MAT 226B Large Scale Matrix Computation Homework 1

Ahmed Mahmoud

January, 30th 2020

Problem 1:

(a) Let $A = [a_{j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \succ 0$ and $L = [l_{j,k}]$ be its Cholesky factor. Using MATLAB notation, Algorithm 1 shows Cholesky factorization algorithm

Algorithm 1: Cholesky Factorization

```
Input: A = [a_{j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \succ 0
Output: L = [l_{j,k}] such that A = LL^T

1 l_{j,k} = a_{j,k}, \forall j \geq k and j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n

2 for k = 1, 2, \dots, n do

3 \begin{vmatrix} l_{k,k} = \sqrt{l_{k,k}} \\ l_{k+1:n,k} = \frac{1}{l_{k,k}} l_{k+1:n,k} \end{vmatrix}

5 \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{for} \ j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, n \end{vmatrix} do

6 \begin{vmatrix} l_{j:n,j} = l_{j:n,j} - l_{j:n,k} l_{jk} \\ \mathbf{end} \end{vmatrix} end
```

Line 1 in Algorithm 1 is a memory copy and does not include any flops. Line 3 accounts for n square root operations. On iteration k, Line 4 will account for n-k division operations. Since this loop goes from $k=1,2,\ldots,n$, we get $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-k)=\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ division operation.

Line 6 does two operations; subtraction and multiplication, each on a vector of length (n - j + 1). Thus, the total cost of the inner loop is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} 2(n-j+1) = \frac{1}{3}n(n^2-1)$$

Thus, the total cost of Algorithm 1 is

$$n + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) + \frac{1}{3}n(n^2 - 1)$$
 flops

- (b) Let A be a banded $n \times n$ matrix with bandwidth 2p+1, i.e., $a_{jk}=0$ if |j-k|>p. To show that Cholesky factor L has lower bandwidth p, i.e., $l_{jk}=0$ if j-k>p, we need to show the Cholesky factorization does not introduce any fill-in's. Line 3 and 4 in Algorithm 1 do not introduce any fill-in's.
 - At step k, the factor l_{jk} in Line 6 will be non-zero only for $k \le j \le k + p$. Thus, the only possible fill-in's for column j (i.e., at iteration j of the inner loop) is at the first p rows below the diagonal which are already non-zero since A is banded matrix with bandwidth 2p + 1 which means there is p non-zero rows below the diagonal already.
- (c) Cholesky factorization can be re-written more efficiently for banded matrices since it is guaranteed to *not* introduce fill-in such that computation can be skipped for the zero elements. Algorithm 2 shows Cholesky factorization algorithm for banded matrices

Algorithm 2: Cholesky Factorization for Banded Matrices

```
Input: A = [a_{j,k}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \succ 0 with bandwidth 2p + 1

Output: L = [l_{j,k}] such that A = LL^T

1 l_{j,k} = a_{j,k}, \forall j \geq k and j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n

2 for k = 1, 2, \dots, n do

3 \begin{vmatrix} l_{k,k} = \sqrt{l_{k,k}} \\ l_{k+1:k+p,k} = \frac{1}{l_{k,k}} l_{k+1:k+p,k} \end{vmatrix}

5 \begin{vmatrix} for \ j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, k + p \end{vmatrix} do

6 \begin{vmatrix} l_{j:k+p,j} = l_{j:k+p,j} - l_{j:k+p,k} \\ l_{j,k} \end{vmatrix} end

8 end
```

In the algorithm above, we note the following

- Line 4 now only operates on the first p rows below the diagonal elements of the column k.
- Line 5 only goes through the first p columns after column k (during iteration k) since the factor l_{jk} (Line 6) will be zero for j > k + p
- Line 6 now only operates up to row k + p since the rows below k + p for column k (i.e., at iteration k) will contain zeros.
- (d) Algorithm 2 requires n square root operations. Line 4 requires only p division. Since Line 4 runs for all k values, then the total number of division done by Line 4 is np.

Line 6 costs one subtraction and one division. Thus the total cost of the whole loop (Line 5-7) is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+p} \sum_{i=j}^{p+k} 2 = np(p+1)$$

Thus, the total cost of Algorithm 2 is

$$n(p+1)^2$$

Problem 2:

(a) Taken an example for m=5 to see the pattern of how the non-zero values arise in the Cholesky factor L

$$T_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow L^1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_1 & 2 - h_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $d_1 = \sqrt{2}$ and $h_1 = \frac{-1}{d1}$

$$L^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_{1} & d_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{2} & 2 - h_{2}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $d_2 = \sqrt{2 - h_1^2}$ and $h_2 = \frac{-1}{d_2}$

$$L^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_{1} & d_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{2} & d_{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{3} & 2 - h_{3}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $d_3 = \sqrt{2 - h_2^2}$ and $h_3 = \frac{-1}{d_3}$

Following until the final step, we can see that the diagonal elements of Cholesky factor L are $d_i = \sqrt{2 - h_{i-1}^2}$ and the lower diagonal elements $h_i = \frac{-1}{d_i}$ where $h_0 = 0$.

(b)

Problem 4:

Figure 1 shows the associated graph G(A) of matrix A along with the steps of the minimum degree algorithm. From these steps, the reordering of the nodes will be 2, 4, 5, 3, 6, 7, 1, 8, 9




Figure 1: Graph G(A) along with the 8 steps of the minimum degree algorithm applied on it.

From the reordering above, the permutation matrix can be constructed such that

From which, we can compute P^TAP to be

Applying Cholesky factorization to P^TAP we get the following lower triangular matrix where the fill-in elements are shown with +