Module 3

May 31, 2020

You are currently looking at **version 1.0** of this notebook. To download notebooks and datafiles, as well as get help on Jupyter notebooks in the Coursera platform, visit the Jupyter Notebook FAQ course resource.

1 Applied Machine Learning: Module 3 (Evaluation)

1.1 Evaluation for Classification

1.1.1 Preamble

```
In [1]: %matplotlib notebook
    import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
    import seaborn as sns
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    from sklearn.datasets import load_digits

    dataset = load_digits()
    X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target

for class_name, class_count in zip(dataset.target_names, np.bincount(dataset_print(class_name, class_count))
```

```
# Negative class (0) is 'not digit 1'
       # Positive class (1) is 'digit 1'
       y_binary_imbalanced = y.copy()
       y_binary_imbalanced[y_binary_imbalanced != 1] = 0
       print('Original labels:\t', y[1:30])
       print('New binary labels:\t', y_binary_imbalanced[1:30])
                       [1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Original labels:
New binary labels:
                        In [3]: np.bincount(y_binary_imbalanced) # Negative class (0) is the most frequency
Out[3]: array([1615, 182])
In [4]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced,
       # Accuracy of Support Vector Machine classifier
       from sklearn.svm import SVC
       svm = SVC(kernel='rbf', C=1).fit(X_train, y_train)
       svm.score(X_test, y_test)
Out[4]: 0.908888888888888888
```

In [2]: # Creating a dataset with imbalanced binary classes:

1.1.2 Dummy Classifiers

DummyClassifier is a classifier that makes predictions using simple rules, which can be useful as a baseline for comparison against actual classifiers, especially with imbalanced classes.

```
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0])
In [6]: dummy_majority.score(X_test, y_test)
Out[6]: 0.904444444444445
In [7]: svm = SVC(kernel='linear', C=1).fit(X_train, y_train)
     svm.score(X_test, y_test)
Out[7]: 0.97777777777775
1.1.3 Confusion matrices
Binary (two-class) confusion matrix
In [8]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
     # Negative class (0) is most frequent
     dummy_majority = DummyClassifier(strategy = 'most_frequent').fit(X_train, y
     y_majority_predicted = dummy_majority.predict(X_test)
     confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_majority_predicted)
     print('Most frequent class (dummy classifier) \n', confusion)
Most frequent class (dummy classifier)
[[407
      01
[ 43
     011
In [9]: # produces random predictions w/ same class proportion as training set
     dummy_classprop = DummyClassifier(strategy='stratified').fit(X_train, y_tra
     y_classprop_predicted = dummy_classprop.predict(X_test)
     confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_classprop_predicted)
     print('Random class-proportional prediction (dummy classifier)\n', confusion
Random class-proportional prediction (dummy classifier)
[[359 48]
[ 38
     5]]
```

```
In [10]: svm = SVC(kernel='linear', C=1).fit(X_train, y_train)
         svm_predicted = svm.predict(X_test)
         confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, svm_predicted)
         print ('Support vector machine classifier (linear kernel, C=1)\n', confusion
Support vector machine classifier (linear kernel, C=1)
 [[402
 [ 5 38]]
In [11]: from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
         lr = LogisticRegression().fit(X_train, y_train)
         lr_predicted = lr.predict(X_test)
         confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, lr_predicted)
         print('Logistic regression classifier (default settings) \n', confusion)
Logistic regression classifier (default settings)
 [[401 6]
 [ 6 37]]
In [12]: from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
         dt = DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=2).fit(X_train, y_train)
         tree_predicted = dt.predict(X_test)
         confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, tree_predicted)
         print('Decision tree classifier (max_depth = 2)\n', confusion)
Decision tree classifier (max_depth = 2)
 [[400
        7]
 [ 17 26]]
```

1.1.4 Evaluation metrics for binary classification

```
In [13]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score,
         \# Accuracy = TP + TN / (TP + TN + FP + FN)
         # Precision = TP / (TP + FP)
         # Recall = TP / (TP + FN) Also known as sensitivity, or True Positive Ray
         #F1 = 2 * Precision * Recall / (Precision + Recall)
         print('Accuracy: {:.2f}'.format(accuracy_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
         print('Precision: {:.2f}'.format(precision_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
         print('Recall: {:.2f}'.format(recall_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
         print('F1: {:.2f}'.format(f1_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
```

