





Our ref: FOI 0007501

Dear XXXXXX

REQUEST UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 ("the Act")

I am writing to confirm that the Department for Transport does hold some of the information you requested in your email of 23 March 2011, but has decided that not all of this information can be disclosed. Where it is not being provided the reasons are given below.

Below are the questions you raised under the Act and our responses to them:

Q1. I am requesting the scoring for all the bidders for Part E and Part D (for each successful and unsuccessful bidder).

 The Department for Transport (DfT) received eight bidder responses for the Greater Anglia franchise. The table below shows the scoring for all bidders for Part E and Part D.

Greate	er Anglia			
Rank	Applicant Code	Part D Score	Part E Score	Overall Score
1	С	46.1%	20.0%	66.1%
2	Н	45.2%	17.5%	62.7%
3	D	38.7%	17.5%	56.2%
4	Α	36.4%	12.5%	48.9%
5	Е	39.5%	9.2%	48.6%
6	В	31.5%	10.8%	42.3%
7	F	19.3%	8.3%	27.7%
8	G	13.7%	12.5%	26.2%

Q2. Bidders were not asked to submit a full EFQM assessment and I am requesting details of the guidance given to DfT markers on how to score the submission when only the Results section was submitted.

 The information held on the guidance provided to evaluators is in the form of a marking matrix provided to all evaluators. The marking matrix is extracted from the 2010 EFQM business excellence model scoring framework. A copy of the marking matrix is attached [ANNEX A].

Q3. I am also requesting the rationale for only asking for the results section of the EFQM model and any evidence that the DfT sought advice on this from the EFQM or British Quality Foundation and what their advice was.

 The first part of your question concerns the reasoning for only asking for the results section of the EFQM model. The rationale was set out in a paper for a DfT Contract Award Committee which was approved on 06 January 2011. The relevant extract from this paper concerning the rationale is below:

"The most significant change is to Part D (Transport Industry Performance & Experience) which is assessed using the EFQM Excellence Model. Many respondents to the Reforming Rail Franchising consultation process felt that the Department's rail franchise pre-qualification process was unduly onerous and Ministers have indicated that they would like us to reduce the volume and detail of bid submissions where possible.

We propose to focus the EFQM pre-qualification evaluation on the 'Results' the applicant has achieved in other transport operations. This reduces the number of EFQM questions from 32 to 8. The 'Enablers' element of the model will still be used when the RADAR approach is applied to assess delivery plans at the bid evaluation stage. This change should significantly reduce the amount of work required both by applicants and by the Department. The project plan for GA and ICWC already assumes that the Part D evaluation time will be reduced as a result. The change also enables the proposed page limit for submissions to be reduced (see below).

We have tested the impact of ceasing to consider 'Enablers' by restating the Part D scores assessed for the 9 applicants for the previous aborted competitions for the GA and ET (Essex Thameside) franchises. In no case would moving from a combination of 'Enablers' and 'Results' to 'Results' alone have changed the overall Part D score for an applicant by as much as 5 percentage points. These scores will continue to be combined with those for the Part E (Franchise Specific) proposals. We are therefore confident that the proposed reduction in EFQM assessment work will have no material bearing on the overall weighted scores used for short-listing."

Extract from DfT Contract Award Committee Paper (06 January 2011)

 The second part of your question asks for evidence that DfT sought advice from the EFQM or British Quality Foundation on only asking for the results section of the EFQM model. I can confirm that the DfT does not hold this information.

Q4. I am also seeking information on who the markers in the DfT were and what experience of EFQM assessment and/or scoring they each had.

- You have asked for information on who the markers in the DfT were as well as their experience.
- In this case the information you have requested is the personal data of someone else. I can confirm that we hold this information but that the data is being withheld under Section 40 of the Act.
- The Departmental officials, who evaluated the bids, are all staff below the senior civil service level and their personal information has been withheld under the personal information exemption at section 40(2) and 40(3) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. These individuals are not in public facing roles and therefore have an expectation that their personal information will not be disclosed to a member of the public. It would be unfair for us to disclose their personal information to a member of the public and would contravene the first data protection principle.
- I can confirm that all five DfT evaluators are EFQM qualified and possess an appropriate range of experience.

If you are unhappy with the way the Department has handled your request or with the decisions made in relation to your request you may complain within two calendar months of the date of this letter by writing to me at the above address. Please see the attached details of DfT's complaints procedure and your right to complain to the Information Commissioner.

If you have any queries about this letter, please contact me. Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

Yours sincerely

XXXXXXXXXX

Your right to complain to DfT and the Information Commissioner

You have the right to complain about the way in which your request for information was handled and/or about the decision not to disclose all or part of the information requested. In addition a complaint can be made that DfT has not complied with its FOI publication scheme.

Your complaint will be acknowledged and you will be advised of a target date by which to expect a response. Initially your complaint will be re-considered by the official who dealt with your request for information. If, after careful consideration, that official decides that his/her decision was correct, your complaint will automatically be referred to a senior independent official who will conduct a further review. You will be advised of the outcome of your complaint and if a decision is taken to disclose information originally withheld this will be done as soon as possible.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF

<u>Annex A – Marking Matrix</u>

InterCity West Coast and Greater Anglia Passenger Rail Franchises Accreditation Questionnaire Part 'D' Assessment

Ref. #:	
Franchisee:	
Points Awarded:	
Assessor:	
Signed:	
Date:	

InterCity West Coast and Greater Anglia Passenger Rail Franchise Accreditation Questionnaire Part 'D' Response

Instruct	ions	7
Introduc	ction to RADAR®	7
Results	Section	8
1	Customer Results	8
2	Key Results	2
3	People Results1	6
4	Corporate Social Responsibility	20

InterCity West Coast and Greater Anglia Passenger Rail Franchise Accreditation Questionnaire Part 'D' Response

Instructions

This pack contains the marking matrix for 'Part D' of the Accreditation Question (AQ) for the InterCity West Coast and Greater Anglia passenger rail franchises.

