforming:

## Example 41.2c

```
void work(int i, int j) {}
void work1(int i, int n)
  int j;
/* incorrect nesting of loop regions */
  #pragma omp for
    for (j=0; j< n; j++)
      work(i, j);
}
void wrong2(int n)
  #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
  {
    int i;
    #pragma omp for
      for (i=0; i<n; i++)
         work1(i, n);
  }
}
```

C/C++

Fortran

#### Example 41.2f

```
SUBROUTINE WORK1(I,N)
       INTEGER I, N
       INTEGER J
!$OMP
                ! incorrect nesting of loop regions
        DO J = 1, N
          CALL WORK (I, J)
        END DO
       END SUBROUTINE WORK1
       SUBROUTINE WRONG2 (N)
       INTEGER N
       INTEGER I
!$OMP
        PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)
!$OMP
          DO I = 1, N
            CALL WORK1(I,N)
          END DO
!$OMP
        END PARALLEL
```

The following example is non-conforming because the loop and **single** regions are closely nested:

Fortran

Example 41.3f

```
SUBROUTINE WRONG3 (N)
      INTEGER N
        INTEGER I
! SOMP
        PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)
!$OMP
          DO
          DO I = 1, N
!$OMP
           SINGLE
                               ! incorrect nesting of regions
              CALL WORK(I, 1)
!$OMP
            END SINGLE
          END DO
! SOMP
       END PARALLEL
      END SUBROUTINE WRONG3
```

The following example is non-conforming because a **barrier** region cannot be closely nested inside a loop region:

Fortran

```
C/C++
Example 41.4c
void work(int i, int j) {}
void wrong4(int n)
  #pragma omp parallel default(shared)
    int i;
    #pragma omp for
      for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
        work(i, 0);
/* incorrect nesting of barrier region in a loop region */
        #pragma omp barrier
        work(i, 1);
      }
  }
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 41.4f
      SUBROUTINE WRONG4(N)
      INTEGER N
        INTEGER I
!$OMP
        PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)
! SOMP
          DO
          DO I = 1, N
```

CALL WORK(I, 2)
END DO
!\$OMP END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE WRONG4

Fortran

CALL WORK(I, 1)

BARRIER

!\$OMP

! incorrect nesting of barrier region in a loop region

The following example is non-conforming because the **barrier** region cannot be closely nested inside the **critical** region. If this were permitted, it would result in deadlock due to the fact that only one thread at a time can enter the **critical** region:

```
C/C++
Example 41.5c
void work(int i, int j) {}
void wrong5(int n)
  #pragma omp parallel
    #pragma omp critical
       work(n, 0);
/* incorrect nesting of barrier region in a critical region */
       #pragma omp barrier
       work(n, 1);
  }
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 41.5f
      SUBROUTINE WRONG5 (N)
      INTEGER N
!$OMP
       PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)
!$OMP
          CRITICAL
           CALL WORK (N, 1)
! incorrect nesting of barrier region in a critical region
!$OMP
           BARRIER
```

The following example is non-conforming because the **barrier** region cannot be closely nested inside the **single** region. If this were permitted, it would result in deadlock due to the fact that only one thread executes the **single** region:

```
C/C++

Example 41.6c

void work(int i, int j) {}

void wrong6(int n)
{

#pragma omp parallel
```

CALL WORK(N,2) END CRITICAL

END PARALLEL
END SUBROUTINE WRONG5

!\$OMP

```
{
    #pragma omp single
      work(n, 0);
/* incorrect nesting of barrier region in a single region */
      #pragma omp barrier
      work(n, 1);
    }
  }
}
                                   C/C++ -
                                   Fortran
Example 41.6f
     SUBROUTINE WRONG6 (N)
      INTEGER N
!$OMP
        PARALLEL DEFAULT (SHARED)
!$OMP
          SINGLE
            CALL WORK (N, 1)
! incorrect nesting of barrier region in a single region
            BARRIER
            CALL WORK (N, 2)
!$OMP
          END SINGLE
!$OMP
        END PARALLEL
      END SUBROUTINE WRONG6

    Fortran -
```

# 42 The omp\_set\_dynamic and omp set num threads Routines

Some programs rely on a fixed, prespecified number of threads to execute correctly. Because the default setting for the dynamic adjustment of the number of threads is implementation defined, such programs can choose to turn off the dynamic threads capability and set the number of threads explicitly to ensure portability. The following example shows how to do this using <code>omp\_set\_dynamic</code>, and <code>omp\_set\_num\_threads</code>.

In this example, the program executes correctly only if it is executed by 16 threads. If the implementation is not capable of supporting 16 threads, the behavior of this example is implementation defined. Note that the number of threads executing a parallel region remains constant during the region, regardless of the dynamic threads setting. The dynamic threads mechanism determines the number of threads to use at the start of the parallel region and keeps it constant for the duration of the region.

```
C/C++
Example 42.1c
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void do by 16(float *x, int iam, int ipoints) {}
void dynthreads(float *x, int npoints)
  int iam, ipoints;
  omp set dynamic(0);
  omp set num threads(16);
  #pragma omp parallel shared(x, npoints) private(iam, ipoints)
    if (omp get num threads() != 16)
      abort();
    iam = omp get thread num();
    ipoints = npoints/16;
    do by 16(x, iam, ipoints);
}
                                   C/C++
```

## Example 42.1f

```
SUBROUTINE DO_BY_16(X, IAM, IPOINTS)
       REAL X(*)
       INTEGER IAM, IPOINTS
     END SUBROUTINE DO_BY_16
     SUBROUTINE DYNTHREADS (X, NPOINTS)
        INCLUDE "omp_lib.h" ! or USE OMP_LIB
        INTEGER NPOINTS
        REAL X (NPOINTS)
        INTEGER IAM, IPOINTS
        CALL OMP SET DYNAMIC(.FALSE.)
        CALL OMP_SET_NUM_THREADS(16)
!$OMP
       PARALLEL SHARED (X, NPOINTS) PRIVATE (IAM, IPOINTS)
          IF (OMP_GET_NUM_THREADS() .NE. 16) THEN
            STOP
          ENDIF
          IAM = OMP GET THREAD NUM()
          IPOINTS = NPOINTS/16
          CALL DO_BY_16(X,IAM,IPOINTS)
!$OMP
       END PARALLEL
```

END SUBROUTINE DYNTHREADS

Fortran —

#### 43 The omp get num threads Routine

In the following example, the omp get num threads call returns 1 in the sequential part of the code, so **np** will always be equal to 1. To determine the number of threads that will be deployed for the parallel region, the call should be inside the parallel region.

```
C/C++
Example 43.1c
#include <omp.h>
void work(int i);
void incorrect()
  int np, i;
 np = omp get num threads(); /* misplaced */
  #pragma omp parallel for schedule(static)
  for (i=0; i < np; i++)
   work(i);
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 43.1f
      SUBROUTINE WORK(I)
      INTEGER I
        I = I + 1
      END SUBROUTINE WORK
      SUBROUTINE INCORRECT()
        INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
                               ! or USE OMP LIB
        INTEGER I, NP
        NP = OMP GET NUM THREADS()
                                     !misplaced: will return 1
        PARALLEL DO SCHEDULE(STATIC)
!$OMP
          DO I = 0, NP-1
            CALL WORK (I)
          ENDDO
! SOMP
     END PARALLEL DO
      END SUBROUTINE INCORRECT
                                 Fortran •
```

The following example shows how to rewrite this program without including a query for the number of threads:

```
C/C++
Example 43.2c
#include <omp.h>
void work(int i);
void correct()
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel private(i)
    i = omp_get_thread_num();
   work(i);
  }
}
                                 C/C++ -
                                - Fortran -
Example 43.2f
      SUBROUTINE WORK(I)
        INTEGER I
        I = I + 1
      END SUBROUTINE WORK
      SUBROUTINE CORRECT()
       INCLUDE "omp lib.h" ! or USE OMP LIB
        INTEGER I
!$OMP
       PARALLEL PRIVATE(I)
         I = OMP_GET_THREAD_NUM()
         CALL WORK(I)
      END PARALLEL
!$OMP
      END SUBROUTINE CORRECT
                                Fortran -
```

