Master Data Science Summer 2023

Prof. Dr. Marlene Müller marlene.mueller@bht-berlin.de

Exercises 3

Exercise 1

Use the Munich appartments dataset (MunichRent2003.csv) to estimate two different linear models with the (netrent) as dependent variable.

- (a) Determine (with R) the predicted values \hat{y}_i and the residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$. Display them in a graph by plotting \widehat{y}_i against $\widehat{\varepsilon}_i$.
- (b) Check with R that the following properties hold:

$$\overline{y} = \overline{\widehat{y}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{y}_{i}$$
 and $\overline{\widehat{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\varepsilon}_{i} = 0$.

i.e., the mean of the \hat{y}_i 's equals \bar{y} and the residuals have mean 0.

(c) Prove the equations in (b) for the simple linear regression case.

Exercise 2

Generate artificial regression data using the true model:

$$Y = 1 + 2X + X^2 + \varepsilon$$

where $X \sim N(0,1)$ and $\varepsilon \sim N(0,0.25)$. Choose a sufficiently large sample size, e.g. n=500and estimate the following regression models:

- simple linear regressin, i.e. regress Y on X,
- quadratic regression, i.e. regress Y on X and X²
- cubic regression, i.e. regress Y on X, X^2 , and X^3 .
- (a) Determine the coefficients of determination (R^2) of your three regressions. Do the same for the RSS values. (See tables on the last page of this exercise sheet.)
- (b) Analyse and compare the residuals for all 3 model fits (e.g. by residual plots or by boxplots). What do you conclude with respect to which of the 3 models seems to be appropriate?

Exercise 3

Use the data generating process and the models of Exercise 3 again, but now with a small sample size (e.g. n = 10).

- (a) Construct (in R) the matrix \mathcal{X} for all 3 models (the matrix \mathcal{X} is called "design matrix").
- (b) Check, if \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{X}^{\top}\mathcal{X}$ are of full rank. (Recall: What is the rank of a matrix? How could you determine this value using R?)
- (c) Do also calculate the following matrix (the "hat matrix") for each of the 3 models:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{X}^{\top}\mathcal{X})^{-1}\mathcal{X}^{\top}.$$

Determine (for each model) the trace and the eigenvalues of P. (Do you remember the relation between them?)

(d) By I we denote the identity matrix (a matrix with diagonal elements 1 and 0 otherwise). Show with the help of R and without R that it holds:

$$\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}$$
 and $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})^2 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$

Exercise 4

We use the CPS1985 dataset which is included in an R package:

```
require (AER) data (CPS1985)
```

Check the contents of the dataset (?CPS1985) and consider the variables Y = wage, $X_1 = education$ and $X_2 = experience$. Estimate the following models:

- regression of log(Y) on X_1 ,
- regression of $\log(Y)$ on X_1 and X_2 ,
- regression of $\log(Y)$ on X_1 , X_2 and X_2^2 .

By \log we denote the natural logarithm (denoted by \ln in mathematics, the function is \log in R as in statistics we merely use this logarithm).

- (a) Interprete the estimated coefficients. Do they make sense? (Plot a graph for the quadratic part of the 3rd model.)
- (b) Analyse and compare the residuals for all 3 model fits (e.g. by comparing \mathbb{R}^2 and by residual plots or by boxplots). What do you conclude with respect to which of the 3 models seems to be appropriate?

Components of a Linear Model Estimated in R

The following R functions can be used to extract components from a linear model.

Example: model <- $lm(y \sim x)$; summary(model)

Function	Description
summary	<pre>summary output (see also ?summary.lm)</pre>
coef	estimated coefficients
residuals	residuals $\widehat{arepsilon}_i$
fitted	predicted values \widehat{y}_i
predict	<pre>predicted values, useful for new data (see also ?predict.lm)</pre>
anova	test for comparing two nested models
plot	some diagnostic plots
confint	confidence intervals for the coefficients
deviance	residual sum of squares RSS
VCOV	estimated covariance matrix (of the coefficients)
logLik	log-likelihood (under normality assumption)
AIC	Akaike's information criterion (for model choice)

Further terms can be extracted from summary. Example: summary (model) \$call

Function	Description
call	call of lm
terms	information on the explanatory variables
residuals	residuals $\widehat{arepsilon}_i$
coefficients	table of coefficients, standard errors, t values and p values
sigma	estimated standard deviation $\widehat{\sigma}$
df	degrees of freedom
r.squared	coefficient of determination \mathbb{R}^2
adj.r.squared	adjusted coefficient of determination
fstatistic	F statistic with acc. degress of freedom
cov.unscaled	unscaled covariance matrix (results in $vcov$ when multiplied with $\widehat{\sigma}^2$)