# What does enctype='multipart/form-data' mean?

Asked 9 years, 10 months ago Active 6 months ago Viewed 1.1m times



What does enctype='multipart/form-data' mean in an HTML form and when should we use it?

1472

html http-headers multipartform-data






002

edited Feb 2 '19 at 14:21

Mark Amery

Mark Amery
101k ● 51 ● 339 ● 383



2 <u>w3.org/html/wg/spec/...</u> – JohnOsborne Aug 9 '18 at 10:26

#### 9 Answers





When you make a POST request, you have to encode the data that forms the body of the request in some way.

1653 F

HTML forms provide three methods of encoding.



application/x-www-form-urlencoded (the default)



• multipart/form-data



text/plain

Work was being done on adding application/json, but that has been abandoned.

(Other encodings are possible with HTTP requests generated using other means than an HTML form submission. JSON is a common format for use with web services and some still use SOAP.)

The specifics of the formats don't matter to most developers. The important points are:

• Never use text/plain.

When you are writing client-side code:

- use multipart/form-data when your form includes any <input type="file"> elements
- otherwise you can use multipart/form-data Or application/x-www-form-urlencoded but application/x-www-form-urlencoded will be more efficient

When you are writing server-side code:

Use a prewritten form handling library

Most (such as Perl's CGI->param or the one exposed by PHP's \$\_POST superglobal) will take care of the differences for you. Don't bother trying to parse the raw input received by the server.

Sometimes you will find a library that can't handle both formats. Node.js's most popular library for handling form data is <u>body-parser</u> which cannot handle multipart requests (but has documentation which recommends some alternatives which can).

If you are writing (or debugging) a library for parsing or generating the raw data, then you need to start worrying about the format. You might also want to know about it for interest's sake.

application/x-www-form-urlencoded is more or less the same as a query string on the end of the URL.

multipart/form-data is significantly more complicated but it allows entire files to be included in the data. An example of the result can be found in the <u>HTML 4 specification</u>.

text/plain is introduced by HTML 5 and is useful only for debugging — from the spec: They are not reliably interpretable by computer — and I'd argue that the others combined with tools (like the Network Panel in the developer tools of most browsers) are better for that).

edited Apr 23 at 11:37

answered Dec 24 '10 at 12:21



Quentin 764k • 9

**764k** • 93 • 1033 • 1165

6 Quentin Excuse me, what will be any probable problem if we use multipart for all forms? with and whit out files. – Webinan Oct 20 '13 at 9:47

16 It doesn't make sense for GET forms, and it makes the file size of requests bigger. – Quentin Oct 20 '13 at 10:46

@Quentin does multipart form data send as a stream by default? – Growler Feb 13 '17 at 23:06

1 "HTML forms provide three methods of ENCoding" – Quentin Dec 10 '17 at 22:15 

② Quentin - why is it called "multipart"? – MasterJoe Sep 1 at 22:37



when should we use it

482

Quentin's answer is right: use multipart/form-data if the form contains a file upload, and application/x-www-form-urlencoded otherwise, which is the default if you omit enctype.



I'm going to:

- add some more HTML5 references
- explain why he is right with a form submit example

#### **HTML5** references

There are three possibilities for enctype:

- <u>application/x-www-form-urlencoded</u>
- multipart/form-data (spec points to <u>RFC7578</u>)
- text/plain
  . This is "not reliably interpretable by computer", so it should never be used in production, and we will not look further into it.

### How to generate the examples

Once you see an example of each method, it becomes obvious how they work, and when you should use each one.