The questions contained within Part D are based on the European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM) Business Excellence Model^{©1} and scored using the Results element of the EFQM RADAR^{©2} approach.

The questionnaire comprises of a Results Criteria section which is further sub-divided into the following elements:

Results Criteria: Customer Results

Key Results People Results

Corporate Social Responsibility

Interested Parties have been encouraged to illustrate and support their responses using operational results and examples from the last 2 years. Markers should assess the extent to which the responses fulfil the criteria for each element against a scale of 0 to 100 (illustrative examples given for each question) recording both the score (using the overall scale and the box in the lower right hand corner) and any 'Strengths' or 'Weaknesses' on the appropriate pages in this pack.

All 8 scores should be recorded in the spreadsheet provided.

Introduction to RADAR®

RADAR° stands for Results, Approach, Deployment, Assessment and Refinement and reflects the requirements of what should be addressed within the results and enablers criteria. Only the results criteria are assessed in the Pre-Qualification Questionnaire.

1. Results Criteria

Results measure the excellence and scope of what the organisation is delivering in terms of value to its stakeholders and the organisation's effectiveness and efficiency. Achievements are measured against stakeholders' perceptions, the organisation's own targets and external comparisons.

'Scope and Relevance, Integrity and Segmentation': Applicants should provide Results data that is comprehensive, timely, reliable, accurate, appropriately segmented and consistent with their strategy and the needs and expectations of their relevant stakeholders. Applicants should demonstrate relationships between relevant Results and that they understand their impact on one another.

The words 'Trends', 'Targets', Comparisons' and 'Causes' each relate to the excellence and results presented. *Trends*

'Trends' refer to collections of data over time, relating to various parameters used to measure results.

A positive trend is one showing general improvements over time. Thus 3, 6, 10, 9, 12, 11, 14 would constitute a positive trend. Of course, performance against some parameters may already be good at the start of the trend. In these cases the organisation will seek to sustain the good performance already achieved.

Targets

'Targets' refer to the organisation's own internal targets. For each trend of Key Results presented, the internal target should be specified – also as a trend over time.

The information presented in the results criteria should indicate the reasoning behind the targets set so that a judgement can be made on whether the targets are challenging or break-through. It should also be possible to link targets to the enabler criteria – one example could be in the strategy criterion.

Favourable comparison with target results means generally achieving or exceeding the trend of targets presented.

Comparisons

'Comparisons' refer to comparisons with external organisations – against specific organisations, industry averages or acknowledged 'Best in Class' organisations. 'Best in Class' indicates that results achieved are the best of their kind – the organisation concerned may of course be in a different line of business from the organisation being assessed. If the latter is a national player, 'Best in Class' could be in relation to other national players. If the organisation being assessed aspires to being a global player then the search for 'Best in Class' should be wider.

Comparisons should be made for the Key Results.

Causes

'Causes' refer to the extent to which results occur as a consequence of a specific enabling approach or approaches, and represent the final area in which judgement needs to be taken on the excellence of results. Linking results back to the enablers will enable a measured view to be taken about the cause and effect relationship. The causes illustrated should provide confidence that positive performance will be sustained in the future.

¹Copyright, European Quality Management Foundation.

² Copyright, European Quality Management Foundation.

Results Section

- 1 Customer Results "Excellent organisations:
- Develop and agree a set of performance indicators and related outcomes to determine the successful deployment of their strategy and supporting policies, based on the needs and expectations of their customers.
- Set clear targets for Key Results based on the needs and expectations of their customers, in line with their chosen strategy.
- Demonstrate positive or sustained good Customer Results over at least 3 years.
- Clearly understand the underlying reasons and drivers of observed trends and the impact these results will have on other performance indicators and related outcomes.
- Anticipate future performance and results.
- Understand how the Key Results they achieve compare to similar organisations and use this data, where relevant, for target setting.
- Segment results to understand the experience, needs and expectations of specific customer groups."

When preparing their response the potential bidder should have supplied at least 2 years' worth of relevant supporting data with respect to existing passenger service activities (rail industry or other public transport operation).

1.1. "Describe the criteria used to track and measure your customers' perceptions of your organisation and service, and provide examples of results achieved."

These measures are the customers' perceptions of the organisation (obtained, for example, from customer surveys, focus groups, vendor ratings, compliments and complaints).

These perceptions should give a clear understanding of the effectiveness, from the customer's perspective, of the deployment and execution of the organisation's customer strategy and supporting policies and processes. Depending on the purpose of the organisation, measures may focus on:

- Reputation and image
- Product and service value
- Product and service delivery
- Customer service, relationship and support
- · Customer loyalty and engagement

Customer loyalty and engagement
Assessor Notes Strengths:
Weaknesses:

1.1 Describe the criteria used to track and measure your customers' perceptions of your organisation and service and action taken to improve customer satisfaction, and provide examples of results achieved.

