NAME OF THIS STUDY

```
## Loading required package: foreach
## Loading required package: iterators
## Loading required package: parallel
## Type 'citation("pROC")' for a citation.
##
## Attaching package: 'pROC'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       cov, smooth, var
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2
## Loading required package: permute
## This is vegan 2.5-2
##
## Attaching package: 'vegan'
## The following object is masked from 'package:caret':
##
##
       tolerance
##
## Attaching package: 'gtools'
```

```
## The following object is masked from 'package:permute':
##
##
     permute
## -- Attaching packages ------ tidyverse 1.2.1 --
## v tibble 1.4.2 v purrr 0.2.5
## v tidyr 0.8.1 v dplyr 0.7.6
## v readr 1.1.1 v stringr 1.3.1
## v tibble 1.4.2 v forcats 0.3.0
## -- Conflicts ------ tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x purrr::accumulate() masks foreach::accumulate()
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag()
## x purrr::lift() masks caret::lift()
## x purrr::when() masks foreach::when()
## pdf
## 2
```

Running title: INSERT RUNNING TITLE HERE

- † To whom correspondence should be addressed: pschloss@umich.edu
- 1. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109
- 2. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University or Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 49109

1 Abstract

Introduction

- 3 As gut microbiome field continues to grow, there will be an ever-increasing demand for reproducible
- 4 machine learning methods to analyze microbiome sequence read count data and to determine
- association with a continuous or categorical phenotype of interest.
- 6 Colorectal cancer is one of the leading cause of death among cancers in the United States. Early
- diagnosis increases the chance of survival. However the current diagnostic methods are expensive
- and invasive. As a less invasive tool, numerous studies use relative abundances of the gut bacteria
- 9 populations to predict disease progression. Most microbial communities are pretty patchy and the
- likelihood of a single feature that explains the differences in health is pretty small. It is likely that
- many biomarkers are needed to account for the patchiness as well as the context dependency of
- 12 the features.
- 13 ML use in microbiome literature is a bit like the wild west with lack of clarity over methods,
- testing, validation, etc. There is a need for guidance on how to properly implement these different
- methods. We need to emphasize good machine learning practices and pipelines and discuss the
- 6 reproducibility, robustness and actionability of models.
- We established a non-leaky pipeline. We performed L1 and L2-regularized logistic regression,
- Linear SVM, Non-Linear SVM, Decision tree, Random forest, XGBoost and Feed Forward Neural
- 19 Net classification models. We evaluated the classification performance of different machine learning
- 20 methods. We also want to discuss the reproducibility, robustness, actionability, interpretibility and
- susceptibility to overfitting of each method.
- 22 Generalisation Perfomance of each model. Is there a maximum threshold of prediction with all
- these methods? Does an increase in model complexity improve predictibility? Synthesis statement
- 24 regarding modeling 16S microbiome data

- 25 Results and Discussion
- 26 Conclusions
- 27 Materials and Methods

- Insert figure legends with the first sentence in bold, for example:
- 29 Figure 1. Number of OTUs sampled among bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene
- sequences for different OTU definitions and level of sequencing effort. Rarefaction curves
- of or different OTU definitions of Bacteria (A) and Archaea (B). Rarefaction curves for the coarse
- environments in Table 1 for Bacteria (C) and Archaea (D).

33 References