Avoiding Invalid Instruments and Coping with Weak Instruments

Michael P. Murray

rchimedes said, "Give me the place to stand, and a lever long enough, and I will move the Earth" (Hirsch, Kett, and Trefil, 2002, p. 476). Economists have their own powerful lever: the instrumental variable estimator. The instrumental variable estimator can avoid the bias that ordinary least squares suffers when an explanatory variable in a regression is correlated with the regression's disturbance term. But, like Archimedes' lever, instrumental variable estimation requires both a valid instrument on which to stand and an instrument that isn't too short (or "too weak"). This paper briefly reviews instrumental variable estimation, discusses classic strategies for avoiding invalid instruments (instruments themselves correlated with the regression's disturbances), and describes recently developed strategies for coping with weak instruments (instruments only weakly correlated with the offending explanator).

As an example of biased ordinary least squares, consider whether incarcerating more criminals reduces crime. To estimate the effect of increased incarceration on crime, an economist might specify a regression with the crime rate as the dependent variable and the incarceration rate as an explanatory variable. In this regression, the naïve ordinary least squares regression could misleadingly indicate that high rates of incarceration are causing high rates of crime if the actual pattern is that more crime leads to more incarceration. Ordinary least squares provides a biased estimate of the effect of incarceration rates on crime rates in this case because the incarceration rate is correlated with the regression's disturbance term.

As another example, consider estimating consumption's elasticity of intertemporal substitution (which measures the responsiveness of consumption patterns to changes in intertemporal prices). To estimate this elasticity, economists typically specify a linear relationship between the rate of growth in consumption and the

■ Michael P. Murray is the Charles Franklin Phillips Professor of Economics, Bates College, Lewiston, Maine. His e-mail address is ⟨mmurray@bates.edu⟩.

expected real rate of return, with a coefficient on the expected real rate of return that equals the elasticity of intertemporal substitution. Unfortunately, the expected real rate of return is not generally observed, so in empirical practice economists instead use the actual rate of return, which measures the expected rate of return with error. Using a mismeasured explanator biases ordinary least squares—the effect of the measurement error in the explanator ends up being "netted out" in the disturbance term, so the mismeasured explanator is negatively correlated with the disturbance term.

In both examples, ordinary least squares estimation is biased because an explanatory variable in the regression is correlated with the error term in the regression. Such a correlation can result from an endogenous explanator, a mismeasured explanator, an omitted explanator, or a lagged dependent variable among the explanators. I call all such explanators "troublesome." Instrumental variable estimation can consistently estimate coefficients when ordinary least squares cannot—that is, the instrumental variable estimate of the coefficient will almost certainly be very close to the coefficient's true value if the sample is sufficiently large—despite troublesome explanators.¹

Regressions requiring instrumental variable estimation often have a single troublesome explanator, plus several nontroublesome explanators. For example, consider the regression

$$Y_{1i} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{2i} + \beta_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

in which Y_{li} is the dependent variable of interest (for example, the crime rate), Y_{2i} is the troublesome explanator (for example, the incarceration rate), and X_i is a vector of nontroublesome explanators (for example, the proportion of the population aged 18–25).

Instrumental variables estimation is made possible by a set of variables, Z, that are 1) uncorrelated with the error term ε_i , 2) correlated with the troublesome explanator Y_{2i} , and 3) not explanators in the original equation. The elements of Z are called instrumental variables. In effect, instrumental variable estimators use the elements of Z and their correlation with the troublesome explanator to estimate the coefficients of an equation consistently.

The most frequently used instrumental variable estimator is two-stage least squares. For simplicity, consider the case with just one troublesome explanatory variable. In this case, the first stage in two-stage least squares regresses the troublesome explanator (for example, the incarceration rate) on both the instrumental variables that make up the elements of Z and the nontroublesome explanators, X,

¹ For modern introductory treatments of instrumental variable estimation, see Murray (2006, chap. 13) and Stock and Watson (2003, chap. 10). A much longer variant of this paper uses seven empirical papers to illustrate both nine strategies for checking an instrument's validity and a class of new test procedures that are robust to weak instruments (Murray, 2005). For articles that cite many recent instrumental variable analyses, see Angrist and Krueger (2001) in this journal and Murray (2005).

using ordinary least squares. This first-stage regression (often called a "reduced form equation") is:

$$Y_{2i} = \alpha_0 + Z_i \alpha_1 + X_i \alpha_2 + \mu_i.$$

The researcher then uses the ordinary least squares coefficient estimates from this first-stage regression to form fitted values, \hat{Y}_{2i} , for the troublesome variable. For example, the \hat{Y}_{2i} might be the fitted values for the incarceration rate in a study of crime rates. In the second stage of two-stage least squares, these fitted values for the troublesome explanator are substituted for the actual values of the troublesome variable in an ordinary least squares regression of Y_{1i} on X and \hat{Y}_{2i} (for example, the crime rate is regressed on X and on the fitted value of the incarceration rate, using ordinary least squares). The second-stage coefficient estimates are the two-stage least squares estimates.

Two-stage least squares requires at least as many instruments as there are troublesome explanators. When there are too few instruments, we say the equation of interest is under-identified. When the number of instruments equals the number of troublesome variables, we say the equation of interest is exactly identified. When the number of instruments exceeds the number of troublesome explanators, we say the equation is over-identified. Strictly speaking, having at least as many instruments as troublesome variables is only a necessary condition for identification. In most applications, the condition proves sufficient. However, when there are multiple troublesome variables, some additional attention should be given to ensuring identification.²

The two-stage least squares estimator has larger standard errors than does ordinary least squares. Consequently, guarding against or overcoming the possible biases of ordinary least squares by using instrumental variables always comes at a cost. The loss of efficiency results because two-stage least squares uses only that part of the variation in the troublesome explanator, Y_2 , that appears as variation in the fitted values, the elements of \hat{Y}_2 .

Exact identification requires that the number of variables included in Z, and thus excluded from X, be equal to the number of troublesome variables. Excluding a variable from X is, therefore, sometimes called an "identifying restriction." When an equation is over-identified, we speak of corresponding "over-identifying restrictions." An increased number of over-identifying restrictions generally confers the benefit of a higher R^2 in the first stage of two-stage least squares and, therefore, yields standard errors closer to those of ordinary least squares.

Instrumental variable estimation can cure so many ills that economists might

² The requirement that the instrumental variables are not explanators in the original equation echoes the classic simultaneous equation "order condition" for identification: to be identified, an equation must exclude at least one exogenous variable for each endogenous explanator it contains—the excluded exogenous variables are then available for inclusion in Z. While the order condition is necessary for identification, it is the "rank condition" that suffices for identification. See Murray (2006, pp. 617–618) for an intuitive discussion of the rank condition.

be tempted to think of it as a panacea. But a prospective instrument can be flawed in either of two debilitating ways. First, an instrument can itself be correlated with the disturbance term in the equation of interest. We call such instruments "invalid." Invalid instruments yield a biased and inconsistent instrumental variable estimator that can be even more biased than the corresponding ordinary least squares estimator. Indeed, all instruments arrive on the scene with a dark cloud of invalidity hanging overhead. This cloud never goes entirely away, but researchers should chase away as much of the cloud as they can. Second, an instrument can be so weakly correlated with the troublesome variable that in practice it will not overcome the bias of ordinary least squares and will yield misleading estimates of statistical significance even with a very large sample size. We call such instruments "weak." Researchers need to guard against drawing misleading inferences from weak instruments.

How can economists determine that a prospective instrumental variable is valid? Must the correlation between a potential instrument and the error term be exactly zero? And how can economists determine when an instrumental variable is too weak to be useful? This article uses works by Steven Levitt (1996, 1997, 2002) that assess policies to reduce crime and Motohiro Yogo's 2004 work that estimates consumption's elasticity of intertemporal substitution, to illustrate the recent answers of econometricians to these fundamental questions. Levitt gives particular care to assessing his instruments' validity, while Yogo exploits recent theoretical advances to grapple with weak instruments.

Supporting an Instrument's Validity

Levitt (1996) analyzes the effect of changes in incarceration rates on changes in crime rates with instruments rooted in prison-overcrowding lawsuits that took place in a dozen states across a span of 30 years. These dozen states were sometimes involved in such suits and sometimes not. Other states were never involved in such lawsuits. Levitt expected (and found) that overcrowding litigation and incarceration rate changes are negatively correlated—when such suits are filed, states defensively work to reduce incarceration rates, and when such suits are won by plaintiffs, there are further declines in prison populations. Levitt bases his instruments on the stages of prison overcrowding lawsuits from filing through judgment. He argues (p. 323) that his litigation status instruments are valid because "it is plausible that prison overcrowding litigation will be related to crime rates only through crime's impact on prison populations, making the exclusion of litigation status itself from the crime equation valid."

Instrumental variable estimation can sometimes expose substantial biases in ordinary least squares. Using two-stage least squares, Levitt (1996) estimates that the effects of incarceration in reducing crime are two or three times larger in magnitude than indicated by previous ordinary least squares estimates. He estimates that the marginal benefit from incarcerating one prisoner for an additional year is \$50,000. Published estimates of the costs of incarceration indicate that one

year costs the state about \$30,000. Levitt (p. 324) concludes that "the current level of imprisonment is roughly efficient, though there may be some benefit from lengthening the time served by the current prisoner population."