# 44 The omp init lock Routine

The following example demonstrates how to initialize an array of locks in a parallel region by using omp init lock.

```
C/C++
Example 44.1c
#include <omp.h>
omp lock t *new locks()
  int i;
 omp_lock_t *lock = new omp_lock_t[1000];
  #pragma omp parallel for private(i)
   for (i=0; i<1000; i++)
      omp init lock(&lock[i]);
   return lock;
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 44.1f
      FUNCTION NEW LOCKS()
        USE OMP LIB
                           ! or INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
        INTEGER (OMP LOCK KIND), DIMENSION (1000) :: NEW LOCKS
        INTEGER I
!$OMP
        PARALLEL DO PRIVATE(I)
         DO I=1,1000
            CALL OMP INIT LOCK (NEW LOCKS (I))
         END DO
! SOMP
       END PARALLEL DO
      END FUNCTION NEW_LOCKS
                                - Fortran -
```

# 45 Ownership of Locks

Ownership of locks has changed since OpenMP 2.5. In OpenMP 2.5, locks are owned by threads; so a lock released by the <code>omp\_unset\_lock</code> routine must be owned by the same thread executing the routine. With OpenMP 3.0, locks are owned by task regions; so a lock released by the <code>omp\_unset\_lock</code> routine in a task region must be owned by the same task region.

This change in ownership requires extra care when using locks. The following program is conforming in OpenMP 2.5 because the thread that releases the lock lck in the parallel region is the same thread that acquired the lock in the sequential part of the program (master thread of parallel region and the initial thread are the same). However, it is not conforming in OpenMP 3.0 and 3.1, because the task region that releases the lock lck is different from the task region that acquires the lock.

```
Example 45.1c
```

x = x + 1;

/\* Some more stuff. \*/

omp destroy lock (&lck);

return 0;

omp unset lock (&lck);

#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>

C/C++

## Example 45.1f

```
program lock
        use omp_lib
        integer :: x
        integer (kind=omp_lock_kind) :: lck
        call omp init lock (lck)
        call omp_set_lock(lck)
        x = 0
!$omp parallel shared (x)
!$omp master
        x = x + 1
        call omp_unset_lock(lck)
!$omp end master
        Some more stuff.
!$omp end parallel
        call omp_destroy_lock(lck)
        end
```

Fortran

# 46 Simple Lock Routines

In the following example, the lock routines cause the threads to be idle while waiting for entry to the first critical section, but to do other work while waiting for entry to the second. The omp\_set\_lock function blocks, but the omp\_test\_lock function does not, allowing the work in skip to be done.

C/C++

Note that the argument to the lock routines should have type omp\_lock\_t, and that there is no need to flush it.

#### Example 46.1c

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>
void skip(int i) {}
void work(int i) {}
int main()
  omp lock t lck;
  int id;
  omp init lock(&lck);
  #pragma omp parallel shared(lck) private(id)
    id = omp get thread num();
    omp set lock(&lck);
    /* only one thread at a time can execute this printf */
    printf("My thread id is %d.\n", id);
    omp unset lock(&lck);
    while (! omp test lock(&lck)) {
      skip(id); /* we do not yet have the lock,
                     so we must do something else */
    }
    work(id);
                   /* we now have the lock
                      and can do the work */
    omp unset lock(&lck);
  omp_destroy_lock(&lck);
  return 0:
}
```

C/C++

Note that there is no need to flush the lock variable.

## Example 46.1f

```
SUBROUTINE SKIP(ID)
      END SUBROUTINE SKIP
      SUBROUTINE WORK (ID)
     END SUBROUTINE WORK
     PROGRAM SIMPLELOCK
       INCLUDE "omp lib.h" ! or USE OMP LIB
       INTEGER (OMP LOCK KIND) LCK
       INTEGER ID
       CALL OMP INIT LOCK (LCK)
!$OMP
       PARALLEL SHARED (LCK) PRIVATE (ID)
          ID = OMP_GET_THREAD_NUM()
         CALL OMP_SET_LOCK(LCK)
         PRINT *, 'My thread id is ', ID
         CALL OMP UNSET LOCK (LCK)
         DO WHILE (.NOT. OMP TEST LOCK(LCK))
           CALL SKIP(ID) ! We do not yet have the lock
                             ! so we must do something else
         END DO
         CALL WORK (ID)
                             ! We now have the lock
                              ! and can do the work
         CALL OMP UNSET LOCK ( LCK )
!$OMP
       END PARALLEL
       CALL OMP DESTROY LOCK ( LCK )
     END PROGRAM SIMPLELOCK
```

- Fortran -

## 47 Nestable Lock Routines

The following example demonstrates how a nestable lock can be used to synchronize updates both to a whole structure and to one of its members.

#### C/C++

C/C++

```
Example 47.1c
#include <omp.h>
typedef struct {
      int a,b;
      omp_nest_lock_t lck; } pair;
int work1();
int work2();
int work3();
void incr a(pair *p, int a)
  /* Called only from incr pair, no need to lock. */
 p->a += a;
void incr b(pair *p, int b)
  /* Called both from incr pair and elsewhere, */
  /* so need a nestable lock. */
  omp set nest lock(&p->lck);
 p->b+=b;
  omp unset nest lock(&p->lck);
void incr pair(pair *p, int a, int b)
  omp set nest lock(&p->lck);
  incr a(p, a);
  incr b(p, b);
  omp_unset_nest_lock(&p->lck);
void nestlock(pair *p)
  #pragma omp parallel sections
    #pragma omp section
      incr pair(p, work1(), work2());
    #pragma omp section
      incr b(p, work3());
}
```

#### Example 47.1f

```
MODULE DATA
  USE OMP LIB, ONLY: OMP NEST LOCK KIND
  TYPE LOCKED PAIR
    INTEGER A
    INTEGER B
    INTEGER (OMP NEST LOCK KIND) LCK
 END TYPE
END MODULE DATA
SUBROUTINE INCR A(P, A)
  ! called only from INCR PAIR, no need to lock
  USE DATA
  TYPE (LOCKED PAIR) :: P
  INTEGER A
  P%A = P%A + A
END SUBROUTINE INCR A
SUBROUTINE INCR B(P, B)
  ! called from both INCR PAIR and elsewhere,
  ! so we need a nestable lock
  USE OMP LIB ! or INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
  USE DATA
  TYPE (LOCKED PAIR) :: P
  INTEGER B
  CALL OMP_SET_NEST_LOCK (P%LCK)
  PB = PB + B
  CALL OMP UNSET NEST LOCK (P%LCK)
END SUBROUTINE INCR B
SUBROUTINE INCR PAIR(P, A, B)
  USE OMP LIB
              ! or INCLUDE "omp_lib.h"
  USE DATA
  TYPE (LOCKED PAIR) :: P
  INTEGER A
  INTEGER B
  CALL OMP SET NEST LOCK (P%LCK)
  CALL INCR A(P, A)
  CALL INCR B(P, B)
  CALL OMP UNSET NEST LOCK (P%LCK)
END SUBROUTINE INCR PAIR
SUBROUTINE NESTLOCK (P)
  USE OMP LIB
               ! or INCLUDE "omp lib.h"
  USE DATA
  TYPE (LOCKED PAIR) :: P
  INTEGER WORK1, WORK2, WORK3
  EXTERNAL WORK1, WORK2, WORK3
```

!\$OMP PARALLEL SECTIONS

!\$OMP SECTION

CALL INCR\_PAIR(P, WORK1(), WORK2())

!\$OMP SECTION

CALL INCR\_B(P, WORK3())

!\$OMP END PARALLEL SECTIONS

END SUBROUTINE NESTLOCK

---- Fortran

# 48 target Construct

## target Construct on parallel Construct

This following example shows how the **target** construct offloads a code region to a target device. The variables p, v1, v2, and N are implicitly mapped to the target device.