1.1 Describe the criteria used to track and																							
Scope and Relevance:	Relevance no			ecdotal			esented a				esults pre						esented					esented and	
		information	on		esta	ablished	for about	1/4 of the	areas	esta	ablished	for about	1/2 of the	e areas	esta	blished	for about	t ¾ of th	ne areas	estal	blished fo	or all of the ar	reas involved
The scope of the results presented:							involve	d				involve	d				involve	ed					
 addresses the needs and expectations 																							
of relevant stakeholders;																							
- is consistent with the strategy and																							
policies of the organisation.																							
The most important, Key Results are																							
identified and prioritised.																							
Relationships between relevant results																							
are understood.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity:	No evidence	of integri	ty or and	cdotal	Time	ly reliah	ole and ac	curate fo	r about	Time	ely, reliab	le and a	curate f	or about	Time	ly reliat	ale and a	ccurate t	for about	Tin	nely reli	able and accu	ırate for all
integrity.	No evidence	informatio		cuotai	Tillic		esults pre		about	Tillie	,	sults pre		oi about	Time		esults pre		ioi about	1111	,	sults presente	
Results are timely, reliable and		mormanc	ווע			74 10	saults pre	senieu			/210	Suits pre	Senicu			74 1	coulto pro	esenieu			16.	suits presente	5u
accurate.																							
accurate.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation:	No	segment	_	10			mentation				able segr						mentatio					nentation for	all of results
ocgmentation.	140	o ocginicin	ation		030	ibic segi	results		11 74 01	030	abic segi	results		ut 72 01	030	ibic scg	result		Jul 74 01	030	ibic segii	icitation for	uli oi results
Results are appropriately segmented.							resuns	,				resunt	,				rosun	3					
results are appropriately segmented.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Trends:	No results o	or anecdot	Ŭ				ds and/or				tive trend						ds and/o					ds and/or sus	stained good
Tronds.	140 TOSURS C	or arrocaot	ai iiiioiiii	auon.	perfor	rmance f	for about	¼ of resi	ılts over					ults over					sults over	perfo	ormance	for all results	over at least
Trends are positive and/or there is					p 00.	at	least 2 y	ears		Polito		least 2 y		uno 010.	Portor		t least 2		04110 0101	Polite	,,,,,d,,,oo	2 years	0.00. 01.10001
sustained good performance.						u.	100312 3	ours.			ui	100312	ours				it loust Z	jours				2 yours	
good performancer		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets:	No targets of	or anecdo	tal inform		Appro	priate a	nd achiev	ed for ab		Appro	priate ar	d achiev	ed for al	oout ½ of		priate a		ved for a	bout ¾ of	Apr	propriate	and achieved	d for all Kev
	3						Key Resi			''		Key Resi			l ''	'	Key Res					Results	,
Targets are set for the Key Results.							,					,					,						
Targets are appropriate.																							
Targets are achieved.																							
3		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Comparisons:	No comp	parisons o	r anecdo		Estab	lished, fa	avourable	e and app	ropriate	Estab	olished, fa		and ap	propriate	Estab	lished, f	avourabl	e and an	opropriate			avourable an	d appropriate
'	'	informatio			comp	arisons f	or about	¼ of Key	Results					Results	compa	arisons	for about	34 of Ke	y Results			ons for all Ke	
Comparisons are made for the Key								,		· ·			-	,					,		·	•	•
Results.																							
Comparisons are appropriate.																							
Comparisons are favourable.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes:	No confiden	nce on cau	ises/ane	cdotal	Ena		ect visible				abling effe	ect visible	e for abo	ut ½ of	Ena	bling eff	fect visibl			Enab			all results and
		informatio	on				id some e				Its and ev						nd clear				compreh	ensive evide	nce that
The relationship between Results					ļ ķ	oerforma	nce will b	oe sustair	ned		wil	l be sust	ained		p	erforma	ance will	be susta	ined		performa	ince will be si	ustained
achieved and their Enablers is					l ']					1			
understood.																							
Based on the evidence presented, there																							
is confidence that positive performance																							
will be sustained in the future.					<u> </u>															1			
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
1.1 Customer Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	

1.2 "What internal performance indicators does your organisation use in order to monitor, understand, predict and improve its performance and to predict the perceptions of customers and stakeholders? Provide examples of results achieved, and performance results for your operation's punctuality and service reliability over the same period."

These are the internal measures used by the organisation in order to monitor, understand, predict and improve the performance of the organisation and to predict their impact on the perceptions of its external customers. These indicators should give a clear understanding of the efficiency and effectiveness of the deployment and execution of the organisation's customer strategy and supporting policies and processes. Depending on the purpose of the organisation examples of measures that **may** be focused on include:

- External recognition
 - Number of customer accolades and nomination for awards;
 - o Press coverage.
- Products and services delivery:
 - o Competitiveness / Value for Money;
 - Performance against customer based objectives;
 - Defect, error and rejection rates;
 - Seals of approval, environmental labels;
 - o Guarantee and warranty provisions;
 - Complaints;
 - Logistics indicators;

- o Product life cycle;
- Innovation in design;
- Time to market.
- Customer service, relationships and support
 - Duration of relationship;
 - Effective recommendations;
 - Frequency / value of orders;
 - Lifetime value;
 - Number of complaints, compliments and commendations;
 - New and/or lost services or business:
 - Customer retention.

Assessor Notes

Strengths:

1.2 What internal performance indicators does your organisation use in order to monitor, understand, predict and improve its performance and to predict the perceptions of customers and stakeholders? Provide examples of results achieved, and performance results for your operation's punctuality and service reliability over the same period