Accuracy: 0.95 Precision: 0.79 Recall: 0.60 F1: 0.68

In [14]: # Combined report with all above metrics

from sklearn.metrics import classification_report

print(classification_report(y_test, tree_predicted, target_names=['not 1', precision recall f1-score support 0.98 0.97 not 1 0.96 407 1 0.79 0.60 0.68 43 avg / total 0.94 0.95 0.94 450

In [15]: print('Random class-proportional (dummy) \n',

classification_report(y_test, y_classprop_predicted, target_names=[print('SVM\n',

classification_report(y_test, svm_predicted, target_names = ['not 1'
print('Logistic regression\n',

classification_report(y_test, lr_predicted, target_names = ['not 1', print('Decision tree \n' ,

classification_report(y_test, tree_predicted, target_names = ['not 1

407

Random class-proportional (dummy)

not 1

Random Class	precision		f1-score	support		
not 1 1	0.90 0.09	0.88 0.12	0.89	407 43		
avg / total	0.83	0.81	0.82	450		
SVM						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		
not 1	0.99	0.99	0.99	407		
1	0.88	0.88	0.88	43		
avg / total	0.98	0.98	0.98	450		
Logistic regression						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		

0.99

5

0.99

0.99

```
0.86
                             0.86
                                        0.86
                                                   43
          1
avg / total
                  0.97
                             0.97
                                        0.97
                                                   450
Decision tree
              precision
                            recall f1-score
                                                support
      not 1
                   0.96
                             0.98
                                        0.97
                                                   407
                             0.60
          1
                   0.79
                                        0.68
                                                    43
avg / total
                  0.94
                             0.95
                                        0.94
                                                   450
```

1.1.5 Decision functions

```
In [16]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced
         y_scores_lr = lr.fit(X_train, y_train).decision_function(X_test)
         y_score_list = list(zip(y_test[0:20], y_scores_lr[0:20]))
         # show the decision function scores for first 20 instances
         y_score_list
Out [16]: [(0, -23.172292973469546),
          (0, -13.542576515500063),
          (0, -21.717588760007867),
          (0, -18.903065133316439),
          (0, -19.733169947138638),
          (0, -9.7463217496747667),
          (1, 5.2327155658831135),
          (0, -19.308012306288916),
          (0, -25.099330209728528),
          (0, -21.824312362996),
          (0, -24.14378275072049),
          (0, -19.578811099762508),
          (0, -22.568371393280199),
          (0, -10.822590225240777),
          (0, -11.907918741521932),
          (0, -10.977026853802803),
          (1, 11.206811164226373),
          (0, -27.64415761980748),
          (0, -12.857692102545409),
          (0, -25.848149140240199)]
In [17]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced
```

y_proba_lr = lr.fit(X_train, y_train).predict_proba(X_test)
y_proba_list = list(zip(y_test[0:20], y_proba_lr[0:20,1]))

```
Out[17]: [(0, 8.6377579220606777e-11),
          (0, 1.3138118599563783e-06),
          (0, 3.6997386039099529e-10),
          (0, 6.1730972504865465e-09),
          (0, 2.6914925394345074e-09),
          (0, 5.8506057771143608e-05),
          (1, 0.99468934644404694),
          (0, 4.1175302368500096e-09),
          (0, 1.2574750894253029e-11),
          (0, 3.3252290754668869e-10),
          (0, 3.2695529799373086e-11),
          (0, 3.1407283576084884e-09),
          (0, 1.5800864117150149e-10),
          (0, 1.9943442430612578e-05),
          (0, 6.7368003023860014e-06),
          (0, 1.7089540581641637e-05),
          (1, 0.9999864188091131),
          (0, 9.8694940340195476e-13),
          (0, 2.6059983600823893e-06),
          (0, 5.9469113009063784e-12)]
1.1.6 Precision-recall curves
In [18]: from sklearn.metrics import precision_recall_curve
         precision, recall, thresholds = precision_recall_curve(y_test, y_scores_li
         closest_zero = np.argmin(np.abs(thresholds))
         closest_zero_p = precision[closest_zero]
         closest_zero_r = recall[closest_zero]
         plt.figure()
         plt.xlim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.ylim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.plot(precision, recall, label='Precision-Recall Curve')
         plt.plot(closest_zero_p, closest_zero_r, 'o', markersize = 12, fillstyle =
         plt.xlabel('Precision', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('Recall', fontsize=16)
         plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')
         plt.show()
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

show the probability of positive class for first 20 instances

y_proba_list

1.1.7 ROC curves, Area-Under-Curve (AUC)

