

(24.11.2021)

Ex Sh. 4
4.1 (i)

Neg. correl between wait and next wait
 " " " " " duration
 Pos. " " next wait " "

But we can say more:

- distributions are bimodal, distinct point clouds
- short waiting times imply long durations, long " " can come with short or long durations of next eruption
- a long duration implies a long next waiting time, short duration \rightarrow short next waiting time

(ii) \hat{y} Nextwaiting_[min] = 34.99 + 10.77 Duration_[min]

(iv) Interpretation:

Slope: For each increase of 1 min duration, the predicted next waiting increases by 10.77 min
 unit min/min (= no unit)

Intercept: For a duration of 0 min, the next waiting time would be predicted to be 34.99 min

(v) see code

(vi) Eruption lasted 4 min
 Predicted next waiting

(vii) $\hat{y}_{min} = 34.99_{min} + 10.77_{\frac{min}{min}} \cdot 4_{min} = 78.05$
 - min per min

Switch both to s:

$$\bullet \hat{y}_s = 34.99 \cdot 60 + 10.77 \times s$$

$$34.99 \text{ min} = 34.99 \cdot 60 \text{ s}$$

$$\bullet \hat{y}_{\text{min}} = 34.99 \text{ min} + \frac{10.77}{60} \times s$$

$$\text{slope} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_x^2} = \frac{\wedge (\text{unit min} | \text{unit s})}{(\text{unit s})^2} = \frac{\text{min}}{\text{s}}$$

Calculation task:

(v) What does the Spearman Rank correlation measure?

$$\begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow R_x \\ Y \rightarrow R_y \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} X \\ Y \end{array}} \right\} \text{ranks}$$

Spearman = Bravais-Pearson correlation of ranks

Bravais-Pearson: measures direction and strength of linear relation

Spearman: +1 R_x and R_y are on straight line with positive slope

e.g.

R_x	1	2	3	4	5
R_y	1	2	3	4	5
x_i	23	25	29	37	49
y_i	2	5	7	12	14

Original data have a positive strictly monotonic relation $x_j > x_i \Leftrightarrow y_j > y_i$

Statistics: Sample functions

Distributions you know: normal $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$
binomial, geometric, hypergeometric, } discrete
neg. binomial, Poisson

Model: $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ X follows a normal distribution with expectation μ and variance σ^2

Property of Normal distribution:

$$Y = a + bX \sim N(a + b\mu, b^2\sigma^2)$$

Special case: Standardize to $N(0, 1)$

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

Simple Random Sample:

- randomly draw data from a model
e.g. assuming that a dimension in a production process behaves like that model

infinite population

- sample size n

- independent sample

- assuming the process follows constantly the same model (no wear of tools, no readjustment of machine settings, ...), units produced can be considered as independent

filling bottles of beer

X = amount in a bottle

X_i are independent, when leaving production

- filling a box with 11 bottles that

e.g. $\mu = 500 \text{ ml}$
 $\sigma^2 = 400 \text{ ml}^2$

came off the line one after the other, $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

independent identically distributed

Total amount of beer in the box

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N(n\mu, n\sigma^2)$$

because X_1, \dots, X_n iid.

- Someone could interfere and destroy independence, e.g. by picking bottles such that filling amounts look homogeneous

\Rightarrow bottles within a box more similar than you would expect under independence

\Rightarrow Variance of T would increase, because within box variance goes down but between box differences increase