

# Inner Class

inner class inside class, ? Weird huh?

If a class is completely dependent on other class,

then we can create that class inside

eg:-

```
class A {  
    int age;
```

```
    public void show() {  
        sout("show");  
    }
```

```
    class B {  
        public void config() {  
            sout("in config");  
        }  
    }
```

```
    public class Demo {  
        main() {
```

→ If we want to print show:

```
A obj = new A();  
obj.show();
```

→ If we want to print config:

```
B obj = new B();  
obj.config();
```

Note :- When we compile this code, we get  
A.class, Demo.class &  
A\$B.class



Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Page \_\_\_\_\_

△ B cannot be resolved to  
so, A.B obj1 = new B(); ✗  
= new A.B(); ✗

as, ~~age~~ class B is non-static, we need a  
obj of A to access it so,

A.B obj1 = ~~new~~ obj. new B();

obj1.config();

→ If inner class is static,

A.B obj1 = new A.B(); ✓✓

static is not allowed for outer class.

## Many more class

→ Let's we have a class A having show  
method.

→ We want to have different implementation of  
show method. What we can do?

We can extend it & do method overriding.

→ But this will unnecessary create a extra  
class of that extended class.

→ So what we can do?





Usually in main method, we create an object of class A that uses the definition of class A defined already.

But if we define it during creation of object, i.e. we will provide different implementation of show.

Let see :-

```
class A {
```

```
    public void show() {
```

```
        ? Demo $
```

```
    main() {
```

```
        → A obj = new A() {
```

```
            public void show() {
```

```
                print ("in new show");
```

```
            ? void show() {
```

```
        } ?;
```

printed output → In new show

This is the inner class that had created inside demo class having no name that's why anonymous.

Evidence:- Look in explorer, a Demo \$ I.E. had been created.

Lets combine abstract  
class, inner class, (anonymous class

```
abstract class A  
{  
    p. abstract void show();  
}
```

```
class B extends A  
{  
    p. void show()  
    {  
        System.out.println("in B show");  
    }  
}
```

```
public class Demo  
{
```

```
    public static void main (String args[])  
    {
```

```
        A obj = new B();  
        obj.show();  
    }
```

So, the entire purpose of B class is to  
implement the abstract class A, only.

So, why to create that? Remove it...  
Use anonymous class implementation.

i.e

```
A obj = new A()  
{
```

```
    public void show()  
    {
```

```
        System.out.println("in new show");  
    }
```

```
obj.show();  
}
```

⑧

Are we here creating object of A but A is abstract class.





Date \_\_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_\_

we can't create object of abstract class,

No!

The object is of the anonymous inner class.

Note :-

- ① If we want to ~~use~~ ~~object~~ implement the interface of abstract class only once, then we use anonymous inner class.
- ② We can even implement multiple method (abstract)