```
C/C++
Example 48.1c
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(int N)
   int i;
   float p[N], v1[N], v2[N];
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target
   #pragma omp parallel for private(i)
   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
     p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
}
                                  C/C++
                                 Fortran -
Example 48.1f
subroutine vec mult(N)
   integer :: i,N
   real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1,N
     p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
   end do
   !$omp end target
   call output (p, N)
end subroutine
                                  Fortran •
```

# target Construct with map Clause

This following example shows how the **target** construct offloads a code region to a target device. The variables p, v1, v2, are explicitly mapped to the target device using the map clause. The variable N is implicitly mapped to the target device.

```
C/C++
Example 48.2c
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(int N)
   int i;
   float p[N], v1[N], v2[N];
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target map(v1, v2, p)
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i=0; i< N; i++)
     p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 48.2f
subroutine vec mult(N)
   integer :: i,N
   real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target map(v1,v2,p)
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1,N
      p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
   end do
   !$omp end target
   call output(p, N)
end subroutine

    Fortran
```

# map Clause With to/from map-types

The following example shows how the target construct offloads a code region to a target device. In the map clause, the to and from map-types define the mapping between the original (host) data and the target (device) data. The to map-type specifies that the data will only be read on the device, and the **from** map-type specifies that the data will only be written to on the device. By specifying a guaranteed access on the device, data transfers can be reduced for the target region.

The to map-type indicates that at the start of the target region the variables v1 and v2 are initialized with the values of the corresponding variables on the host device, and at the end of the target region the variables v1 and v2 are not assigned to their corresponding variables on the host device.

The **from** map-type indicates that at the start of the **target** region the variable p is not initialized with the value of the corresponding variable on the host device, and at the end of the target region the variable p is assigned to the corresponding variable on the host device.

```
C/C++
Example 48.3c
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(int N)
   int i;
   float p[N], v1[N], v2[N];
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p)
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i=0; i< N; i++)
     p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
  output(p, N);
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
```

## Example 48.3f

The to and from map-types allow programmers to optimize data motion. Since data for the v arrays are not returned, and data for the p array are not transferred to the device, only one-half of the data is moved, compared to the default behavior of an implicit mapping.

# map Clause with Array Sections

The following example shows how the **target** construct offloads a code region to a target device. In the **map** clause, map-types are used to optimize the mapping of variables to the target device. Because variables p, v1 and v2 are pointers, array section notation must be used to map the arrays. The notation: N is equivalent to 0:N.

```
c/C++

Example 48.4c

extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec_mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)

{
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
      p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
}</pre>
```

#### Example 48.4f

In C, the length of the pointed-to array must be specified. In Fortran the extent of the array is known and the length need not be specified. A section of the array can be specified with the usual Fortran syntax, as shown in the following example. The value 1 is assumed for the lower bound for array section v2 (:N).

```
module mults
contains
subroutine vec mult(p,v1,v2,N)
   real,pointer,dimension(:) :: p, v1, v2
                              :: N,i
   integer
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target map(to: v1(1:N), v2(:N)) map(from: p(1:N))
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1,N
      p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
   end do
   !$omp end target
   call output (p, N)
end subroutine
end module
```

A more realistic situation in which an assumed-size array is passed to vec\_mult requires that the length of the arrays be specified, because the compiler does not know the size of the storage. A section of the array must be specified with the usual Fortran syntax, as shown in the following example. The value 1 is assumed for the lower bound for array section v2 (:N).

```
module mults
contains
subroutine vec mult(p,v1,v2,N)
   real, dimension(*) :: p, v1, v2
                     :: N,i
   integer
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target map(to: v1(1:N), v2(:N)) map(from: p(1:N))
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1,N
      p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
   end do
   call output(p, N)
   !$omp end target
end subroutine
end module
```

Fortran

# target Construct with if Clause

The following example shows how the **target** construct offloads a code region to a target device.

The if clause on the target construct indicates that if the variable N is smaller than a given threshold, then the target region will be executed by the host device.

The if clause on the parallel construct indicates that if the variable N is smaller than a second threshold then the parallel region is inactive.

```
C/C++
Example 48.5c
#define THRESHOLD1 1000000
#define THRESHOLD2 1000
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target if(N>THRESHOLD1) map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N])\
        map(from: p[0:N])
   #pragma omp parallel for if(N>THRESHOLD2)
   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
     p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
                                  C/C++ -
                                - Fortran -
Example 48.5f
module params
integer, parameter :: THRESHOLD1=1000000, THRESHHOLD2=1000
end module
subroutine vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   use params
   real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   integer :: i
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target if(N>THRESHHOLD1) map(to: v1, v2 ) map(from: p)
      !$omp parallel do if(N>THRESHOLD2)
      do i=1,N
                    p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
      end do
   !$omp end target
```

call output(p, N)
end subroutine

- Fortran -

# 49 target data Construct

## Simple target data Construct

This example shows how the target data construct maps variables to a device data environment. The target data construct creates a new device data environment and maps the variables v1, v2, and p to the new device data environment. The target construct enclosed in the target data region creates a new device data environment, which inherits the variables v1, v2, and p from the enclosing device data environment. The variable N is mapped into the new device data environment from the encountering task's data environment.

```
C/C++
Example 49.1c
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
      #pragma omp target
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i<N; i++)
                   p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
```

## Example 49.1f

The Fortran code passes a reference and specifies the extent of the arrays in the declaration. No length information is necessary in the map clause, as is required with C/C++ pointers.

Fortran -

# target data Region Enclosing Multiple target Regions

The following examples show how the target data construct maps variables to a device data environment of a target region. The target data construct creates a device data environment and encloses target regions, which have their own device data environments. The device data environment of the target data region is inherited by the device data environment of an enclosed target region. The target data construct is used to create variables that will persist throughout the target data region.

In the following example the variables v1 and v2 are mapped at each target construct. Instead of mapping the variable p twice, once at each target construct, p is mapped once by the target data construct.

C/C++

## Example 49.2c

```
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void init_again(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec_mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
{
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(from: p[0:N])
   {
        #pragma omp target map(to: v1[:N], v2[:N])
        #pragma omp parallel for
        for (i=0: i<N: i++)</pre>
```

C/C++

Fortran

### Example 49.2f

The Fortran code uses reference and specifies the extent of the p, v1 and v2 arrays. No length information is necessary in the **map** clause, as is required with C/C++ pointers. The arrays v1 and v2 are mapped at each **target** construct. Instead of mapping the array p twice, once at each target construct, p is mapped once by the **target data** construct.

```
subroutine vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
  real
          :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   integer :: i
  call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data map(from: p)
      !$omp target map(to: v1, v2)
         !$omp parallel do
         do i=1,N
            p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
      call init again(v1, v2, N)
      !$omp target map(to: v1, v2)
         !$omp parallel do
         do i=1,N
            p(i) = p(i) + v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
   !$omp end target data
   call output(p, N)
end subroutine
```

Fortran

C/C++

In the following example, the variable tmp defaults to **tofrom** map-type and is mapped at each **target** construct. The array Q is mapped once at the enclosing **target data** region instead of at each **target** construct.

```
#include <math.h>
void gramSchmidt(restrict float Q[][COLS], const int rows, const int cols)
    #pragma omp target data map(Q[0:rows][0:cols])
    for(int k=0; k < cols; k++)
        double tmp = 0.0;
        #pragma omp target
        #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:tmp)
        for(int i=0; i < rows; i++)</pre>
            tmp += (Q[i][k] * Q[i][k]);
        tmp = 1/sqrt(tmp);
        #pragma omp target
        #pragma omp parallel for
        for(int i=0; i < rows; i++)
            Q[i][k] *= tmp;
    }
}
                                   C/C++
```

#### Example 49.3f

In the following example the arrays v1 and v2 are mapped at each target construct. Instead of mapping the array Q twice at each target construct, Q is mapped once by the target data construct. Note, the tmp variable is implicitly remapped for each target region, mapping the value from the device to the host at the end of the first target region, and from the host to the device for the second target region.