and performance results for your opera																							
Scope and Relevance: The scope of the results presented: - addresses the needs and expectations of relevant stakeholders; - is consistent with the strategy and policies of the organisation.	Relevance not i	establish nformatio		ecdotal		esults pre ablished f		1/4 of the			sults pre blished f		1/2 of the				esented a for about involved	34 of the					d relevance areas involved
The most important, Key Results are identified and prioritised. Relationships between relevant results are understood.		0	5	T 10	15	I 20	25	T 30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	T 70	75	T 80	85	90	95	100	
		U)	10	15	20	25	30	30	40	45	50	33	00	00	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity: Results are timely, reliable and	No evidence c ir	of integrit nformatio		cdotal	Time	ly, reliabl ¼ re	e and acc sults pres		about	Timel	ly, reliable ½ res	e and ac sults pres		for about	Time	,	le and ac esults pre		or about	Tim	,	ble and ac ults presei	ccurate for all nted
accurate.				1		1			1		1	1		1		1			1				
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation: Results are appropriately segmented.	No s	segmenta	ation		Usa	ible segm	nentation results		t ¼ of	Usa	ble segm	entation results	tor abo	out ½ of	Usa	ıble segr	nentation results		ut ¾ of	Usak	ole segm	entation fo	or all of results
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Trends:	No results or	anecdota	al inform	ation.	Posi perfo	tive trend mance fo	s and/or or about !	sustained 4 of resu	d good Its over	Posit perfor	ive trend mance fo	s and/or or about 1	sustain ½ of res	ed good sults over	Posit perfor	tive trend mance f	ds and/or or about	sustaine ¾ of resu	ed good ults over	Posit perfor	ive trend mance f	s and/or s or all resul	ustained good Its over at least
Trends are positive and/or there is sustained good performance.					·	at	east 2 ye	ears.		,	at	least 2 y	ears		·		least 2 y			·		2 years	
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets: Targets are set for the Key Results. Targets are appropriate. Targets are achieved.	No targets or	anecdot	al inform	ation	Appro	priate an k	d achieve (ey Resu		out ¼ of	Appro		d achieve Key Resu		bout ½ of	Appro		nd achieve Key Resu		oout ¾ of	Аррі	opriate a	and achiev Results	red for all Key
g		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Comparisons:	No compa ir	risons or nformatio		tal		lished, fa arisons fo			ropriate					propriate y Results			avourable or about 3						and appropriate Key Results
Comparisons are made for the Key Results. Comparisons are appropriate. Comparisons are favourable.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes:	No confidence ir	e on cau: nformatio		cdotal		bling effe esults and					bling effe s and ev			out ½ of ormance			ect visible id clear e						r all results and dence that
The relationship between Results achieved and their Enablers is understood. Based on the evidence presented, there is confidence that positive performance will be sustained in the future.						oerformar						be susta					nce will b						sustained
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
1.2 Customer Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	

2 Key Results

"Excellent organisations:

- Develop and agree a set of key financial and non-financial results to determine the successful deployment of their strategy, based on the needs and expectations of their key stakeholders.
- Set clear targets for Key Results based on the needs and expectations of their key stakeholders, in line with their chosen strategy.
- Demonstrate positive or sustained good Key Results over at least 3 years.
- Clearly understand the underlying reasons and drivers of observed trends and the impact these results will have on other performance indicators and related outcomes; anticipate future performance and results.
- Understand how the Key Results they achieve compare to similar organisations and use this data, where relevant, for target setting.
- Segment results to understand the performance levels and strategic outcomes achieved within specific areas of the organisation."

When preparing their response the potential bidder should have supplied at least 2 years worth of relevant supporting data with respect to existing passenger service activities (rail industry or other public transport operation).

2.1. "Describe the measures used to monitor your organisation's Key Strategic Outcomes and provide examples of results achieved against financial and non-financial outcomes which demonstrate the success of your organisation's deployment of strategy. You should include descriptions of any breaches of contract."

These measures are key results defined by the organisation and agreed in their policy and strategies.

Depending on the purpose and objectives of the organisation some of the measures contained in the guidance for Key Performance Outcomes may be applicable to Key Performance Indicators and vice versa:

- Financial outcomes may include:
 - Financial performance ratios;
 - Financial performance bonds;
 - Meeting of budgets;
 - Audited accounts including income, grants and expenditures;
 - Investment returns
 - Surplus / Profit
- Non-Financial outcomes may include:

- Market share;
- Time to market;
- Success rates as defined by the organisations mission and values statement;
- Volumes;
- Compliance with legislation and codes of practice;
- Results of statutory audits and inspections;
- Process performance.

Assessor Notes

Strengths:

2.1 Describe the measures used to monitor your organisation's Key Strategic Outcomes and provide examples of results achieved against financial and non-financial outcomes which demonstrate the success of your organisation's deployment of strategy. You should include descriptions of any breaches of contract.

should include descriptions of any breach					_															_			
Scope and Relevance: The scope of the results presented: - addresses the needs and expectations of relevant stakeholders; - is consistent with the strategy and policies of the organisation. The most important, Key Results are identified and prioritised. Relationships between relevant results are understood.	Relevance not	t establish informatio		ecdotal			sented a or about involved	1/4 of the			esults pre blished fo		1/2 of the					and relev 34 of the					d relevance areas involved
are understood.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity: Results are timely, reliable and accurate.	No evidence i	of integrit		cdotal	Time		e and aco sults pres	sented	r about	Timel	ly, reliable ½ res	sults pres	sented	or about	Timel		sults pre	ccurate fe esented		Tim		ults prese	ccurate for all nted
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation: Results are appropriately segmented.	No	segmenta	ation		Usa	ıble segn	nentation results		t ¼ of	Usa	ble segm	entation results		ut ½ of	Usa	ble segr	nentatior results	n for abo	ut ¾ of	Usa	ble segm	entation fo	or all of results
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Trends: Trends are positive and/or there is sustained good performance.	No results or				perfo	mance for at	s and/or or about ! least 2 ye	4 of resu ears.	lts over	perfor		r about 1 least 2 y	½ of resi ears	ults over	perfor	mance f at	or about least 2 y	ears	ults over	perfo	rmance f	or all resul 2 years	ustained good its over at least
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets: Targets are set for the Key Results. Targets are appropriate. Targets are achieved.	No targets o					· •	d achieve (ey Resu	lts			K	ey Resu	ılts	oout ½ of			Key Res	ults	bout ¾ of			Results	red for all Key
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Comparisons: Comparisons are made for the Key Results. Comparisons are appropriate. Comparisons are favourable.	No compa i	informatio	on		comp	arisons fo	vourable or about 3	4 of Key	Results	compa	lished, fa [,] arisons fo	r about !	½ of Key	, Results	compa	arisons f	or about	¾ of Key	propriate y Results	C	ompariso	ons for all k	and appropriate Cey Results
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes: The relationship between Results achieved and their Enablers is understood. Based on the evidence presented, there is confidence that positive performance will be sustained in the future.	No confidenc	ce on cau informatio		cdotal	re	esults and	ct visible d some e nce will b	vidence t	hat		bling effe is and evi will		nat perfo		re	esults an	d clear e	e for abo evidence pe sustai	that	(compreh	t visible fo ensive evid nce will be	
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
2.1 Key Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	

2.2. "What financial and non-financial KPIs does your organisation use to monitor its operational performance against the business plan? Provide examples of results achieved against these KPIs."

