# Assignment Four - EEC254

## Ahmed H. Mahmoud

## February 13th, 2018

**Problem 4.21:** (a),(b). We consider first the general case in which the center of the ellipsoid is not the origin (b) and then set the center to be zero to solve (a). Since the function is linear, its minimum must be on the boundary of the ellipsoid. Thus, we can convert the inequality to equality. We use change of variable to scale the ellipsoid such that it becomes a unit ball. For that we define  $y = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(x - x_c)$ . Thus,  $x = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}y + x_c$ . The problem then becomes

minimize 
$$c^T A^{\frac{-1}{2}} y + c^T x_c$$
  
subject to  $y^T y \le 1$ 

which is a minimization of linear function over unit ball for which the solution is

$$y^* = \frac{-A^{\frac{-1}{2}}c}{||A^{\frac{-1}{2}}c||_2} \qquad x^* = x_c - \frac{A^{-1}c}{||A^{\frac{-1}{2}}c||_2} \qquad p^* = c^T x_c - \frac{c^T A^{-1}c}{||A^{\frac{-1}{2}}c||_2}$$

Thus, the solution for (a) (by setting the center to zero) is

$$x^* = -\frac{A^{-1}c}{||A^{-\frac{1}{2}}c||_2}$$
  $p^* = -\frac{c^T A^{-1}c}{||A^{-\frac{1}{2}}c||_2}$ 

(c) Here we may distinguish between to different cases; 1) one where the optimal value lies inside in the interior of the ellipsoid and 2) one where the optimal value is one the boundary of the ellipsoid. Figure 1 shows the two different cases (here we shifted the ellipsoid but in reality the function is the one that is shifted).

To simplify things, we will use change of variables we used above to convert the problem to minimizing quadratic function over a unit sphere. Let  $y=A^{\frac{1}{2}}x$ . Thus  $x=A^{\frac{-1}{2}}y$  and the problem becomes

When the optimal is inside the ellipsoid, the gradient must be zero. From that we have  $A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}y=0$  and thus the optimal value is zero (since  $A\succ 0$ ). When the optimal is on the boundary of the ellipsoid, this requires  $y^Ty=1$ . This means that the optimal value is the one that obtains the minimum of  $A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{-1}{2}}$  for  $A^{\frac{-1}{2}}BA^{\frac{-1}{2}}\neq 0$ .

For the nonconvex extension, we will still seek the gradient to be zero (i.e., the optimal value is inside the ellipsoid) and the solution is similar to the convex case. Otherwise, there could be many solutions for the second case.




Figure 1: The two different cases of Problem 4.21 (c).

### **Problem 4.40:**

1. **LP:** 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^Tx+d\\ \text{subject to} & diag(Gx-h) \preceq 0\\ & Ax=b \end{array}$$

2. (a) **QP:** The QP is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & x^T P x + q^T x + r \\ \text{subject to} & G x \preceq h \\ & A x = b \end{array}$$

which can be re-written in its epigraph form as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & t \\ \text{subject to} & x^T P x + q^T x + r - t \leq 0 \\ & G x \preceq h \\ & A x = b \end{array}$$

Since P is symmetric and positive semidefinite, then we can write  $P=Q^TQ$ . From this we have this equivalence

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & Qx \\ x^T Q^T & -r - q^T x \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \iff x^T P x + q^T x + r \preceq 0$$

Thus we can write QP as follows

minimize 
$$t$$
  
subject to 
$$\begin{bmatrix} I & Qx \\ x^TQ^T & -r - q^Tx + t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

$$diag(Gx - h) \preceq 0$$

$$Ax = b$$

in x and t.

(b) **QCQP:** The QCQP is written as

minimize 
$$x^T P_0 x + q_0^T x + r_0$$
  
subject to  $x^T P_i x + q_i^T x + r_i \le 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$   
 $Ax = b$ 

Following the same logic in QP reformation and apply the equivalence to the constraints as well, we can rewrite QCQP problem as follows

minimize 
$$t$$
 subject to 
$$\begin{bmatrix} I & Q_0x \\ x^TQ_0^T & -r_0 - q_0^Tx + t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$
 
$$\begin{bmatrix} I & Q_ix \\ x^TQ_i^T & -r_i - q_i^Tx \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m$$
 
$$Ax = b$$

in x and t.

(c) **SOCP:** The SOCP is written as

minimize 
$$f^T x$$
  
subject to  $||A_i x + b_i||_2 \le c_i^T x + d_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m$   
 $F x = g$ 

From the given hint (Schur complement), we can rewrite the constraints in matrix form and the problem becomes

minimize 
$$f^T x$$
  
subject to 
$$\begin{bmatrix} (c_i^T x + d_i)I & A_i x + b_i \\ (A_i x + b_i)^T & c_i^T x + d_i \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad i = 1, \cdots, m$$

$$F x = g$$

3. We take  $F(x) \succ 0$  as the constraints of the problem. It follows directly from Schur complement that the problem can be re-written as

minimize 
$$t$$
  
subject to  $\begin{bmatrix} F(x) & Ax + b \\ (Ax + b)^T & t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$ 

**Problem 4.42:** In order to prove the relation we shall use the definition of positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix. Let c be a complex vector such that c = u + iv. Then we have  $c^H X z = (u - iv)^T (\mathcal{R}X + i\mathcal{I}X)(u + iv)$ . This equation can be written in matrix form as

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} u^T & v^T \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathscr{R}X & -\mathscr{I}X \\ \mathscr{I}X & \mathscr{R}X \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array}\right]$$

The above is only (component-wise) greater than or equal to zero iff  $X \succeq 0$ . The conversion is done by breaking down the complex LMI into two pieces; one for the real part and the other for the complex part and operate on them separately.

#### **Problem 4.50:**

- (a) From the plot  $||x_{ls}||_2 \approx 2.5$
- (b) From the plot  $||Ax_{ls} b||_2 \approx 2.5$
- (c) We look for the point for the point where x=0 and check the corresponding  $||Ax-b||_2^2$  which becomes just  $||-b||_2^2$ . Thus  $||b||_2 = \sqrt{10}$ .
- (d) The optimal value  $\approx 5$
- (e) The optimal value  $\approx 5$
- (f) The optimal value  $\approx 8$
- (g) Since  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 10}$  and the solution for  $||Ax b||^2$  is unique, then rank(A) = 10.