Part 1.5 Recommendations

RECOMMENDATIONS

74. The Panel recommends that:

a. A review of the suitability of current AEF locations is conducted to establish the operating risk to AEF.	Para 30 - 32
b. The duration of air experience flights is reviewed and potentially increased to allow greater flexibility to operate within the airspace surrounding each AEF location.	Para 30 - 31
c. A review of the notification system for General Aviation and glider activities is carried out.	Para 33
d. The Tutor fleet should be fitted with a Collision Warning System.	Para 37
e. A review of the aircrew MES process be conducted.	Para 41, 42, 44
f. The medical services provided by the RAF to AEF pilots and part-time instructors should be reviewed.	Para 43
g. A review of the management of aircrew with Ankylosing Spondylitis and similar conditions be conducted to ensure any medical risks are captured and mitigated.	Para 43
h. The tutor be fitted with a CVR, ADR or more crashworthy GPS logger.	Para 46
 A review of supervision of AEF activity at all levels within EFTS be conducted to ensure current regulations and procedures are appropriate and adhered to. 	Para 47 - 56
 Consider rewording TGOs 115 and 125 to include a clearly defined penalty for not completing a supervisory check. 	Para 48
k. The arrangements for the issue and management of ToRs and Directives are reviewed with the aim of providing a more formalised method of ownership, publication and regular review.	Para 48 - 51
Review the process for conducting Formal Staff Visits, in particular provide a better method of ensuring follow-up actions are	Para 51

completed within acceptable timescales.

m. The relationship between HQ CFS and HQ 1 EFTS be reviewed to establish clear responsibilities for assessing suitability of aircrew for the instructor role and maintaining standardisation.

Para 57

n. An abandonment trainer that accurately replicates the actions needed to abandon a Tutor should be procured and provided for each UAS/EFT/AEF location.

Para 64 - 66

o. A study should be conducted into the feasibility of fitting the Tutor with a Ballistic Recovery System.

Para 66, 73d