Quantum gravitomagnetic clock effect in Kerr gravitational field

2 authors:

Ahmed Estiak
Shahjalal University of Science and Technology
5 PUBLICATIONS   **1** CITATION

SEE PROFILE

Syed B Faruque
Shahjalal University of Science and Technology
62 PUBLICATIONS   **183** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project Comparison of General Relativity and Brans-Dicke Theory using Gravitomagnetic clock effect View project

Project Gravitational wave View project

Journal Pre-proof

Quantum gravitomagnetic clock effect in Kerr gravitational field

Ahmed Estiak, S.B. Faruque

Please cite this article as: Ahmed Estiak, S.B. Faruque, Quantum gravitomagnetic clock effect in Kerr gravitational field, *New Astronomy* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2020.101547

Quantum gravitomagnetic clock effect in Kerr gravitational field

Ahmed Estiak *, S.B. Faruque

Department of Physics, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet 3114, Bangladesh

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

We have found an approximate solution of Dirac equation using Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani Hamiltonian of a Dirac particle in the Kerr gravitational field. We have solved the equation approximately using time-independent perturbation theory for the positive energy states. We have found frequencies by which these states oscillate. Difference of the periods of any of these two states has an identical form of the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect where the terms are quantized. So that, we have found a quantum version of the gravitomagnetic clock effect of a Dirac fermion in the Kerr gravitational field.

1. Introduction

Gravitational effect on quantum particles is a very active research area of theoretical physics (Obukhov et al., 2013; Adler and Chen, 2010). Clock effect in gravitational field is another active research area (Tartaglia, 2000; Iorio, 2001). This clock effect was first discovered by Cohen and Mashhoon (1993) in 1993 and known as the gravitomagnetic clock effect. Gravitomagnetic clock effect is the difference of periods in prograde and retrograde orbital motion of a particle in the equatorial plane of a central massive body like the Kerr black hole (Cohen and Mashhoon, 1993). Let T_+ (T_-) is the period for prograde (retrograde) orbital motion around a central body, then for $r \gg \frac{2GM}{c^2}$, this gravitomagnetic clock effect is given by $T_+ - T_- = \frac{4\pi J}{Mc^2} = \frac{4\pi a}{c}$ (Mashhoon et al., 2001). Here, the Kerr parameter, $a = \frac{J}{Mc}$. J is the spin angular momentum of the central body of mass M and c is the speed of light. Spin of the orbiting test particle of mass m lowers the gravitomagnetic clock effect by an amount of $\frac{6\pi S}{mc^2}$ (Faruque, 2004; Bini et al., 2004).

The behaviors of spin zero particles in gravitational field are studied quantum mechanically in Adler and Chen (2010); Accioly and Blas (2002), and dynamics of spin 1/2 particles are studied in Adler et al. (2012). A quantum treatment of the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect for spin 1/2 particles in Schwarzschild field was shown by S.B. Faruque in Faruque (2018). We are going to treat spin 1/2 particles again, but this time in Kerr gravitational field as a more generalized case. We shall treat the problem in a simplified picture. Let us assume the spin of a Dirac fermion contributing relativistically in the non-rela-

tivistic FWT (Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani) Hamiltonian in Kerr gravitational field. The positive and the negative energy states of a Dirac fermion are uncoupled by the FWT transformation. It does not violate the covariance of the Dirac theory (Obukhov, 2001).

2. FWT Hamiltonian of a Dirac fermion in Kerr gravitational field

The FWT Hamiltonian for a Dirac particle in the Kerr geometry in a slowly rotating, weak-field limit is given in Konno and Kasai (1998); Konno (1998). For non-relativistic limit and rotating central object with uniform mass density, the Hamiltonian for the Dirac fermion in Kerr gravitational field becomes:

$$H = mc^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m} + m\phi - \overrightarrow{\omega_p} \cdot \left(\vec{L} + \vec{S} \right) + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{4GMR^2}{5r^3}\overrightarrow{\omega_s} \cdot \left(\vec{L} + \vec{S} \right) - \frac{p^4}{8m^3} + \frac{1}{2}m\phi \frac{3}{2m}\vec{p}.\phi\vec{p} + \frac{3GM}{2mr^3}\vec{L}.\vec{S} + \frac{6GMR^2}{5r^5}\vec{S}. \left[\vec{r} \times \left(\vec{r} \times \overrightarrow{\omega_s} \right) \right] \right),$$

where m is the mass of the Dirac fermion under consideration, $\vec{p}$ is the linear momentum of the Dirac fermion, $\phi = \frac{-GM}{r}$ is the gravitational potential due to gravity of the central body of mass M, $\overrightarrow{\omega_p}$ is the angular velocity of the particle, $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ is the orbital angular momentum of the particle, $\vec{S} = \frac{\hbar\vec{\sigma}}{2}$ is the intrinsic spin of the particle with the Pauli spin matrices $\vec{\sigma}$, $\overrightarrow{\omega_s}$ is the angular velocity of the central body, R is the radius of the central body.