You can produce examples using:

- nc -1 or an ECHO server: HTTP test server accepting GET/POST requests
- an user agent like a browser or cURL

Save the form to a minimal .html file:

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
<head>
 <meta charset="utf-8"/>
 <title>upload</title>
</head>
<body>
<form action="http://localhost:8000" method="post" enctype="multipart/form-data">
 <input type="text" name="text1" value="text default">
 <input type="text" name="text2" value="a&#x03C9;b">
 <input type="file" name="file1">
 <input type="file" name="file2">
 <input type="file" name="file3">
 <button type="submit">Submit</button>
</form>
</body>
</html>
```

We set the default text value to aωb, which means awb because w is U+03C9, which are the bytes 61 CF 89 62 in UTF-8.

Create files to upload:

```
echo 'Content of a.txt.' > a.txt
echo '<!DOCTYPE html><title>Content of a.html.</title>' > a.html
# Binary file containing 4 bytes: 'a', 1, 2 and 'b'.
printf 'a\xCF\x89b' > binary
```

Run our little echo server:

```
while true; do printf '' | nc -1 8000 localhost; done
```

Open the HTML on your browser, select the files and click on submit and check the terminal.

nc prints the request received.

Tested on: Ubuntu 14.04.3, nc BSD 1.105, Firefox 40.

## multipart/form-data

Firefox sent:

```
POST / HTTP/1.1
[[ Less interesting headers ... ]]
Content-Type: multipart/form-data; boundary=-----
-735323031399963166993862150
Content-Length: 834
-----735323031399963166993862150
Content-Disposition: form-data; name="text1"
text default
-----735323031399963166993862150
Content-Disposition: form-data; name="text2"
aωb
-----735323031399963166993862150
Content-Disposition: form-data; name="file1"; filename="a.txt"
Content-Type: text/plain
Content of a.txt.
-----735323031399963166993862150
Content-Disposition: form-data; name="file2"; filename="a.html"
Content-Type: text/html
<!DOCTYPE html><title>Content of a.html.</title>
-----735323031399963166993862150
Content-Disposition: form-data; name="file3"; filename="binary"
Content-Type: application/octet-stream
aωb
-----735323031399963166993862150--
```

For the binary file and text field, the bytes 61 CF 89 62 ( awb in UTF-8) are sent literally. You could verify that with nc -1 localhost 8000 | hd , which says that the bytes:

```
61 CF 89 62
```

were sent ( 61 == 'a' and 62 == 'b').

Therefore it is clear that:

• Content-Type: multipart/form-data; boundary=------735323031399963166993862150 sets the content type to multipart/form-data and says that the fields are separated by the given boundary string.

But note that the:

```
boundary=-----735323031399963166993862150
```

has two less dadhes -- than the actual barrier

```
-----735323031399963166993862150
```

This is because the standard requires the boundary to start with two dashes \_\_\_. The other dashes appear to be just how Firefox chose to implement the arbitrary boundary. RFC 7578 clearly mentions that those two leading dashes \_\_\_ are required:

4.1. "Boundary" Parameter of multipart/form-data

As with other multipart types, the parts are delimited with a boundary delimiter, constructed using CRLF, "--", and the value of the "boundary" parameter.

• every field gets some sub headers before its data: Content-Disposition: form-data; , the field name, the filename, followed by the data.

The server reads the data until the next boundary string. The browser must choose a boundary that will not appear in any of the fields, so this is why the boundary may vary between requests.

Because we have the unique boundary, no encoding of the data is necessary: binary data is sent as is.

TODO: what is the optimal boundary size ( log(N) I bet), and name / running time of the algorithm that finds it? Asked at: <a href="https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/39687/find-the-shortest-sequence-that-is-not-a-sub-sequence-of-a-set-of-sequence-shortest-sequence-that-is-not-a-sub-sequence-of-a-set-of-sequence-shortest-sequence-that-is-not-a-sub-sequence-of-a-set-of-sequence-shortest-sequence-that-is-not-a-sub-sequence-of-a-set-of-sequence-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-shortest-sho

Content-Type is automatically determined by the browser.

How it is determined exactly was asked at: How is mime type of an uploaded file determined by browser?

### application/x-www-form-urlencoded

Now change the enctype to application/x-www-form-urlencoded, reload the browser, and resubmit.

Firefox sent:

```
POST / HTTP/1.1
[[ Less interesting headers ... ]]
Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
Content-Length: 51

text1=text+default&text2=a%CF%89b&file1=a.txt&file2=a.html&file3=binary
```

Clearly the file data was not sent, only the basenames. So this cannot be used for files.

As for the text field, we see that usual printable characters like a and b were sent in one byte, while non-printable ones like 0xcr and 0x89 took up **3 bytes** each: %CF%89!

### Comparison

File uploads often contain lots of non-printable characters (e.g. images), while text forms almost never do.

From the examples we have seen that:

- multipart/form-data: adds a few bytes of boundary overhead to the message, and must spend some time calculating it, but sends each byte in one byte.
- application/x-www-form-urlencoded: has a single byte boundary per field ( & ), but adds a linear overhead factor of 3x for every non-printable character.

Therefore, even if we could send files with application/x-www-form-urlencoded, we wouldn't want to, because it is so inefficient.

But for printable characters found in text fields, it does not matter and generates less overhead, so we just use it.

edited May 7 at 10:37

answered Feb 7 '15 at 9:52



- @Khanna111 %CF is 3 bytes long: %, C and F :-) Story of making it human readable. Ciro Santilli 郝海东冠状病六四事件法轮功 Aug 6 '15 at 18:50
- On OS X, nc won't accept both the -1 and the -p arguments simultaneously. But this works for me: while true; do printf '' | nc -1 8000; done . - PhilipS Apr 25 '17 at 2:03 ✓
- A small but important point that isn't mentioned is that the boundary specified in the Content-Type has two hyphens ( -- ) less, i.e. when actually using the boundary in the message body, you must prefix it with -- . Also, the last boundary must be suffixed with -- , but that is easy enough to notice. See stackoverflow.com/questions/3508252/... - Bernard Jul 3 '17 at 12:34
- So far as I can tell, the point of putting ANY DASHES AT ALL in the boundary is to make it impossible to check the syntax of the request by eye. Please don't use them in your boundary tokens. – Dewi Morgan Nov 11 '19 at 17:01
- @DewiMorgan You are completely right. I edited the post and removed the dashes from the boundary string. Max May 7 at 10:11



enctype='multipart/form-data is an encoding type that allows files to be sent through a POST. Quite simply, without this encoding the files cannot be sent through POST.









If you want to allow a user to upload a file via a form, you must use this enctype.

edited Dec 12 '12 at 11:30



answered Dec 24 '10 at 12:49



Matt Asbury **5.262** • 19 • 29

So.. if the file is not a binary file then can we work without this? - Yugal Jindle Aug 27 '13 at 0:01

From what I understand, you can use multipart/form-data for sending non-binary files but it is inefficient. I believe using application/x-wwwform-urlencoded is the correct way to send non-binary data but someone with more experience with non-binary files may need to correct me. -Matt Asbury Aug 27 '13 at 9:36 🎤

12 The main advantage to using multipart/form-data for sending a file is that it will work automatically in both frontend and backend. You don't have to do any special handling. All files are binary even if they should only contain text. application/x-www-form-urlencoded is the standard way to

POST a form without attached files. multipart/form-data is the standard way to POST a form with attached file(s). (There are also numerous other encodings, such as application/json and application/json-patch+json, which are common for communication between server and client.) – Daniel Luna Sep 19 '13 at 17:34

- 6 Its worth pointing out you can base64 encode your image and send it as plain string data. James Jul 14 '14 at 22:46
- Further to @Prospero's comment above: you can absolutely send files via POST without using multipart/form-data. What you can't do is do that using an ordinary HTML form submission, without JavaScript. Setting a form to use multipart/form-data is the only mechanism that HTML provides to let you POST files without using JavaScript. I feel like this isn't clear enough in the answer, and that a naive reader might think that the inability to send files without multipart/form-data is a limitation of HTTP; that's not the case. Mark Amery Feb 2 '19 at 14:29



When submitting a form, you tell your browser to send, via the HTTP protocol, a message on the network, properly enveloped in a TCP/IP protocol message structure. An HTML page has a way to send data to the server: by using <form> s.

86



When a form is submitted, an HTTP Request is created and sent to the server, the message will contain the field names in the form and the values filled in by the user. This transmission can happen with POST or GET HTTP methods.



- POST tells your browser to build an HTTP message and put all content in the body of the message (a very useful way of doing things, more safe and also flexible).
- GET will submit the form data in the querystring. It has some constraints about data representation and length.

#### Stating how to send your form to the server

Attribute enctype has sense only when using POST method. When specified, it instructs the browser to send the form by encoding its content in a specific way. From MDN - Form enctype:

When the value of the method attribute is post, enctype is the MIME type of content that is used to submit the form to the server.

- application/x-www-form-urlencoded: This is the default. When the form is sent, all names and values are collected and <u>URL Encoding</u> is performed on the final string.
- multipart/form-data: Characters are NOT encoded. This is important when the form has a file upload control. You want to send the file binary and this ensures that bitstream is not altered.
- text/plain: Spaces get converted, but no more encoding is performed.

### **Security**

When submitting forms, some security concerns can arise as stated in RFC 7578 Section 7: Multipart form data - Security considerations:

All form-processing software should treat user supplied form-data with sensitivity, as it often contains confidential or personally identifying information. There is widespread use of form "auto-fill" features in web browsers; these might be used to trick users to

unknowingly send confidential information when completing otherwise innocuous tasks. multipart/form-data does not supply any features for checking integrity, ensuring confidentiality, avoiding user confusion, or other security features; those concerns must be addressed by the form-filling and form-data-interpreting applications.

Applications that receive forms and process them must be careful not to supply data back to the requesting form-processing site that was not intended to be sent.

It is important when interpreting the filename of the Content-Disposition header field to not inadvertently overwrite files in the recipient's file space.

This concerns you if you are a developer and your server will process forms submitted by users which might end up containing sensitive information.

edited Apr 12 at 17:25

answered Dec 24 '10 at 17:50



13.7k • 22 • 118 • 208

The stuff about security after the most recent edit is all irrelevant to the question of what enctype s do. I know it's literally from the multipart/formdata RFC, but nonetheless it's an arbitrary dump of security considerations about submitting forms that are entirely orthogonal to whether data is sent as application/x-www-form-urlencoded or multipart/form-data. - Mark Amery Feb 3 '19 at 9:51



enctype='multipart/form-data' means that no characters will be encoded. that is why this type is used while uploading files to server.



So multipart/form-data is used when a form requires binary data, like the contents of a file, to be uploaded



edited Oct 13 '14 at 21:09

**5.242** • 11 • 41 • 62









Set the method attribute to POST because file content can't be put inside a URL parameter using a form.

Set the value of enctype to multipart/form-data because the data will be split into multiple parts, one for each file plus one for the text of the form body that may be sent with them.



1

answered Sep 25 '13 at 11:53



This implies that POST is likely to be sufficient for submitting a file via a form and that adding multipart/form-data is just a bonus in some vague way. That's not the case. Most files will absolutely require using multipart/form-data. — underscore d Jun 11 '17 at 12:33





1

• multipart/form-data is one of the value of enctype attribute, which is used in form element that have a file upload. multi-part means form data divides into multiple parts and send to server.



edited Feb 3 '19 at 4:00

answered Dec 27 '15 at 1:29



- 8 I believe **enctype** does not stand for encryption type. There is no encryption involved at this level. My guess is either encoding type or enclosed type. But surely it is not encryption type. Yeo Mar 3 '16 at 11:00
- 1 Your final bullet point here about <head> and <body> is irrelevant and confusing. Mark Amery Feb 2 '19 at 14:55



Usually this is when you have a POST form which needs to take a file upload as data... this will tell the server how it will encode the data transferred, in such case it won't get encoded because it will just transfer and upload the files to the server, Like for example when uploading an image or a pdf





answered Mar 10 '16 at 9:29





The enctype attribute specifies how the form-data should be encoded when submitting it to the server.

-2

The enctype attribute can be used only if method="post".



No characters are encoded. This value is required when you are using forms that have a file upload control



From W3Schools

edited Nov 14 '18 at 2:25



answered Nov 11 '18 at 22:52



This quote doesn't even mention multipart/form-data. It's also pretty unclear; what does the sentence "No characters are encoded" even mean? -1. - Mark Amery Feb 2 '19 at 14:22



Highly active question. Earn 10 reputation in order to answer this question. The reputation requirement helps protect this question from spam and nonanswer activity.