
Learn Login



SOLID Design Principles Explained: Interface Segregation with Code Examples

THORBEN JANSSEN | APRIL 18, 2018 | DEVELOPER TIPS, TRICKS & RESOURCES (HTTPS://STACKIFY.COM/DEVELOPERS/)

The Interface Segregation Principle is one of Robert C. Martin's SOLID design principles. Even (https://stackify.com/) Product Pricing Solutions Froduct Pricing Solutions Fro

<u>Robert C. Martin (http://blog.cleancoder.com/)</u> defined the following five design principles with the goal to build robust and maintainable software:

- <u>S (https://stackify.com/solid-design-principles/)ingle Responsibility Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-principles/)</u>
- <u>O (https://stackify.com/solid-design-open-closed-principle/)pen/Closed Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-open-closed-principle/)</u>
- <u>L (https://stackify.com/solid-design-liskov-substitution-principle/)iskov Substitution Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-liskov-substitution-principle/)</u>
- Interface Segregation Principle
- Dependency Inversion (https://stackify.com/dependency-inversion-principle/)

I already explained the Single Responsibility Principle, the Open/Closed Principle, and the Liskov Substitution Principle in previous articles. So let's focus on the Interface Segregation Principle.



Tip: Find application errors and performance problems instantly with Stackify Retrace Product Pricing Solutions

Troubleshooting and optimizing your code_isate asy with ogtagrated errors, logs and code level performance insights.

<u>Try today for free</u> (https://info.stackify.com/cs/c/?cta_guid=b5be9d18-d34e-4d1a-874b-19adb6c55bb0&signature=AAH58kFx4KfLHT7HTa_SknTrPRgKpjVhnQ&placement_guid=0198bd97-1455-4b24-b560-8944c63d3f3f&click=fdb44a38-fffc-4e92-a267-

286ea264afc8&hsutk=ef031aecde563f91b26337bdf3544883&canon=https%3A%2F%2Fstackify.com%2Finterface-segregation-principle%2F&utm_referrer=https%3A%2F%2Fstackify.com%2Fsolid-design-liskov-substitution-principle%2F&portal_id=207384&redirect_url=APefjpGlpmsp1rltgHFvK82-

nA_NpHpsfMK36dxtPf_swmC3JD3V7jz3KQ8WJi0YBu4NqqNPrWeE_sLJp6pp07Yc7_6ck4fvYgaUfahWv0rdXmURRChf7xknEalc2ma4L1J57ju2v5ab0C1T2BztTlw2tBkye4npjmDKQQju6LUh0BvAlWi-

kkMA&__hstc=23835621.ef031aecde563f91b26337bdf3544883.1616501911530.1616501911530.16<mark>1</mark>6512528918.2&_

Definition of the interface segregation principle

The Interface Segregation Principle was defined by Robert C. Martin while consulting for Xerox to help them build the software for their new printer systems. He defined it as:

"Clients should not be forced to depend upon interfaces that they do not use."

Sounds obvious, doesn't it? Well, as I will show you in this article, it's pretty easy to violate this (https://stackify.com/) Product Pricing Solutions Interface, especially if your software evolves and you have to add more and more features.

But more about that later.

Learn Login

Similar to the <u>Single Responsibility Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-principles/)</u>, the goal of the Interface Segregation Principle is to reduce the side effects and frequency of required changes by splitting the software into multiple, independent parts.

As I will show you in the following example, this is only achievable if you define your interfaces so that they fit a specific client or task.

Violating the Interface Segregation Principle

None of us willingly ignores common design principles to write bad software. But it happens quite often that an application gets used for multiple years and that its users regularly request new features.

From a business point of view, this is a great situation. But from a technical point of view, the implementation of each change bears a risk. It's tempting to add a new method to an existing interface even though it implements a different responsibility and would be better separated in a new interface. That's often the beginning of interface pollution, which sooner or later leads to bloated interfaces that contain methods implementing several responsibilities.

Let's take a look at a simple example where this happened.

the beginning, the project used the *BasicCoffeeMachine* class to model a basic coffee (https://stackify.com/)

Product Pricing Solutions Solutions It uses ground coffee to brew a delicious filter coffee.