The Kerr parameter of the central rotating object, $\vec{a} = \frac{\vec{J}}{Mc} = \frac{2}{5c}R^2\overrightarrow{\omega_s}$, where, $\vec{J}$ is the angular momentum of the central body. Let, the direction of $\overrightarrow{\omega_s}$ or the axis of rotation is in the direction of z-axis.

Now, in Eq. (1) the term $\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{4GMR^2}{5r^3}\overrightarrow{\omega_s}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right)\right)$ becomes:

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{4GMR^2}{5r^3}\overrightarrow{\omega_s}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{2G\vec{J}}{r^3}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right). \tag{2}$$

The last term of the Eq. (1) becomes:

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{6GMR^2}{5r^5}\vec{S}\cdot\left[\vec{r}\times\left(\vec{r}\times\overrightarrow{\omega_s}\right)\right]\right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{6GMR^2\omega_s}{5r^3}\right)\vec{S}\cdot\vec{n_z} \tag{3}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GJ}{r^3}S_z\right),$$

as we assume that, the Dirac fermion is in orbital motion in the equatorial plane of the central object. Here, $\vec{n_z}$ is the unit vector along the z-axis and S_z is the z component of the intrinsic spin of the particle. In our case, the central object is the Kerr black hole. From Eqs. (1)–(3), we can write the Hamiltonian for the Dirac fermion as

$$H = mc^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m} + m\phi - \overrightarrow{\omega_p}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right) + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{2G\vec{J}}{r^3}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right) - \frac{p^4}{8m^3} + \frac{1}{2}m\phi^2 + \frac{3}{2m}\vec{p}\cdot\phi\vec{p} + \frac{3GM}{2mr^3}\vec{L}\cdot\vec{S} - \frac{3GJ}{r^3}S_z\right) \tag{4}$$

Now, we can drop the first term mc^2 of the right-hand side of the Eq. (4) as it just adds a constant to the energy eigenvalue. This term has no physical relevance to our analysis. We can neglect the fourth term $\overrightarrow{\omega_p}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right)$ because in the non-relativistic limit $\overrightarrow{\omega_p}$ is very very small. We can also neglect the sixth term $\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{p^4}{8m^3}\right)$ as the particle is slowly rotating. We can also neglect the seventh term $\frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}m\phi^2\right)$ as it is very small compared to the third term $m\phi$ where the potential ϕ is very small. We drop the eighth term $\frac{3}{2mc^2}\vec{p}\cdot\phi\vec{p} = -\frac{3\hbar^2 GM}{2mc^2}\vec{\nabla}\cdot\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}$ as we analyze the situation with fairly constant gravity field. So, in a slowly rotating weak field limit, the Hamiltonian of the Dirac particle in Kerr black hole becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}H &= \frac{p^2}{2m} + m\phi + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{2G\vec{J}}{r^3}\cdot\left(\vec{L}+\vec{S}\right) + \frac{3GM}{2mr^3}\vec{S}\cdot\vec{L} - \frac{3GJ}{r^3}S_z\right)\\ &= \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{GMm}{r} + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{2G\vec{J}}{r^3}\left(L_z+\frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_z\right) + \frac{3GM\hbar}{4mr^3}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{GJ\hbar}{r^3}\sigma_z\right)\\ &= \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{GMm}{r} + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\hbar}{4mr^3}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L} + \frac{2GJ}{r^3}L_z - \frac{1}{2}\frac{GJ\hbar}{r^3}\sigma_z\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This Hamiltonian is exactly reduced to the Hamiltonian of the Schwarzschild case (Faruque, 2018) if there is no rotation of the black hole or $J = 0$. The two Kerr black hole terms of our Hamiltonian with J is almost similar to the two Kerr black hole terms of the Hamiltonian for the Dirac particle in a slowly rotating weak field limit in Kerr black hole derived by B R Iyer and Arvind Kumar in their paper "Dirac equation in Kerr space-time" (Iyer and Kumar, 1977). The fourth term of Eq. (6) is the exact match with Iyer and Kumar (1977) and the last term is also similar without the coefficient. However, the coefficients have a similar order of magnitude.

