

The system is a layer of fluid between $y = 0$ and $y = 1$, with boundary conditions $T(x, y = 0) = 1$ and $T(x, y = 1) = 0$, characterized by ρ , c_p , k , η_0 . The Rayleigh number of the system is

$$\text{Ra} = \frac{\rho_0 g_0 \alpha \Delta T h^3}{\eta_0 \kappa}$$

We have $\Delta T = 1$, $h = 1$ and choose $\kappa = 1$ so that the Rayleigh number simplifies to $\text{Ra} = \rho_0 g_0 \alpha / \eta_0$.

The Stokes equation is $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \vec{b} = \vec{0}$ with $\vec{b} = \rho \vec{g}$. Then the components of this equation on the x - and y -axis are:

$$(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})_x = -\rho \vec{g} \cdot \vec{e}_x = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})_y = -\rho \vec{g} \cdot \vec{e}_y = \rho g_0 \quad (2)$$

since \vec{g} and \vec{e}_y are in opposite directions ($\vec{g} = -g_0 \vec{e}_y$, with $g_0 > 0$). The stream function formulation of the incompressible isoviscous Stokes equation is then

$$\nabla^4 \Psi = \frac{g_0}{\eta_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}$$

Assuming a linearised density field with regards to temperature $\rho(T) = \rho_0(1 - \alpha T)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} = -\rho_0 \alpha \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$$

and then

$$\boxed{\nabla^4 \Psi = -\frac{\rho_0 g_0 \alpha}{\eta_0} g \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = -\text{Ra} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}} \quad (3)$$

For small perturbations of the conductive state $T_0(y) = 1 - y$ we define the temperature perturbation $T_1(x, y)$ such that

$$T(x, y) = T_0(y) + T_1(x, y)$$

The temperature perturbation T_1 satisfies the homogeneous boundary conditions $T_1(x, y = 0) = 0$ and $T_1(x, y = 1) = 0$. The temperature equation is

$$\rho c_p \frac{DT}{Dt} = \rho c_p \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T \right) = \rho c_p \left(\frac{\partial T_0 + T_1}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} (T_0 + T_1) \right) = k \Delta (T_0 + T_1)$$

and can be simplified as follows:

$$\rho c_p \left(\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T_0 \right) = k \Delta T_1$$

since T_0 does not depend on time, $\Delta T_0 = 0$ and we assume the nonlinear term $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T_1$ to be second order (temperature perturbations and coupled velocity changes are assumed to be small). Using the relationship between velocity and stream function $v_y = -\partial_x \Psi$ we have $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} T_0 = -v_y = \partial_x \Psi$ and since $\kappa = k / \rho c_p = 1$ we get

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial t} - \kappa \Delta T_1 = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}} \quad (4)$$

Looking at these equations, we immediately think about a separation of variables approach to solve these equations. Both equations showcase the Laplace operator Δ , and the eigenfunctions of the biharmonic operator and the Laplace operator are the same. We then pose that Ψ and T_1 can be written:

$$\Psi(x, y, t) = A_\Psi \exp(pt) \exp(\pm i k_x x) \exp(\pm i k_y y) = A_\Psi E_\Psi(x, y, t) \quad (5)$$

$$T_1(x, y, t) = A_T \exp(pt) \exp(\pm i k_x x) \exp(\pm i k_y y) = A_T E_T(x, y, t) \quad (6)$$

where k_x and k_y are the horizontal and vertical wave number respectively. Note that we then have

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = -(k_x^2 + k_y^2) \Psi \quad \nabla^2 T_1 = -(k_x^2 + k_y^2) T_1$$

The boundary conditions on T_1 , coupled with a choice of a real function for the x dependence yields:

$$E_T(x, y, t) = \exp(pt) \cos(k_x x) \sin(n\pi y).$$

from here onwards check for minus signs!

The velocity boundary conditions are $v_y(x, y = 0) = 0$ and $v_y(x, y = 1) = 0$ which imposes conditions on $\partial\Psi/\partial x$ and we find that we can use the same y dependence as for T_1 . Choosing again for a real function for the x dependence yields:

$$E_\Psi(x, y, t) = \exp(pt) \sin(k_x x) \sin(n\pi z)$$

We then have

$$\Psi(x, y, t) = A_\Psi \exp(pt) \sin(k_x x) \sin(n\pi z) = A_\Psi E_\Psi(x, y, t) \quad (7)$$

$$T_1(x, y, t) = A_T \exp(pt) \cos(k_x x) \sin(n\pi z) = A_T E_T(x, y, t) \quad (8)$$

In what follows we simplify notations: $k = k_x$. Then the two PDEs become:

$$pT_1 + \kappa(k^2 + n^2\pi^2) - kA_\Psi \exp(pt) \cos(k_x x) \sin(n\pi z) = kA_\Psi E_\theta \quad (9)$$

$$-RaA_T \cos(kx) \sin(n\pi z) + \kappa(k^2 + n^2\pi^2)^2 A_\Psi = -RaA_T E_\Psi + \kappa(k^2 + n^2\pi^2)^2 A_\Psi = 0 \quad (10)$$

These equations must then be verified for all ... which leads to write:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p + (k^2 + n^2\pi^2) & -k \\ -Ra k & (k^2 + n^2\pi^2)^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_\theta \\ A_\Psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of such system must be nul otherwise there is only a trivial solution to the problem, i.e. $A_\theta = 0$ and $A_\Psi = 0$ which is not helpful. CHECK/REPHRASE

$$D = [p + (k^2 + n^2\pi^2)](k^2 + n^2\pi^2)^2 - Ra k^2 = 0$$

or,

$$p = \frac{Ra k^2 - (k^2 + n^2\pi^2)^3}{(k^2 + n^2\pi^2)^2}$$

The coefficient p determines the stability of the system: if it is negative, the system is stable and both Ψ and T_1 will decay to zero (return to conductive state). If $p = 0$, then the system is meta-stable, and if $p > 0$ then the system is unstable and the perturbations will grow. The threshold is then $p = 0$ and the solution of the above system is