

# MAT 226B Large Scale Matrix Computation

## Final Project

Ahmed Mahmoud

March, 22nd 2020

### Problem 1:

(a) We know from nonsymmetric Lanczos process that

$$MV_k = V_k T_k + \beta_{k+1} [0 \dots 0 v_{k+1}]$$

We can multiply the above by  $e_1$  to extract the first column ( $v_1$ ) from  $V_k$  before multiplying it by  $M$  and the result is

$$\begin{aligned} MV_k e_1 &= V_k T_k e_1 + \beta_{k+1} [0 \dots 0 v_{k+1}] e_1 \\ MV_k e_1 &= V_k T_k e_1 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $MV_k e_1 = Mv_1$ . Now, we can easily give the proof as

$$M^j r = M^j (\beta_1 v_1) = \beta_1 M^j v_1$$

$$M^j r = \beta_1 V_k T_k^j e_1, \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \quad (1)$$

For the second part, we note that  $e_k^T T_k^{k-1} e_1 = 0$ . Thus, the second sum has no effect. We can let  $j = k$  in 1 and we get

$$M^k r = \beta_1 V_k T_k^k e_1 + \beta_1 \beta_{k+1} (e_k^T T_k^{k-1} e_1) v_{k+1}$$

(b) We follow the same steps as in (a). First we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^T W_k &= W_k \hat{T}_k + \gamma_{k+1} [0 \dots 0 w_{k+1}] \\ M^T W_k e_1 &= W_k \hat{T}_k e_1 + \gamma_{k+1} [0 \dots 0 w_{k+1}] e_1 \\ M^T w_1 &= W_k \hat{T}_k e_1 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the transpose of the above, we get

$$(M^T w_1)^T = w_1^T M = e_1^T \hat{T}_k^T W_k^T$$

We also know that  $\hat{T}_k^T = D_k T_k D_k^{-1}$ . Thus,

$$w_1^T M = e_1^T D_k T_k D_k^{-1} W_k^T$$

Now, we can give the proof as

$$\begin{aligned} c^T M^j &= \gamma_1 w_1^T M^j \\ c^T M^j &= \gamma_1 e_1^T D_k T_k^j D_k^{-1} W_k^T \\ c^T M^j &= \gamma_1 \delta_1 e_1^T T_k^j D_k^{-1} W_k^T \end{aligned}$$

(c) We can write the  $Z(s)$  as

$$Z(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j c^T M^j r \tag{2}$$

We can find two values positive  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  such that  $j_1 + j_2 = j$ . Then, we can write 2 as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j c^T M^{j_1} M^{j_2} r \\ Z(s) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j (\gamma_1 \delta_1 e_1^T T_k^{j_1} D_k^{-1} W_k^T) (\beta_1 V_k T_k^{j_2} e_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$Z(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j \gamma_1 \delta_1 \beta_1 e_1^T T_k^{j_1} D_k^{-1} W_k^T V_k T_k^{j_2} e_1 \tag{3}$$

We know from Lanczos process that  $W_k V_k = D_k$ . In addition, we have  $c^T r = (\gamma_1 w_1)^T (\beta_1 v_1) = \gamma_1 \beta_1 w_1^T v_1 = \gamma_1 \delta_1 \beta_1$ . We can plug this relations in 3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j(c^T r) e_1^T T_k^{j_1} D_k^{-1} D_k T_k^{j_2} e_1 \\ Z(s) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j(c^T r) e_1^T T_k^{j_1} T_k^{j_2} e_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma^j(c^T r) e_1^T T_k^j e_1 \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2:

Here we are required to find an efficient way to compute  $q = Mv$  and  $q = M^T v$  for  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  where  $M = (A - s_0 E)^{-1} E$ . We can compute the matrix-vector multiplication efficiently using LU factorization. We first can write the multiplication as

$$\begin{aligned} q &= (A - s_0 E)^{-1} E v = \underbrace{(A - s_0 E)^{-1}}_W \underbrace{E v}_f \\ q &= W^{-1} f \Rightarrow W q = f \Rightarrow \underbrace{P D^{-1} W Q}_{LU} \underbrace{Q^T q}_d = P D^{-1} f \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can first solve  $Lc = P D^{-1} f$  for  $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$  via forward substitution, then solve  $Ud = c$  for  $d \in \mathbb{C}^n$  via backward substitution, and finally set  $q = Qd$ .

We can use the same LU factorization to compute  $q = M^T v$  efficiently. We first note that transposing the LU factorization for a given matrix  $W$  is  $U^T L^T = Q^T W^T D^{-T} P^T$ . We can write this multiplication as

$$\begin{aligned} q &= ((A - s_0 E)^{-1} E)^T v = E^T \underbrace{(A - s_0 E)^{-T} v}_g \\ g &= W^{-T} v \Rightarrow W^T g = v \Rightarrow \underbrace{Q^T W^T D^{-T} P^T}_{U^T L^T} \underbrace{(D^{-T} P^T)^{-1} g}_d = Q^T v \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can first solve  $U^T c = Q^T v$  for  $c$  via forward substitution, then solve  $L^T d = c$  for  $d$  via backward substitution, and then set  $g = D^{-T} P^T d$ . Finally, we multiply  $g$  from the left by  $E^T$  to get  $q$ . The functions `Mv` and `transposeMv` implements these operations as discussed.

### Problem 3:

The leading  $2k$  moments  $\mu_j = c^T M^j r$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2k - 1$  can be computed as follows. Let  $f_j = M^j r$ . It is easy to see that  $f_j = M f_{j-1}$  from which we can compute the moment at  $j$  as  $\mu_j = c^T f_j$  and compute  $f_j$  recursively. We can use the same LU factorization to compute  $r$  and use the function `Mv` to compute  $f_j$ . The function `computeMoments` computes the moments as discussed here.

We wrote another function `textbookAlgo` that utilizes `computeMoments` to implement the textbook algorithm for computing  $Z_k(s)$ . More precisely, it computes the coefficients of the polynomials  $p(\sigma)$  and  $q(\sigma)$  such that  $Z_k(s) = \frac{p(\sigma)}{q(\sigma)}$  where  $p(\sigma) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \sigma + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \sigma^{k-1}$ ,  $q(\sigma) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sigma + \dots + \beta_k \sigma^k$ ,  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\beta_0 = 1$ . The output of this function is two vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  containing the coefficients.