CPSC 457

Assignment #1

Ahmad Almasri - 30114233

Question 1

t3.txt

t4.txt

```
ahmad.almasri3@gfx03-7:~/cpsc457/a1$ time python3 palindrome.py < t3.txt
Longest palindrome: ___o.0.o___
real    0m0.025s</pre>
```

real 0m0.025s user 0m0.015s sys 0m0.005s

```
ahmad.almasri3@gfx03-7:~/cpsc457/a1$ time python3 palindrome.py < t4.txt Longest palindrome: redder
```

real 0m0.260s user 0m0.241s sys 0m0.011s t3.txt

t4.txt

```
ahmad.almasri3@gfx03-7:~/cpsc457/a1$ time ./slow-pali < t4.txt
Longest palindrome: redder

real  0m2.454s
user  0m1.116s
sys  0m1.334s
```

t3.txt					
	C++ Pyhon				
User	0.002s	0.015s			
Kernel	0.003s	0.005s			

t4.txt					
	C++ Pyhon				
User	1.116s	0.241s			
Kernel	1.334s	0.011s			

In the first place, there is a main difference between C++ and Python. C++ is considered to be a **compiled language**. However, Python is a **compiled and interpreted** one.

Compiled language means that we compile the source code to a **machine language** (binary file). The binary file is called the **executable file**. Because of the binary file, running the c++ code would be very **fast** because the code would be compiled ahead of time. **However**, having a compiled file requires us to have different versions for each OS.

Python is an **intermediate language** (Bytecode). Where, the source code of python being compiled to a **Bytecode**. The Bytecode would be interpreted line by line, while executing the program. For example, the interpreter for Python is **PVM** and JAVA is the **JVM**.

In case of t3.txt, C++ was faster than Python. I think, the reason of that result is that the size of the text file is small. On the other hand, the t4.txt was bigger in size. Python reads the whole content by using less number of system calls.

In general, C++ is faster than Python, but the way that we write the code must be efficient to take advantage of the language either C++ or Python.

Question 2

```
ahmad.almasri3@gfx-ta3:~/cpsc457/a1$ ./dup.py 2000000000 < t4.txt | time ./fast-pali Longest palindrome: redder 24.34user 0.27system 0:25.53elapsed 96%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3980maxresident)k 0inputs+0outputs (0major+383minor)pagefaults 0swaps ahmad.almasri3@gfx-ta3:~/cpsc457/a1$
```

Question 3

	.masri3@gfx- palindrome:		7/a1\$ stra	ice -c ./fa	ast-pali < t4.txt
	•	usecs/call	calls	errors	syscall
50.37	0.001309	72	18		read
21.43	0.000557	11	48	43	openat
12.31	0.000320	14	22		mmap
3.66	0.000095	13	7		mprotect
3.27	0.000085	10	8	7	stat
2.27	0.000059	8	7		lseek
1.77	0.000046	7	6		fstat
1.69	0.000044	8	5		close
1.08	0.000028	9	3		brk
0.85	0.000022	22	1		munmap
0.65	0.000017	17	1	1	access
0.65	0.000017	8	2	1	arch_prctl
0.00	0.000000	0	1		write
0.00	0.000000	0	1		execve
100.00	0.002599	19	130	52	total

		ta3:~/cpsc457 o.0.o	/a1\$ strace	e -c ./fast-pali < t3.txt
			calls	errors syscall
28.41	0.000125	2	48	43 openat
24.32	0.000107	107	1	execve
17.05	0.000075	3	22	mmap
6.59	0.000029	2	13	read
5.68	0.000025	3	7	mprotect
4.09	0.000018	2	8	7 stat
2.95	0.000013	1	7	lseek
2.73	0.000012	2	6	fstat
2.27	0.000010	2	5	close
1.59	0.000007	7	1	munmap
1.59	0.000007	2	3	brk
0.91	0.000004	4	1	write
0.91	0.000004	4	1	1 access
0.91	0.000004	2	2	1 arch_prctl
100.00	0.000440	3	125	52 total

	masri3@gfx- palindrome:		7/a1\$ strac	e -c ./slow-pali < t4.txt
% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors syscall
100.00	9.592183	1	5767205	read
0.00	0.000075	1	48	43 openat
0.00	0.000053	7	7	mprotect
0.00	0.000052	2	22	mmap
0.00	0.000009	1	7	lseek
0.00	0.000008	8	1	write
0.00	0.000008	1	6	fstat
0.00	0.000006	1	5	close
0.00	0.000004	4	1	munmap
0.00	0.000002	0	3	brk
0.00	0.000002	1	2	1 arch_prctl
0.00	0.000000	0	8	7 stat
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1 access
0.00	0.000000	0	1	execve
100.00	9.592402	1	5767317	52 total