```
In [19]: from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve, auc
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced
         y_score_lr = lr.fit(X_train, y_train).decision_function(X_test)
         fpr_lr, tpr_lr, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_score_lr)
         roc_auc_lr = auc(fpr_lr, tpr_lr)
        plt.figure()
        plt.xlim([-0.01, 1.00])
         plt.ylim([-0.01, 1.01])
         plt.plot(fpr_lr, tpr_lr, lw=3, label='LogRegr ROC curve (area = {:0.2f})'.
         plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate', fontsize=16)
         plt.title('ROC curve (1-of-10 digits classifier)', fontsize=16)
         plt.legend(loc='lower right', fontsize=13)
         plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='navy', lw=3, linestyle='--')
         plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')
         plt.show()
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
In [20]: from matplotlib import cm
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalance
         plt.figure()
         plt.xlim([-0.01, 1.00])
         plt.ylim([-0.01, 1.01])
         for g in [0.01, 0.1, 0.20, 1]:
             svm = SVC(gamma=g).fit(X_train, y_train)
             y_score_svm = svm.decision_function(X_test)
             fpr_svm, tpr_svm, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_score_svm)
             roc_auc_svm = auc(fpr_svm, tpr_svm)
             accuracy_svm = svm.score(X_test, y_test)
             print("gamma = \{:.2f\} accuracy = \{:.2f\}".format(g, acc
                                                                             roc_au
             plt.plot(fpr_svm, tpr_svm, lw=3, alpha=0.7,
                      label='SVM (gamma = \{:0.2f\}, area = \{:0.2f\})'.format(g, roc_a
         plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate (Recall)', fontsize=16)
         plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='k', lw=0.5, linestyle='--')
```

```
plt.legend(loc="lower right", fontsize=11)
    plt.title('ROC curve: (1-of-10 digits classifier)', fontsize=16)
    plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')

    plt.show()

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

gamma = 0.01 accuracy = 0.91 AUC = 1.00
gamma = 0.10 accuracy = 0.90 AUC = 0.98
gamma = 0.20 accuracy = 0.90 AUC = 0.66
qamma = 1.00 accuracy = 0.90 AUC = 0.50
```

1.1.8 Evaluation measures for multi-class classification

Multi-class confusion matrix

```
In [21]: dataset = load_digits()
         X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target
         X_train_mc, X_test_mc, y_train_mc, y_test_mc = train_test_split(X, y, rand
         svm = SVC(kernel = 'linear').fit(X_train_mc, y_train_mc)
         svm_predicted_mc = svm.predict(X_test_mc)
         confusion_mc = confusion_matrix(y_test_mc, svm_predicted_mc)
         df_cm = pd.DataFrame(confusion_mc,
                              index = [i for i in range(0,10)], columns = [i for i]
         plt.figure(figsize=(5.5,4))
         sns.heatmap(df_cm, annot=True)
         plt.title('SVM Linear Kernel \nAccuracy:{0:.3f}'.format(accuracy_score(y_t
         plt.ylabel('True label')
         plt.xlabel('Predicted label')
         svm = SVC(kernel = 'rbf').fit(X_train_mc, y_train_mc)
         svm_predicted_mc = svm.predict(X_test_mc)
         confusion_mc = confusion_matrix(y_test_mc, svm_predicted_mc)
         df_cm = pd.DataFrame(confusion_mc, index = [i for i in range(0,10)],
                           columns = [i for i in range(0,10)])
         plt.figure(figsize = (5.5, 4))
         sns.heatmap(df_cm, annot=True)
```

Multi-class classification report

In [22]: print(classification_report(y_test_mc, svm_predicted_mc))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	1.00	0.65	0.79	37	
1	1.00	0.23	0.38	43	
2	1.00	0.39	0.56	44	
3	1.00	0.93	0.97	45	
4	0.14	1.00	0.25	38	
5	1.00	0.33	0.50	48	
6	1.00	0.54	0.70	52	
7	1.00	0.35	0.52	48	
8	1.00	0.02	0.04	48	
9	1.00	0.55	0.71	47	
avg / total	0.93	0.49	0.54	450	

Micro- vs. macro-averaged metrics

1.1.9 Regression evaluation metrics