Levitt (1997, 2002) has also analyzed the effects of police officers on crime. Because the number of police officers a community hires is influenced by the community's crime rate, ordinary least squares is biased when applied to a regression in which the dependent variable is the crime rate and one explanator is the number of police officers per 100,000 population. In his papers studying the effects of police on crime, Levitt offers two instrumental variable strategies for consistently estimating the effects of police on crime.

In his earlier police paper, Levitt (1997) proposes mayoral and gubernatorial election cycles as instruments for changes in the number of police officers, on the empirically supported supposition that changes in the number of officers would be correlated with mayors and governors running for re-election. (Mayors and governors running for office have an incentive to increase the quality of public services, including police protection, in the period shortly preceding elections.) Levitt's use of mayoral and gubernatorial election cycles falls prey to the efficiency loss that always accompanies instrumental variables estimation. Using those instruments, the standard errors of Levitt's instrumental variable estimates are ten times the size of the standard errors from the corresponding ordinary least squares estimation. Levitt's data yield a large instrumental variable estimate of the effect of police on violent crime rates, but the estimated effect is not significantly different from zero because the standard errors are so large. The lesson here is that even valid instruments that are correlated with the troublesome variable might still prove too inefficient to be informative.

Levitt's second instrumental variable strategy for examining the effect of police proves somewhat more informative. When McCrary (2002) showed that a programming error in Levitt's (1997) computations led to an instrumental variable estimate of the effect of police on violent crime that was too large and erroneously significant, Levitt (2002) took the opportunity to reassess the effect of police on crime rates by using the number of firefighters in a city as an instrument for the number of police. The intuitive argument here is that some of the variation in hiring police officers is due to the general state of municipal budgets, which should also show up in hiring of firefighters. The firefighter instrument yields a substantial negative estimated effect of police on crime. The estimate is smaller than the coefficient using election cycles, but it is also more precisely estimated, so the estimated effect of police on crime attains marginal statistical significance.

How much credence should be granted to instrumental variable analyses like Levitt's? It depends in part on the quality of the arguments made for the instruments' validity. In his crime papers, Levitt tests over-identifying restrictions, counters anticipated arguments about why his instruments are invalid, takes particular care with what variables are omitted from his model, compares results from alternative instruments, and appeals to intuitions that suggest his instruments' validity. The kinds of arguments Levitt makes to support the validity of his instruments are not unique to him, nor do they exhaust the ways we can support the

validity of instruments,³ but Levitt does marshal an unusually varied array of arguments in support of his instruments' validity. His strategies warrant review.

Test Over-identifying Restrictions

Valid instruments cannot themselves be relevant explanators. How, then, are we to determine that a candidate instrument is not a relevant explanator? Can we formally test whether a lone candidate instrument can be legitimately excluded from the equation of interest? For example, can we just add the candidate instrument to the model as a potential explanator and use ordinary least squares to test whether the candidate instrument is actually itself an explanator in the equation? No, this approach will not work because the equation's troublesome variable biases the ordinary least squares estimator used for such a test. However, over-identified equations do allow a variant of this test.

When examining the effect of incarceration rates on crime and in the application of his first instrumental variable strategy for studying the effect of police on crime, Levitt's crime rate equations are over-identified. In the former case, his instruments capture the status of prison overcrowding lawsuits in a state (such as filing and preliminary decision) and also distinguish between status in the year of an observation and status in years preceding an observation. In all, this yields ten lawsuit status variables to use as instrumental variables for the one troublesome variable. In the latter case, Levitt has two basic instruments—the gubernatorial and mayoral cycle variables—for his one troublesome variable; he further increases the number of instruments by interacting the election-cycle variables with city-size or region dummies.

Each additional over-identifying restriction is attractive in that it can lessen the rise in standard errors that accompanies moving from ordinary least squares to two-stage least squares. We can also exploit such over-identification to test the validity of some instruments. Intuitively, if Levitt knew that he had enough surely valid instruments to exactly identify his crime equation, he could use those instruments alone to carry out a consistent two-stage least squares estimation in which the remaining potential instruments were included among the explanators (that is, in X), rather than being used as instruments (that is, in Z). Failing to reject the null hypothesis that these remaining potential instruments all have zero coefficients in the second stage of two-stage least squares when included in X as explanators would support the validity of those extra variables as instruments. The key to this strategy's success is knowing for sure that an exactly identifying subset of the instruments are indeed valid so that two-stage least squares estimation is both possible and consistent.

However, most researchers don't know that some of their instruments are surely valid. Nor did Levitt. Instead, Levitt used a test of over-identifying restrictions

³ Murray (2005) uses seven empirical papers to illustrate nine strategies for supporting instruments' validity.

devised by Sargan (1958), which is available in some regression packages⁴ and does not require the researcher to indicate in advance which instruments are valid and which doubtful. Sargan's test asks whether any of the instruments are invalid, but assumes, as in the intuitive two-stage least squares over-identification test, that at least enough are valid to identify the equation exactly. If too few of the instruments are valid, Sargan's test is biased and inconsistent.

In the incarceration study, Levitt fails to reject the null hypothesis that all of his instruments are valid. In the police study using election-cycle instruments, Levitt obtains mixed results when testing the validity of all of his instruments; in some specifications, the test is passed, in others it is failed. On this ground, Levitt's instrumental variable estimate of the effect of incarceration rates on crime rates is more credible than his estimates of the effects of police officers on crime rates.

What is the chance that Sargan's test is invalid in Levitt's applications? In Levitt's (1997) crime study, all of the instruments are grounded in political cycles; in Levitt's (1996) study, all the instruments are grounded in overcrowding lawsuits. Sargan's test is suspect when all the instruments share a common rationale—if one instrument is invalid, it casts doubt on them all. For example, if we knew for certain that one lawsuit-related instrument was invalid, we would be apt to worry that they all were—and therefore that Sargan's test is invalid. In contrast, if Levitt could combine firefighters and election cycles as instruments in a single analysis, a failure to reject the over-identifying restrictions in such a model would have provided more comfort about the instruments' likely validity since these instrumental variables are grounded in different rationales—one might be valid when the other is not. Unfortunately, many of the cities used with the firefighter instrumental variable strategy do not have mayoral governments, so Levitt isn't able to combine these two instrumental variable strategies for estimating the effects of police on crime rates into a single approach.

Some economists are very wary of over-identification tests, because they rest on there being enough valid instruments to over-identify the relationship. Their worry is that too often, a failure to reject the null hypothesis of valid over-identifying restrictions tempts us to think we have verified the validity of *all* of the instruments. Economists should resist that temptation.

Preclude Links between the Instruments and the Disturbances

In his study of incarceration rates, Levitt (1996) attempts to anticipate and test possible arguments about why his lawsuit instruments might be invalid. For example, one potential criticism is that prison overcrowding lawsuits might result from

 $^{^4}$ Sargan's test statistic is nR^2 using the R^2 from a regression of residuals from the equation of interest (fit using the two-stage least squares estimates of that equation's parameters) on the elements of Z. The statistic has a chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom equal to (l-q), the degree of overidentification. The Stata command ivreg2 yields Sargan's test statistic. This command is an add-on to Stata. To locate the ivreg2 code from within Stata, type "findit ivreg2" on Stata's command line. Then click on the website name given for ivreg2 to update Stata. There are other tests for over-identifying restrictions. In EViews, the generalized method of moments (GMM) procedure reports Hansen's J-test, which is a more general version of Sargan's test.

past swells in crime rates even if incarceration rates were unchanged. If this were so, and if such shocks to crime rates tended to persist over time, then the instrument would be invalid. Levitt tackles the possibility head-on. He investigates whether over-crowding lawsuits can be predicted from past crime rates, and finds they cannot.

In his second study of police officers' effect on crime rates, Levitt (2002) anticipates two arguments that challenge the validity of the firefighter instrument. First, city budget constraints might mean that increases in crime rates that spur hiring more police officers lead to fewer firefighters (and lower other expenditures). Second, some increases in crime rates that spur adding police officers might also increase the need for firefighters (for example, a larger low-income population could be associated with both higher crime rates and more fire-prone residences). Unfortunately, Levitt offers no strategy for empirically assessing these specific arguments against the firefighter instrument's validity, and, as a result, Levitt's firefighter results are less compelling than they might otherwise be.

Be Diligent About Omitted Explanators

Every ordinary least squares analysis must be concerned about omitting explanatory variables that belong in the model. Ordinary least squares estimation is biased if such omitted variables are correlated with the included explanators. When doing instrumental variable estimation, this concern arises in a new form. Instrumental variable estimation is biased if an omitted explanator that belongs in the model is correlated with either the included nontroublesome explanators (the X variables) or the instrumental variables (the Zvariables). This concern requires that researchers be doubly vigilant about omitted variables when doing instrumental variable estimation.

