

Minimization of Symbolic Automata

Jonathan Homburg

Based on "Minimization of Symbolic Automata" by Loris D'Antoni & Margus Veanes

Intuition

- ▶ A deterministic finite automaton (DFA) is defined over a finite alphabet. Characters from this alphabet are given to the DFA as input and also label the state transitions.
- ▶ A symbolic finite automaton (SFA) has inputs defined over a (possibly infinite) domain set and transitions labeled by a set of predicates over that domain.
 - ▶ e.g. an SFA might have \mathbb{Z} as a domain and might have predicates $\text{odd}(x)$ and $\text{even}(x)$ for transitions.

Definition

An **effective Boolean algebra** is a tuple

$A = (D, \Psi, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, \perp, \top, \wedge, \vee, \neg)$ such that

- ▶ D is a recursively enumerable set of domain elements.
- ▶ Ψ is a recursively enumerable set of predicates closed under \wedge, \vee, \neg and with $\perp, \top \in \Psi$
- ▶ $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket : \Psi \rightarrow 2^D$ (called the denotation function) is recursively enumerable and has:
 - ▶ $\llbracket \perp \rrbracket = \emptyset$ and $\llbracket \top \rrbracket = D$
 - ▶ $\forall \varphi, \psi \in \Psi, \llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket, \llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \psi \rrbracket,$
and $\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket = D \setminus \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$

For $\varphi \in \Psi$, if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \neq \emptyset$ then φ is said to be satisfiable and we write $IsSat(\varphi)$. It is generally required that satisfiability checking is decidable.

Definition

A **symbolic finite automaton** is a tuple $M = (Q, A, q^0, \Delta, F)$ such that

- ▶ Q is a finite set of states
- ▶ A is an effective Boolean algebra, called the alphabet
- ▶ $q^0 \in Q$ is the initial state
- ▶ $\Delta \subseteq Q \times \Psi_A \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions
- ▶ $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states

Most notation used for SFAs is directly analogous to those used for DFAs. e.g. $L(M)$, $\delta(p, a)$, $\overset{\leftarrow}{\Delta}(q)$

Properties of a Symbolic Automaton

Let $M = (Q, A, q^0, \Delta, F)$ be an SFA

- ▶ M is **deterministic** iff $\forall (p, \varphi, p'), (p, \varphi', q') \in \Delta$ we have $IsSat(\varphi \wedge \varphi')$ implies $q = q'$
- ▶ M is **complete** iff $\forall a \in D_A, p \in Q \exists q \in Q, \varphi \in \Psi_A$ such that $(p, \varphi, q) \in \Delta$ and $a \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$
- ▶ M is **clean** iff $\forall (p, \varphi, q) \in \Delta, p$ is reachable from q^0 and $IsSat(\varphi)$
- ▶ M is **normalized** iff $\forall p, q \in Q$ there is at most one transition from p to q

It is nearly always assumed that an SFA is clean and normalized. For our purposes, it will generally be assumed that every SFA is deterministic and complete.

Minimality of SFAs

Definition

An SFA M is **minimal** if it is deterministic, complete, clean normalized, and for all $p, q \in Q$, $p = q$ if and only if $L_p(M) = L_q(M)$.

Theorem

If M and N are minimal SFAs such that $L(M) = L(N)$ then M and N are equivalent automata up to the relabeling of states and equivalence of predicates.

M -equivalence

Definition

Two states $p, q \in Q$ are **M -equivalent**, denoted $p \equiv_M q$, if $L_p(M) = L_q(M)$.

Theorem

If M is a clean, complete and deterministic SFA then $M_{/\equiv_M}$ is minimal and $L(M) = L(M_{/\equiv_M})$.

Moore's Algorithm (DFA)

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, q^0, \delta, F)$ be a DFA.

Define \equiv_0 on Q such that $p \equiv_0 q \iff p, q \in F$ or $p, q \notin F$

Recursively define \equiv_i on Q such that

$$p \equiv_i q \iff p \equiv_{i-1} q \text{ and } \forall a \in \Sigma, \delta(p, a) \equiv_{i-1} \delta(q, a)$$

Continue until \equiv_k and \equiv_{k-1} are equivalent relations.

$M_{/\equiv_k}$ is minimal.

Moore's Algorithm (SFA)

Let $M = (Q, A, q^0, \Delta, F)$ be an SFA.

Define \equiv_0 on Q s.t. $p \equiv_0 q \iff (p, q) \in (F^c \times F) \cup (F \times F^c)$

Recursively define \equiv_i on Q such that

$p \equiv_i q \iff p \equiv_{i-1} q$ or

$\exists (p, \varphi, p'), (q, \psi, q') \in \Delta$ s.t. $p' \equiv_{i-1} q'$ and $\text{IsSat}(\varphi \wedge \psi)$

Continue until \equiv_k and \equiv_{k-1} are equivalent relations

Define \equiv_M on Q such that $p \equiv_M q \iff p \not\equiv_k q$

$M_{/\equiv_M}$ is minimal

Hopcroft's Algorithm (DFA)

input: DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q^0, \delta, F)$

$P = \{F, Q \setminus F\}$ // Q is partitioned

$W = \{F\}$ // Working set

while $W \neq \emptyset$ **do**

 remove any R from W

for $a \in \Sigma$ **do**

$S = \delta^{-1}(R, a)$ // states leading into R on a

for $T \in P$ **do**

if $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $T \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ **then**

 Split($T, T \cap S, T \setminus S$) // refines P, W .

 Adds smaller of $\{T \cap S, T \setminus S\}$ to W

end

end

end

end

Return $M_{/\equiv_P}$

Hopcroft's Algorithm (SFA)

input: SFA $M = (Q, A, q^0, \Delta, F)$

$P = \{F, Q \setminus F\}$ // Q is partitioned

$W = \{F\}$ // Working set

while $W \neq \emptyset$ **do**

 remove any R from W

for $\varphi \in \text{Minterms}(M)$ **do**

$S = \delta^{-1}(R, \varphi)$ // states leading into R on a

for $T \in P$ **do**

if $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $T \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ **then**

 Split($T, T \cap S, T \setminus S$) // refines P, W .

 Adds smaller of $\{T \cap S, T \setminus S\}$ to W

end

end

end

end

Return $M_{/\equiv_P}$

Modified Hopcroft's Algorithm (SFA)

input: SFA $M = (Q, A, q^0, \Delta, F)$

$P = \{F, Q \setminus F\}$

$W = \{F\}$

while $W \neq \emptyset$ **do**

 remove any R from W

$S = \overset{\leftarrow}{\Delta}(R)$ // set of all states going into R

$\Gamma = \{S \ni p \mapsto \bigvee_{(p, \varphi, q) \in \Delta, q \in R} \varphi\}$ // $\Gamma(p)$ is

 disjunction of all predicates going into R

while $\exists T \in P$ with $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\exists p_1, p_2 \in T$ s.t.

$\text{IsSat}(\neg(\Gamma(p_1) \iff \Gamma(p_2)))$ **do**

 Let $a \in \llbracket \neg(\Gamma(p_1) \iff \Gamma(p_2)) \rrbracket$

$T_1 = \{p \in T \mid a \in \Gamma(p)\}$

 Split(T , T_1 , $T \setminus T_1$)

end

end

Return $M_{/\equiv_P}$ (Note: optional optimization steps are skipped)