```
subroutine gramSchmidt(Q,rows,cols)
integer
                  :: rows,cols,
                                     i,k
double precision
                  :: Q(rows,cols), tmp
      !$omp target data map(Q)
      do k=1,cols
        tmp = 0.0d0
       !$omp target
           !$omp parallel do reduction(+:tmp)
          do i=1,rows
              tmp = tmp + (Q(i,k) * Q(i,k))
           end do
        !$omp end target
          tmp = 1.0d0/sqrt(tmp)
        !$omp target
           !$omp parallel do
          do i=1,rows
               Q(i,k) = Q(i,k)*tmp
           enddo
        !$omp end target
```

## target data Construct with Orphaned Call

The following two examples show how the target data construct maps variables to a device data environment. The target data construct's device data environment encloses the target construct's device data environment in the function vec mult().

When the type of the variable appearing in an array section is pointer, the pointer variable and the storage location of the corresponding array section are mapped to the device data environment. The pointer variable is treated as if it had appeared in a map clause with a map-type of alloc. The array section's storage location is mapped according to the map-type in the map clause (the default map-type is tofrom).

The target construct's device data environment inherits the storage locations of the array sections v1[0:N], v2[:n], and p0[0:N] from the enclosing target data construct's device data environment. Neither initialization nor assignment is performed for the array sections in the new device data environment.

The pointer variables p1, v3, and v4 are mapped into the target construct's device data environment with an implicit map-type of alloc and they are assigned the address of the storage location associated with their corresponding array sections. Note that the following pairs of array section storage locations are equivalent (p0[:N], p1[:N]), (v1[:N], v3[:N]), and (v2[:N], v4[:N]).

C/C++

#### Example 49.3c

```
void vec_mult(float*, float*, float*, int);
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void foo(float *p0, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
{
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p0[0:N])
   {
      vec_mult(p0, v1, v2, N);
   }
   output(p0, N);
}
void vec_mult(float *p1, float *v3, float *v4, int N)
{
```

```
#pragma omp target map(to: v3[0:N], v4[:N]) map(from: p1[0:N])
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=0; i<N; i++)
    p1[i] = v3[i] * v4[i];
}</pre>
C/C++
```

#### Example 49.4f

The Fortran code maps the pointers and storage in an identical manner (same extent, but uses indices from 1 to N).

The target construct's device data environment inherits the storage locations of the arrays v1, v2 and p0 from the enclosing target data constructs's device data environment. However, in Fortran the associated data of the pointer is known, and the shape is not required.

The pointer variables p1, v3, and v4 are mapped into the **target** construct's device data environment with an implicit map-type of **alloc** and they are assigned the address of the storage location associated with their corresponding array sections. Note that the following pair of array storage locations are equivalent (p0, p1), (v1, v3), and (v2, v4).

```
module mults
contains
subroutine foo(p0,v1,v2,N)
real, pointer, dimension(:) :: p0, v1, v2
integer
                           :: N,i
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p0)
   call vec mult(p0,v1,v2,N)
   !omp end target data
   call output (p0, N)
end subroutine
subroutine vec mult(p1,v3,v4,N)
real, pointer, dimension(:) :: p1, v3, v4
                          :: N.i
   !$omp target map(to: v3, v4) map(from: p1)
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1,N
      p1(i) = v3(i) * v4(i)
   end do
   !$omp end target
end subroutine
end module
```

Fortran

#### Example 49.4c

In the following example, the variables p1, v3, and v4 are references to the pointer variables p0, v1 and v2 respectively. The **target** construct's device data environment inherits the pointer variables p0, v1, and v2 from the enclosing **target data** construct's device data environment. Thus, p1, v3, and v4 are already present in the device data environment.

```
void vec_mult(float* &, float* &, float* &, int &);
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void foo(float *p0, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p0[0:N])
      vec mult(p0, v1, v2, N);
   output(p0, N);
void vec mult(float* &p1, float* &v3, float* &v4, int &N)
   int i;
   #pragma omp target map(to: v3[0:N], v4[:N]) map(from: p1[0:N])
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i=0; i< N; i++)
     p1[i] = v3[i] * v4[i];
}
                                  C/C++
```

Fortran

#### Example 49.5f

In the following example, the usual Fortran approach is used for dynamic memory. The p0, v1, v2 arrays are allocated in the main program and passed as references from one routine to another. In vec\_mult, p1, v3 and v4 are references to the p0, v1, and v2 arrays, respectively. The target construct's device data environment inherits the arrays p0, v1, and v2 from the enclosing target data construct's device data environment. Thus, p1, v3, and v4 are already present in the device data environment.

```
module my_mult
contains
subroutine foo(p0,v1,v2,N)
```

```
real, dimension(:) :: p0, v1, v2
                 :: N,i
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p0)
    call vec mult(p0,v1,v2,N)
   !omp end target data
   call output (p0, N)
end subroutine
subroutine vec mult(p1,v3,v4,N)
real, dimension(:) :: p1, v3, v4
                 :: N,i
   !$omp target map(to: v3, v4) map(from: p1)
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1,N
     p1(i) = v3(i) * v4(i)
   end do
   !$omp end target
end subroutine
end module
program main
use my mult
integer, parameter :: N=1024
real, allocatable, dimension(:) :: p, v1, v2
   allocate( p(N), v1(N), v2(N) )
   call foo(p,v1,v2,N)
end program
```

# target data Construct With if Clause

The following two examples show how the target data construct maps variables to a device data environment.

In the following example, the if clause on the target data construct indicates that if the variable N is smaller than a given threshold, then the target data construct will not create a device data environment.

The target constructs enclosed in the target data region must also use an if clause on the same condition, otherwise the pointer variable p is implicitly mapped with a map-type of tofrom, but the storage location for the array section p[0:N] will not be mapped in the device data environments of the target constructs.

C/C++

Example 49.5c

#define THRESHOLD 1000000

```
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void init again(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data if(N>THRESHOLD) map(from: p[0:N])
      #pragma omp target if (N>THRESHOLD) map(to: v1[:N], v2[:N])
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i<N; i++)
       p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
      init again(v1, v2, N);
      #pragma omp target if (N>THRESHOLD) map(to: v1[:N], v2[:N])
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i< N; i++)
       p[i] = p[i] + (v1[i] * v2[i]);
   output(p, N);
}
                                  C/C++
```

Fortran

#### Example 49.6f

The if clauses work the same way for the following Fortran code. The target constructs enclosed in the target data region should also use an if clause with the same condition, so that the target data region and the target region are either both created for the device, or are both ignored.

```
module params
integer, parameter :: THRESHOLD=1000000
end module
subroutine vec_mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   use params
          :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   real
   integer :: i
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data if(N>THRESHOLD) map(from: p)
      !$omp target if(N>THRESHOLD) map(to: v1, v2)
         !$omp parallel do
         do i=1,N
            p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
      call init again(v1, v2, N)
      !$omp target if(N>THRESHOLD) map(to: v1, v2)
         !$omp parallel do
```

```
do i=1.N
            p(i) = p(i) + v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
   !$omp end target data
   call output (p, N)
end subroutine
```

#### Fortran

In the following example, when the **if** clause conditional expression on the **target** construct evaluates to false, the target region will execute on the host device. However, the target data construct created an enclosing device data environment that mapped p[0:N] to a device data environment on the default device. At the end of the target data region the array section p [0:N] will be assigned from the device data environment to the corresponding variable in the data environment of the task that encountered the target data construct, resulting in undefined values in p[0:N].

```
C/C++
Example 49.6c
#define THRESHOLD 1000000
extern void init(float*, float*, int);
extern void output(float*, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(from: p[0:N])
      #pragma omp target if (N>THRESHOLD) map(to: v1[:N], v2[:N])
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i< N; i++)
        p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   } /* UNDEFINED behavior if N<=THRESHOLD */</pre>
   output(p, N);
                                   C/C++
```

### Example 49.7f

The if clauses work the same way for the following Fortran code. When the if clause conditional expression on the target construct evaluates to false, the target region will execute on the host device. However, the target data construct created an enclosing device data environment that mapped the p array (and v1 and v2) to a device data environment on the default target device. At the end of the target data region

Fortran

the p array will be assigned from the device data environment to the corresponding variable in the data environment of the task that encountered the **target data** construct, resulting in undefined values in p.