In his first police study, Levitt's (1996) instrument is mayoral and gubernatorial election cycles. He is careful to include local welfare expenditures among his explanators, because these might lower crime rates and because they are plausibly correlated with election cycles. Even if the correlation between welfare expenditures and numbers of police officers were zero (so that omitting welfare expenditures as an explanator would not bias ordinary least squares), welfare expenditures' correlation with mayoral and gubernatorial election cycles might be large, in which case omitting such a variable could seriously bias the instrumental variable estimate of the effect of police officers on crime rates. In his second police study, using the firefighter instrument, Levitt (2002) adds a string of city-specific explanatory variables to his crime rate model to reduce the chance that the number of firefighters is correlated with omitted relevant variables. In both studies, Levitt uses his panel data to estimate fixed effects models or models in first differences to further reduce the peril that omitted relevant variables might bias his instrumental variable results.

Use Alternative Instruments

Getting similar results from alternative instruments enhances the credibility of instrumental variable estimates. For example, Levitt (2002) suggests that there is some comfort to be taken from the fact that his point estimates of the effect of police on crime using either political cycles or firefighter hiring both yield negative coefficients of appreciable magnitude. The point is a fair one, though it would be more comforting still if both estimates were statistically significant.

Sargan's formal over-identification test is, in essence, grounded in this same query: Do all of the instruments tell the same story about the parameters of interest? When it is not feasible to conduct formal over-identification tests by including all instruments in a single instrumental variable estimation, there is still information to be had by comparing the results from applying several instruments separately from one another. If the parameter estimates using different instruments differ appreciably and seemingly significantly from one another, the validity of the instruments becomes suspect. If all of the estimates are consonant with a single interpretation of the data, their credibility is enhanced.

Use and Check Intuition

Levitt (2002, p. 1245) makes a simple argument for the validity of the fire-fighter instrument: "There is little reason to think that the number of firefighters has a direct impact on crime." An intuitive argument for why an instrument is valid is better than no argument at all. Levitt goes to great lengths to provide arguments besides intuition for the validity of his instruments, but intuition is one more tool in his kit.

Of course, intuition need not stand naked and alone. Intuition can be checked. One useful check is to run reduced form regressions with the instrumental variable as the explanatory variable, and either the dependent variable of interest or the troublesome explanator as the dependent variables. For example, Levitt (1996) considers regressions with the prison-overcrowding litigation instruments as explanators for his dependent variable (changes in crime rates). Levitt finds that in this regression, the instrumental variables all have coefficients that are significantly different from zero with signs that support his identification story: increases in crime rates follow litigation, especially successful litigation. Furthermore, Levitt finds that the litigation variables for the period just *before* litigation are associated with increases in prison populations, while the litigation variables for the period during the litigation and after a judgment unfavorable to the state are associated with declines in prison populations, as his identification story would suggest.

When using these reduced form regressions to check the intuition behind an instrumental variable, it would be a danger sign to find that the coefficient on an instrumental variable has a sign that is at odds with the instrument's intuition.

Pretesting variables in regression analysis has long been known to lead to inconsistency (Leamer, 1978; Miller, 1990). Recently, Hansen, Hausman, and Newey (2005) explore pretesting in the specific case of instrumental variable estimation; they conclude that fishing in a set of potential instruments to find significant ones is also a poor idea. The set of instruments should be assessed together; Arellano, Hansen, and Sentana (1999) offer a suitable formal test. With data mining frowned upon, it is all the more important to diligently apply intuition when selecting potential instruments.

The cloud of uncertainty that hovers over instrumental variable estimates is never entirely dispelled. Even if formal tests are passed and intuition is satisfied, how much credence you grant to any one instrumental variable study can legitimately differ from how much credence I grant it. But that said, Levitt's work shows how the thorough use of validity checks can lighten the clouds of uncertain validity.

Coping with Weak Instruments

The intertemporal elasticity of substitution, which measures the responsiveness of consumption patterns to changes in intertemporal prices, plays an important role in a number of economic applications. For a broad class of preferences defined by Epstein and Zin (1989), an investor consumes a constant fraction of wealth only if his or her elasticity of intertemporal substitution is one. (With such a unitary elasticity, if the expected real rate of return were to rise two percentage points, the ratio of tomorrow's consumption to today's consumption would rise by 2 percent.) For a commonly assumed subset of Epstein–Zin preferences, such a unitary elasticity also implies that the investor is myopic (Campbell and Viciera, 2002). In many neo-Keynesian macro models, the elasticity is a parameter of an intertemporal *IS* curve that ties together the current interest rate, the expected future interest rate, and the equilibrium level of current output (Woodford, 2003). Motohiro Yogo (2004) estimates the intertemporal elasticity of substitution in an analysis that exemplifies current best practice for dealing with weak instruments.

Yogo (2004) estimates the intertemporal elasticity of substitution, Ψ , in each of eleven countries, and tests in each country the null hypothesis that the elasticity is equal to one. Yogo specifies that consumption growth depends on the expected real rate of return. For a utility-maximizing consumer with Epstein–Zin preferences, Ψ is the slope coefficient on the expected real rate of return. In general, the elasticity is positive because a higher expected interest rate spurs consumers to save by shifting consumption from the present into the future.

Because the expected real rate of return is not generally observed, Yogo substitutes the actual real rate of return for the expected rate. The actual real rate of return measures the expected real rate of return with error, so ordinary least squares estimation would be biased if Yogo used it to estimate Ψ . To avoid this bias, Yogo uses instrumental variables estimation. His instruments are lagged values of 1) the nominal interest rate, 2) inflation, 3) the log of the dividend–price ratio, and 4) the growth in consumption. He estimates that the elasticity is less than one in all eleven of the countries he studies, and he rejects everywhere the null hypothesis of a unitary elasticity.

Yogo is not the first economist to estimate the elasticity of intertemporal substitution using lagged economic variables as instruments. For example, Hall (1988) also regresses the growth in consumption on the real rate of return to estimate Ψ , and Hansen and Singleton (1983) estimate the "reverse regression," with the real rate of return as the dependent variable and the growth in consumption as the explanator to obtain $1/\Psi$. Both of these studies performed

instrumental variable estimation with identification strategies quite similar to Yogo's. These two regression approaches have created a long-standing puzzle. Regressions of consumption growth on the real rate of return tend to yield *small* instrumental variable estimates of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution Ψ , but the reverse instrumental variable regressions imply *large* estimates of Ψ . Yogo uses the latest instrumental variable techniques to resolve this puzzle and to narrow greatly the range of plausible estimates of the elasticity of intertemporal substitution. Yogo's work reveals that the puzzle of the estimated size of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution arose because researchers relied on weak instruments.

Although the primary focus here will be on the problems weak instruments pose for two-stage least squares and how Yogo deals with those problems, his argument for the validity of these instruments deserves mention. He appeals to economic theory to establish the validity of his instruments. Rational expectations and efficient market hypotheses declare that current changes in some variables—perhaps most famously the stock market—will be uncorrelated with all past outcomes. Hall (1988) applies a similar argument to consumption in the context of a consumer who decides how much to consume in a year out of his or her lifetime income. Hall (p. 340) writes: "Actual movements of consumption differ from planned movements by a completely unpredictable random variable that indexes all the information available next year that was not incorporated in the planning process the year before." Hall's argument provides a theoretical basis for Yogo's (2004) use of lagged economic variables as instruments for the real rate of return: past (that is, lagged) variables are not systematically correlated with unexpected changes in current consumption. To overcome problems raised by consumption measures being aggregated across a year (Hall, 1988), Yogo lags his instrumental variables two years, instead of one.

As a starting point to understanding the problems posed by weak instrumental variables, it is useful to review the virtues of "strong" instruments (instruments that have a high correlation with the troublesome explanator). If an equation is over-identified, so that the number of instruments exceeds the number of troublesome explanators, strong instruments can provide estimates of coefficients that have small biases and approximately normal standard errors in moderately large samples. In particular, when the researcher claims that a coefficient from a two-stage least squares regression is statistically significant at the 5 percent level, the level is *actually* approximately 5 percent in moderately large samples. (One additional caveat here: the number of instruments should not be large relative to the sample size.) Even in the exactly identified case, in moderately large samples the two-stage least square's median is, on average, about equal to the true parameter value⁵ and inferences based on two-stage least squares tend to be approximately valid.

⁵ The reference here is to the median rather than the mean because when an equation is exactly identified the finite-sample mean of two-stage least squares is infinite. When an equation is exactly identified, or has one over-identifying restriction, two-stage least squares' finite sample variance does not

When instruments are weak, however, two serious problems emerge for two-stage least squares. First is a problem of bias. Even though two-stage least squares coefficient estimates are consistent—so that they almost certainly approach the true value as the sample size approaches infinity—the estimates are always biased in finite samples. When the instrumental variable is weak, this bias can be large, even in very large samples. Second, when an instrumental variable is weak, two-stage least squares' estimated standard errors become far too small. Thus, when instruments are weak, confidence intervals computed for two-stage least squares estimates can be very misleading because their mid-point is biased and their width is too narrow, which undermines hypothesis tests based on two-stage least squares. Let's consider these two difficulties in turn.

The Finite-Sample Bias in Two-Stage Least Squares

That two-stage least squares can be biased in finite samples is understood most simply by considering the case in which the only explanator in the equation of interest is a single troublesome variable and the number of instruments equals the number of observations. In this case, the first stage of two-stage least squares fits the troublesome variable exactly—ordinary least squares always fits perfectly when the number of variables equals the number of observations. Consequently, in this case, the second stage of two-stage least squares simply replaces the troublesome variable with itself, and the two-stage least squares estimator equals the (biased) ordinary least squares estimator.

