tICA Tutorial

Christian Schwantes

June 10, 2013

Contents

1 Introduction of tICA

The contents of this document will build on concepts introduced in the MSMBuilder Tutorial, and we will assume that the reader has gone through that material.

The time-structure based Independent Component Analysis (tICA) method as applied to MSM construction is a new way to judge distances in the protein conformational landscape. The strategy will be to define a reduced dimensional representation of the protein conformations, and use distances in this space in the clustering step of the MSM construction process. Consider a single, long trajectory from a simulation, $\mathbf{X}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which has d dimensions each corresponding to some structural degree of freedom (such as a single phi angle).

One natural way we could define the reduced space is to use Principal Component Analysis, or PCA (See Gerhard Stock's work for examples). In PCA, the goal is to find projection vectors that maximize their explained variance, subject to them being uncorrelated and having length one. In the end, these maximal variance projections correspond to the solutions of the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\Sigma v = \lambda v$$

where Σ is the covariance matrix given by:

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \mathbb{E}\Big[X_i(t)X_j(t)\Big]$$

The problem with using PCA to define the reduced space, however, is that high-variance degrees of freedom need not be slow (for instance consider a floppy protein tail that varies wildly vs. a single dihedral angle that is required to rotate for a protein to fold). What we really want is to design projections that can best differentiate between slowly equilibrating populations, which is precisely where tICA comes in.

In tICA, the goal is to find projection vectors that maximize their autocorrelation function, subject to them being uncorrelated and having unit variance. It is easy to show (see Schwantes, CR and Pande, VS. *JCTC* **2013**, 2000-2009.) that the solution to the tICA problem are the solutions to this generalized eigenvalue problem (which is closely related to the PCA eigenvalue problem):

$$C^{(\Delta t)} v = \lambda \Sigma v$$

where $C^{(\Delta t)}$ is the time lag correlation matrix defined by:

$$C_{ij}^{(\Delta t)} = \mathbb{E}\Big[X_i(t)X_j(t+\Delta t)\Big]$$

Given this solution, we can use the tICA method to define a reduced dimensionality representation of each $\mathbf{X}(t)$ by projecting the vector onto the slowest n tICs. Therefore, the strategy for using tICA to construct an MSM looks like:

1. Calculate $C^{(\Delta t)}$ and Σ and the solutions to the generalized eigenvalue problem given above

2. Choose the number of tICs to project onto
3. Use the reduced space to cluster and assign conformations to states
4. Build the MSM from these assignments and analyze as laid out in the MSMBuilder tutorial

In the next section we will go over how to do each of these steps within MSMBuilder.

2 tICA Tutorial within MSMBuilder

Currently, the necessary library functions and scripts can be found in Christian Schwantes's fork of MSMBuilder hosted at `www.github.com/schwancr/msmbuilder` in the branch `tica_mle`.

The first step is to clone and install this branch:

```
$ mkdir schwancr_msmb
$ cd schwancr_msmb
$ git clone git@github.com:schwancr/msmbuilder
$ cd msmbuilder
$ git checkout tica_mle
$ python setup.py install
```

This fork has everything you should need from MSMBuilder and more, so you can do everything you could have done with the main branch.

2.1 Calculate $C^{(\Delta t)}$ and Σ and the tICs

The first step is to calculate the time-lag correlation and covariance matrices. The script that does this is `tICA_train.py`, which will calculate the matrices, find the eigenvectors, and save all results.

At this point, we need to select a Δt. Currently, there is no automated procedure for selecting it, but for most systems the value has not changed the results drastically. In the past, values in the range of 10s to 100s of nanoseconds have worked well for protein folding simulations with timescales in the micro to milliseconds. We suggest using a range and comparing the resulting MSMs' timescales to choose this parameter.

REMEMBER: All parameters that are times should be input in units of frames. This is true for all MSMBuilder scripts/libraries.

The `tICA_train.py` script must be told how you want to represent each conformation as a vector. Since translation and rotation cannot be handled well with tICA (or PCA for that matter) we must use some internal representation of the conformation. We will define these by using one of the metrics within MSMBuilder:

1. Dihedral - sin and cos of all dihedral angles

2. ContinuousContact - distances between pairs of residues
3. AtomPairs - distances between pairs of atoms

You should read about these, but we will use the AtomPairs representation in the following example.