```
In [25]: %matplotlib notebook
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         import numpy as np
         from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
         from sklearn import datasets
         from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
         from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score
         from sklearn.dummy import DummyRegressor
         diabetes = datasets.load diabetes()
         X = diabetes.data[:, None, 6]
         y = diabetes.target
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)
         lm = LinearRegression().fit(X_train, y_train)
         lm_dummy_mean = DummyRegressor(strategy = 'mean').fit(X_train, y_train)
         y_predict = lm.predict(X_test)
         y_predict_dummy_mean = lm_dummy_mean.predict(X_test)
         print('Linear model, coefficients: ', lm.coef_)
         print ("Mean squared error (dummy): {:.2f}".format (mean_squared_error (y_tes
                                                                               y_pre
         print("Mean squared error (linear model): {:.2f}".format(mean_squared_error
         print("r2_score (dummy): {:.2f}".format(r2_score(y_test, y_predict_dummy_r
         print("r2_score (linear model): {:.2f}".format(r2_score(y_test, y_predict)
         # Plot outputs
         plt.scatter(X_test, y_test, color='black')
         plt.plot(X_test, y_predict, color='green', linewidth=2)
         plt.plot(X_test, y_predict_dummy_mean, color='red', linestyle = 'dashed',
                  linewidth=2, label = 'dummy')
         plt.show()
Linear model, coefficients: [-698.80206267]
```

```
Mean squared error (dummy): 4965.13
Mean squared error (linear model): 4646.74
r2_score (dummy): -0.00
r2_score (linear model): 0.06

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

1.1.10 Model selection using evaluation metrics

Cross-validation example

```
In [26]: from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
    from sklearn.svm import SVC

dataset = load_digits()
    # again, making this a binary problem with 'digit 1' as positive class
    # and 'not 1' as negative class
    X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
    clf = SVC(kernel='linear', C=1)

# accuracy is the default scoring metric
    print('Cross-validation (accuracy)', cross_val_score(clf, X, y, cv=5))
# use AUC as scoring metric
    print('Cross-validation (AUC)', cross_val_score(clf, X, y, cv=5, scoring # use recall as scoring metric
    print('Cross-validation (recall)', cross_val_score(clf, X, y, cv=5, scoring)

Cross-validation (accuracy) [ 0.91944444  0.98611111  0.97214485  0.97493036  0.969
```

Grid search example

```
In [27]: from sklearn.svm import SVC
    from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
    from sklearn.metrics import roc_auc_score

dataset = load_digits()
    X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)

clf = SVC(kernel='rbf')
    grid_values = {'gamma': [0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]}
```

```
# default metric to optimize over grid parameters: accuracy
         grid_clf_acc = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid = grid_values)
         grid_clf_acc.fit(X_train, y_train)
         y_decision_fn_scores_acc = grid_clf_acc.decision_function(X_test)
         print('Grid best parameter (max. accuracy): ', grid_clf_acc.best_params_)
         print('Grid best score (accuracy): ', grid_clf_acc.best_score_)
         # alternative metric to optimize over grid parameters: AUC
         grid_clf_auc = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid = grid_values, scoring = 'roc_
         grid_clf_auc.fit(X_train, y_train)
         y_decision_fn_scores_auc = grid_clf_auc.decision_function(X_test)
         print('Test set AUC: ', roc_auc_score(y_test, y_decision_fn_scores_auc))
         print('Grid best parameter (max. AUC): ', grid_clf_auc.best_params_)
         print('Grid best score (AUC): ', grid_clf_auc.best_score_)
Grid best parameter (max. accuracy): {'gamma': 0.001}
Grid best score (accuracy): 0.996288047513
Test set AUC: 0.999828581224
Grid best parameter (max. AUC): {'gamma': 0.001}
Grid best score (AUC): 0.99987412783
```