Learn → Login

```
Product •
                                                  Pricing
                                                            Solutions >
   private Map<CoffeeSelection, Configuration> configMap;
                                                         Login
   private GroundCoffee groundCoffee;
   private BrewingUnit brewingUnit;
   public BasicCoffeeMachine(GroundCoffee coffee) {
       this.groundCoffee = coffee;
       this.brewingUnit = new BrewingUnit();
       this.configMap = new HashMap<>();
       this.configMap.put(CoffeeSelection.FILTER COFFEE, new Configuration(30, 480));
   @Override
   public CoffeeDrink brewFilterCoffee() {
       Configuration config = configMap.get(CoffeeSelection.FILTER_COFFEE);
       // brew a filter coffee
       return this.brewingUnit.brew(CoffeeSelection.FILTER COFFEE, this.groundCoffee, config.get
QuantityWater());
   @Override
   public void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException {
       if (this.groundCoffee != null) {
           if (this.groundCoffee.getName().equals(newCoffee.getName())) {
               this.groundCoffee.setQuantity(this.groundCoffee.getQuantity() + newCoffee.getQuan
```

At that time, it was perfectly fine to extract the
extract the
<a href="mailto://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/landl/interfaceDef.html
extract the
<a href="mailto://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/landl/interfaceDef.html
extract the
<a href="mailto://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/landl/interfaceDef.html
extract the
<a href="mailto://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase/tutorial/javase

```
public interface CoffeeMachine {
    CoffeeDrink brewFilterCoffee() throws CoffeeException;
    void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException;
}
```

Polluting the interface with a new method

machine and should be implemented by all future coffee machines.

But then somebody decided that the application also needs to support espresso machines. The development team modeled it as the *EspressoMachine* class that you can see in the following code snippet. It's pretty similar to the *BasicCoffeeMachine* class.

```
Product ~
                                                 Pricing
                                                          Solutions >
  private Map configMap;
                                             Learn ~
                                                       Login
  private GroundCoffee groundCoffee;
  private BrewingUnit brewingUnit;
  public EspressoMachine(GroundCoffee coffee) {
      this.groundCoffee = coffee;
      this.brewingUnit = new BrewingUnit();
      this.configMap = new HashMap();
      this.configMap.put(CoffeeSelection.ESPRESSO, new Configuration(8, 28));
  @Override
  public CoffeeDrink brewEspresso() {
      Configuration config = configMap.get(CoffeeSelection.ESPRESSO);
      // brew a filter coffee
      return this.brewingUnit.brew(CoffeeSelection.ESPRESSO,
          this.groundCoffee, config.getQuantityWater());
  @Override
  public void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException {
      if (this.groundCoffee != null) {
          if (this.groundCoffee.getName().equals(newCoffee.getName())) {
              this.groundCoffee.setQuantity(this.groundCoffee.getQuantity()
```

```
+ newCoffee.getQuantity());
         (https://stackify.com/) } else {
                                                    Pricing
                                                              Solutions >
                                      Product ~
            throw new CoffeeException(
                 "Only one kind of coffee supported for each CoffeeSelection.");
    } else {
        this.groundCoffee = newCoffee;
@Override
public CoffeeDrink brewFilterCoffee() throws CoffeeException {
   throw new CoffeeException("This machine only brew espresso.");
```

The developer decided that an espresso machine is just a different kind of coffee machine. So, it has to implement the *CoffeeMachine* interface.

The only difference is the *brewEspresso* method, which the *EspressoMachine* class implements instead of the *brewFilterCoffee* method. Let's ignore the Interface Segregation Principle for now and perform the following three changes:

1. The *EspressoMachine* class implements the *CoffeeMachine* interface and its *brewFilterCoffee* method.



2. We add the *brewEspresso* method to the *CoffeeMachine* interface so that the interface allows you to brew an espresso.

```
public interface CoffeeMachine {
   CoffeeDrink brewFilterCoffee() throws CoffeeException;
   void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException;
   CoffeeDrink brewEspresso() throws CoffeeException;
}
```

3. You need to implement the *brewEspresso* method on the *BasicCoffeeMachine* class because it's defined by the *CoffeeMachine* interface. You can also provide the same implementation as a <u>default method</u> (https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/java/landl/defaultmethods.html) on the *CoffeeMachine* interface.

```
@Override
public CoffeeDrink brewEspresso() throws CoffeeException {
   throw new CoffeeException("This machine only brews filter coffee.");
}
```

After you've done these changes, your class diagram should look like this:

Learn → Login

Especially the 2nd and 3rd change should show you that the *CoffeeMachine* interface is not a good fit for these two coffee machines. The *brewEspresso* method of the *BasicCoffeeMachine* class and the *brewFilterCoffee* method of the *EspressoMachine* class throw a *CoffeeException* because these operations are not supported by these kinds of machines. You only had to implement them because they are required by the *CoffeeMachine* interface.

But the implementation of these two methods isn't the real issue. The problem is that the *CoffeeMachine* interface will change if the signature of the *brewFilterCoffee* method of the *BasicCoffeeMachine* method changes. That will also require a change in the

EspressoMachine class and all other classes that use the EspressoMachine, even so, the (https://stackify.com/)
Product Pricing Solutions Product Solutions Solutions Solutions Solutions PrewFilterCoffee method doesn't provide any functionality and they don't call it.

Follow the Interface Segregation Principle Learn Login

OK, so how can you fix the *CoffeMachine* interface and its implementations *BasicCoffeeMachine* and *EspressoMachine*?

You need to split the *CoffeeMachine* interface into multiple interfaces for the different kinds of coffee machines. All known implementations of the interface implement the *addGroundCoffee* method. So, there is no reason to remove it.

```
public interface CoffeeMachine {
    void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException;
}
```

That's not the case for the *brewFilterCoffee* and *brewEspresso* methods. You should create two new interfaces to segregate them from each other. And in this example, these two interfaces should also extend the *CoffeeMachine* interface. But that doesn't have to be the case if you refactor your own application. Please check carefully if an interface hierarchy is the right approach, or if you should define a set of interfaces.

After you've done that, the *FilterCoffeeMachine* interface extends the *CoffeeMachine* interface, and defines the *brewFilterCoffee* method.

And the *EspressoCoffeeMachine* interface also extends the *CoffeeMachine* interface, and defines the *brewEspresso* method.

```
public interface EspressoCoffeeMachine extends CoffeeMachine {
    CoffeeDrink brewEspresso() throws CoffeeException;
}
```

Congratulation, you segregated the interfaces so that the functionalities of the different coffee machines are independent of each other. As a result, the *BasicCoffeeMachine* and the *EspressoMachine* class no longer need to provide empty method implementations and are independent of each other.

Learn → Login

The *BasicCoffeeMachine* class now implements the *FilterCoffeeMachine* interface, which only defines the *addGroundCoffee* and the *brewFilterCoffee* methods.

```
Solutions >
                                  Product ~
                                             Pricing
  Login
  private GroundCoffee groundCoffee;
  private BrewingUnit brewingUnit;
  public BasicCoffeeMachine(GroundCoffee coffee) {
      this.groundCoffee = coffee;
      this.brewingUnit = new BrewingUnit();
     this.configMap = new HashMap<>();
      this.configMap.put(CoffeeSelection.FILTER COFFEE, new Configuration(30,
         480));
  @Override
  public CoffeeDrink brewFilterCoffee() {
      Configuration config = configMap.get(CoffeeSelection.FILTER_COFFEE);
     // brew a filter coffee
      return this.brewingUnit.brew(CoffeeSelection.FILTER_COFFEE,
         this.groundCoffee, config.getQuantityWater());
  @Override
  public void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException {
      if (this.groundCoffee != null) {
         if (this.groundCoffee.getName().equals(newCoffee.getName())) {
```

And the *EspressoMachine* class implements the *EspressoCoffeeMachine* interface with its methods *addGroundCoffee* and *brewEspresso*.

```
Solutions >
                                    Product ~
                                                 Pricing
  private Map configMap;
                                             Learn ~
                                                       Login
  private GroundCoffee groundCoffee;
  private BrewingUnit brewingUnit;
  public EspressoMachine(GroundCoffee coffee) {
      this.groundCoffee = coffee;
      this.brewingUnit = new BrewingUnit();
      this.configMap = new HashMap();
      this.configMap.put(CoffeeSelection.ESPRESSO, new Configuration(8, 28));
  @Override
  public CoffeeDrink brewEspresso() throws CoffeeException {
      Configuration config = configMap.get(CoffeeSelection.ESPRESSO);
      // brew a filter coffee
      return this.brewingUnit.brew(CoffeeSelection.ESPRESSO,
          this.groundCoffee, config.getQuantityWater());
  @Override
  public void addGroundCoffee(GroundCoffee newCoffee) throws CoffeeException {
      if (this.groundCoffee != null) {
          if (this.groundCoffee.getName().equals(newCoffee.getName())) {
              this.groundCoffee.setQuantity(this.groundCoffee.getQuantity()
```

Extending the application

After you segregated the interfaces so that you can evolve the two coffee machine implementations independently of each other, you might be wondering how you can add different kinds of coffee machines to your applications. In general, there are four options for that:

- 1. The new coffee machine is a *FilterCoffeeMachine* or an *EspressoCoffeeMachine*. In this case, you only need to implement the corresponding interface.
- 2. The new coffee machine brews filter coffee and espresso. This situation is similar to the first one. The only difference is that your class now implements both interfaces; the *FilterCoffeeMachine* and the *EspressoCoffeeMachine*.
- 3. The new coffee machine is completely different to the other two. Maybe it's one of these pad machines that you can also use to make tea or other hot drinks. In this case, you need to create a new interface and decide if you want to extend the *CoffeeMachine*



- interface. In the example of the pad machine, you shouldn't do that because you can't (https://stackify.com/) Product Pricing Solutions add ground coffee to a pad machine. So, your PadMachine class shouldn't need to implement an addGroundCoffee method. Learn Login
- 4. The new coffee machine provides new functionality, but you can also use it to brew a filter coffee or an espresso. In that case, you should define a new interface for the new functionality. Your implementation class can then implement this new interface and one or more of the existing interfaces. But please make sure to segregate the new interface from the existing ones, as you did for the *FilterCoffeeMachine* and the *EspressoCoffeeMachine* interfaces.

Summary

The SOLID design principles help you to implement robust and maintainable applications. In this article, we took a detailed look at the Interface Segregation Principle which Robert C. Martin defined as:

"Clients should not be forced to depend upon interfaces that they do not use."

By following this principle, you prevent bloated interfaces that define methods for multiple responsibilities. As explained in the <u>Single Responsibility Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-principles/)</u>, you should avoid classes and interfaces with multiple responsibilities because they change often and make your software hard to maintain.

That's all about the Interface Segregation Principle. If you want to dive deeper into the SOLID (https://stackify.com/) Product Pricing Solutions Colutions Colutions Colutions Colutions Colutions Product Column Principles, please take a look at my other articles in this series:

- Learn ➤ Login
 <u>S (https://stackify.com/solid-design-principles/)ingle Responsibility Principle</u>
 (https://stackify.com/solid-design-principles/)
- <u>O (https://stackify.com/solid-design-open-closed-principle/)pen/Closed Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-open-closed-principle/)</u>
- <u>L (https://stackify.com/solid-design-liskov-substitution-principle/)iskov Substitution Principle (https://stackify.com/solid-design-liskov-substitution-principle/)</u>
- Interface Segregation Principle
- Dependency Inversion

With APM, server health metrics, and error log integration, improve your application performance with Stackify Retrace. <u>Try your free two week trial today</u> (<a href="https://s1.stackify.com/account/createclient?ga=2.57834090.1973545731.1588002198-1971815645.1570122931&gac=1.238281396.1584390051.EAlalQobChMlvenD6Oif6AlVnP7jBx3XjA

Improve Your Code with Retrace APM

Stackify's APM tools are used by thousands of .NET, Java, PHP, Node.js, Python, & Ruby developers all over the world.

Explore Retrace's product features to learn more.

App Performance Management (https://stackify.com/retrace-application-performance-management/)

(/retrace-code-profiling/)

Code Profiling (https://stackify.com/retrace-code-profiling/)

(/retrace-error-monitoring/)

Error Tracking (https://stackify.com/retrace-error-monitoring/)

Learn ➤ Login (/retrace-log-management/)

Centralized Logging (https://stackify.com/retrace-log-management/)

(/retrace-app-metrics/)

App & Server Metrics (https://stackify.com/retrace-app-metrics/)

Learn More (/retrace/)

▲ About the Author

≡ Latest Posts

About Thorben Janssen

Thorben is an independent trainer and author of the Amazon bestselling book *Hibernate Tips - More than 70 solutions to common Hibernate problems*. He writes about Java EE related topics on his blog

Email

Sign Up Today

Search Stackify

Q Search

Topics/Keywords

ASP.NET (https://stackify.com/?tag=asp.net,net-core) Product Updates (https://stackify.com/stackify/)

.NET Core (https://stackify.com/content/net-core/)

App Monitoring (https://stackify.com/?tag=monitoring,apm)

<u>Java (https://stackify.com/content/java/)</u>
<u>App Performance Tips (https://stackify.com/?tag=performance,profiler,apm)</u>

<u>Azure (https://stackify.com/content/azure/)</u> <u>Error Handling (https://stackify.com/?tag=exception,exceptions,error,errors)</u>

AWS (https://stackify.com/content/AWS/) Logging Tips (https://stackify.com/?tag=logs,logging)

<u>Cloud (https://stackify.com/?tag=cloud,azure,aws)</u> <u>DevOps (https://stackify.com/content/DevOps/)</u>

Popular Posts

ASP.NET Performance: 9 Types of Tools You Need to Know!



(https://stackify.com/)

Product ~

Pricing

Solutions >



How to Troubleshoot IIS Worker Process (w3wp) High CPULLsage ✓ Login



How to Monitor IIS Performance: From the Basics to Advanced IIS Performance Monitoring



SQL Performance Tuning: 7 Practical Tips for Developers



<u>Looking for New Relic Alternatives & Competitors? Learn Why Developers Pick Retrace</u>

Recent Posts



(https://stackify.com/tips-for-javascript-perfomance-testing/)

<u>Tips for Javascript Perfomance Testing (https://stackify.com/tips-for-javascript-perfomance-testing/)</u>



1 (https://stackify.com/top-java-software-errors/)

<u>Top Java Software Errors: 50 Common Java Errors and How to Avoid Them</u>



(https://stackify.com/python-optimization-3-easy-steps/)

<u>Python Optimization: 3 Easy Steps (https://stackify.com/python-optimization-3-easy-steps/)</u>



(https://stackify.com/how-to-monitor-website-availability/)

How to monitor website availability (https://stackify.com/how-to-monitor-website-availability/)


Web Applications Monitoring Guide (https://stackify.com/web-applications-monitoring-guide/)



Learn → Login



(/stackify-developer-ebooks/)



(https://stackify.com/guest-blogging-guidelines/)

Get In Touch



Request a Demo
(https://stackify.com/)
Start Free Trial

Product ▼ Pricing Solutions ▼

Learn → Login

Products

Retrace

Prefix

.NET Monitoring

Java Monitoring

PHP Monitoring

Node.js Monitoring

Ruby Monitoring

Python Monitoring

Retrace vs New Relic

Retrace vs Application Insights

Solutions

Product ➤ Pricing Solutions ➤

Centralized Logging

Learn ➤ Login

Code Profiling

Error Tracking

Application & Server Monitoring

Real User Monitoring

For Developers

For DevOps

Resources

What is APM?

Pricing

Case Studies

Blog

Documentation

Free eBooks

Free Webinars

Videos

Ideas Portal (https://stackify.com/)

ROI Calculator

Support

News

Pricing Product • Solutions 🕶

> Learn 🕶 Login

Company

About Us

News

Careers

GDPR

Security Information

Terms & Conditions

Privacy Policy

PO Box 2159 Mission, KS 66201 816-888-5055 (tel:18168885055)







Pricing Solutions (https://www. (https://www. upputube.com/ linkedin.com/ company/stac