3. Quantum gravitomagnetic clock effect

The Hamiltonian in Eq. (6) is a time-independent Hamiltonian. The solution of this Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\psi\left(\vec{x},t\right) = \psi\left(\vec{x}\right)\exp\left(\frac{-iEt}{\hbar}\right). \tag{6}$$

We fix $\psi\left(\vec{x}\right)$ as four component spinor as follows:

$$\psi\left(\vec{x}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right)\\\psi_2\left(\vec{x}\right)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right)$ and $\psi_2\left(\vec{x}\right)$ are two component spinors. The equations satisfied by these are

$$\left(\frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{GMm}{r} + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\hbar}{4mr^3}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L} + \frac{2GJ}{r^3}L_z - \frac{1}{2}\frac{GJ\hbar}{r^3}\sigma_z\right)\right)\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right) = E\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\left(-\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{GMm}{r} - \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\hbar}{4mr^3}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L} + \frac{2GJ}{r^3}L_z - \frac{1}{2}\frac{GJ\hbar}{r^3}\sigma_z\right)\right)\psi_2\left(\vec{x}\right) = E\psi_2\left(\vec{x}\right). \tag{9}$$

The positive and negative energy states are uncoupled by the FWT transformation. $\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right)$ and $\psi_2\left(\vec{x}\right)$ are simultaneous eigenstate of H, J^2, L^2, S^2 because of the term $\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L}$. Here, $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$.

So, we expect the solutions to contain spin-angular functions y_l^{jm} (Sakurai and Napolitano, 2011). This y_l^{jm} is the combination of spin functions and spherical harmonics. There is nothing to concern about the radial functions entering in the states $\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right)$ and $\psi_2\left(\vec{x}\right)$. These two radial functions going with either of these states satisfy the same radial equation. So that, for each of these states, we can advance with only one radial function and we need not solve both Eqs. (8) and (9). Solution of any one equation is enough to get the same for the other equation. Therefore, we write the solution to $\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right)$ explicitly,

$$\psi_1\left(\vec{x}\right) = R_1(r)y_l^{jm}, \tag{10}$$

and observe that

$$\left(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L} + L_z - \sigma_z\right)y_l^{jm} = \left(\kappa + \hbar m_l - 2m_s\right)y_l^{jm}, \tag{11}$$

where $\kappa = l\hbar$ for $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa = -(l+1)\hbar$ for $j = l - \frac{1}{2}$, where j is the total angular momentum quantum number and l is the orbital angular momentum quantum number. Here, $m_l = -l, -l+1, \ldots\ldots, l-1, l$ and $m_s = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$. Using Eqs. (10) and (11) in Eq. (8), we get

$$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2mr^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR_1}{dr}\right) + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2}R_1 - \frac{GMm}{r}R_1$$
$$+ \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\kappa\hbar}{4mr^3} + \frac{2GJ\hbar m_l}{r^3} - \frac{GJ\hbar m_s}{r^3}\right)R_1 = ER_1. \tag{12}$$

We now deal the problem using the time-independent perturbation theory. Let, $H = H_0 + \lambda H_1$, where,

$$H_0 = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2mr^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d}{dr}\right) + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2} - \frac{GMm}{r} \tag{13}$$

and

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\kappa\hbar}{4mr^3} + \frac{2GJ\hbar m_l}{r^3} - \frac{GJ\hbar m_s}{r^3}\right) \tag{14}$$

The solution to the problem $H_0 R_1^0 = E_0 R_1^0$ is given in Faruque (2018). From there,

$$E_0 = -\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2}, \tag{15}$$

where, $n = 1, 2, \ldots\ldots$ is the principal quantum number. The expectation value of H_1 in the unperturbed states,

$$E_1 = \left\langle R_1^0 H_1 R_1^0\right\rangle \tag{16}$$

From literature (Powell and Crasemann, 1961),

$$\left\langle R_1^0 \frac{1}{r^3} R_1^0 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} \frac{1}{a^3 n^3}, \tag{17}$$

where, $a = \frac{\hbar^2}{GMm^2}$. This is analogous to the Bohr radius, but with the coefficients of the gravitational potential term. From Eqs. (14), (16), and (17),

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\kappa\hbar}{4mr^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)a^3 n^3} \right.$$
$$+ \frac{2GJ\hbar m_l}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)a^3 n^3} \tag{18}$$
$$\left. - \frac{GJ\hbar m_s}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)a^3 n^3} \right).$$

Using Eqs. (15) and (18) we can write,

$$E = E_0 + E_1$$
$$= -\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{3GM\kappa\hbar}{4mr^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)a^3 n^3} + \frac{2GJ\hbar m_l}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)a^3 n^3} - \frac{GJ\hbar m_s}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)a^3 n^3} \right)$$

Here, firstly we will consider only two allowed states of m_l, which are $m_l = -l, +l$, the lower and upper limit of m_l respectively. There are two allowed states of j, which are $j = l+\frac{1}{2}$ and $j = l-\frac{1}{2}$. That means, we are choosing only two allowed energy states $E_{j=l-\frac{1}{2},m_l=l}$ and $E_{j=l+\frac{1}{2},m_l=-l}$ for simplicity. The other possibilities for different m_l values will be discussed later.

