

## Algorithms Homework 4

Aladdin Persson (aladdin.persson@hotmail.com)

# 1 Homework 4

## 1.1 Question 1

I haven't chosen to do all of the recurrence relations, mainly because the method I used was essentially the same for all of them. Rather I'd like for you to check and give me feedback on just a few of them.

a)

Let's assume the base case is  $T(1)$  which equals some constant term.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(n-1) + cn = \\ T(n-2) + c(n-1) + cn &= \\ T(n-3) + c(n-2) + c(n-1) + cn &= \dots = \\ T(n-k) + c(n-k+1) + c(n-k+2) + \dots + cn \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

With  $k = n - 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) + c \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (n-j) &= \\ T(1) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} cn - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} cj &= \\ T(1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} cn - \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} cj &\leq \\ T(1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} cn &= T(1) + (n-1)cn \in \mathcal{O}(n^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

f) Let us assume that  $n = 2^k$  and that the logarithm used is in base 2 which would be a lower bound since  $\log_2(x) \leq \log_3(x)$ , etc.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \log n = \\ T(n) &= 2^2 T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + n \log\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \log n = \\ T(n) &= \dots = 2^k T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + n(\log\left(\frac{n}{2^{k-1}}\right) + \log\left(\frac{n}{2^{k-2}}\right) + \dots + \log(n)) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let's remember that  $k = \log_2(n)$ . And we also use the assumption that the above logarithms are in base 2. Following from Eq. (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= nT(1) + n((k - (k-1) + 2 + \dots + k) = \\ &= nT(1) + n0.5(k+1)(k) = nT(1) + n(0.5(\log_2(n) + 1)\log_2(n)) \in \mathcal{O}(n \log^2(n)) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Question 2

1, a) Since we are each iteration merging two arrays then there will be one array less for each iteration. Each time we merge we will do a constant times  $n$  operations. The recurrence we use is then  $T(n, k) = T(k - 1) + cn$

1, b) From the explanation in a) I think it should be clear that we need to do  $\mathcal{O}(kn)$ , from unrolling a total of  $k$  times and each time we do  $cn$  operations.

2, a) MultiMerge based on divide and conquer approach would work by first merging arrays 1,2:  $1' = \text{Merge}(1, 2)$  and arrays 3,4:  $2' = \text{Merge}(3, 4)$ , etc. Then we do the same on  $1'$ ,  $2'$ , etc.

2, b) Let us define  $A$  to be an array of all sorted arrays, where first element of  $A$  is the first sorted array etc. Also note that I am using 0-indexing in the pseudocode below.

---

### Algorithm 1 MultiMerge( $A$ )

---

```

1: if length of  $A$  is 1 then return  $A[0]$ 
2: Initialize new_  $A$  as empty array
3: for  $\text{idx} = 1$  to length of  $A$  with a step of 2 do
4:   new_  $A$ .append(merge( $A[\text{idx}]$ ,  $A[\text{idx}-1]$ ))
5: if modulus 2 of length( $A$ ) = 1 then new_  $A$ .append(last element of  $A$ )
6: return MultiMerge(new_  $A$ )

```

---

We can prove it by induction. Assume it holds if  $p = 1$  which is trivial. Assume that MultiMerge is correct when considering  $p - 1$  arrays and then we wish to prove it holds for  $p$  arrays. If it holds for  $p - 1$  then it will merge these and let's call this result  $A$ , we then want to prove that merging  $A$  with another array  $B$  is correct. Here we are simply merging two arrays, which runs the merge function that we assume to be correct, hence it holds for  $p$  arrays.

2, c) The runtime function should be  $T(n, k) = T(\frac{k}{2}) + cn\frac{k}{2}$