```
module params
integer, parameter :: THRESHOLD=1000000
end module
subroutine vec_mult(p, v1, v2, N)
  use params
  real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   integer :: i
  call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data map(from: p)
      !$omp target if(N>THRESHOLD) map(to: v1, v2)
         !$omp parallel do
         do i=1,N
           p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
   !$omp end target data
   call output(p, N) !*** UNDEFINED behavior if N<=THRESHOLD
end subroutine
```

Fortran -

# 50 target update Construct

# Simple target data and target update Constructs

The following example shows how the target update construct updates variables in a device data environment.

The target data construct maps array sections v1[:N] and v2[:N] (arrays v1 and v2 in the Fortran code) into a device data environment.

The task executing on the host device encounters the first target region and waits for the completion of the region.

After the execution of the first target region, the task executing on the host device then assigns new values to v1[:N] and v2[:N] (v1 and v2 arrays in Fortran code) in the task's data environment by calling the function init\_again().

The target update construct assigns the new values of v1 and v2 from the task's data environment to the corresponding mapped array sections in the device data environment of the target data construct.

The task executing on the host device then encounters the second target region and waits for the completion of the region.

The second target region uses the updated values of v1[:N] and v2[:N].

#### C/C++

#### Example 50.1c

```
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern void init_again(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec_mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
{
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(to: v1[:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
   {
        #pragma omp target
        #pragma omp parallel for
        for (i=0; i<N; i++)</pre>
```

```
p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
      init_again(v1, v2, N);
      #pragma omp target update to(v1[:N], v2[:N])
      #pragma omp target
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i< N; i++)
                   p[i] = p[i] + (v1[i] * v2[i]);
   }
   output(p, N);
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran -
Example 50.1f
subroutine vec_mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   real
          :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   integer :: i
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p)
      !$omp target
      !$omp parallel do
         do i=1,N
            p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
      call init_again(v1, v2, N)
      !$omp target update to(v1, v2)
      !$omp target
      !$omp parallel do
         do i=1,N
            p(i) = p(i) + v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
   !$omp end target data
   call output (p, N)
end subroutine
```

Fortran -

## target update Construct With if Clause

The following example shows how the target update construct updates variables in a device data environment.

The target data construct maps array sections v1 [:N] and v2 [:N] (arrays v1 and v2 in the Fortran code) into a device data environment. In between the two target regions, the task executing on the host device conditionally assigns new values to v1 and v2 in the task's data environment. The function maybe\_init\_again() returns true if new data is written.

When the conditional expression (the return value of maybe\_init\_again()) in the if clause is *true*, the target update construct assigns the new values of v1 and v2 from the task's data environment to the corresponding mapped array sections in the target data construct's device data environment.

#### C/C++

#### Example 50.2c

```
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern int maybe_init_again(float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int i:
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target data map(to: v1[:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
      int changed;
      #pragma omp target
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i< N; i++)
        p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
      changed = maybe init again(v1, N);
      #pragma omp target update if (changed) to(v1[:N])
      changed = maybe init again(v2, N);
      #pragma omp target update if (changed) to(v2[:N])
      #pragma omp target
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i< N; i++)
        p[i] = p[i] + (v1[i] * v2[i]);
   output(p, N);
```

### Example 50.2f

```
subroutine vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   interface
     logical function maybe init again (v1, N)
     real :: v1(N)
     integer :: N
     end function
   end interface
  real
          :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
  integer :: i
  logical :: changed
  call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target data map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p)
      !$omp target
         !$omp parallel do
         do i=1, N
            p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
      changed = maybe init again(v1, N)
      !$omp target if(changed) update to(v1(:N))
     changed = maybe init again(v2, N)
      !$omp target if(changed) update to(v2(:N))
      !$omp target
         !$omp parallel do
         do i=1, N
            p(i) = p(i) + v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
      !$omp end target
   !$omp end target data
   call output(p, N)
end subroutine
```

Fortran

# 51 declare target Construct

# declare target and end declare target for a Function

The following example shows how the **declare target** directive is used to indicate that the corresponding call inside a **target** region is to a fib function that can execute on the default target device.

A version of the function is also available on the host device. When the **if** clause conditional expression on the **target** construct evaluates to *false*, the **target** region (thus fib) will execute on the host device.

For C/C++ codes the declaration of the function fib appears between the declare target and end declare target directives.

```
#pragma omp declare target
extern void fib(int N);
#pragma omp end declare target
#define THRESHOLD 1000000
void fib_wrapper(int n)
{
    #pragma omp target if(n > THRESHOLD)
    fib(n);
}
}

C/C++

Fortran
```

The Fortran fib subroutine contains a **declare target** declaration to indicate to the compiler to create an device executable version of the procedure. The subroutine name has not been included on the **declare target** directive and is, therefore, implicitly assumed.

The program uses the module\_fib module, which presents an explicit interface to the compiler with the **declare target** declarations for processing the fib call.

```
module module fib
contains
   subroutine fib(N)
      integer :: N
      !$omp declare target
   end subroutine
end module
module params
integer :: THRESHOLD=1000000
end module
program my fib
use params
use module fib
   !$omp target if( N > THRESHOLD )
      call fib(N)
   !$omp end target
end program
```

The next Fortran example shows the use of an external subroutine. Without an explicit interface (through module use or an interface block) the **declare target** declarations within a external subroutine are unknown to the main program unit; therefore, a **declare target** must be provided within the program scope for the compiler to determine that a target binary should be available.

### Example 51.2f

```
program my_fib
integer :: N = 8
!$omp declare target(fib)
   !$omp target
        call fib(N)
   !$omp end target
end program
subroutine fib(N)
integer :: N
!$omp declare target
        print*,"hello from fib"
        !...
end subroutine
```

Fortran -

## declare target Construct for Class Type

The following example shows how the **declare target** and **end declare target** directives are used to enclose the declaration of a variable varY with a class type typeY. The member function typeY::foo() cannot be accessed on a target device because its declaration did not appear between **declare target** and **end declare target** directives.

```
C/C++
Example 51.2c
struct typeX
   int a;
}
class typeY
   int foo() { return a^0x01;}
   int a;
#pragma omp declare target
struct typeX varX; // ok
class typeY varY; // ok if varY.foo() not called on target device
#pragma omp end declare target
void foo()
   #pragma omp target
      varX.a = 100; // ok
      varY.foo(); // error foo() is not available on a target device
   }
}
                                  C/C++
```

# declare target and end declare target for Variables

The following examples show how the **declare target** and **end declare target** directives are used to indicate that global variables are mapped to the implicit device data environment of each target device.

In the following example, the declarations of the variables p, v1, and v2 appear between **declare target** and **end declare target** directives indicating that the variables are mapped to the implicit device data environment of each target device. The target update directive is then used to manage the consistency of the variables p, v1, and v2 between the data environment of the encountering host device task and the implicit device data environment of the default target device.

```
C/C++
Example 51.3c
#define N 1000
#pragma omp declare target
float p[N], v1[N], v2[N];
#pragma omp end declare target
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec mult()
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target update to(v1, v2)
   #pragma omp target
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (i=0; i< N; i++)
     p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   #pragma omp target update from(p)
   output(p, N);
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
```

## Example 51.3f

The Fortran version of the above C code uses a different syntax. Fortran modules use a list syntax on the **declare target** directive to declare mapped variables.