It is long-established that two-stage least squares will estimate coefficients with a bias in *all* finite sample sizes, as explained by Rothenberg (1983, 1984) and Phillips (1983) in their quite general treatments of two-stage least squares' finite-sample properties. Nelson and Startz (1990a, 1990b) offer a nicely simplified approach that highlights the finite-sample problems of two-stage least squares without losing substance. So let's simplify.

Assume that the single explanator in an ordinary least squares regression is troublesome. Thus, the original ordinary least squares regression becomes:

$$Y_{1i} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{2i} + \varepsilon_i.$$

Instrumental variables Z are used to derive a new value for the troublesome explanator Y_{2i} by using the regression:

$$Y_{2i} = \alpha_0 + Z_i \alpha_1 + \mu_i.$$

For convenience, choose units of measure for Y_1 and Y_2 such that $Var(\varepsilon_i) = 1$ and $Var(\mu_i) = 1$. A consequence of these variance assumptions is that the $Cov(\varepsilon_i, \mu_i)$

exist either. In these cases, two-stage least squares can be wildly wrong more often than we might anticipate.

equals the correlation coefficient of ε_i and μ_i , which we call ρ . Because the instruments in Z are uncorrelated with ε_i (by assumption), ρ also measures the degree to which Y_2 is troublesome—that is the degree to which Y_2 is correlated with the disturbances in the original ordinary least squares regression. Finally, let \tilde{R}^2 refer to how much of the variance in the troublesome explanator, Y_2 , is explained in the population by the instrumental variables Z in the second equation; in other words, \tilde{R}^2 measures the strength of the correlation between the instrumental variables and the troublesome variable.

Hahn and Hausman (2005) show that, in this simplified specification, the finite-sample bias of two-stage least squares for the over-identified situation in which the number of instrumental variables exceeds the number of troublesome variables is, to a second-order approximation:

$$E(\beta_1^{2SLS}) - \beta_1 \approx \frac{l\rho(1-\tilde{R}^2)}{n\tilde{R}^2}.$$

This equation requires some unpacking. The left-hand side expresses the bias of the two-stage least squares coefficient—it is the expected value of the two-stage least squares estimator of the coefficient of interest minus the true value of that coefficient. The numerator of the right-hand side shows that the extent of the bias rises with three factors: l, which is the number of instruments used; ρ , which is the extent to which the troublesome explanator was correlated with the error term in the original ordinary least squares regression (ρ thus captures the extent of the bias in the original ordinary least squares regression); and $(1-\tilde{R}^2)$, which will be larger when the instrumental variables are weak, and smaller when the instrumental variables are strong. The variable ρ can be positive or negative, and determines whether the direction of two-stage least squares' bias will be positive or negative.

The denominator of the right-hand-side expression shows that the bias falls as the sample size, n, rises. Indeed, the degree of bias goes to zero as the sample size becomes very large, which reflects the consistency of the two-stage least squares estimator. The \tilde{R}^2 term appears in the denominator as well. Again, the more weakly the instrument is correlated with the troublesome variable, the larger the finite-sample bias of two-stage least squares. With a very weak instrument, two-stage least squares might be seriously biased in even quite large samples (Bound, Jaeger, and Baker, 1995; Staiger and Stock, 1997).

Recall that adding valid instruments can reduce the variance of the two-stage least squares estimator, which makes adding such instruments appealing. This, for example, was an attraction of Levitt's over-identification of his crime rate equations. We now see that adding valid instruments can have a down-side: adding instruments that add little to \tilde{R}^2 can increase the finite-sample bias of two-stage least

⁶ This paper does not discuss methods for coping with many instruments; see Hansen, Hausman, and Newey (2005) for one useful strategy.

squares. This adverse effect is particularly worrisome when the instruments are weak, because then both the finite-sample bias and its increase might be appreciable even in very large samples.

The basic purpose of two-stage least squares estimation is to avoid the bias that ordinary least squares suffers when an equation contains troublesome explanators. But if the consistent two-stage least squares estimator is biased in finite samples, a fundamental question arises: "Is the finite sample bias of two-stage least squares smaller than that of ordinary least squares?" The simplified specification sketched a moment ago yields a rough rule of thumb: When n times the R^2 from the first stage of two-stage least squares is larger than the number of instruments, two-stage least squares tends to be less biased than ordinary least squares. Hahn and Hausman (2005) show that in the simplified over-identified specification described earlier, the ratio between the finite-sample biases of two-stage least squares and ordinary least squares with a troublesome explanator is:

$$rac{Bias(eta_1^{2SLS})}{Bias(eta_1^{OLS})} pprox rac{l}{n ilde{R}^2}.$$

This relationship shows that the relative bias of the two-stage least squares approach grows with the number of instrumental variables, l. However, the relative bias of the two-stage least squares approach declines as sample size, n, rises, and it also declines as the strength of the instrumental variables increase as measured by \tilde{R}^2 . Thus, as long as the denominator $n\tilde{R}^2$ is larger than the number of instruments—which will often hold true if the instruments are strong—two-stage least squares has a smaller bias than ordinary least squares. Note, too, that because the right-hand side of this equation is always positive, two-stage least squares tends to be biased in the same direction as ordinary least squares—at least for this simplified specification with a single troublesome variable.

Finding a rule of thumb for when an instrument is strong enough to be less biased than ordinary least squares suggests that there might exist a more reliable *formal* test for when an instrumental variable is "too weak" to be trustworthy. Stock and Yogo (2005) provide such a test and its critical values. The null hypothesis in this test is that the bias of two-stage least squares is less than some fraction (for example, less than 10 percent) of the bias of ordinary least squares.

When there is a single troublesome explanator, the Stock-Yogo test uses the same test statistic (a classic *F*statistic) commonly used to test the null hypothesis that the instruments all have zero coefficients in the first stage of two-stage least squares. The critical values for the Stock-Yogo test are not drawn from the standard *F*-distribution, however. Instead, Stock and Yogo offer critical values that depend on our hypothesis regarding how much of the bias suffered by ordinary least squares has been overcome by two-stage least squares. The critical values that Stock and

Yogo calculate depend on the number of instruments, *l*, and on the number of troublesome explanators.⁷

Biased Standard-Error Estimates in Two-Stage Least Squares

The estimated variance of two-stage least squares is generally biased downward in finite samples, and the bias can become quite large when the instruments are weak (Nelson and Startz, 1990a). Thus, weak valid instruments are likely to distort the significance levels usually claimed for tests based upon two-stage least squares—null hypotheses are too often rejected because the estimated variances are too small. Moreover, when instruments are weak, the asymptotic distribution of the two-stage least squares estimator is decidedly nonnormal (Stock, Wright, and Yogo, 2002).

Stock and Yogo (2005) show that the general approach that is suitable for assessing the reduction of bias achieved by two-stage least squares can be adapted to assessing the actual significance level of two-stage-least-squares-based hypothesis tests. The test statistics Stock and Yogo use in this application are the same ones they use to test the extent of bias reduction, but the critical values are different. As with Stock–Yogo tests about bias-reduction, the critical values for Stock–Yogo tests about the validity of usually stated significance levels depend on the number of instruments and the number of troublesome explanators, but these validity tests require less over-identification than do the tests about bias.⁸

When the Stock and Yogo (2005) tests reject the null hypothesis that valid instruments are weak, two-stage least squares coefficient estimates and their corresponding estimated standard errors are probably not much biased, and inference based on them is probably valid. A caveat is warranted here: if a researcher engages in pretesting for weak instruments, that pretesting changes the distribution of the two-stage least squares estimates that one finally examines. Andrews and Stock (2005) suggest foregoing two-stage least squares altogether because they prefer to avoid the potential pitfalls of pretesting. However, others may prefer to use two-stage least squares when the Stock–Yogo test indicates that their instruments are strong.

In Yogo's (2004) instrumental variable analysis of the intertemporal elas-

As an example, consider the null hypothesis that the true significance level of hypothesis tests about the troublesome explanators' coefficients is smaller than 10 percent when the usually stated significance level is 5 percent. For one instrument and a single troublesome explanator, Stock and Yogo (2005) report that the critical value of the classic *F*-statistic is 16.38 for their test of this hypothesis. For two instruments, the corresponding critical value is 19.93. And for three instruments, the corresponding critical value is 22.30.

⁷ As an example, consider the null hypothesis that the bias in two-stage least squares is less than 10 percent of the bias of ordinary least squares when there is a single troublesome explanator. For three instruments, Stock and Yogo (2005) report that the critical value of the classic *F*-statistic is 9.08 for their test of this hypothesis. For four instruments, the corresponding critical value is 10.27. To test for reduced bias requires some over-identification; for example, the Stock–Yogo test for reduced bias cannot be conducted with fewer than three instruments when there is a single troublesome variable. When there are multiple troublesome variables, the Stock–Yogo test no longer relies on an *F*-statistic, but on its multivariate generalization, the Cragg–Donald statistic, which is available in some software packages. ⁸ As an example, consider the null hypothesis that the true significance level of hypothesis tests about the troublesome explanators' coefficients is smaller than 10 percent when the usually stated significance

ticity of substitution, he conducts Stock-Yogo tests of both bias reduction and significance-level distortion. He examines the weakness of his instruments in these regards both for the specification in which the real rate of return is the troublesome variable (the direct regression) and for the specification in which consumption growth is the troublesome variable (the reverse regression).

