

# **Design Principles for Security**

The slides are adapted from the slides of UT Dallas Murat Kantarcioglu

# Overview

- Saltzer and Schroeder [1975] defined the 8 principles that are based on the ideas of simplicity and restriction
- Simplicity
  - Less to go wrong
  - Fewer possible inconsistencies
  - Easy to understand

# Overview

- Saltzer and Schroeder [1975] defined the 8 principles that are based on the ideas of simplicity and restriction
- Restriction
  - Minimize access – an entity can access only information it needs (also known as “need to know” principle)
  - Inhibit communication – an entity can communicate with other entities only when necessary, and in few (and narrow) ways as possible

# Least Privilege

- The *principle of least privilege* states that an entity should be given only those privileges that it needs in order to complete its task
  - The function of an entity, and not its identity, should control the assignment of rights
  - Rights should be added as needed, discarded after use

# Fail-Safe Defaults

- The *principle of fail-safe defaults* state that, unless an entity is given explicit access to an object, it should be denied access to that object
  - This principle requires that the default access permission to an object be *none*

# Economy of Mechanism

- The *principle of economy of mechanism* states that security mechanisms should be as simple as possible
  - Simpler means less can go wrong
    - And when errors occur, they are easier to understand and fix
  - Interfaces and interactions
    - Interfaces to other modules are crucial, because modules often make implicit assumptions about input or output parameters or the current system state

# Complete Mediation

- The *principle of complete mediation* requires that all accesses to objects be checked to ensure that they are allowed
  - UNIX: access checked on open, not checked thereafter
  - If permissions change after, may get unauthorized access
  - This approach violates the principle of complete mediation

# Open Design

- The *principle of open design* states that the security of a mechanism should not depend on secrecy of its design or implementation
  - If the strength of a program's security depends on the ignorance of user, a knowledgeable user can defeat the security mechanism
    - “Security through obscurity” is not a good principle
  - This principles does not apply to information such as passwords or cryptographic keys (these are data and not algorithms)



# Open Design

- Issues of proprietary software and trade secrets complicate the application of this principle
- In some cases companies do not want their designs made public to protect them from competitors
- The principle then requires that the design and implementation be available to people barred from disclosing it outside the company

# Separation of Privilege

- The *principle of separation of privileges* states that a system should not grant permission based on a single condition.
- In other words: more than one condition must be verified in order to gain access
  - Separation of duty
    - Example: company check for more than \$75,000 must be signed by two officers of the company
    - Example: On Berkely-based versions of Unix, a user is not allowed to change from his accounts to the *root* account unless two conditions are verified: (i) the user knows the root password; (ii) the user is in the *wheel* group (with GID 0)

# Least Common Mechanism

- The *principle of least common mechanism* states that mechanisms used to access resources should not be shared
  - Information can flow along shared channels
  - Covert channels
- Isolation
  - Virtual machines
  - Sandboxes

# Psychological Acceptability

- The *principle of psychological acceptability* states that security mechanisms should not make the resource more difficult to access than if the security mechanisms were not present
  - Hide complexity introduced by security mechanisms
  - Ease of installation, configuration, use
  - Human factors critical here
  - On the other hand, security requires that the messages impart no unnecessary information
    - For example, if a user supplies the wrong password, the system should reject the attempt with a message saying that the login failed. If it were to say that the password was incorrect, the user would know that the account name was legitimate

# Key Points

- Principles of secure design underlie all security-related mechanisms
- They encompass not only technical details but also human interaction
- Require:
  - Good understanding of goal of mechanism and environment in which it is to be used
  - Careful analysis and design
  - Careful implementation