```
end do
 !$omp end target
 !$omp target update from (p)
 call output(p, N)
end subroutine
```

#### Fortran

The following example also indicates that the function Pfun() is available on the target device, as well as the variable Q, which is mapped to the implicit device data environment of each target device. The target update directive is then used to manage the consistency of the variable Q between the data environment of the encountering host device task and the implicit device data environment of the default target device.

#### C/C++ -

In the following example, the function and variable declarations appear between the declare target and end declare target directives.

#### Example 51.4c

```
#define N 10000
#pragma omp declare target
float Q[N][N];
float Pfun(const int i, const int k)
{ return Q[i][k] * Q[k][i]; }
#pragma omp end declare target
float accum(int k)
{
    float tmp = 0.0;
    #pragma omp target update to(Q)
    #pragma omp target
    #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:tmp)
    for(int i=0; i < N; i++)
        tmp += Pfun(i,k);
    return tmp;
}</pre>
```

C/C++

Fortran

#### Example 51.4f

The Fortran version of the above C code uses a different syntax. In Fortran modules a list syntax on the **declare target** directive is used to declare mapped variables and procedures. The N and Q variables are declared as a comma separated list. When the **declare target** directive is used to declare just the procedure, the procedure name need not be listed -- it is implicitly assumed, as illustrated in the Pfun() function.

```
module my global array
!$omp declare target (N,Q)
integer, parameter :: N=10
real
                  :: Q(N,N)
contains
function Pfun(i,k)
!$omp declare target
           :: Pfun
integer,intent(in) :: i,k
   Pfun=(Q(i,k) * Q(k,i))
end function
end module
function accum(k) result(tmp)
use my global array
real :: tmp
integer :: i, k
   tmp = 0.0e0
   !$omp target
   !$omp parallel do reduction(+:tmp)
   do i=1,N
      tmp = tmp + Pfun(k,i)
   end do
   !$omp end target
end function
```

- Fortran

# declare target and end declare target with declare simd

The following example shows how the **declare target** and **end declare target** directives are used to indicate that a function is available on a target device. The **declare simd** directive indicates that there is a SIMD version of the function P() that is available on the target device as well as one that is available on the host device.

```
#define N 10000
#define M 1024
#pragma omp declare target
float Q[N][N];
#pragma omp declare simd uniform(i) linear(k) notinbranch
float P(const int i, const int k)
{
   return Q[i][k] * Q[k][i];
}
```

```
#pragma omp end declare target
float accum(void)
 float tmp = 0.0;
 int i, k;
#pragma omp target
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:tmp)
  for (i=0; i < N; i++) {
    float tmp1 = 0.0;
#pragma omp simd reduction(+:tmp1)
    for (k=0; k < M; k++) {
      tmp1 += P(i,k);
    tmp += tmp1;
 }
 return tmp;
}
                                   C/C++
```

Fortran

#### Example 51.5f

The Fortran version of the above C code uses a different syntax. Fortran modules use a list syntax of the **declare target** declaration for the mapping. Here the N and Q variables are declared in the list form as a comma separated list. The function declaration does not use a list and implicitly assumes the function name. In this Fortran example row and column indices are reversed relative to the C/C++ example, as is usual for codes optimized for memory access.

```
module my global array
!$omp declare target (N,Q)
integer, parameter :: N=10000, M=1024
                   :: Q(N,N)
contains
function P(k,i)
!$omp declare simd uniform(i) linear(k) notinbranch
!$omp declare target
integer, intent(in) :: k, i
   P = (Q(k,i) * Q(i,k))
end function
end module
function accum() result(tmp)
use my global array
real
       :: tmp, tmp1
integer :: i
   tmp = 0.0e0
   !$omp target
   !$omp parallel do private(tmp1) reduction(+:tmp)
```

```
do i=1,N
    tmp1 = 0.0e0
    !$omp simd reduction(+:tmp1)
    do k = 1,M
        tmp1 = tmp1 + P(k,i)
    end do
    tmp = tmp + tmp1
end do
   !$omp end target
end function
```

- Fortran -

## 52 teams Constructs

## target and teams Constructs with

omp\_get\_num\_teams and omp\_get\_team\_num
Routines

The following example shows how the **target** and **teams** constructs are used to create a league of thread teams that execute a region. The **teams** construct creates a league of at most two teams where the master thread of each team executes the **teams** region.

The omp\_get\_num\_teams routine returns the number of teams executing in a **teams** region. The omp\_get\_team\_num routine returns the team number, which is an integer between 0 and one less than the value returned by omp\_get\_num\_teams. The following example manually distributes a loop across two teams.

#### C/C++

#### Example 52.1c

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <omp.h>
float dotprod(float B[], float C[], int N)
   float sum0 = 0.0;
   float sum1 = 0.0;
   #pragma omp target map(to: B[:N], C[:N])
  #pragma omp teams num teams(2)
     int i;
      if (omp get num teams() != 2)
        abort();
      if (omp_get_team_num() == 0)
                    #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum0)
                    for (i=0; i< N/2; i++)
                       sum0 += B[i] * C[i];
      else if (omp_get_team_num() == 1)
                    #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum1)
                    for (i=N/2; i<N; i++)
                       sum1 += B[i] * C[i];
      }
```

```
}
   return sum0 + sum1;
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 52.1f
function dotprod(B,C,N) result(sum)
use omp lib, ONLY : omp get num teams, omp get team num
           :: B(N), C(N), sum, sum0, sum1
    integer :: N, i
    sum0 = 0.0e0
    sum1 = 0.0e0
    !$omp target map(to: B, C)
    !$omp teams num teams(2)
      if (omp get num teams() /= 2) stop "2 teams required"
      if (omp get team num() == 0) then
         !$omp parallel do reduction(+:sum0)
         do i=1,N/2
            sum0 = sum0 + B(i) * C(i)
         end do
      else if (omp get team num() == 1) then
         !$omp parallel do reduction(+:sum1)
         do i=N/2+1,N
            sum1 = sum1 + B(i) * C(i)
         end do
      end if
    !$omp end teams
    !$omp end target
    sum = sum0 + sum1
end function
```

## target, teams, and distribute Constructs

Fortran

The following example shows how the target, teams, and distribute constructs are used to execute a loop nest in a target region. The teams construct creates a league and the master thread of each team executes the teams region. The distribute construct schedules the subsequent loop iterations across the master threads of each team.

The number of teams in the league is less than or equal to the variable num\_blocks. Each team in the league has a number of threads less than or equal to the variable block\_threads. The iterations in the outer loop are distributed among the master threads of each team.

When a team's master thread encounters the parallel loop construct before the inner loop, the other threads in its team are activated. The team executes the **parallel** region and then workshares the execution of the loop.

Each master thread executing the **teams** region has a private copy of the variable sum that is created by the **reduction** clause on the teams construct. The master thread and all threads in its team have a private copy of the variable sum that is created by the **reduction** clause on the parallel loop construct. The second private sum is reduced into the master thread's private copy of sum created by the **teams** construct. At the end of the **teams** region, each master thread's private copy of sum is reduced into the final sum that is implicitly mapped into the **target** region.

```
C/C++
Example 52.2c
float dotprod(float B[], float C[], int N, int block_size,
  int num teams, int block threads)
    float sum = 0;
    int i, i0;
    #pragma omp target map(to: B[0:N], C[0:N])
    #pragma omp teams num teams(num teams) thread limit(block threads) \
     reduction(+:sum)
    #pragma omp distribute
    for (i0=0; i0<N; i0 += block size)
       #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
       for (i=i0; i< min(i0+block size,N); i++)</pre>
           sum += B[i] * C[i];
   return sum;
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 52.2f
function dotprod(B,C,N, block size, num teams, block threads) result(sum)
implicit none
            :: B(N), C(N), sum
   integer :: N, block size, num teams, block threads, i, i0
   sum = 0.0e0
    !$omp target map(to: B, C)
```

Fortran

# target teams, and Distribute Parallel Loop Constructs

The following example shows how the target teams and distribute parallel loop constructs are used to execute a target region. The target teams construct creates a league of teams where the master thread of each team executes the teams region.

