Marking Rubric

Functionality: REST API - 25%

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Environments | API seamlessly runs in both development and production environments without modification. | Minor issues in environment compatibility. | Significant issues in environment compatibility. | API struggles to run in development or production environments. |
| Model Development | Six models are developed, each with a minimum of four fields, demonstrating diverse data types. | Minor issues in model development, lacking diversity or meeting the minimum requirements. | Significant issues in model development, failing to meet the minimum requirements. | Models are missing or poorly developed. |
| Relationships Between Models | Six relationships between models are established, showcasing a clear understanding of associations. | Minor issues in establishing relationships. | Significant issues in establishing relationships. | Relationships between models are missing or incorrectly defined. |
| Enum Field in Model | One model includes an enum field, demonstrating knowledge and implementation. | Minor issues in implementing an enum field. | Significant issues in implementing an enum field. | No enum field is implemented. |
| Controller and Route Files | A controller and route file exist for each model, | Minor issues in the implementation | Significant issues in the implementation | Missing or incomplete |

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | providing necessary operations (POST, GET all, GET one, PUT, DELETE). | of controllers and routes. | of controllers and routes. | controllers and routes. |
| Success/Failure Messages and Status Codes | Appropriate success and failure messages, along with correct status codes, are returned for each operation. | Minor issues in message or status code accuracy. | Significant issues in message or status code accuracy. | Messages or status codes are inconsistent or incorrect. |
| Filtering and Sorting | Data can be filtered and sorted using query parameters for all fields in ascending and descending order. | Minor issues in filtering or sorting implementation. | Significant issues in filtering or sorting implementation. | Filtering or sorting is not working as expected. |
| Pagination | Data is paginated using query parameters, with a default of 25 items per page. | Minor issues in pagination implementation. | Significant issues in pagination implementation. | Pagination is not functioning correctly. |
| Endpoint Validation and Index Route | Proper validation for each field using Joi during creation and updating. Index | Minor issues in validation or missing some validations. | Significant issues in validation or missing many validations. | Validation is incomplete or missing, and index route is not displaying routes. |

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | route displays all existing routes. | | | |
| Database Storage and Deployment | Data is stored in a PostgreSQL database on Render. API is deployed as a web service on Render. | Minor issues in database storage or deployment. | Significant issues in database storage or deployment. | Data is not stored in PostgreSQL, or API deployment on Render is unsuccessful. |

Functionality: CRUD Application - 20%

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Environments | Application seamlessly runs in the development environment without modification. | Minor issues in environment compatibility. | Significant issues in environment compatibility. | Application struggles to run in development environment. |
| Integration with REST API | Requests data from four API resource groups using Axios. Implements CRUD operations effectively. | Minor issues in integration or incomplete CRUD functionality. | Significant issues in integration or incomplete CRUD functionality. | Fails to integrate with the REST API or lacks essential CRUD functionality. |
| Create Operation | Successfully creates new data via the REST API. | Minor issues in the create operation. | Significant issues in the create operation. | Create operation is not working as expected. |
| Read/View Operation | Effectively reads/views data from the REST API. | Minor issues in the read/view operation. | Significant issues in the read/view operation. | Read/view operation is not working as expected. |

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Update Operation | Successfully updates data via the REST API. | Minor issues in the update operation. | Significant issues in the update operation. | Update operation is not working as expected. |
| Delete Operation | Deletes REST API data with user confirmation using the confirm() JavaScript function. | Minor issues in the delete operation or confirmation process. | Significant issues in the delete operation or confirmation process. | Delete operation or confirmation process is not working as expected. |
| Validation Error Handling | Gracefully handles incorrectly formatted form field values with validation error messages. | Minor issues in validation error handling. | Significant issues in validation error handling. | Validation error handling is not working as expected. |
| UI Design and Style | UI is visually attractive with a coherent graphical theme and style using Reactstrap. | Minor issues in UI design or style. | Significant issues in UI design or style. | UI design or style is not visually attractive or lacks coherence. |

Functionality: Scripts - 5%

| Criteria | 5 | 4 | 3-2 | 1-0 |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Run REST API and CRUD Application Locally | Successfully run both REST API and CRUD application locally without issues. | Minor issues or gaps in running either REST API or CRUD application locally. | Significant issues or incomplete execution of either REST API or CRUD application locally. | No or inadequate execution of both REST API and CRUD application locally. |

| Criteria | 5 | 4 | 3-2 | 1-0 |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Create and Apply Prisma Migration | Successfully create and apply a migration using Prisma. | Minor issues or gaps in creating and applying Prisma migration. | Significant issues or incomplete creation and application of Prisma migration. | No or inadequate creation and application of Prisma migration. |
| Reset Database with Prisma | Successfully reset the database using Prisma. | Minor issues or gaps in resetting the database with Prisma. | Significant issues or incomplete database reset with Prisma. | No or inadequate database reset with Prisma. |
| Open Prisma Studio | Successfully open Prisma Studio. | Minor issues or gaps in opening Prisma Studio. | Significant issues or incomplete opening of Prisma Studio. | No or inadequate opening of Prisma Studio. |
| Format Code with Prettier | Successfully format code using Prettier. | Minor issues or gaps in code formatting with Prettier. | Significant issues or incomplete code formatting with Prettier. | No or inadequate code formatting with Prettier. |