These measures are the operational ones used in order to monitor and understand the processes and predict and improve the organisation's likely key performance outcomes.

Depending on the purpose of the organisation examples of measures that **may** be made include:

- Financial:
 - Cash flow:
 - o Depreciation;
 - Maintenance costs;
 - Project costs;
 - Credit ratings;
 - Intellectual capital.
- Non-Financial:
 - Processes (performance; assessment; innovations; cycle times);
- Assessor Notes: Strengths:

Weaknesses:

partnerships (supplier performance; supplier price management; number and value of partnerships; number, and added value, of joint improvements with partners);

External resources including

- Buildings, equipment and materials: (defect rates; inventory turnover; utilisation);
- Technology: (innovation rate; value and security of intellectual property; patents; royalties);
- Information and knowledge: (accessibility; integrity; value of intellectual capital).

2.2 What financial and non-financial KP																							
Scope and Relevance:	Relevance not	establish	ned or an	ecdotal	Re	esults pre	sented a	nd releva	ance	Re	sults pre	sented a	ınd relev	ance	Re	esults pre	esented	and rele	vance	Re	esults pre	esented ar	nd relevance
The scope of the results presented:	i	informatio	n		esta	blished t	or about	1/4 of the	areas	esta	blished for	or about	1/2 of the	areas	esta	blished f	or about	34 of th	e areas	estab	lished fo	r all of the	areas involved
- addresses the needs and expectations							involved	ł				involved	d				involve	ed					
of relevant stakeholders:																							
- is consistent with the strategy and																							
policies of the organisation.																							
The most important, Key Results are																							
identified and prioritised.																							
Relationships between relevant results																							
are understood.																							
are arraerered.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity:	No evidence	of integrit	ly or and	cdotal	Time	ly reliah	e and ac	Curate fo	r ahout	Time	ly, reliable	and ac	curate fo	or about	Time	ly reliah	le and a	ccurate t	for about	Tin	nely relia	hle and a	ccurate for all
Results are timely, reliable and		informatio		caotai	111116		sults pre		about	111116		sults pres		Ji about	Time		esults pre		oi about	''''		sults prese	
accurate.		miormatic	711			74 10	suits pro.	Scritcu			7210.	suits pro	Scritcu			74 10	ouits pro	Joineu			103	uits prese	riicu
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation:	No	segmenta	ation		Usa	ble segn	nentation		t ¼ of	Usa	ble segm	entation	for abou	ut ½ of	Usa	ble segr	nentation	n for abo	ut ¾ of	Usa	ble segn	entation for	or all of results
Results are appropriately segmented.			_				results					results					results						
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Trends:	No results or	r anecdota	al inform	ation.			s and/or				ive trends								ed good				sustained good
Trends are positive and/or there is					perfor		or about i		Its over	perfor	mance fo			ults over	perfor				sults over	perfo	rmance t		Its over at least
sustained good performance.							least 2 ye					least 2 y					least 2					2 years	
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets:	No targets or	r anecdot	tal inform	nation	Appro		d achiev		out ¼ of	Appro	priate and			out ½ of	Appro				bout ¾ of	App	ropriate		ved for all Key
Targets are set for the Key Results.							Key Resu	ılts			K	ley Resu	ılts				Key Res	ults				Results	
Targets are appropriate.																							
Targets are achieved.		•	-	1.0	4.5		0.5		T 0.5		1					7.0			1 0=		T 05	400	
Commonicano	No some	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65 Estab	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Comparisons:	No compa	ansons or informatic		lai			vourable or about !				lished, fa [,] arisons fo								propriate v Results				and appropriate Key Results
Comparisons are made for the Key Results.	'	IIIIOIIIIauc)II		Compa	11120112	or about :	4 Of Key	Results	Compa	11150115 10	about :	12 OI Key	Results	Compa	11120112	or about	% 01 Ke	y Results	C	Jiiipaiisc	ilis ioi ali i	key Results
Comparisons are appropriate.																							
Comparisons are favourable.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes:	No confidence		U				ect visible				bling effe						ect visible						r all results and
The relationship between Results		informatic					d some e				s and evi						id clear e						dence that
achieved and their Enablers is							nce will b					be susta					nce will I						sustained
understood.					'												-		•	'			
Based on the evidence presented, there																							
is confidence that positive performance																							
will be sustained in the future.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
2.2 Key Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	

- 3 People Results
- "Excellent organisations:
 - Develop and agree a set of performance indicators and related outcomes to determine the successful deployment
 of their strategy and supporting policies, based on the needs and expectations of their people.;
 - Set clear targets for Key Results based on the needs and expectations of their people, in line with their chosen strategy; demonstrate positive or sustained good People Results over at least 3 years.
 - Clearly understand the underlying reasons and drivers of observed trends and the impact these results will have on other performance indicators and related outcomes.
 - Anticipate future performance and results; understand how the Key Results they achieve compare to similar organisations and use this data, where relevant, for target setting.
 - Segment results to understand the needs and expectations of specific groups within their organisation."

When preparing their response the potential bidder should have supplied at least 2 years worth of relevant supporting data with respect to existing passenger service activities (rail industry or other public transport operation).

3.1. "How do you measure your employees' perceptions of your organisation? Provide examples of results achieved."