The distribute parallel loop construct schedules the loop iterations across the master threads of each team and then across the threads of each team.

```
float dotprod(float B[], float C[], int N)
{
  float sum = 0;
  int i;
  #pragma omp target teams map(to: B[0:N], C[0:N])
  #pragma omp distribute parallel for reduction(+:sum)
  for (i=0; i<N; i++)
     sum += B[i] * C[i];
  return sum;
}</pre>
```

### Example 52.3f

```
function dotprod(B,C,N) result(sum)
           :: B(N), C(N), sum
   integer :: N, i
   sum = 0.0e0
   !$omp target teams map(to: B, C)
   !$omp distribute parallel do reduction(+:sum)
      do i = 1,N
         sum = sum + B(i) * C(i)
      end do
   !$omp end teams
   !$omp end target
end function
```

Fortran

## target teams and Distribute Parallel Loop **Constructs with Scheduling Clauses**

The following example shows how the target teams and distribute parallel loop constructs are used to execute a target region. The teams construct creates a league of at most eight teams where the master thread of each team executes the teams region. The number of threads in each team is less than or equal to 16.

The **distribute** parallel loop construct schedules the subsequent loop iterations across the master threads of each team and then across the threads of each team.

The dist schedule clause on the distribute parallel loop construct indicates that loop iterations are distributed to the master thread of each team in chunks of 1024 iterations.

The schedule clause indicates that the 1024 iterations distributed to a master thread are then assigned to the threads in its associated team in chunks of 64 iterations.

```
C/C++
```

### Example 52.4c

```
#define N 1024*1024
float dotprod(float B[], float C[], int N)
    float sum = 0;
    int i;
    #pragma omp target map(to: B[0:N], C[0:N])
    #pragma omp teams num teams(8) thread limit(16)
```

```
#pragma omp distribute parallel for reduction(+:sum) \
                dist schedule(static, 1024) schedule(static, 64)
    for (i=0; i<N; i++)
        sum += B[i] * C[i];
    return sum;
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 52.4f
module arrays
integer, parameter :: N=1024*1024
real :: B(N), C(N)
end module
function dotprod() result(sum)
use arravs
   real
          :: sum
   integer :: i
   sum = 0.0e0
   !$omp target map(to: B, C)
   !$omp teams num_teams(8) thread_limit(16)
   !$omp distribute parallel do reduction(+:sum) &
   !$omp& dist schedule(static, 1024) schedule(static, 64)
      do i = 1,N
         sum = sum + B(i) * C(i)
      end do
   !$omp end teams
   !$omp end target
end function
                                - Fortran -
```

# target teams and distribute simd Constructs

The following example shows how the target teams and distribute simd constructs are used to execute a loop in a target region. The target teams construct creates a league of teams where the master thread of each team executes the teams region.

The **distribute simd** construct schedules the loop iterations across the master thread of each team and then uses SIMD parallelism to execute the iterations.

```
C/C++
```

#### Example 52.5c

```
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec_mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
{
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target teams map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
   #pragma omp distribute simd
   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
      p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
}</pre>
```

C/C++

Fortran

### Example 52.5f

Fortran

# target teams and Distribute Parallel Loop SIMD Constructs

The following example shows how the target teams and the distribute parallel loop SIMD constructs are used to execute a loop in a target teams region. The target teams construct creates a league of teams where the master thread of each team executes the teams region.

The distribute parallel loop SIMD construct schedules the loop iterations across the master thread of each team and then across the threads of each team where each thread uses SIMD parallelism.

```
C/C++
Example 52.6c
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   #pragma omp target teams map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
   #pragma omp distribute parallel for simd
   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
    p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
   output(p, N);
}
                                  C/C++ -
                                - Fortran -
Example 52.6f
subroutine vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   integer :: i
   call init(v1, v2, N)
   !$omp target teams map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p)
      !$omp distribute parallel do simd
         do i=1,N
            p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
         end do
   !$omp end target teams
   call output(p, N)
end subroutine

    Fortran -
```

# 53 Asynchronous Execution of a target Region Using Tasks

The following example shows how the task and target constructs are used to execute multiple target regions asynchronously. The task that encounters the task construct generates an explicit task that contains a target region. The thread executing the explicit task encounters a task scheduling point while waiting for the execution of the target region to complete, allowing the thread to switch back to the execution of the encountering task or one of the previously generated explicit tasks.

```
C/C++
Example 53.1c
#pragma omp declare target
float F(float);
#pragma omp end declare target
#define N 1000000000
#define CHUNKSZ 1000000
void init(float *, int);
float Z[N];
void pipedF()
   int C, i;
   init(Z, N);
   for (C=0; C<N; C+=CHUNKSZ)
      #pragma omp task
      #pragma omp target map(Z[C:CHUNKSZ])
      #pragma omp parallel for
      for (i=0; i<CHUNKSZ; i++)
         Z[i] = F(Z[i]);
   #pragma omp taskwait
}
                                   C/C++
                                  Fortran
```

Example 53.1f

The Fortran version has an interface block that contains the **declare target**. An identical statement exists in the function declaration (not shown here).

module parameters

```
integer, parameter :: N=1000000000, CHUNKSZ=1000000
end module
                                                                            !!
subroutine pipedF()
use parameters, ONLY: N, CHUNKSZ
                  :: C, i
integer
real
                   :: z(N)
                                                                            !!
interface
   function F(z)
   !$omp declare target
     real, intent(IN) ::z
     real
                      ::F
   end function F
end interface
                                                                            !!
   call init(z,N)
                                                                            !!
   do C=1,N,CHUNKSZ
                                                                            11
      !$omp task
      !$omp target map(z(C:C+CHUNKSZ-1))
      !$omp parallel do
         do i=C,C+CHUNKSZ-1
            z(i) = F(z(i))
         end do
      !$omp end target
      !$omp end task
                                                                            !!
   end do
print*, z
                                                                            !!
end subroutine pipedF
                                Fortran -
```

The following example shows how the **task** and **target** constructs are used to execute multiple **target** regions asynchronously. The task dependence ensures that the storage is allocated and initialized on the device before it is accessed.

```
#include <stdlib.h>
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec_mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N, int dev)
{
   int i;
   init(p, N);
   #pragma omp task depend(out: v1, v2)
   #pragma omp target device(dev) map(v1, v2)
```

```
{
       // check whether on device dev
       if (omp is initial device())
                     abort();
       v1 = malloc(N*sizeof(float));
       v2 = malloc(N*sizeof(float));
       init(v1,v2);
   foo(); // execute other work asychronously
   #pragma omp task depend(in: v1, v2)
   #pragma omp target device(dev) map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p[0:N])
       // check whether on device dev
       if (omp is initial device())
                     abort();
       #pragma omp parallel for
       for (i=0; i<N; i++)
                    p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
       output(p, N);
       free(v1);
       free(v2);
   }
}
                                   C/C++ -
```

- Fortran -

### Example 53.2f

The Fortran example uses allocatable arrays for dynamic memory on the device.

```
subroutine mult(p, N, idev)
  use omp lib, ONLY: omp is initial device
  real
                   :: p(N)
  real, allocatable :: v1(:), v2(:)
  integer :: i, idev
  !$omp declare target (init)
                                                                           !!
  !$omp task depend(out: v1,v2)
     !$omp target device(idev) map(v1,v2)
        if( omp is initial device() ) &
           stop "not executing on target device"
        allocate(v1(N), v2(N))
        call init(v1,v2,N)
     !$omp end target
  !$omp end task
                                                                           11
 call foo() ! execute other work asychronously
                                                                           !!
  !$omp task depend(in: v1,v2)
     !$omp target device(idev) map(to: v1,v2) map(from: p)
```

```
if( omp_is_initial_device() ) &
    stop "not executing on target device"
! $omp parallel do
    do i = 1,N
        p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
    end do
    deallocate(v1,v2)
!!
! $omp end target
! $omp end task
!!
call output(p, N)
!!
end subroutine
```

---- Fortran -

# 54 Array Sections in Device Constructs

The following examples show the usage of array sections in map clauses on target and target data constructs.