The AtomPairs metric represents each conformation by a vector corresponding to the distance between pairs of atoms. We will use the following atom pairs for this representation (in AtomPairs.dat):

```
$ cat HeavyAtomPairs.dat
5 4
6 4
6 5
...
16 14
16 15
```

Change to the reference folder of the msmbuilder package. Then run the following command:

```
$ tICA_train.py -d 10 -p ProjectInfo.yaml -s 1 -o tICAData.h5 atompairs
                -a HeavyAtomPairs.dat
```

This will produce the file tICAData.h5 which is a serialized dictionary. You can read it with `msmbuilder.io.loadh`. The dictionary has the following keys:

1. `vals` - eigenvalues from the eigenvalue problem
2. `vecs` - eigenvectors from the eigenvalue problem normalized to unit variance; each column is an eigenvector
3. `cov_mat` - covariance matrix (Σ)
4. `timelag_corr_mat` - time lag correlation matrix ($C^{(\Delta t)}$)

2.2 Cluster and Assign Using n tICs

Now that we have the tICs calculated, we just need to use them when clustering. At this point, however, we need to decide how many tICs to use in the clustering step. The value of using the tICA method is to ignore degrees of freedom that only add noise to the distance calculation, so the resulting MSM will be qualitatively different depending on the choice here.

At this point there is no optimal way to choose the number of tICs to project onto. We suggest building many models over a range of n (for example 5 - 30 tICs), and comparing the resulting MSMs. See the next section for a discussion of the effect of these parameters.

We use the same scripts used in the regular MSMBuilder pipeline. To cluster the data (subsampled every 10 frames) and output the generators we do:

```
$ Cluster.py -p ProjectInfo.yaml -s 10 -o Data tica --po tICAData.h5 --nv 3
             atompairs -a HeavyAtomPairs.dat kcenters -k 50
```

Notice that it appears that we are inputing two metrics (tica and atompairs). The reason is that tica requires us to specify the underlying metric used to turn the conformation into a vector. It is important, therefore, that we use the same metric here as we did in the `tICA_train.py` step.

Now that we have the generators, we do the assign step in an analogous use of `Assign.py`:

```
$ Assign.py -p ProjectInfo.yaml -g Data/Gens.lh5 -o Data/ tica --po
            tICAData.h5 --nv 3 atompairs -a HeavyAtomPairs.dat
```

Now that we have an `Assignments.h5` file the remainder of the analysis can follow the pipeline described in the MSMBuilder tutorial.

3 Selection of tICA Parameters

There are two parameters introduced in the tICA method. The first is Δt, which is used in the calculation of the time-lag correlation matrix ($C^{(\Delta t)}$). The second is n, which is the number of tICs to project onto when calculating distances between conformations.

There is currently no optimal method for choosing these parameters, however, the method has been fairly robust to different choices.

In previous analyses of Fip35, villin, NTL9(1-39), and NuG2, Δt between 50 and 1000 nanoseconds produced MSMs with largely the same timescale distribution, indicating that something in this range should be appropriate for most protein systems.

MSMs are more sensitive, however, to the selection of the number of tICs to project onto. In the previous analyses listed above, we found that using a surprisingly small number (in the range of 5-20) of tICs worked well. The power behind tICA is to ignore the degrees of freedom that quickly decorrelate and only add noise in the distance calculation. However, as n gets smaller (and we throw out more degrees of freedom), the resolution of the MSM becomes limited, and can only discern between conformations along the slowest coordinate (which is often the folding process).

For example, in our analysis of NTL9, we found that increasing n from three up to seven kept the folding timescale largely unchanged but added new microsecond timescales to the resulting MSMs, while adding in too many (> 10) produced a folding timescale that was too fast.

4 Understanding the tICs

The top tICs represent linear combinations of the input degrees of freedom that decorrelate slowly. These vectors are not necessarily easy to visualize, however.

For instance, the slowest tIC from the above analysis can be visualized as a matrix, where each entry corresponds to the tIC entry corresponding to a pair of atoms' distances. Here, the dark blue and dark red portions correspond to atom pairs that best distinguish



between far regions along the first tIC. As is clear, this is not all that helpful to look at (though an area that could be greatly improved is providing a visualization tool for these degrees of freedom).

We can also attempt to visualize the tICs by comparing the projections onto each tIC to order parameters. For instance, for each conformation we sampled in the reference simulations, we can calculate the phi and psi angles along with the projection of the conformation onto each tIC. In this way, we can visualize what each tIC corresponds to. Below, we have plotted the phi and psi angles colored by that conformation's projection onto each tIC.



5 Drawbacks of tICA

Since part of the process of using tICA is a dimensionality reduction, there is always the opportunity to throw out important pieces of information. In particular, by throwing

out the faster degrees of freedom, we can better estimate the slowest timescales; but this comes with the trade-off of not representing the fast timescales correctly. The result is illustrated when trying to sample a trajectory from the MSM built on tICA. The result is a trajectory that represents the folding/unfolding transition well, but when in the unfolded state jumps around more than would be seen in a typical MD simulation.