

Rob J Hyndman
George Athanasopoulos

FORECASTING

PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE

A comprehensive introduction to the latest forecasting methods using R. Learn to improve your forecast accuracy using dozens of real data examples.



3RD EDITION

 **OTexts**
OPEN TEXTS FOR PRACTICE


5. The forecaster's toolbox

5.4 Residual diagnostics

OTexts.org/fpp3/

Facebook closing stock price

```
fb_stock |> autoplot(Close)
```



Facebook closing stock price

```
fit <- fb_stock |> model(NAIVE(Close))  
augment(fit)
```

```
## # A tsibble: 1,258 x 7 [1]  
## # Key:      Symbol, .model [1]  
##   Symbol .model      trading_day Close .fitted .resid .innov  
##   <chr>  <chr>          <int>  <dbl>   <dbl>  <dbl>  <dbl>  
## 1 FB    NAIVE(Close)         1  54.7    NA    NA    NA  
## 2 FB    NAIVE(Close)         2  54.6    54.7 -0.150 -0.150  
## 3 FB    NAIVE(Close)         3  57.2    54.6  2.64   2.64  
## 4 FB    NAIVE(Close)         4  57.9    57.2  0.720  0.720  
## 5 FB    NAIVE(Close)         5  58.2    57.9  0.310  0.310  
## 6 FB    NAIVE(Close)         6  57.2    58.2 -1.01  -1.01  
## 7 FB    NAIVE(Close)         7  57.9    57.2  0.720  0.720  
## 8 FB    NAIVE(Close)         8  55.9    57.9 -2.03  -2.03  
## 9 FB    NAIVE(Close)         9  57.7    55.9  1.83   1.83  
## 10 FB   NAIVE(Close)        10  57.6    57.7 -0.140 -0.140  
## # i 1,248 more rows
```

Facebook closing stock price

```
fit <- fb_stock |> model(NAIVE(Close))
augment(fit)
```

```
## # A tsibble: 1,258 x 7 [1]
## # Key:      Symbol, .model [1]
##   Symbol .model      trading_day Close .fitted .resid .innov
##   <chr>   <chr>          <int> <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 FB     NAIVE(Close)         1  54.7    NA     NA      NA
## 2 FB     NAIVE(Close)         2  54.6    54.7  -0.150  -0.150
## 3 FB     NAIVE(Close)         3  57.2    54.6   2.64    2.64
## 4 FB     NAIVE(Close)         4  57.9    57.2   0.720   0.720
## 5 FB     NAIVE(Close)         5  58.2    57.9   0.310   0.310
## 6 FB     NAIVE(Close)         6  57.2    58.2  -1.01   -1.01
## 7 FB     NAIVE(Close)         7  57.9    57.2   0.720   0.720
## 8 FB     NAIVE(Close)         8  55.9    57.9  -2.03   -2.03
## 9 FB     NAIVE(Close)         9  57.7    55.9   1.83    1.83
## 10 FB    NAIVE(Close)        10  57.6    57.7  -0.140  -0.140
```

 $\hat{y}_{t|t-1}$ e_t

Naïve forecasts:

$$\hat{y}_{t|t-1} = y_{t-1}$$

$$e_t = y_t - \hat{y}_{t|t-1} = y_t - y_{t-1}$$

```
## # i 1,248 more rows
```


Facebook closing stock price

```
augment(fit) |>  
  ggplot(aes(x = trading_day)) +  
  geom_line(aes(y = Close, colour = "Data")) +  
  geom_line(aes(y = .fitted, colour = "Fitted"))
```




Facebook closing stock price

```
augment(fit) |>  
  filter(trading_day > 1100) |>  
  ggplot(aes(x = trading_day)) +  
  geom_line(aes(y = Close, colour = "Data")) +  
  geom_line(aes(y = .fitted, colour = "Fitted"))
```




Facebook closing stock price

```
augment(fit) |>  
  autoplot(.resid) +  
  labs(y = "$US",  
       title = "Residuals from naïve method")
```




Facebook closing stock price

```
augment(fit) |>  
  ggplot(aes(x = .resid)) +  
  geom_histogram(bins = 150) +  
  labs(title = "Histogram of residuals")
```




Facebook closing stock price

```
augment(fit) |>  
  ACF(.resid) |>  
  autoplot() + labs(title = "ACF of residuals")
```



gg_tsresiduals() function

```
gg_tsresiduals(fit)
```



ACF of residuals

- We assume that the residuals are white noise (uncorrelated, mean zero, constant variance). If they aren't, then there is information left in the residuals that should be used in computing forecasts.
- So a standard residual diagnostic is to check the ACF of the residuals of a forecasting method.
- We *expect* these to look like white noise.

Portmanteau tests

r_k = autocorrelation of residual at lag k

Consider a *whole set* of r_k values, and develop a test to see whether the set is significantly different from a zero set.

Portmanteau tests

r_k = autocorrelation of residual at lag k

Consider a *whole set* of r_k values, and develop a test to see whether the set is significantly different from a zero set.

Box-Pierce test

$$Q = T \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} r_k^2$$

where ℓ is max lag being considered and T is number of observations.

- If each r_k close to zero, Q will be **small**.
- If some r_k values large (positive or negative), Q will be **large**.

Portmanteau tests

r_k = autocorrelation of residual at lag k

Consider a *whole set* of r_k values, and develop a test to see whether the set is significantly different from a zero set.

Ljung-Box test

$$Q^* = T(T+2) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (T-k)^{-1} r_k^2$$

where ℓ is max lag being considered and T is number of observations.

- My preferences: $\ell = 10$ for non-seasonal data, $h = 2m$ for seasonal data (where m is seasonal period).
- Better performance, especially in small samples.

Portmanteau tests

- If data are WN, Q^* has χ^2 distribution with ℓ degrees of freedom.
- $\text{lag} = \ell$

```
augment(fit) |>  
  features(.resid, ljung_box, lag = 10)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 4  
##   Symbol .model      lb_stat lb_pvalue  
##   <chr>   <chr>      <dbl>    <dbl>  
## 1 FB     NAIVE(Close)    12.1     0.276
```