

SCALE FOR PROJECT FT_TRACEROUTE (/PROJECTS/FT_TRACEROUTE)

Introduction

Please respect the following rules:

- Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the correction process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the person (or the group) graded the eventual dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified.
- You must consider that there might be some difference in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

Guidelines

- Only grade the work that is in the student or group's GiT repository.
- Double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that the work is for the relevant project and also check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases was used to fool you and make you evaluate something other than the content of the official repository.
- To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the correcting and the corrected students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading.

- If the correcting student has not completed that particular project yet, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence.

- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In these cases, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However, with the exception of cheating, you are encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not finished it) in order to identify any issues that may have caused this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future.

- Evaluation must be done in a virtual machine with a linux kernel > 3.14 root rights must be available.

Attachments

 Subject (https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/5662/ft_traceroute.en.pdf)

Basic ft_traceroute

Attempt to use this project with options.

Preliminaries

Before you start defense, please check the following points

- Project is in C,
- Project only uses authorized functions. Functions fcntl, poll and ppoll are not used.
- A Makefile containing the usual rules is present.

If one of these points is invalid, corrections stops.

 Yes

 No

Checking arguments

The program checks that the user has the available rights and correctly runs with the "h" option.

The program displays a simple, clear and correct explanation about its usage.

 Yes

 No

ft_traceroute ip

For all every questions, you will compare the standard output with avec the traceroute system command. The number of jumps of the projet must be equal to the number of jumps of the real traceroute. A difference of +/- 30ms is acceptable in a packet. DNS resolution, in each hop of the tested adresses isn't mandatory.

ft_traceroute good ip

Run the program with a functioning and valid IPv4 adress.

Reminder: a difference of +/- 30ms is acceptable in a packet.

Is the program functioning identically to the system traceroute?

☒ Yes

☐ No

ft_traceroute bad ip

Run the program with a non-functioning and valid IPv4 adress.

Is the program functioning identically to the system traceroute?

☒ Yes

☐ No

ft_traceroute hostname

ft_traceroute good hostname

Run the program with a functioning and valid hostname.

Is the program functioning identically to the system traceroute?

☒ Yes

☐ No

ft_traceroute bad hostname

Run the program with a non-functioning and valid hostname.

Is the program functioning identically to the system traceroute?

☒ Yes

☐ No

ft_traceroute bonus

Bonuses are accounted if ALL the prior sections are validated.

Bonuses specially done for this project

You can account for up to 5 different bonuses for this project.

The -V Flag is not an acceptable bonus.



Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

✓ Ok

★ Outstanding project

📄 Empty work

📄 Incomplete work

💬 No author file

💻 Invalid compilation

📄 Norme

📄 Cheat

💻 Crash

👤 Incomplete group

🚫 Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Preview!!!