This example shows the invalid usage of two seperate sections of the same array inside of a target construct.

```
C/C++
Example 54.1c
void foo ()
   int A[30];
#pragma omp target data map( A[0:4] )
   /* Cannot map distinct parts of the same array */
   #pragma omp target map( A[7:20] )
      A[2] = 0;
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran -
Example 54.1f
subroutine foo()
integer :: A(30)
  A = 1
   !$omp target data map( A(1:4) )
     ! Cannot map distinct parts of the same array
     !$omp target map( A(8:27) )
       A(3) = 0
     !$omp end target map
   !$omp end target data
end subroutine
                                 Fortran
```

This example shows the invalid usage of two separate sections of the same array inside of a target construct.

```
void foo ()
{
    int A[30], *p;
#pragma omp target data map( A[0:4] )
{
    p = &A[0];
    /* invalid because p[3] and A[3] are the same
    * location on the host but the array section
    * specified via p[...] is not a subset of A[0:4] */
    #pragma omp target map( p[3:20] )
```

C/C++

Fortran

### Example 54.2f

}

A[2] = 0;p[8] = 0;

Example 54.2c

```
subroutine foo()
integer,target :: A(30)
integer,pointer :: p(:)
    A=1
    !$cmp target data map( A(1:4) )
    p=>A
    ! invalid because p(4) and A(4) are the same
    ! location on the host but the array section
    ! specified via p(...) is not a subset of A(1:4)
    !$cmp target map( p(4:23) )
        A(3) = 0
        p(9) = 0
    !$cmp end target
    !$cmp end target data
end subroutine
```

- Fortran -

This example shows the valid usage of two separate sections of the same array inside of a target construct.

#### Example 54.3c

```
void foo ()
{
   int A[30], *p;
#pragma omp target data map( A[0:4] )
{
   p = &A[0];
    #pragma omp target map( p[7:20] )
   {
      A[2] = 0;
      p[8] = 0;
   }
}
```

C/C++

Fortran

### Example 54.3f

```
subroutine foo()
integer,target :: A(30)
integer,pointer :: p(:)
  !$cmp target data map( A(1:4) )
    p=>A
    !$cmp target map( p(8:27) )
        A(3) = 0
        p(9) = 0
    !$cmp end target map
  !$cmp end target data
end subroutine
```

Fortran

This example shows the valid usage of a wholly contained array section of an already mapped array section inside of a target construct.

— C/C++

### Example 54.4c

```
A[2] = 0;
     p[8] = 0;
     A[8] = 1;
   }
                                  C/C++ -
                                Fortran -
Example 54.4f
subroutine foo()
integer,target :: A(30)
integer,pointer :: p(:)
   !$omp target data map( A(1:10) )
    p=>A
     !$omp target map( p(4:10) )
       A(3) = 0
       p(9) = 0
       A(9) = 1
     !$omp end target
   !$omp end target data
end subroutine
                               Fortran -
```

## 55 Device Routines

## omp is initial device Routine

The following example shows how the omp\_is\_initial\_device runtime library routine can be used to query if a code is executing on the initial host device or on a target device. The example then sets the number of threads in the **parallel** region based on where the code is executing.

#### C/C++

#### Example 55.1c

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>
#pragma omp declare target
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N);
extern float *p, *v1, *v2;
extern int N;
#pragma omp end declare target
extern void init vars(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void foo()
  N = init vars(&p, &v1, &v2);
   #pragma omp target device(42) map(p[:N], v1[:N], v2[:N])
      vec mult(p, v1, v2, N);
  output(p, N);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int nthreads = omp is initial device() ? 8 : 1024;
   if (!omp_is_initial_device())
      printf("1024 threads on target device\n");
     nthreads = 1024;
   }
   else
     printf("8 threads on initial device\n");
     nthreads = 8;
   #pragma omp parallel for private(i) num threads(nthreads);
```

```
for (i=0; i<N; i++)
     p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
}
                                  C/C++
                                  Fortran
Example 55.1f
module params
   integer, parameter :: N=1024
end module params
module vmult
contains
   subroutine vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   use omp_lib, ONLY : omp_is_initial_device
   !$omp declare target
          :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   real
   integer :: i, nthreads, N
      if (.not. omp_is_initial_device()) then
         print*, "1024 threads on target device"
         nthreads = 1024
      else
         print*, "8 threads on initial device"
         nthreads = 8
      !$omp parallel do private(i) num threads(nthreads)
      do i = 1,N
        p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
      end do
   end subroutine vec mult
end module vmult
program prog vec mult
use params
use vmult
real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
   call init(v1,v2,N)
   !$omp target device(42) map(p, v1, v2)
      call vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
   !$omp end target
```

Fortran

call output(p, N)

end program

## omp get num devices Routine

The following example shows how the omp\_get\_num\_devices runtime library routine can be used to determine the number of devices.

```
C/C++ -
Example 55.2c
#include <omp.h>
extern void init(float *, float *, int);
extern void output(float *, int);
void vec mult(float *p, float *v1, float *v2, int N)
   int i;
   init(v1, v2, N);
   int ndev = omp get num devices();
   int do offload = (ndev>0 && N>1000000);
  #pragma omp target if(do offload) map(to: v1[0:N], v2[:N]) map(from: p[0:N])
   #pragma omp parallel for if(N>1000) private(i)
   for (i=0; i<N; i++)
    p[i] = v1[i] * v2[i];
  output(p, N);
                                - C/C++ —
                                - Fortran -
Example 55.2f
subroutine vec mult(p, v1, v2, N)
use omp lib, ONLY : omp get num devices
real :: p(N), v1(N), v2(N)
integer :: N, i, ndev
logical :: do offload
   call init(v1, v2, N)
  ndev = omp get num devices()
   do offload = (ndev>0) .and. (N>1000000)
   !$omp target if(do offload) map(to: v1, v2) map(from: p)
   !$omp parallel do if(N>1000)
      do i=1,N
        p(i) = v1(i) * v2(i)
      end do
   !$omp end target
   call output (p, N)
end subroutine
```

Fortran —

# omp\_set\_default\_device and omp get default device Routines

The following example shows how the omp\_set\_default\_device and omp\_get\_default\_device runtime library routines can be used to set the default device and determine the default device respectively.

```
C/C++
Example 55.3c
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
void foo(void)
   int default device = omp get default device();
   printf("Default device = %d\n", default device);
   omp set default device(default device+1);
   if (omp get default device() != default device+1)
      printf("Default device is still = %d\n", default device);
}
                                  C/C++ -
                                 Fortran
Example 55.3f
program foo
use omp lib, ONLY: omp get default device, omp set default device
integer :: old default device, new default device
   old default device = omp get default device()
   print*, "Default device = ", old default device
   new default device = old default device + 1
   call omp set default device(new default device)
   if (omp get default device() == old default device) &
      print*,"Default device is STILL = ", old default device
end program
                                - Fortran -
```

## 56 Fortran ASSOCIATE Construct

Fortran

### Example 56.1f

This is an invalid example of specifying an associate name on a data-sharing attribute clause. The constraint in the Data Sharing Attribute Rules section in the OpenMP 4.0 API Specifications states that an associate name preserves the association with the selector established at the **ASSOCIATE** statement. The associate name b is associated with the shared variable a. With the predetermined data-sharing attribute rule, the associate name b is not allowed to be specified on the **private** clause.

```
program example
    real :: a, c
    associate (b => a)
!$omp parallel private(b, c) ! invalid to privatize b
    c = 2.0*b
!$omp end parallel
    end associate
    end program
```

### Example 56.2f

In this example, within the parallel construct, the association name thread\_id is associated with the private copy of i. The print statement should output the unique thread number.

```
program example
    use omp_lib
    integer i
!$omp parallel private(i)
    i = omp_get_thread_num()
    associate(thread_id => i)
        print *, thread_id ! print private i value
    end associate
!$omp end parallel
    end program
```

#### Example 56.3f

This example illustrates the effect of specifying a selector name on a data-sharing attribute clause. The associate name u is associated with v and the variable v is specified on the **private** clause of the **parallel** construct. The construct association is established prior to the **parallel** region. The association between u and the original v is retained (see the Data Sharing Attribute Rules section in the OpenMP 4.0 API Specifications). Inside the **parallel** region, v has the value of -1 and u has the value of the original v.

Fortran