So that, if $j = l-\frac{1}{2}$ (for which $\kappa = -(l+1)$), $m_l = l$ and $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$ then we can write,

$$E_{j=l-\frac{1}{2},m_l=l} = -\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{3GM\hbar^2}{4mc^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{2GJ\hbar l}{c^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} + \frac{GJ\hbar}{2c^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}$$
$$= -\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{3GM\hbar^2}{4mc^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{GJ\hbar(4l+1)}{2c^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}$$

If $j = l+\frac{1}{2}$ (for which $\kappa = l$), $m_l = -l$ and $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ then similarly we can write,

$$E_{j=l+\frac{1}{2},m_l=-l} = -\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2}$$
$$+ \frac{3GM\hbar^2}{4mc^2 a^3 n^3\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} \tag{21}$$
$$- \frac{GJ\hbar(4l+1)}{2c^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}.$$

Now, the frequency, $\omega = \frac{E}{\hbar}$, and the corresponding period of oscillation of the states $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$. It is possible to calculate the corresponding period of oscillations for the two states from Eqs. (20) and (21). To calculate this, we are treating the second and third term of Eqs. (20)

and (21) as very small compared to the first term. Here,

$$T_{j=l-\frac{1}{2},m_l=l} = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{-\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{3GM\hbar^2}{4mc^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{GJ\hbar(4l+1)}{2c^2 a^3 n^3 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}}$$
$$= \frac{2\pi\hbar}{-\frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{n^2}\left(1 + \frac{3\hbar^4}{2m^4 c^2 a^3 nGMl\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)} - \frac{J\hbar^3(4l+1)}{c^2 a^3 nM^2 m^3 Gl\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}\right)}$$
$$= -\frac{4\pi\hbar^3 n^2}{G^2 M^2 m^3}\left(1 + \frac{3\hbar^4}{2m^4 c^2 a^3 nGMl\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)} - \frac{J\hbar^3(4l+1)}{c^2 a^3 nM^2 m^3 Gl\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}\right)^{-1} \tag{22}$$
$$= -\frac{4\pi\hbar^3 n^2}{G^2 M^2 m^3}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\hbar^4}{m^4 c^2 a^3 nGMl\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{J\hbar^3(4l+1)}{c^2 a^3 nM^2 m^3 Gl\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}\right).$$

By inserting $a = \frac{\hbar^2}{GMm^2}$ in equation (22), we get,

$$T_{j=l-\frac{1}{2},m_l=l} = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^3 n^2}{G^2 M^2 m^3} + \frac{6\pi\hbar n}{mc^2 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)}$$
$$- \frac{4\pi Jn(4l+1)}{Mc^2 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} \tag{23}$$

Similarly,

$$T_{j=l+\frac{1}{2},m_l=-l} = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^3 n^2}{G^2 M^2 m^3} - \frac{6\pi\hbar n}{mc^2 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)}$$
$$+ \frac{4\pi Jn(4l+1)}{Mc^2 l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} \tag{24}$$

So that,

$$T_{j=l+\frac{1}{2},m_l=-l} - T_{j=l-\frac{1}{2},m_l=l}$$
$$= \frac{8\pi J}{Mc^2}\frac{n(4l+1)}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} - \frac{6\pi\hbar}{mc^2}\frac{n(2l+1)}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} \tag{25}$$

Here we are declaring the terms $\frac{n(4l+1)}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}$ and $\frac{n(2l+1)}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)}$ as quantization factor. We can get the difference of periods of oscillation of any two states $m_l = m_{l1}$ and $m_l = m_{l2}$ in similar way. That is:

$$T_{j=l+\frac{1}{2},m_l=m_{l2}} - T_{j=l-\frac{1}{2},m_l=m_{l1}}$$
$$= \frac{8\pi J}{Mc^2}\frac{n\left(2\left(m_{l1}-m_{l2}\right)+1\right)}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} - \frac{6\pi\hbar}{mc^2}\frac{n(2l+1)}{l\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(l+1)} \tag{26}$$

We can see, for different m_l values, only the quantization factor of the first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (25) will change accordingly. All the other terms will remain the same as Eq. (25).

