

Development Plan

Software Engineering

Team 8 – Rhythm Rangers

Ansel Chen
Muhammad Jawad
Mohamad-Hassan Bahsoun
Matthew Baleanu
Ahmed Al-Hayali

Table 1: Revision History

Date	Developer(s)	Change
2024-09-24	All members	Complete Revision 0

1 Team Meeting Plan

Team meetings will be scheduled in a relatively ad-hoc fashion. Members have shared their daily schedules throughout the working week with each other, and weekly availability notes are accounted for when scheduling meetings. Availability notes are to be shared before the start of the working week so a week's meeting plan can be drafted and voted on by the end of Monday. Team meetings can occur for:

- task delegation for upcoming deliverables & discussing deliverable progress
we hope to make these meetings brief and infrequent in the future by using GitHub Projects and asynchronous communication instead;
- work sessions to collaborate and discuss ideas (deliverable-related) synchronously, *preferably in-person;*
- pair programming;
- conducting deliverable reviews;
- conducting deliverable retrospectives, i.e., reflecting on successful and unsuccessful practices used in the most recent deliverable after its completion.

2 Team Communication Plan

All team communication is done through a discord server. The discord has three text channels:

- General (anything not project-related is posted here)
- Important Updates (anything related to weekly meeting availability goes here)
- Locked In (anything related to project work goes here)

The discord also has 2 voice channels:

- Weekly Meeting (administrative meetings happen here)
- Locked In (collaboration of project development happens here)

3 Team Member Roles

- Ahmed: Meeting manager and scheduler, developer
- Ansel: Team liaison, developer
- Matthew: Developer
- Mohammed-Hassan: Developer
- Muhammad: Developer

4 Workflow Plan

4.1 General Workflow

- Issues are created, assigned, and attached to the project. Issues will have a template akin to those found on [stevemao/github-issue-templates](#). These issues should pertain to deliverable sections, split into a completion assignee and a reviewer;
- The `main` branch is protected, so team members must work in independent branches. We hope to restrict branch naming to a standardized format, e.g., [this](#) or akin to [conventional commits](#) (which comes with [a linter](#)!);
- Team members' commits must follow the [conventional commits](#) standard;
- Whenever necessary, a team member can choose to merge their changes to the `main` branch with a pull request. Pull requests should have a template akin to the simple pull request found [here](#) or the more complicated [data-centric template from dbt](#). A pull request should be attached to the [GenreGuru Project on GitHub](#) with a *dedicated* reviewer and potentially a review timeline and checklist. Having only one reviewer avoids the issue of [diffusion of responsibility](#).

4.2 Usability Testing

- Issues must contain a comparison between what is expected of the code and what is actually seen by the user;
- If possible, issue creators should attach any log files they collect to the issue;
- The issue must be assigned to the developer who originally pushed the code that is causing the bug.

5 Proof of Concept Demonstration Plan

There are two main risks to the project — the song data collection and song generation.

5.1 Risks regarding song collection

- License acquisition may be necessary for some songs;
 - Acquisition of the song in general may require a license from the artist, label, publisher, or platform, e.g., Spotify;
 - Platform providing songs may have limited API access.
- Songs may be only partially accessible, e.g., song snippets from Spotify;
- Songs may be available but we are prohibited from using them to train a machine learning model.

These risks can be dismissed if the project is to use different, less strict, song providers, or tailor the project to only use non-copyrighted songs.

5.2 Risks regarding song generation

- The generative mechanism will inherently be a machine learning model, which entails issues,
 - The model may hallucinate and produce unexpected outputs, i.e., music of the wrong genre (particularly of concern if training data is unbalanced), or just uncomfortable nonsensical sounds. *This could be a result of too little data to train a complex model (resulting in high variance), or too simplistic of a model (resulting in high bias);*
- The model will be challenging to formulate, e.g., establishing architecture, objective function, and optimizer;
- The model will be so complex, i.e., will contain many parameters, such that it requires tremendous quantities of data and training time to converge to sensible results.

These risks cannot be entirely dismissed, but can be remedied greatly by considering the work of previous similar works and following their process, i.e., reusing architecture, data, or training mechanism. Nonetheless, this project is doable, as a parallel of it was completed [in 2017](#).

6 Expected Technology

The technologies and tools expected for this project include:

- **Programming Language:** Python, due to its vast libraries in machine learning and audio processing, such as `librosa` and `pydub`.
- **Libraries:**
 - `librosa`: For music and audio analysis.
 - `pydub`: For audio processing and manipulation.
 - `scikit-learn` and `TensorFlow`: For building machine learning models to classify and generate music.
- **Frameworks:**
 - `Flask` or `Django`: For the web-based interface, allowing users to interact with the system.
 - `PyTorch` or `TensorFlow`: For implementing deep learning models to generate and classify music.
- **External APIs:** Spotify API will be used for fetching song previews, features, and other metadata for recommendation purposes.
- **Pre-trained Models:** We may leverage some pre-trained models for audio generation, such as OpenAI’s Jukebox or similar publicly available models, while customizing them to fit our needs.
- **Linters:** a CI-integrable Python-specific linter, e.g., `pylint`, `ruff`, or `flake8`. There also are git-specific linters like [conventional commit’s linter](#).
- **Formatter(s):** a CI-integrable formatter formatter, e.g., `black`.
- **Type-checker(s):** a CI-integrable type-checker, e.g., `mypy`.
- **Documentation Generation:** a CI-integrable documentation generator, e.g., `sphinx`.
- **Tester(s):** a CI-integrable testing framework, e.g., `PyTest`.

- **Continuous Integration:** the 5 previous “CI-integrable” components are a good baseline, but we plan on using a local server, and deployment onto it with CI would be sweet. As much automation with CI, within reason, is desirable as it is excellent experience to be transferred to a working setting.
- **Environment Management:** environment managers for Python like `pipenv` and `poetry` are excellent for ensuring the program works the same everywhere, installation becomes straightforward, and CI becomes marginally easier because of more standardization.

7 Coding Standard

The coding standards will be a series of PEPs, but importantly [PEP 8](#) for coding style and [PEP 257](#) for docstrings that will form the basis for the API and user reference. For simplicity, a [package-like folder structure](#) should be used in conjunction with the provided template.

8 Project Scheduling

There will be no GANTT charts - we will conduct weekly meetings as “standups” and decide what is to be worked on. Please refer to section 1, “Team Meeting Plan” for details.

Appendix — Reflection

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

1. Why is it important to create a development plan prior to starting the project?
 - Creating a development plan is crucial for a few reasons:

- It gives the project a clear direction and scope since all goals are outlined
- It sets boundaries on the project to prevent unplanned expansions
- It outlines anticipated challenges and contingency plans
- It provides transparent communication of the project expectations to the stakeholders

2. In your opinion, what are the advantages and disadvantages of using CI/CD?

CI/CD is a wonderful tool that automates mind-numbing tasks of linting, styling, formatting, deployment, and document generation, for example, but it comes at the heavy cost of relatively complicated setup process for said CI/CD to work. Thankfully, there are many GitHub actions templates online, so we often need not to worry about starting from scratch.

3. What disagreements did your group have in this deliverable, if any, and how did you resolve them?

There were few disagreements, but the frequency of meetings is a hotly-contested topic. We chose to have relatively frequent meetings during the first 3 weeks of the project, will experiment with far fewer in the future and rely on asynchronous communication. Depending on the success of it during the next few weeks, we will continue or adjust it to better suit our needs in completing future deliverables successfully.