In Yogo's quarterly data, Stock-Yogo tests reveal that the real rate of return is predictable enough for two-stage least squares applied to the direct regression to provide relatively unbiased parameter estimates, but is not predictable enough to avoid distorted significance levels for tests based upon those two-stage least squares estimates. In contrast, Stock-Yogo tests reveal that consumption growth is so poorly predicted by the instrumental variables that the two-stage least squares estimates of the reverse regression are seriously biased, and tests based upon those estimates suffer seriously understated significance levels.

Yogo uses his test results to explain why economists using direct regressions and reverse regressions could get such puzzlingly different confidence intervals for the elasticity of intertemporal substitution. With weak instruments, two-stage least squares yields inappropriately narrowed confidence intervals (and hence distorted significance levels) for the intertemporal elasticity of substitution in both direct and reverse regressions. Moreover, those confidence intervals are apt to be centered differently from one another because the former are almost unbiased, while the latter are markedly biased. In sum, Yogo's analysis shows that weak instruments have given rise to the puzzle about the size of the elasticity of intertemporal substitution.

Inference and Estimation with Weak Instruments

Two-stage least squares is a poor strategy for estimation and hypothesis testing when instruments are weak and the model is over-identified. Yogo's analysis highlights this point. That weak instruments can undermine two-stage least squares has been widely known since the works of Nelson and Startz (1990a, 1990b) and Bound, Jaeger, and Baker (1995). Having recognized the problem, economists then sought solutions. One thread of the search asked "What, exactly, are weak instruments, and how can we test for them?" This thread led to Stock and Yogo's tests for bias reduction and significance level distortion. A second thread of the search asked "How should researchers carry out inference and estimation when instruments are weak?" Recently, this thread has also made significant progress.

The state of the art for hypothesis testing with weak instruments and a single troublesome explanator is a "conditional likelihood ratio" test, developed by Moreira (2003) and explored further by Andrews, Moreira, and Stock (2006) and Andrews and Stock (2005). How does the conditional likelihood ratio test differ

⁹ Frank Kleibergen (2002) independently developed a testing strategy closely akin to Moreira's. I dwell on Moreira's approach in part because it is the tool Yogo uses and in part because the software for it is readily available. A Stata command for implementing the two-sided conditional likelihood ratio test can be downloaded from within Stata. The programs are at Marcelo Moreira's Harvard website. If the disturbances in the original ordinary least squares regression are heteroskedastic or serially correlated,

from an ordinary instrumental-variable-based hypothesis test? Moreira's test overcomes the distortions of standard tests by adjusting the critical values for hypothesis tests from sample to sample so that, for given data, the critical values used yield a correct significance level. Thus, his critical values are "conditioned" on the data in hand, not constant. Andrews and Stock (2005) and Andrews, Moreira, and Stock (2006) argue persuasively that the conditional likelihood ratio test should be the test of choice in over-identified instrumental variable applications when the instruments are weak and there is a single troublesome explanator. However, some users of two-stage least squares may prefer to stick with standard statistical tests when their instruments are strong. How best to conduct hypothesis-testing about the coefficients of a subset from among several troublesome variables when instruments are weak remains an open question, but Kleibergen (2004) and Dufour and Taamouti (2005; forthcoming) offer suggestions.

The conditional likelihood ratio test provides a strategy for constructing confidence intervals for the coefficient of a lone troublesome variable with weak instrumental variables: calculate the confidence interval as the set of coefficient values that would not be rejected in Moreira's conditional likelihood ratio test at the desired level of statistical significance. How to build valid confidence intervals when there are multiple troublesome explanators and weak instruments remains an open question.

Yogo (2004) uses a Moreira-style conditional likelihood ratio test in studying the intertemporal elasticity of substitution, Ψ . With this approach, Yogo rejects the null hypothesis that $\Psi=1$ in each of the eleven countries that he studies. Yogo also uses Moreira's approach to construct confidence intervals for the intertemporal elasticity of substitution. Like the direct regression estimates obtained with two-stage least squares, Yogo's conditional likelihood-based confidence intervals indicate that Ψ is less than one. Thus, the results using the conditional likelihood ratio test support Yogo's finding using the Stock and Yogo (2005) critical values: two-stage least squares is approximately unbiased when applied to the direct regressions of consumption growth on the real interest rate, but quite biased because of weak instruments when applied to indirect regressions of the real interest rate on consumption growth.

The conditional likelihood test provides a strong foundation for building confidence intervals, but it does not provide point estimates. Theorists agree that two-stage least squares performs badly in over-identified models when instruments are weak, but there has been debate about what point estimator to use instead.

then heteroskedasticity- and serial-correlation-robust versions of the conditional likelihood ratio test should be used to build confidence intervals.

¹⁰ The Stata routines for conducting conditional likelihood ratio (CLR) tests also offer CLR-based confidence intervals computed with an algorithm from Mikusheva (2005). Confidence intervals based on conditional likelihood ratios can have the unusual property of being made up of disjoint sets. See Murray (2005) for a discussion.

Increasingly, theorists endorse two of Fuller's (1977) estimators as the best choices (Andrews and Stock, 2005; Hahn, Hausman, and Kuersteiner, 2003). 11

When Instruments are Both Weak and Invalid

When an invalid instrument is "almost valid," that is when its nonzero covariance with the errors is small in some suitable sense, is the consequent bias in two-stage least squares also small? Strong and almost valid instruments do tend to bias two-stage least squares only a little. However, weak instruments that are almost valid bias two-stage least squares markedly more than do their strong counterparts (Hahn and Hausman, 2005). Consequently, weak instruments require giving particular attention to establishing the validity of instruments; "almost valid" might not do.

This observation has implications for an argument sometimes made when lagged variable values are used as instruments. Analysts sometimes use longer lags of potential instruments on the supposition that the longer lags will provide a better instrument because a longer lag will reduce any correlation between the instrument and the disturbances in the error term of the original ordinary least squares regression. However, more distant lags are also more likely to be weakly correlated with the troublesome explanator, which means that using distant lags increases the prospect that even "mild" invalidity in the instrument threatens to undermine the credibility of the two-stage least squares estimates. Consequently, the case made for the validity of long-lagged variable values as instruments must be especially compelling for such instrumental variable results to be credible.

The Next Big Thing: Heterogeneous Responses and Instrumental Variable Estimation

Presumably, states that lost prison overcrowding lawsuits wanted to lower their prison populations judiciously, whenever possible releasing, or not imprisoning, criminals relatively disinclined to commit further crimes. Had those states instead reduced prison populations by the same amount by pursuing a "Pity the Habitually Frequent Perpetrator" policy, in which particularly chronic offenders were released, the observed effect of lower incarceration rates on crime rates would probably have been considerably larger than Levitt observed. The example is whimsical, but the point is substantial: the response of expected crime rates to lower incarceration rates is probably heterogeneous across states and times. Heterogeneous responses of the expected value of Y to changes in X pose problems for regression analyses in general and instrumental variable estimation in particular. If responses are heterogeneous, economists must decide what aspects of the distribution of responses are economically interesting and determine what econometric techniques can uncover those aspects of the responses (Angrist, Graddy, and Imbens, 2000).

 $^{^{11}}$ These tests are available in Stata's ivreg2 command, namely those with the "Fuller parameter" set to 2 or 4.

In Levitt's work, one might argue that policymakers would usually shift prison populations much as did the states facing overcrowding lawsuits, in which case seeing how crime rates responded to changes in incarceration in those states might be instructive for officials elsewhere pondering new policies. But suppose Levitt's instrumental variable estimation had avoided ordinary least squares' bias by relying on some states having been randomly assigned to "Pity the Habitually Frequent Perpetrator" programs, instead of on haphazardly occurring overcrowding lawsuits. The resulting instrumental variable estimates would have probably overstated the responsiveness of crime rates to the sorts of policy changes that sensible state officials would follow. Generally, when an instrumental variable is correlated with heterogeneous responses, instrumental variable estimates will not reveal the mean responsiveness, which is called the "average partial effect" (Wooldridge, 2002). The mean responsiveness across the population of all samples might, however, not even be the effect of economic interest. Instead, one might want to know, for example, the effect of changes in incarceration rates for a specific subset of the population. As Heckman, Urzua, and Vytlacil (2004, p. 2) write, "[I]n a heterogeneous response model, there is no guarantee that IV [instrumental variables] is any closer to the parameter of interest than OLS [ordinary least squares]."

Heterogeneous effects were first extensively studied in the context of dummy variable explanators, where the dummy variable indicates whether some experimental or programmatic treatment is applied to the observation (Imbens and Angrist, 1994). When responses are heterogeneous in such a model, the instrumental variable estimates are called "local average treatment effects." When X is not a dummy variable, the instrumental variable estimators are said to estimate "local average partial effects" (Wooldridge, 2002, chap. 18). The word "local" refers to the fact that the instrumental variable estimator, in using only a portion of the variation in the X variable (the portion that accompanies variation in the instrument), might also restrict attention to a subset (a locale) of the responses to X.

Heckman, Urzua, and Vytlacil (2004, p. 2) point out: "In a model with essential heterogeneity, different instruments, valid for the homogeneous response model, identify different parameters. The right question to ask is 'what parameter or combination of parameters is being identified by the instrument?', not 'what is the efficient combination of instruments for a fixed parameter?', the traditional question addressed by econometricians." A recent spate of papers has begun advancing our knowledge of heterogeneous response models—including Heckman and Vytlacil (2005), Carneiro, Heckman, and Vytlacil (2005), and Heckman, Urzua, and Vytlacil (2004)—but much work remains to be done before social scientists will be as adept at grappling with heterogeneity as with instrument weakness or invalidity.

Conclusion

The barriers to Archimedes moving the earth with a lever were more daunting than the challenges facing instrumental variables estimation, but the comparison is apt. The perils of invalid and weak instruments open all instrumental variable estimates to skepticism. Although instrumental variable estimation can be a powerful tool for avoiding the biases that ordinary least squares estimation suffers when a troublesome explanator is correlated with the disturbances, the work of Steven Levitt on policies to reduce crime and that of Motohiro Yogo on the intertemporal elasticity of substitution illustrate that applying instrumental variables persuasively requires imagination, diligence, and sophistication.

The discussion here of Levitt and Yogo's work yields three broad suggestions for researchers about avoiding invalid instruments, coping with weak instruments, and interpreting instrumental variable estimates. First, subject candidate instruments to intuitive, empirical, and theoretical scrutiny to reduce the risk of using invalid instruments. We can never entirely dispel the clouds of uncertain validity that hang over instrumental variable analyses, but we should chase away what clouds we can. Second, because weak instruments can cripple two-stage least squares estimation in over-identified models, use "robust" instrumental variable procedures, such as the conditional likelihood ratio procedures and Fuller's estimators, whose good properties are not undermined by weak instruments, or, minimally, test candidate instruments for weakness before using them in vulnerable procedures like two-stage least squares. Third, before estimating a regression model, ask whether the behavioral responses one seeks to understand are markedly varied across one's population. If they are, carefully consider what aspects of those responses are economically important and whether proposed instruments are likely to identify the behavior one wishes to understand.

We have also garnered insights into assessing both increases in the number of valid instruments and the use of mildly invalid instruments. Introducing additional valid instruments can decrease the standard error of the two-stage least squares estimator, but if the added instruments don't much increase \tilde{R}^2 —the population correlation between the troublesome explanator and its first-stage fitted values they can also increase the finite-sample bias of two-stage least squares. The increase in bias can be large in even very large samples when the set of instruments as a whole is weak. Two-stage least squares can also suffer large finite-sample biases when the total number of instruments is large relative to the sample size.

In moderately large samples, strong instruments that are "almost valid" tend to incur only small biases for two-stage least squares in moderately large samples. However, when "almost valid" instruments are weak, two-stage least squares can suffer substantial biases. Biases due to almost valid instruments do not disappear as the sample size grows. These findings suggest that the weaker one's instruments, the stronger one's validity arguments should be.

■ Daron Acemoglu, Manuel Arellano, Bill Becker, Denise DiPasquale, Jerry Hausman, Jim Heckman, James Hines, Simon Johnson, Peter Kennedy, Jeff Kling, Marcelo Moreira, Jack Porter, Andre Shleifer, Carl Schwinn, Jim Stock, Michael Waldman, and Motohiro Yogo provided helpful comments on drafts of this paper. Timothy Taylor edited the paper with particular care. I am grateful to Vaibhav Bajpai for able research assistance.

References

Andrews, Donald W. K., Marcelo J. Moreira, and James H. Stock. 2005. "Optimal Invariant Similar Tests for Instrumental Variables Regression with Weak Instruments." Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper No. 1476.

Andrews, Donald W. K., Marcelo J. Moreira, and James H. Stock. 2006. "Optimal Two-sided Invariant Similar Tests for Instrumental Variables Regression." *Econometrica*. 74:3, pp. 715–52.

Andrews, Donald W. K., and James H. Stock. 2005. "Inference with Weak Instruments." Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper No. 1530.

Angrist, Joshua D., Katherine Graddy, and Guido W. Imbens. 2000. "Instrumental Variables Estimators in Simultaneous Equations Models with an Application to the Demand for Fish." *Review of Economic Studies.* 67:3, pp. 499–527.

Angrist, Joshua D. and Alan B. Krueger. 2001. "Instrumental Variables and the Search for Identification: From Supply and Demand to Natural Experiments." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 15:4, pp. 69–85.

Arellano, Manuel, Lars P. Hansen, and Enrique Sentana. 1999. "Underidentification?" Unpublished paper, July.

Bound, John, David Jaeger, and Regina Baker. 1995. "Problems with Instrumental Variables Estimation When the Correlation Between the Instruments and the Endogenous Explanatory Variable is Weak." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 90:430, pp. 443-450.

Campbell, John Y. and Lucia M. Viceira. 2002. Strategic Asset Allocation: Portfolio Choice for Long-Term Investors. Clarendon Lectures in Economics. New York: Oxford University Press.

Carneiro, Pedro, James J. Heckman, and Edward Vytlacil. 2005. "Understanding What Instrumental Variables Estimate: Estimating Marginal and Average Returns to Education." Unpublished paper.

Dufour, Jean-Marie, and Mohamed Taamouti. 2005. "Projection-Based Statistical Inference in Linear Structural Models with Possibly Weak Instruments." *Econometrica.* 73:4, pp. 1351–65.

Dufour, Jean-Marie and Mohamed Taamouti. Forthcoming. "Further Results on Projection-Based Inference in IV Regressions with Weak, Collinear or Missing Instruments." *Journal of Econometrics*.

Epstein, Larry G. and Stanley E. Zin. 1989. "Substitution, Risk Aversion, and the Temporal Behavior of Consumption and Asset Returns: A Theoretical Framework." *Econometrica*. 57:4, pp. 937–69.

Fuller, Wayne A. 1977. "Some Properties of a Modification of the Limited Information Maxi-

mum Likelihood Estimator." *Econometrica*. 45:4, pp. 939–54.

Hahn, Jinyong and Jerry Hausman. 2005. "Instrumental Variable Estimation with Valid and Invalid Instruments." Unpublished paper, Cambridge, MA, July.

Hahn, Jinyong, Jerry Hausman, and Guido Kuersteiner. 2004. "Estimation with Weak Instruments: Accuracy of Higher Order Bias and MSE Approximations." *Econometrics Journal.* 7:1, pp. 272–306.

Hall, Robert E. 1988. "Intertemporal Substitution in Consumption." *Journal of Political Economy*. 96:2, pp. 339–57.

Hansen, Christian, Jerry Hausman, and Whitney Newey. 2005. "Estimation with Many Instrumental Variables." Unpublished paper, Cambridge MA, July.

Hansen, Lars P. and Kenneth J. Singleton. 1983. "Stochastic Consumption, Risk Aversion, and the Temporal Behavior of Asset Returns." *Journal of Political Economy*, 91:2, pp. 249–65.

Heckman, James J., Sergio Urzua, and Edward Vytlacil. 2004. "Understanding Instrumental Variables in Models with Essential Heterogeneity." Unpublished paper.

Heckman, James J. and Edward Vytlacil. 2005. "Structural Equations, Treatment Effects and Econometric Policy Evaluation." *Econometrica*. 73:3, pp. 669–738, May.

Hirsch, E. Donald, Joseph. F. Kett, and James Trefil. 2002. The New Dictionary of Cultural Literacy, 3rd Edition. Houghton Mifflin Company.

Imbens, Guido and Angrist, Joshua D. 1994. "Identification and Estimation of Local Average Treatment Effects. *Econometrica*. 62:2, pp. 467–76

Kleibergen, Frank. 2002. "Pivotal Statistics for Testing Structural Parameters in Instrumental Variables Regression." *Econometrica*. 70:5, pp. 1781–1803.

Kleibergen, Frank. 2004. "Testing Subsets of Structural Parameters in the Instrumental Variables Regression Model." *Review of Economics and Statistics.* 86:3, pp. 418–23.

Leamer, Edward E. 1978. Specification Searches: Ad Hoc Inference with Non-Experimental Data. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.

Levitt, Steven D. 1996. "The Effect of Prison Population Size on Crime Rates: Evidence from Prison Overcrowding Litigation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics.* 111:2, pp. 319–51.

Levitt, Steven D. 1997. "Using Electoral Cycles in Police Hiring to Estimate the Effect of Police on Crime." *American Economic Review.* 87:4, pp. 270–90.

Levitt, Steven D. 2002. "Using Electoral Cycles in Police Hiring to Estimate the Effect of Police on Crime: Reply." *American Economic Review.* 92:4, pp. 1244–50.

McCrary, Justin. 2002. "Using Electoral Cycles in Police Hiring to Estimate the Effect of Police on Crime: Comment." *American Economic Review*. 92:4, pp. 1236–43.

Miller, Alan J. 1990. Subset Selection in Regression. New York: Chapman-Hall.

Mikusheva, Anna. 2005. "An Algorithm for Constructing Confidence Intervals Robust to Weak Instruments." Unpublished paper, Cambridge, MA, October.

Moreira, Marcelo J. 2003. "A Conditional Likelihood Test for Structural Models." *Econometrica*. 71:4, pp. 1027–48.

