

<b>To</b>	ETF Team		
<b>From</b>	Steer Davies Gleave		
<b>Date</b>	14 <sup>th</sup> December 2009		
<b>Project</b>	ETF Cycle Provision - Summary of Findings	<b>Project No.</b>	222133-01

---

**Subject**      Summary of Analysis & Preliminary Findings

#### Overview of Analysis

- Baseline Analysis - review of existing provision - assessment of capacity (number of spaces), quality, utilisation, analysis of existing behaviour / revealed preferences.
- Forecasting model - forecasts potential cycle parking demand, by station.
- Development of recommendations - pragmatic assessment informed by baseline assessment and potential usage.

#### Recommended Improvements in Provision

- Minimum quality standard proposed - aim to provide sheltered, secure facilities close to station.
- **630** new cycle spaces proposed, covering 19 of 26 ETF stations. Largest increase in provision proposed for Barking, Upminster & Benfleet.
- Cost of measures estimated at c £350k, based on cost of £500 per new cycle space (purchase & implementation) plus contingency.

#### Initial Business Case

- WebTAG compliant case developed - user benefits (quality enhancement), non-use benefits (from modal shift), financial impacts (costs, fares & parking revenue).
- Overall case of around 3.5 : 1, based on 15-year appraisal period (>3 : 1 over 10 years).
- Annual forecast loss in car park revenue c £90k - based on modal transfer of access mode. Offset by increased rail revenue - less certain but on basis that 4% of increased cycle demand is 'new', this would represent c£50k. 4% new demand to rail is equivalent to c. 20 additional rail journeys (return trips) per day.
- 'Worst case' from financial perspective - £90k car park loss not offset by any increase in TOC fare revenue. Under this scenario BCR remains robust.
- Appraisal to be refined over next week, with sensitivity testing to follow on central case agreed.