4. Discussion

We have to interpret this result now. Here, firstly we consider two states of a Dirac fermion with the same l. In one state $j = l+\frac{1}{2}$ and $m_l = -l$. In another state $j = l-\frac{1}{2}$ and $m_l = l$. The difference of the period of oscillation of these two states is given by Eq. (25). In the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect, the periods discussed are of the prograde and retrograde orbital motion of a particle. In the case of the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect, the motion of the particle in prograde orbit is slower than the motion in a retrograde orbit. But in this quantum situation, no reference to orbit is reasonable, rather, we have different states with different total angular momentum and different magnetic quantum number. Formula (25) gives the oscillation-period difference in previously mentioned two states. This can be considered as an observable in the framework of quantum mechanics. Eq. (26) is the more generalized form of Eq. (25) with any m_l values.

The formula of classical gravitomagnetic clock effect given in Faruque (2004) in the orbit of a spinning particle orbiting the Kerr black hole is:

$$T_+ - T_- = \frac{4\pi J}{Mc^2} - \frac{6\pi S}{mc^2} \qquad (27)$$

The structure of the Eq. (26) is the same and analogous with the Eq. (27). The only difference is in the terms in the right-hand side which are quantized in our Eq. (26). So, we can consider the formula (26) as a quantum analogue of the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect. We are declaring this quantum effect in the Eq. (26) as quantum gravitomagnetic clock effect in the Kerr field upon a Dirac fermion.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we find a formula for a Dirac particle in the Kerr gravitational field which is a quantized version of the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect. Further study is needed in the area of the quantum nature of the gravitomagnetic clock effect. In this study, we consider mainly the quantum effect. But in the classical gravitomagnetic effect, we only consider the general relativistic effect. However, the results are analogous. So, maybe Eqs. (26) and (27) have some connection which can reveal some relations between quantum mechanics and general relativity.

Funding

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at 10.1016/j.newast.2020.101547

References

Accioly, A., Blas, H., 2002. Exact Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for real spin-0 particle in curved space. Phys. Rev. D 66 (6), 067501.

Adler, R.J., Chen, P., 2010. Gravitomagnetism in quantum mechanics. Phys. Rev. D 82 (2), 025004.

Adler, R.J., Chen, P., Varani, E., 2012. Gravitomagnetism and spinor quantum mechanics. Phys. Rev. D 85 (2), 025016.

Bini, D., de Felice, F., Geralico, A., 2004. Spinning test particles and clock effect in Kerr spacetime. Class. Quantum Gravity 21 (23), 5441.

Cohen, J.M., Mashhoon, B., 1993. Standard clocks, interferometry, and gravitomagnetism. Phys. Lett. A 181 (5), 353–358.

Faruque, S.B., 2004. Gravitomagnetic clock effect in the orbit of a spinning particle orbiting the kerr black hole. Phys. Lett. A 327 (2–3), 95–97.

Faruque, S.B., 2018. A quantum analogy to the classical gravitomagnetic clock effect. Results Phys. 9, 1508–1510.

Iorio, L., 2001. Satellite gravitational orbital perturbations and the gravitomagnetic clock effect. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 10 (4), 465–476.

Iyer, B.R., Kumar, A., 1977. Dirac equation in Kerr space-time. Pramana 8 (6), 500–511.

Konno, K., 1998. Gravitational effects on Dirac particles. Hirosaki University M.S. thesis.

Konno, K., Kasai, M., 1998. General relativistic effects of gravity in quantum mechanics: a case of ultra-relativistic, spin 1/2 particles. Prog. Theor. Phys. 100 (6), 1145–1157.

Mashhoon, B., Gronwald, F., Lichtenegger, H.I., 2001. Gravitomagnetism and the clock effect. Gyros, Clocks, Interferometers...: Testing Relativistic Graviy in Space. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 83–108.

Obukhov, Y.N., 2001. Spin, gravity, and inertia. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2), 192.

Obukhov, Y.N., Silenko, A.J., Teryaev, O.V., 2013. Spin in an arbitrary gravitational field. Phys. Rev. D 88 (8), 084014.

Powell, J.L., Crasemann, B., 1961. Quantum Mechanics. Addison-W'esley, Reading Mass.

Sakurai, J.J., Napolitano, J., 2011. Modern Quantum Mechanics. Addison-Wesley, San Francisco.

Tartaglia, A., 2000. Geometric treatment of the gravitomagnetic clock effect. Gen. Relat. Gravit. 32 (9), 1745–1756.