Moreira, Marcelo J. 2005. "Tests with Correct Size When Instruments Can Be Arbitrarily Weak." Unpublished paper, Cambridge, MA, July.

Murray, Michael P. 2005. "The Bad, the Weak, and the Ugly: Avoiding the Pitfalls of Instrumental Variables Estimation." Social Science Research Network Working Paper No. 843185.

Murray, Michael P. 2006. *Econometrics: A Modern Introduction*. Boston: Addison-Wesley.

Nelson, Charles R. and Richard Startz. 1990a. "The Distribution of the Instrumental Variables Estimator and Its t-Ratio When the Instrument Is a Poor One." *Journal of Business*. 63:1, pt. 2, pp. S125–S140.

Nelson, Charles R. and Richard Startz. 1990b. "Some Further Results on the Exact Small Sample Properties of the Instrumental Variables Estimator." *Econometrica*. 58:4, pp. 967–76.

Phillips, Peter C. B. 1983. "Exact Small Sample Theory in the Simultaneous Equations Model," in *Handbook of Econometrics*. Vol. 1. Zvi Griliches and Michael D. Intriligator, eds. Elsevier, pp. 449–516.

Rothenberg, Thomas J. 1983. "Asymptotic

Properties of Some Estimators in Structural Models," in *Studies in Econometrics, Time Series, and Multivariate Statistics*, in honor of T. W. Anderson. Samuel Karlin, Takeshi Amemiya, and Leo A. Goodman, eds. Academic Press, pp. 297–405.

Rothenberg, Thomas J. 1984. "Approximating the Distributions of Econometric Estimators and Test Statistics," in *Handbook of Econometrics*. Vol. 2. Zvi Griliches and Michael D. Intriligator, eds. Elsevier, pp. 881–935.

Sargan, J. Denis. 1958. "The Estimation of Economic Relationships with Instrumental Variables." *Econometrica*. 26:3, pp. 393–415.

Staiger, Douglas and James H. Stock. 1997. "Instrumental Variables Regressions with Weak Instruments." *Econometrica*. 65:3, pp. 557–86.

Stock, James H., and Mark W. Watson. 2003. *Introduction to Econometrics*. Boston, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Stock, James H. and Motohiro Yogo. 2005. "Testing for Weak Instruments in IV Regression," in *Identification and Inference for Econometric Models: A Festschrift in Honor of Thomas Rothenberg.* Donald W. K. Andrews and James H. Stock, eds. Cambridge University Press, pp.80–108.

Stock, James H., Jonathan H. Wright, and Motohiro Yogo. 2002. "A Survey of Weak Instruments and Weak Identification in Generalized Method of Moments," *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. 20:4, pp. 518–29.

Woodford, Michael D. 2003. *Interest and Prices: Foundations of a Theory of Monetary Policy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. 2002. Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Yogo, Motohiro. 2004. "Estimating the Elasticity of Intertemporal Substitution When Instruments are Weak." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 86:3, pp. 797–810.

This article has been cited by:

- 1. Ahmed Al-Hadi, Grantley Taylor, Grant Richardson. 2022. Are corruption and corporate tax avoidance in the United States related?. *Review of Accounting Studies* 27:1, 344-389. [Crossref]
- 2. Guangzhi Shang, Mikko Rönkkö. 2022. Empirical research methods department: Mission, learnings, and future plans. *Journal of Operations Management* **68**:2, 114-129. [Crossref]
- 3. Asma Boussetta. 2022. Microfinance, Poverty and Education. *Comparative Economic Studies* **64**:1, 86-108. [Crossref]
- 4. Kaitlin P. Anderson, Patrick J. Wolf. 2022. How Within-Study Comparisons can Inform Research and Evaluation Design for the Third Sector. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 76. . [Crossref]
- 5. Sridevi Yerrabati. 2022. Self-employment and economic growth in developing countries: is more self-employment better?. *Journal of Economic Studies* **49**:2, 315-329. [Crossref]
- 6. Jeoung Yul Lee, Yoo Jung Ha, Yingqi Wei, Riikka M. Sarala. 2022. CEO Narcissism and Global Performance Variance in Multinational Enterprises: The Roles of Foreign Direct Investment Risk-Taking and Business Group Affiliation. *British Journal of Management* 21. . [Crossref]
- 7. Woo-Yong Park, Chanchai Tangpong, Young K. Ro, Namwoon Kim. 2022. The design sourcing choice and technological performance in the upscale and downscale markets of an architectural innovation. *Journal of Operations Management* 76. . [Crossref]
- 8. Robin J. Kempf, Vijay S. Sampath, Elaine Yi Lu, David M. Shapiro. 2022. An Empirical Assessment of the Contribution of State Offices of Inspectors General to Corruption Control. *Public Integrity* 24:1, 51-65. [Crossref]
- 9. Martinson Ankrah Twumasi, Yuansheng Jiang, Pengcheng Wang, Zhao ding, Lady Nadia Frempong, Monica Owusu Acheampong. 2022. Does financial literacy inevitably lead to access to finance services? Evidence from rural Ghana. *Ciência Rural* 52:3. . [Crossref]
- 10. Nabamita Dutta, Lisa Giddings, Russell S. Sobel. 2022. Does Trust Always Help Gender Role Attitudes? The Role of Individualism and Collectivism. *Social Indicators Research* **159**:1, 379-408. [Crossref]
- 11. Jan F. Kiviet. 2022. Instrument-free inference under confined regressor endogeneity and mild regularity. *Econometrics and Statistics* 10. . [Crossref]
- 12. Momna Saeed, Marwa Elnahass, Marwan Izzeldin, Mike Tsionas. 2021. Yield spread determinants of sukuk and conventional bonds. *Economic Modelling* 105, 105664. [Crossref]
- 13. Kartik Rao, Brandon Schaufele. 2021. Interacting Corporate Political Activities with Evidence from the Tobacco Industry. *Business and Politics* 23:4, 456-473. [Crossref]
- 14. Justin Schon, David Leblang. 2021. Why Physical Barriers Backfire: How Immigration Enforcement Deters Return and Increases Asylum Applications. *Comparative Political Studies* 54:14, 2611-2652. [Crossref]
- 15. John S. Palatucci, Alan C. Monheit. 2021. The Impact of Increasing Community-Directed State Mental Health Agency Expenditures on Violent Crime. *Community Mental Health Journal* 40. . [Crossref]
- 16. A. George Assaf, Mike Tsionas. 2021. Addressing endogeneity: some (mostly) harmless recommendations. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 33:12, 4129-4160. [Crossref]
- 17. Pushpinder Gill, Stephen K. Kim. 2021. From franchisee experience to customer experience: their effects on franchisee performance. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 49:6, 1175-1200. [Crossref]

- 18. Ryan Fehr, Abhinav Gupta, Cristiano Guarana. 2021. Rewarding morality: How corporate social responsibility shapes top management team compensation votes. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 167, 170-188. [Crossref]
- 19. Maksim Godovykh, Jorge Ridderstaat, Alan Fyall. 2021. The well-being impacts of tourism: Longterm and short-term effects of tourism development on residents' happiness. *Tourism Economics* 135481662110412. [Crossref]
- 20. Alberto Martini, Enrico Rettore, Gian Paolo Barbetta, Fabio Sandrolini. 2021. When Non-Compliance Carries the Day: Evaluating the Effectiveness of an Employment Program for the Severely Mentally Ill. *Evaluation Review* 12, 0193841X2110496. [Crossref]
- 21. Heidi H. Erickson, Jonathan N. Mills, Patrick J. Wolf. 2021. The Effects of the Louisiana Scholarship Program on Student Achievement and College Entrance. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* 14:4, 861-899. [Crossref]
- 22. Benjamin R. Auer. 2021. Implementation and profitability of sustainable investment strategies: An errors-in-variables perspective. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility* 30:4, 619-638. [Crossref]
- 23. Yang Wang, Mei Yu, Simon Gao. 2021. Gender diversity and financial statement fraud. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* **94**, 106903. [Crossref]
- 24. Jason W. Miller, Alex Scott, Brent D. Williams. 2021. Pricing Dynamics in the Truckload Sector: The Moderating Role of the Electronic Logging Device Mandate. *Journal of Business Logistics* 42:4, 388-405. [Crossref]
- 25. Limin Zhu, Jing Zhao. 2021. Entrepreneurs' self-perceived social status and firms' philanthropy: evidence from Chinese private firms. *Asia Pacific Business Review* 1-25. [Crossref]
- Woo-Yong Park, Chanchai Tangpong. 2021. Performance and survival implications of sourcing choice sequence across an architectural innovation life cycle. *Journal of Operations Management* 67:6, 656-679.
 [Crossref]
- 27. Pulapre Balakrishnan, M. Parameswaran. 2021. Modelling Inflation in India. *Journal of Quantitative Economics* 19:3, 555-581. [Crossref]
- 28. William H. Crown. 2021. Decomposition analysis as a framework for understanding heterogeneity of treatment effects in n on-randomized health care studies. *Pharmaceutical Statistics* **20**:5, 945-951. [Crossref]
- 29. Eva Lorenčič, Mejra Festić. 2021. The Impact of Seven Macroprudential Policy Instruments on Financial Stability in Six Euro Area Economies. *Review of Economic Perspectives* 21:3, 259-290. [Crossref]
- 30. Reto Wernli, Andreas Dietrich. 2021. Only the brave: improving self-rationing efficiency among discouraged Swiss SMEs. *Small Business Economics* 23. . [Crossref]
- 31. Christof Nägel, Antonio Vera. 2021. More Cops, Less Trust? Disentangling the Relationship between Police Numbers and Trust in the Police in the European Union. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice* 15:2, 939-949. [Crossref]
- 32. Madhu Viswanathan, Prokriti Mukherji, Om Narasimhan, Rajesh Chandy. 2021. The Performance Impact of Core-Component Outsourcing: Insights from the LCD TV Industry. *Journal of Marketing Research* 58:4, 801-826. [Crossref]
- 33. Sanchari Choudhury. 2021. Regulation and Corruption: Evidence from the United States*. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 83:4, 897-934. [Crossref]
- 34. Qifan Xia, Chaofeng Qian, Debin Du, Yang Zhang. 2021. Conflict or cooperation? How does precipitation change affect transboundary hydropolitics?. *Journal of Water and Climate Change* 12:5, 1930-1943. [Crossref]