These measures are of the people's perception of the organisation (obtained, for example, from surveys, focus groups, interviews, structured appraisals).

Depending on the purpose and objectives of the organisation examples of measures that may be made include:

- Motivation:
 - Career development;
 - Communication;
 - Empowerment;
 - Equal opportunities;
 - o Involvement;
 - Leadership:
 - Opportunity to learn and achieve;
 - Recognition;
 - Target setting and appraisals;
 - The organisation's values, mission, vision policy and strategy;
 - o Training and development.

- Satisfaction:
 - Organisation's administration;
 - Employment conditions;
 - Facilities and services;
 - Health and safety conditions;
 - o Job security;
 - Pay and benefits;
 - Peer relationships;
 - The management of change;
 - The organisation's environmental policy and impact;
 - The organisation's role in the community and society;
 - Working environment.

Assessor Notes:

Strengths:

3.1 How do you measure your employe																							
Scope and Relevance: The scope of the results presented:	Relevance not i	establish informatio		ecdotal			sented a or about				esults pre ablished f					esults pre blished fo							nd relevance areas involved
addresses the needs and expectations of relevant stakeholders; is consistent with the strategy and policies of the organisation.							involved	i				involve	d				involved	t					
The most important, Key Results are identified and prioritised. Relationships between relevant results are understood.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity: Results are timely, reliable and accurate.	No evidence i	of integrity informatio		cdotal	Time		e and ac sults pres		r about	Time	ly, reliabl ½ re	e and ac sults pre		r about	Time	ly, reliabl ¾ re	e and ac sults pre:		r about	Tin		ble and a ults prese	ccurate for all nted
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation: Results are appropriately segmented.	No	segmenta	ation		Usa	ıble segn	nentation results		t ¼ of	Usa	ıble segm	nentation results		t ½ of	Usa	ble segm	results		it ¾ of	Usa	ole segm	entation f	or all of results
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Trends: Trends are positive and/or there is sustained good performance.	No results or	anecdota	al inform	ation.	perfo	rmance fo	s and/or or about ! least 2 ye	¼ of resu ears.	lts over			or about least 2 y	½ of resu ears	ılts over	perfor	tive trend mance fo at	or about ^a least 2 y	¾ of resu ears	ılts over	perfo	rmance f	or all resu 2 years	sustained good Its over at least
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets: Targets are set for the Key Results. Targets are appropriate. Targets are achieved.	No targets or						d achieve Key Resu	llts				Key Resu	ılts				Key Resu	ılts				Results	ved for all Key
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Comparisons: Comparisons are made for the Key Results. Comparisons are appropriate. Comparisons are favourable.	No compa i	arisons or informatio		tal			vourable or about !	4 of Key			lished, fa arisons fo		½ of Key			lished, fa arisons fo						ns for all I	and appropriate Key Results
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes: The relationship between Results achieved and their Enablers is understood. Based on the evidence presented, there is confidence that positive performance will be sustained in the future.	No confidenc i	ce on caus		cdotal	re	esults and	ect visible d some e nce will b	for abou vidence t	hat		bling effe ts and ev will		for abou nat perfor		re	bling effe esults and performar	d clear e	vidence t	hat		compreh	t visible fo ensive evi	or all results and dence that e sustained
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
3.1 Key Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	

3.2. "Provide examples of the measures you use to monitor, understand, predict and improve the performance of your employees and evidence of results achieved."

These measures are the internal ones used in order to monitor, understand, predict and improve the performance of the organisation's people and to predict their impact on perceptions.

Depending on the purpose of the organisation examples of measures that **may** be made include:

- Achievements:
 - Competency requirement versus competencies available;
 - o Productivity;
 - Success rates of training and development to meet objectives;
 - o External awards and recognition.
- Motivation and involvement:
 - o Involvement in improvement teams;
 - Involvement in suggestion schemes;
 - Involvement in work councils;
 - Levels of training and development;
 - Measurable benefits of team work;
 - Recognition of individuals and teams;
 - Response rates to people surveys.
- **Assessor Notes:**

Strengths:

- Satisfaction
 - Absenteeism and sickness levels;
 - Accident levels;
 - o Grievances;
 - Recruitment trends;
 - Staff turnover and loyalty;
 - Industrial action;
 - Use of organisation provided facilities and benefits.
- Services provided to the organisation's people:
 - Accuracy of personnel administration;
 - Communication effectiveness;
 - Speed of response to enquiries;
 - o Training evaluation.

3.2 Provide examples of the measures you use to monitor, understand, predict and improve the performance of your employees and evidence of results achieved