Code Quality and Best Practices - 45%

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| .gitignore and .env.example | Proper use of Node.js .gitignore file. Environment variables are stored in .env.example. | Minor issues in .gitignore or .env.example. | Significant issues in .gitignore or .env.example. | Missing .gitignore or .env.example. |
| Appropriate Naming | Clear and meaningful names for files, variables, functions, and resource groups | Minor issues in naming conventions or clarity. | Significant naming issues impacting readability. | Naming conventions are not followed. |

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | following best practices. | | | |
| API Best Practices | API security best practices are followed, including validation of content-type, sending x-content-type-options, x-frame-options, and content-security-policy. Secure communication through HTTPS is ensured. | Minor omissions in API security best practices. | Significant issues in API security best practices. | Critical omissions in API security, exposing vulnerabilities. |
| Idiomatic Use | Effective use of control flow, data structures, and inbuilt functions in an idiomatic manner. | Some instances of non-idiomatic code or inefficient use. | Significant non-idiomatic code affecting efficiency. | Poor use of control flow, data structures or functions. |
| Efficient Algorithmic Approach | Implementation demonstrates a highly efficient algorithmic approach. | Some areas could be optimised for better efficiency. | Significant optimisation opportunities are missed. | Inefficient algorithmic approach throughout. |
| Sufficient Modularity | Code is well- organised and modular, enhancing maintainability and readability. | Some modules could be better organised for clarity. | Significant issues in modularity and organisation. | Lack of modularity, impacting code maintainability. |
| JSDoc Comments | Each file includes clear and comprehensive JSDoc header comments. | Minor issues or missing JSDoc comments in some files. | Significant issues with JSDoc comments throughout. | No JSDoc comments in files. |
| Code Formatting | Code is formatted using Prettier. | Minor issues in code | Significant issues in code | Code lacks proper |

| Criteria | 10-9 | 8-7 | 6-5 | 4-0 |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | Prettier is installed as a development dependency. | formatting or Prettier setup. | formatting or Prettier setup. | formatting and Prettier setup. |
| No Dead or Unused Code | Codebase contains no dead or unused code. | Minor instances of dead or unused code. | Significant dead or unused code in the project. | Extensive dead or unused code affecting the project. |

Documentation - 5%

| Criteria | 5 | 4 | 3-2 | 1-0 |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| GitHub Project Board or Issues | Consistent and effective use of GitHub project board or issues for organising and prioritising development work. | Minor inconsistencies in GitHub project board or issues usage. | Significant issues in GitHub project board or issues usage. | GitHub project board or issues is not used or poorly maintained. |
| README Content | Well-documented repository README.md with required information. | Minor issues in documentation or missing some details. | Significant issues in documentation or missing key information. | README.md lacks essential information or is poorly structured. |
| Markdown Usage | Effective use of Markdown, including headings, bold text, code blocks, etc. | Some Markdown elements are used, but inconsistencies are present. | Limited use of Markdown or improper formatting. | Markdown is not used or severely misformatted. |
| Spelling and Grammar | Correct spelling and grammar throughout the documentation. | Minor spelling or grammatical errors are present. | Significant spelling or grammatical issues. | Numerous spelling and grammatical errors. |

| Criteria | 5 | 4 | 3-2 | 1-0 |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Git Commit Messages | Git commit messages consistently reflect context and follow naming conventions. | Git commit messages generally reflect context and follow naming conventions. | Some Git commit messages reflect context but lack consistent naming conventions. | Git commit messages do not reflect context or follow naming conventions. |

Marking Cover Sheet

| Course Title: | |
|-------------------|--|
| Assignment Title: | |
| Learner Name: | |
| Learner ID: | |
| Date Submitted: | |
| | |

Overall Comments:

[Insert overall comments and feedback here.]

Functionality: REST API: [/25]

Functionality: CRUD Application: [/20]

Functionality: Scripts: [/5]

Code Quality and Best Practices: [/45]

Documentation: [/5]

Total Marks (100%):

Assessor's Name:

Assessor's Signature: _____

Note: This cover sheet is to be completed and submitted with the assignment.