	llmasri3@gfx- palindrome:		57/a1\$ stra	ace -c ./s	low-pali < t3.txt
•	seconds		calls	errors	syscall
32.74	0.000204	204	1		execve
20.55	0.000128	2	48	43	openat
16.69	0.000104	2	50		read
12.20	0.000076	3	22		mmap
4.01	0.000025	3	7		mprotect
3.21	0.000020	2	8	7	stat
2.09	0.000013	2	6		fstat
2.09	0.000013	1	7		lseek
1.61	0.000010	2	5		close
1.28	0.000008	2	3		brk
1.12	0.000007	7	1		munmap
0.96	0.000006	6	1		write
0.80	0.000005	5	1	1	access
0.64	0.000004	2	2	1	arch_prctl
100.00	0.000623	3	162	52	total

fast-pali.cpp is way faster than slow-pali.cpp. The main reason is the reduced number of SYS calls that we are performing to read the data. The size of the buffer in the slow version is only 1 Byte; however, the fast version is 1 MB.

Part b

ahmad.a	lmasri3@gfx-	ta3:~/cpsc457	/a1\$ strace	e -c python3 palindrome.py < t4.txt
	palindrome:			13
% time	seconds	usecs/call	calls	errors syscall
17.61	0.000200	1	175	47 stat
13.91	0.000158	1	141	76 openat
12.24	0.000139	0	788	read
9.77	0.000111	1	100	fstat
8.98	0.000102	1	58	mmap
8.80	0.000100	9	11	mprotect
7.66	0.000087	1	68	close
7.22	0.000082	5	16	getdents64
3.26	0.000037	0	42	2 lseek
2.46	0.000028	1	18	11 ioctl
2.38	0.000027	0	58	brk
1.14	0.000013	13	1	lstat
0.88	0.000010	3	3	dup
0.79	0.000009	4	2	munmap
0.53	0.000006	2	3	2 readlink
0.53	0.000006	3	2	futex
0.35	0.000004	0	68	rt_sigaction
0.26	0.000003	1	3	fcntl
0.26	0.000003	3	1	getrandom
0.18	0.000002	2	1	rt_sigprocmask
0.18	0.000002	1	2	1 arch_prctl
0.18	0.000002	2	1	set_tid_address
0.18	0.000002	2	1	set_robust_list
0.18	0.000002	2	1	prlimit64
0.09	0.000001	1	1	getcwd
0.00	0.000000	0	1	write
0.00	0.000000	0	1	1 access
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getpid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	execve
0.00	0.000000	0	1	sysinfo
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getgid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	geteuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getegid
0.00	0.000000	0	3	sigaltstack
100.00	0.001136	0	1577	140 total

Part b

ahmad.al	masri3@gfx-	ta3:~/cpsc457	/a1\$ strac	e -c python3 palindrome.py < t3.txt
	palindrome:		, 424 50.40	e pythone paramar emerpy it estable
% time	seconds		calls	errors syscall
40.70				
19.79	0.000285	1	175	47 stat
19.65	0.000283	2	141	76 openat
14.93	0.000215	13	16	getdents64
10.21	0.000147	2	58	mmap
10.07	0.000145	1	84	read
7.08	0.000102	1	100	fstat
6.46	0.000093	1	68	close
2.85	0.000041	0	42	2 lseek
2.01	0.000029	2	11	mprotect
1.74	0.000025	25	1	lstat
1.18	0.000017	0	18	11 ioctl
0.83	0.000012	0	18	brk
0.62	0.000009	3	3	2 readlink
0.35	0.000005	5	1	write
0.28	0.000004	0	68	rt_sigaction
0.28	0.000004	1	3	fcntl
0.28	0.000004	1	3	sigaltstack
0.28	0.000004	2	2	1 arch_prctl
0.21	0.000003	3	1	1 access
0.21	0.000003	1	3	dup
0.21	0.000003	3	1	getcwd
0.21	0.000003	1	2	futex
0.14	0.000002	2	1	getrandom
0.07	0.000001	1	1	getuid
0.07	0.000001	1	1	getegid
0.00	0.000000	0	2	munmap
0.00	0.000000	0	1	rt_sigprocmask
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getpid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	execve
0.00	0.000000	0	1	sysinfo
0.00	0.000000	0	1	getgid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	geteuid
0.00	0.000000	0	1	set tid address
0.00	0.000000	0	1	set_robust_list
0.00	0.000000	0	1	prlimit64
100.00	0.001440			140 total
100.00	0.001440	1	833	140 total

Comparing it with the python code, the c++ version is faster. First, c++ is faster than python in general. Second, the size of the buffer significantly reduced the SYS calls for fast-pali.cpp.