

Via Email: XXXXX

Dear XXXX,

Thameslink FOI Request: F00007996

Thank you for your recent request for information about the Thameslink Programme. I am writing to confirm that the Department for Transport has now completed its search for the information which you requested.

You asked for 'full details including legal input of the basis for the remarks by the departmental spokesman on 27 July 2011 to the effect that the anti-corruption provisions of European and domestic legislation applies to individuals rather than the corporate entities they represent and that therefore Siemens status as preferred bidder for the above contract remains unchanged its proven track record of corrupt practices notwithstanding.'

I am not clear which statement you are specifically referring to in your question.

Regulation 26 of the Utilities Contracts Regulations 2006 sets out criteria for the rejection of economic operators. Regulation 26 (2) states "In any case where an economic operator or its directors or any other person who has powers of representation, decision or control has been convicted of an offence described in paragraph (1), a utility may disregard the prohibition described there if it is satisfied that there are overriding requirements in the general interest which justify doing so in relation to that economic operator."

In its submission to the Transport Select Committee the Department said:

"As part of the process for the pre-qualification of bidders for the Thameslink Rolling Stock Project the Siemens plc accreditation submission was received on 9th June 2008. The submission included a specific reference to investigations concerning its parent company Siemens AG and certain of its then current and former employees regarding allegations of public corruption. The submission also identified the steps the company has taken to address these issues.

Following consideration of Article 26 of The Utilities Contracts regulations 2006 and OGC guidance, the Department asked Siemens plc for further information and assurances.

On receipt of this information the Department satisfied itself that the individuals involved in the allegations were not and would not be involved in Siemens plc or any aspect of the Thameslink procurement exercise and consequently Siemens plc was not excluded.

The Thameslink ITT contains the requirement for Bidders to notify the Department of any changes to the information provided to the Department as part of the pre-qualification process. No relevant notifications have been received since the issue of the ITT.

Siemens AG continue to disclose progress of on-going investigations on a quarterly basis on their Global website."

Any legal advice obtained by the Department is being withheld in reliance on exemption section 42 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the "Act"), under which legal advice received is exempt from disclosure to protect the confidential relationship between lawyers and their clients. This exemption applies because there is an important public interest in a person (including a public authority) being able to consult his or her lawyer in confidence.

In applying the exemption under section 42 we have had to balance the public interest in withholding the relevant information against the public interest in disclosure. The attached annex A to this letter sets out the exemption in full and details why the public interest test favours withholding the information.

If you are unhappy with the way the Department has handled your request or with the decisions made in relation to your request you may complain within two calendar months of the date of this letter by writing to the Department's Information Rights Unit at:

Zone D/04
Ashdown House
Sedlescombe Road North
Hastings
East Sussex TN37 7GA
E-mail: FOI-Advice-Team-DFT@dft.gsi.gov.uk

Please see attached details of DfT's complaints procedure and your right to complain to the Information Commissioner.

If you have any queries about this letter, please contact me. Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

Yours sincerely,

XXXXXX

Your right to complain to DfT and the Information Commissioner

You have the right to complain within two calendar months of the date of this letter about the way in which your request for information was handled and/or about the decision not to disclose all or part of the information requested. In addition a complaint can be made that DfT has not complied with its FOI publication scheme.

Your complaint will be acknowledged and you will be advised of a target date by which to expect a response. Initially your complaint will be re-considered by the official who dealt with your request for information. If, after careful consideration, that official decides that his/her decision was correct, your complaint will automatically be referred to a senior independent official who will conduct a further review. You will be advised of the outcome of your complaint and if a decision is taken to disclose information originally withheld this will be done as soon as possible.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF

Annex A

Exemption in full

42 Legal professional privilege

- (1) Information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege or, in Scotland, to confidentiality of communications could be maintained in legal proceedings is exempt information.
- (2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, compliance with section 1(1)(a) would involve the disclosure of any information (whether or not already recorded) in respect of which such a claim could be maintained in legal proceedings.

Public interest test factors for disclosure

Public interest in ensuring that decisions have been made on the basis of good quality legal advice.

Public interest test factors against disclosure

Public interest that Thameslink decisions are taken in a fully informed legal context where necessary, and that the Minister is fully cognisant of both the strengths and weaknesses of any relevant position.

Public interest in protecting the relationship between a client and their lawyer.

Decision

There is a very substantial public interest maintaining the confidentiality of legal professional privilege material in order to protect the quality of the Government's decision making, and to ensure that it receives full and properly recorded advice which can be relied on. Government's willingness to seek frank legal advice is essential in upholding the rule of law.

In view of the above, the Department is of the view that the balance of the public interest is clearly against disclosure of the relevant information.