3.2 Provide examples of the measures y																							
Scope and Relevance:	Relevance not			ecdotal			esented a				esults pre							and relev					nd relevance
The scope of the results presented:	i	informatio	n		esta	ablished	for about		areas	esta	ablished f			areas	estal	blished f		34 of th	e areas	estab	olished fo	or all of the	areas involved
- addresses the needs and expectations							involved	t				involved	b				involve	ed					
of relevant stakeholders;																							
- is consistent with the strategy and																							
policies of the organisation.																							
The most important, Key Results are																							
identified and prioritised.																							
Relationships between relevant results																							
are understood.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity:	No evidence	of integrit	y or ane	cdotal	Time		le and ac		r about	Time	ly, reliabl	e and ac	curate fo	or about	Timel	y, reliabl	le and a	ccurate f	for about	Tin	nely, relia	able and a	ccurate for all
Results are timely, reliable and accurate.	i	informatio	n			¼ re	sults pre	sented			½ re	sults pre	sented			¾ re	sults pre	esented			res	sults prese	nted
decui die.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation:	No	segmenta	ation		Usa	ıble segr	nentation	for abou	t ¼ of	Usa	ble segn		for abou	ut ½ of	Usa	ble segn		n for abo	out ¾ of	Usa	ble segn	nentation fo	or all of results
Results are appropriately segmented.							results					results					results	S					
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Trends:	No results or	anecdota	al inform	ation.			ls and/or				tive trend							r sustain					sustained good
Trends are positive and/or there is					perfo		or about		its over	perfor	rmance fo			ults over	perfor				sults over	perfo	rmance		lts over at least
sustained good performance.		-					least 2 ye					least 2 y		1			least 2 y		1		1	2 years	
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets:	No targets or	r anecdot	al inform	nation	Appro		id achiev		out ¼ of	Appro	priate an			out ½ of	Appro				bout ¾ of	App	ropriate		ed for all Key
Targets are set for the Key Results.							Key Resu	ılts			k	Cey Resu	ılts			ŀ	Key Res	ults				Results	
Targets are appropriate.																							
Targets are achieved.				,																			
Commonicano	No some	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65 Establ	70	75	80	85	90	95 liabad 6	100	and annuantiata
Comparisons:	No compa	arisons or informatio		ıaı			vourable				lished, fa								propriate				and appropriate
Comparisons are made for the Key Results.	ı	mormalio)[]		comp	arisons i	or about !	4 OF Key	Results	compa	arisons fo	or about :	2 OF Key	Results	compa	arisons io	or about	% OF Ke	y Results	C	ompanso	ons for all r	Key Results
Comparisons are appropriate.																							
Comparisons are favourable.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes:	No confidence	·	Ü				ect visible				bling effe							e for abo					r all results and
The relationship between Results	i	informatio	n				d some e				ts and ev							evidence					dence that
achieved and their Enablers is					ŗ	oerforma	nce will b	e sustain	ed		will	be susta	ined		р	erformar	nce will b	be sustai	ined	1	oerforma	nce will be	sustained
understood.					· '										'					'			
Based on the evidence presented, there																							
is confidence that positive performance																							
will be sustained in the future.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
3.2 Key Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	

- 4 Corporate Social Responsibility
- "Excellent organisations:
 - Develop and agree a set of performance indicators and related outcomes to determine the successful deployment
 of their corporate social responsibility strategy and supporting policies, based on the needs and expectations of the
 relevant external stakeholders;
 - Set clear targets for Key Results based on the needs and expectations of their external stakeholders, in line with their chosen strategy;
 - Demonstrate positive or sustained good Corporate Social Responsibility Results over at least 3 years;
 - Clearly understand the underlying reasons and drivers of observed trends and the impact these results will have on other performance indicators and related outcomes;
 - Anticipate future performance and results;
 - Understand how the Key Results they achieve compare to similar organisations and use this data, where relevant, for target setting; and
- Segment results to understand the experience, needs and expectations of specific stakeholders."

When preparing their response the potential bidder should have supplied at least 2 years worth of relevant supporting data.

4.1. "How do you measure society's perception of your organisation? Provide examples of results achieved."

These measures are of the society's perception of the organisation (obtained for example from surveys, reports, press articles, public meetings, public representatives, governmental authorities. Some of the measures contained in the guidance for Perception Measures may be applicable to Performance Indicators and vice versa.

Depending on the purpose and objectives of the organisation examples of measures that may be made include:

- Image;
 - Responsiveness to contacts;
 - As a responsible member of the community;
 - As an employer;
- Performance as a responsible citizen;
 - Disclosures of information relevant to the community;
 - Equal opportunity practices;
 - Impact on local, regional, national and global economies;
 - Relationships with relevant authorities;
 - Ethical behaviour;
 - Has a clearly defined and implemented policy on minimum working age.
 - Does not employ forced or slave labour.
- Involvement in the communities where it operates:
 - Involvement in education and training;

- Involvement of community bodies in relevant activities;
- o Voluntary work and philanthropy.
- Reduction and prevention of nuisance and harm from its operations and/or throughout the lifecycle of its products and services:
 - Is not off-shoring environmentally damaging production processes;
 - Health risks and accidents;
 - Noise and odour;
 - Hazards (safety);
 - Pollution and toxic emissions;
 - o Analysis of the supply chain;
 - Environmental performance evaluation/lifecycle evaluation.
- Reporting on activities to assist in the preservation and sustainability of resources:
 - Choice of transport;
 - Ecological impact;
 - Reduction and elimination of waste of materials, energy or natural resources;
 - o Recycling.

Assessor	Motos.
AVVEVVIII	MOIGZ.

Strengths:

4.1 How do you measure society's percep																							
Scope and Relevance:	Relevance not e			ecdotal				ind releva			esults pre							nd releva					d relevance
The scope of the results presented:	in	nformatio	n		esta	ablished	for about	1/4 of the	areas	esta	ablished f	or about	1/2 of the	areas	estal	blished fo	or about	34 of the	areas	estab	lished for	r all of the	areas involved
- addresses the needs and expectations							involve	d				involved	b				involved	b					
of relevant stakeholders;																							
- is consistent with the strategy and																							
policies of the organisation.																							
The most important, Key Results are																							
identified and prioritised.																							
Relationships between relevant results																							
are understood.																							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Integrity:	No evidence o			cdotal	Time			curate fo	r about	Time	ely, reliabl			r about	Timel			curate fo	r about	Tim			ccurate for all
Results are timely, reliable and	in	nformatio	n			¼ re	sults pre	sented			½ re	sults pres	sented			34 re:	sults pre	sented			res	ults prese	nted
accurate.		_							1		1			1									
		0	. 5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Segmentation:	No s	segmenta	ation		Usa	able segr		for abou	t ¼ of	Usa	able segn		for abou	it ½ of	Usa	ble segm		for abou	it ¾ of	Usak	ole segm	entation fo	or all of results
Results are appropriately segmented.		0	-	1 40	45	T 00	results		1 05	40	1 45	results	I ee	1 (0		1 70	results		Los	00	Lor	100	
Trends:	No results or a	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90 Dasit	95	100	untained meed
Trends: Trends are positive and/or there is	INO TESUITS OF 8	anecuota	al IIIIOIIII	alion.				sustaine ¼ of resu			itive trend rmance fo							sustaine ¾ of resu					ustained good Its over at least
sustained good performance.					peno		least 2 y		iits ovei	peno		least 2 y		iii2 ovei	perior		least 2 y		iii2 ovei	perior	mancen	2 years	its over at least
sustained good performance.		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50 50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Targets:	No targets or	anecdota	Ů					ed for ab			opriate an							ed for ab					ed for all Key
Targets are set for the Key Results.	140 targets or	anccaou	ai iiiioiiii	ution	прріс		Key Resu		OUI 74 OI	прри		(ey Resu		OUI 72 OI	Approp		ley Resu		Out 74 OI	7,000	opridic t	Results	rea for all recy
Targets are appropriate.						'	noj mose	anto				toj itoso					toj itose					rtosuns	
Targets are achieved.																							
g		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Comparisons:	No compar	risons or	anecdo	tal	Estab	lished, fa	vourable	and app	ropriate	Estab	olished, fa	vourable	and app	ropriate	Establ	lished, fa	vourable	and app	ropriate	Estab	ished, fa	vourable	and appropriate
Comparisons are made for the Key	in	nformatio	n		comp	arisons f	or about i	¼ of Key	Results	comp	arisons fo	or about !	½ of Key	Results	compa	arisons fo	r about 3	¾ of Key	Results	CC	mpariso	ns for all I	Key Results
Results.																							
Comparisons are appropriate.																							
Comparisons are favourable.								_															
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	
Causes:	No confidence			cdotal				for abou			abling effe							for abou					r all results and
The relationship between Results	in	nformatio	n					vidence		resu	Its and ev			mance				vidence t					dence that
achieved and their Enablers is						performa	nce will b	e sustain	iea		WII	be susta	ained		р	ertormar	ice will b	e sustair	nea	p	ertormar	nce will be	sustained
understood.																							
Based on the evidence presented, there																							
is confidence that positive performance																							
will be sustained in the future.	 	0		10	15	20	25	20	25	40	45	ΕO	EE	40	65	70	75	00	OE	90	OE.	100	
4.1 Key Results		0	5 5	10 10	15 15	20	25 25	30	35 35	40	45	50 50	55 55	60	65	70 70	75 75	80	85 85	90	95 95	100	
T. I NOY NESURS	L	U		10	IJ	20	23	30	JJ	40	40	30	JJ	UU	00	70	13	00	UJ	70	7.0	100	

4.2. "Provide examples of the measures you use to monitor, understand, predict and improve the societal and environmental performance of your organisation and evidence of results achieved."

These measures are the internal ones used by the organisation in order to monitor, understand, predict and improve the performance of the organisation and to predict perceptions of society.

Depending on the purpose and objectives of the organisation examples of measures that may be made include:

- Handling changes in employment levels;
- Dealings with authorities on issues such as:
 - o Certification;
 - o Planning.

- Accolades and award received:
 - o Exchange of information in relation to Corporate Social Responsibility good practice auditing and social reporting.

Assessor N

Strengths:

4.2 Provide examples of the measures you																								
Scope and Relevance: The scope of the results presented: - addresses the needs and expectations of relevant stakeholders; - is consistent with the strategy and policies of the organisation. The most important, Key Results are identified and prioritised. Relationships between relevant results are understood.	Relevance not established or anecdotal information			Results presented and relevance established for about ¼ of the areas involved						Results presented and relevance established for about ½ of the areas involved					Results presented and relevance established for about ¾ of the areas involved					Results presented and relevance established for all of the areas involved				
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		
Integrity: Results are timely, reliable and accurate.	No evidence of integrity or anecdotal information					Timely, reliable and accurate for about ¼ results presented					Timely, reliable and accurate for about ½ results presented					Timely, reliable and accurate for about ¾ results presented					Timely, reliable and accurate for all results presented			
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		
Segmentation: Results are appropriately segmented.	No s	Usable segmentation for about ¼ of results					Usable segmentation for about ½ of results					Usable segmentation for about ¾ of results					Usable segmentation for all of results							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		
Trends: Trends are positive and/or there is sustained good performance.	No results or	Positive trends and/or sustained good performance for about ¼ of results over at least 2 years.					Positive trends and/or sustained good performance for about ½ of results over at least 2 years					Positive trends and/or sustained good performance for about ¾ of results over at least 2 years					Positive trends and/or sustained good performance for all results over at least 2 years							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		
Targets: Targets are set for the Key Results. Targets are appropriate. Targets are achieved.	No targets or	Appropriate and achieved for about ¼ of Key Results					Appropriate and achieved for about ½ of Key Results					Appropriate and achieved for about ¾ of Key Results					Appropriate and achieved for all Key Results							
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		
Comparisons: Comparisons are made for the Key Results. Comparisons are appropriate. Comparisons are favourable.	No compa ir	arisons or nformatio		tal				and app 4 of Key		compa	lished, fa arisons fo	r about }	∕₂ of Key	Results	comp	arisons fo	or about [:]	and app 34 of Key	Results	CC	omparisc		and appropriate Key Results	
Causes: The relationship between Results achieved and their Enablers is understood. Based on the evidence presented, there is confidence that positive performance will be sustained in the future.	No confidenc ir	Enabling effect visible for about ¼ of results and some evidence that performance will be sustained						40 45 50 55 60 Enabling effect visible for about ½ of results and evidence that performance will be sustained					65 70 75 80 85 Enabling effect visible for about ¾ of results and clear evidence that performance will be sustained					Enabling effect visible for all results and comprehensive evidence that performance will be sustained						
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		
4.1 Key Results		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100		