Evaluation metrics supported for model selection

1.1.11 Two-feature classification example using the digits dataset

Optimizing a classifier using different evaluation metrics

```
In [29]: from sklearn.datasets import load_digits
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    from adspy_shared_utilities import plot_class_regions_for_classifier_subpl
    from sklearn.svm import SVC
    from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

dataset = load_digits()
    X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
```

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)

```
# Create a two-feature input vector matching the example plot above
         # We jitter the points (add a small amount of random noise) in case there
         # in feature space where many instances have the same features.
         jitter_delta = 0.25
         X_{tovar} = X_{train}[:,[20,59]] + np.random.rand(X_{train.shape}[0], 2)
         X_{twovar_test} = X_{test}[:,[20,59]] + np.random.rand(X_{test.shape}[0], 2) -
         clf = SVC(kernel = 'linear').fit(X_twovar_train, y_train)
         grid_values = {'class_weight':['balanced', {1:2}, {1:3}, {1:4}, {1:5}, {1:10},
         plt.figure(figsize=(9,6))
         for i, eval_metric in enumerate(('precision','recall', 'f1','roc_auc')):
             grid_clf_custom = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid=grid_values, scoring=ev
             grid_clf_custom.fit(X_twovar_train, y_train)
             print('Grid best parameter (max. {0}): {1}'
                   .format(eval_metric, grid_clf_custom.best_params_))
             print('Grid best score ({0}): {1}'
                   .format(eval_metric, grid_clf_custom.best_score_))
             plt.subplots_adjust(wspace=0.3, hspace=0.3)
             plot_class_regions_for_classifier_subplot(grid_clf_custom, X_twovar_te
                                                       None, None, plt.subplot(2, 2
             plt.title(eval_metric+'-oriented SVC')
         plt.tight_layout()
         plt.show()
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
Grid best parameter (max. precision): {'class_weight': {1: 2}}
Grid best score (precision): 0.535001370231309
Grid best parameter (max. recall): {'class_weight': {1: 50}}
Grid best score (recall): 0.935661321592438
Grid best parameter (max. f1): {'class_weight': {1: 4}}
Grid best score (f1): 0.5103444285676388
Grid best parameter (max. roc_auc): {'class_weight': {1: 10}}
Grid best score (roc_auc): 0.892948143656823
```

Precision-recall curve for the default SVC classifier (with balanced class weights)

```
X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)
         # create a two-feature input vector matching the example plot above
         jitter_delta = 0.25
         X_{tov} = X_{train}[:,[20,59]] + np.random.rand(X_{train.shape}[0], 2)
         X_{twovar_test} = X_{test[:,[20,59]]} + np.random.rand(X_{test.shape[0], 2) -
         clf = SVC(kernel='linear', class_weight='balanced').fit(X_twovar_train, y_
         y_scores = clf.decision_function(X_twovar_test)
         precision, recall, thresholds = precision_recall_curve(y_test, y_scores)
         closest_zero = np.argmin(np.abs(thresholds))
         closest_zero_p = precision[closest_zero]
         closest_zero_r = recall[closest_zero]
         plot_class_regions_for_classifier(clf, X_twovar_test, y_test)
         plt.title("SVC, class_weight = 'balanced', optimized for accuracy")
         plt.show()
         plt.figure()
         plt.xlim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.ylim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.title ("Precision-recall curve: SVC, class_weight = 'balanced'")
         plt.plot(precision, recall, label = 'Precision-Recall Curve')
         plt.plot(closest_zero_p, closest_zero_r, 'o', markersize=12, fillstyle='nd
         plt.xlabel('Precision', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('Recall', fontsize=16)
         plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')
         plt.show()
         print('At zero threshold, precision: {:.2f}, recall: {:.2f}'
               .format(closest_zero_p, closest_zero_r))
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
At zero threshold, precision: 0.22, recall: 0.74
```

dataset = load_digits()

In []: