

Time Complexity of function that contains another function

Asked 3 years, 6 months ago Modified 3 years, 6 months ago Viewed 564 times



Can someone meticulously explain how do I figure out the time complexity of this code?

-1



```
int f(int n)
{
    int sum = 0;
    while (n>1)
    {
        sum +=g(n)
        n = sqrt(n)
    }
    return sum;
}
```

where $g(n)$ is given by:

```
int g(int n)
{
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 1; i<n; i*=2)
        sum +=i;
    return sum;
}
```

Thanks in advance!

[for-loop](#) [time](#) [while-loop](#) [complexity-theory](#)

Share Improve this question Follow

edited Aug 29, 2018 at 11:52



Amadan

176k 19 214 272

asked Aug 29, 2018 at 11:37



Ron73404

21 3

3 Answers

Active

Oldest

Votes



A slightly more concrete way of proving the result:

1

As a previous answer correctly stated, the complexity of $g(n)$ is $O(\log n)$. The precise number of times the loop in $g(n)$ executes is $\text{floor}(\log_2(n)) + 1$.



Now for $f(n)$. The value of n after the m -th iteration of the loop, with respect to the *original* value of n , is:

$$n_0 = n$$

$$n_1 = \sqrt{n} = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$n_2 = \sqrt{\sqrt{n}} = n^{\frac{1}{2^2}}$$

$$n_3 = \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{n}}} = n^{\frac{1}{2^3}}$$

...

$$n_m = n^{\frac{1}{2^m}}$$

From this, using the loop condition $n > 1$, the number of times this loop executes is:

$$m = \lfloor \log_2 \log_2 n \rfloor$$

This allows one to express the complexity function of $f(n)$ as a summation:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 \log_2 n \rfloor} \left(\left\lfloor \log_2 n^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 \log_2 n \rfloor} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \log_2 n + O(1) + 1 \right) \quad (*) \\
&= \log_2 n \times \sum_{m=0}^{\log_2 \log_2 n + O(1)} \frac{1}{2^m} + O(\log \log n) \\
&= \log_2 n \times \underbrace{\frac{1 - 2^{-\log_2 \log_2 n + O(1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}}_{2} + O(\log \log n) \quad (**)
\end{aligned}$$

In (*) I used the fact that a number rounded down only differs from its original value by *less than 1* (hence $O(1)$). In (**) I used the standard result for geometric series sums.

The underlined term in (**) has a negative power of 2. When n tends to infinity, this term vanishes, so the underlined term itself converges to 2.

Therefore the final complexity is just $O(\log n + \log \log n) = O(\log n)$, since the first term dominates.

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Aug 29, 2018 at 12:30



[meowgoesthedog](#)

13.9k 4 23 36

▲ g is logarithmic on its arguments (if you pass it n , its loop repeats $\log_2(n)$ times, since it takes that many iterations for the doubling of i to reach n).

0

▼ f is doubly logarithmic - it halves the *exponent* of n in each operation, for $\log_2(\log_2(n))$ repetitions.



We can disregard the fact that g is a separate function - effectively, it is a loop nested within another loop. We can find a better limit if we analyse exactly how the number of repetitions of g decreases as f progresses, but $O(\log n * \log \log n)$ is meh good enough. (Complexity theory is like seafood: while "I ate bluefin tuna" might be *the* correct answer, "I ate fish" is not wrong.)



EDIT:

However the right answer is $O(\log(n))$ (final test answer) and I don't understand why....

As I said:

We can find a better limit if we analyse exactly how the number of repetitions of g decreases as f progresses

but honestly, this is easier done from results than code. Say n starts off as 65536. This will give us 16 iterations of g . Root of it is 256, which will allow g to run 8 times. Next up is 16, for 4 iterations of g . Then 4 for 2, and 2 for 1. This looks like a geometric progression: $16+8+4+2+1 = 32-1$, where 32 is $2 * \log_2(65536)$, which is consistent with $O(\log n)$.

Or you could notice that in the first iteration of f there will be a lot of iterations of g , compared to which all the other invocations of g are irrelevant (disappearing logarithmically). Since that first invocation of g is $O(\log(n))$, we can just truncate it there and say that's the complexity.

Share Improve this answer Follow

edited Aug 29, 2018 at 12:17

answered Aug 29, 2018 at 11:59



[Amadan](#)

176k 19 214 272

However the right answer is $O(\log(n))$ (final test answer) and I don't understand why.... – [Ron73404](#) Aug 29, 2018 at 12:01

▲ Big O notation to describe the asymptotic behavior of functions. Basically, it tells you how fast a function grows or declines

–1

For example, when analyzing some algorithm, one might find that the time (or the number of steps) it takes to complete a problem of size n is given by



$$T(n) = 4n^2 - 2n + 2$$

If we ignore constants (which makes sense because those depend on the particular hardware the program is run on) and slower growing terms, we could say " $T(n)$ " grows at the order of n^2 " and write: $T(n) = O(n^2)$

For the formal definition, suppose $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are two functions defined on some subset of the real numbers. We write

$$f(x) = O(g(x))$$

(or $f(x) = O(g(x))$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ to be more precise) if and only if there exist constants N and C such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)| \text{ for all } x > N$$

Intuitively, this means that f does not grow faster than g

If a is some real number, we write

$$f(x) = O(g(x)) \text{ for } x \rightarrow a$$

if and only if there exist constants $d > 0$ and C such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)| \text{ for all } x \text{ with } |x-a| < d$$

So for your case it would be

$$O(n) \text{ as } |f(x)| > C|g(x)|$$

Reference from http://web.mit.edu/16.070/www/lecture/big_o.pdf

```
for r from 0 to xlist: // --> n time
    for c from 0 to ylist: // n time
        sum+= values[r][c]
    n+1
}
```

Big O Notation gives an assumption when value is very big outer loop will run n times and inner loop is running n times

Assume $n \rightarrow 100$ than total n^2 10000 run times

Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Aug 29, 2018 at 12:36



SarthAk

1,428 3 14 24