- 35. Miquel-Àngel Garcia-López, Ilias Pasidis, Elisabet Viladecans-Marsal. 2021. Congestion in highways when tolls and railroads matter: evidence from European cities. *Journal of Economic Geography* 92. . [Crossref]
- 36. Jean Donovan Rasamoelison, Susan Averett, David Stifel. 2021. International student-migrant flows and growth in low- and middle-income countries: brain gain or brain drain?. *Applied Economics* **53**:34, 3913-3930. [Crossref]
- 37. Neha Agarwal, Hans-Peter Kohler, Subha Mani. 2021. Path Dependence in Disability. *Journal of African Economies* 103. . [Crossref]
- 38. Gareth D. Keeves, James D. Westphal. 2021. From Help to Harm: Increases in Status, Perceived Under-Reciprocation, and the Consequences for Access to Strategic Help and Social Undermining Among Female, Racial Minority, and White Male Top Managers. *Organization Science* 32:4, 1120-1148. [Crossref]
- 39. Jorge Ridderstaat. 2021. Beyond income: US households' financial position perceptions and their willingness to consume tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism* 1, 1-23. [Crossref]
- 40. Emma E. Fridel. 2021. The Continuing Vitality of Bias in Research on Guns and Violence: A Second "Non-Response Response" to Unfounded Critiques. *Justice Quarterly* 1-6. [Crossref]
- 41. Francisco Brahm, Anne Parmigiani, Jorge Tarziján. 2021. Can Firms Be Both Broad and Deep? Exploring Interdependencies Between Horizontal and Vertical Firm Scope. *Journal of Management* 47:5, 1219-1254. [Crossref]
- 42. Maxime Fajeau. 2021. Too much finance or too many weak instruments?. *International Economics* **165**, 14-36. [Crossref]
- 43. Ileana Maldonado-Bautista, Peter G. Klein, Kendall W. Artz. 2021. The Role of Political Values and Ideologies of Entrepreneurs and Financiers. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 62, 104225872110104. [Crossref]
- 44. Andrés Barge-Gil, Pablo D'Este, Liliana Herrera. 2021. PhD trained employees and firms' transitions to upstream R&D activities. *Industry and Innovation* 28:4, 424-455. [Crossref]
- 45. Joonhyung Bae, Joon Mahn Lee. 2021. How Technological Overlap between Spinouts and Parent Firms Affects Corporate Venture Capital Investments in Spinouts: The Role of Competitive Tension. *Academy of Management Journal* 64:2, 643-678. [Crossref]
- 46. Kyung-A Sun, Seoki Lee. 2021. How does franchising alter competition in the restaurant industry?. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management* 46, 468-475. [Crossref]
- 47. A.D. Kehinde, R. Adeyemo, A.A. Ogundeji. 2021. Does social capital improve farm productivity and food security? Evidence from cocoa-based farming households in Southwestern Nigeria. *Heliyon* 7:3, e06592. [Crossref]
- 48. Robertico Croes, Jorge Ridderstaat, Monika Bąk, Piotr Zientara. 2021. Tourism specialization, economic growth, human development and transition economies: The case of Poland. *Tourism Management* 82, 104181. [Crossref]
- 49. Jayjit Roy. 2021. The effect of employment protection legislation on international trade. *Economic Modelling* 94, 221-234. [Crossref]
- 50. Nabamita Dutta, Russell S. Sobel. 2021. Entrepreneurship, fear of failure, and economic policy. European Journal of Political Economy 66, 101954. [Crossref]
- 51. Ioannis Bournakis, Marina Papanastassiou, Sotiris Papaioannou. 2021. Multinationals and Domestic TFP: Market Shares, Agglomerations Gains and Foreign Ownership. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]

- 52. Edward Smith, Jillian Chown, Kevin Gaughan. 2021. Better in the Shadows? Public Attention, Media Coverage, and Market Reactions to Female CEO Announcements. *Sociological Science* 8, 119-149. [Crossref]
- 53. Frederick Eberhardt, Nur Kaynar, Auyon Siddiq. 2021. Discovering Causal Models with Optimization: Confounders, Cycles, and Feature Selection. SSRN Electronic Journal 45. . [Crossref]
- 54. Dina Chhorn. 2021. Financial development, poverty, and human development in the Fintech age: a regional analysis of the Southeast Asian states. *Open Research Europe* 1, 118. [Crossref]
- 55. Graziella Bonanno, Nadia Fiorino, Giampaolo Garzarelli, Stefania Patrizia Sonia Rossi. 2020. Public guarantee schemes, corruption and gender: a European SME-level analysis. *Applied Economics* **52**:60, 6498-6513. [Crossref]
- 56. Hyunseung Kang, Youjin Lee, T. Tony Cai, Dylan S. Small. 2020. Two robust tools for inference about causal effects with invalid instruments. *Biometrics* 20. . [Crossref]
- 57. DUNG VIET TRAN, M. KABIR HASSAN, ANDREA PALTRINIERI, TRUNG DUC NGUYEN. 2020. THE DETERMINANTS OF BANK CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN THE WORLD. The Singapore Economic Review 65:06, 1457-1489. [Crossref]
- 58. JAN F. KIVIET. 2020. CAUSES OF HAZE AND ITS HEALTH EFFECTS IN SINGAPORE: A REPLICATION STUDY. *The Singapore Economic Review* **65**:06, 1367-1387. [Crossref]
- 59. Amelie V. Güntner, Florian E. Klonek, Nale Lehmann-Willenbrock, Simone Kauffeld. 2020. Follower behavior renders leader behavior endogenous: The simultaneity problem, estimation challenges, and solutions. *The Leadership Quarterly* 31:6, 101441. [Crossref]
- 60. Mohamed Saadi. 2020. Remittance Inflows and Export Complexity: New Evidence from Developing and Emerging Countries. *The Journal of Development Studies* **56**:12, 2266-2292. [Crossref]
- 61. Zhepeng Hu, Mindy Mallory, Teresa Serra, Philip Garcia. 2020. Measuring price discovery between nearby and deferred contracts in storable and nonstorable commodity futures markets. *Agricultural Economics* 51:6, 825-840. [Crossref]
- 62. A. George Assaf, Scott E. Atkinson, Mike G. Tsionas. 2020. Endogeneity in multiple output production: Evidence from the US hotel industry. *Tourism Management* 80, 104124. [Crossref]
- 63. Carlos Alberto Belchior. 2020. Fans and Match Results: Evidence From a Natural Experiment in Brazil. *Journal of Sports Economics* 21:7, 663-687. [Crossref]
- 64. Miles M. Yang, Tianchen Li, Yue Wang. 2020. What explains the degree of internationalization of early-stage entrepreneurial firms? A multilevel study on the joint effects of entrepreneurial self-efficacy, opportunity-motivated entrepreneurship, and home-country institutions. *Journal of World Business* 55:6, 101114. [Crossref]
- 65. Annemie Maertens, Hope Michelson, Vesall Nourani. 2020. How Do Farmers Learn from Extension Services? Evidence from Malawi. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 94. . [Crossref]
- 66. Juanfeng Zhang, Ting Yu, Lele Li, Danxia Zhang, Guochao Zhao, Haizhen Wen. 2020. MARKETIZATION ALLOCATION, LAND PRICE, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT LAND SPECULATION, CHINA. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management* 24:5, 335-347. [Crossref]
- 67. Yongyi Shou, Shuo Shan, Anlan Chen, Yang Cheng, Harry Boer. 2020. Aspirations and environmental performance feedback: a behavioral perspective for green supply chain management. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 40:6, 729-751. [Crossref]
- 68. Peter Bühlmann. 2020. Invariance, Causality and Robustness. Statistical Science 35:3. . [Crossref]
- 69. Joan Costa-Font, Caroline Rudisill, Maximilian Salcher-Konrad. 2020. 'Relative Consent' or 'Presumed Consent'? Organ donation attitudes and behaviour. The European Journal of Health Economics 349. . [Crossref]

- 70. Bhaskar Jyoti Neog, Bimal Kishore Sahoo. 2020. Rural non-farm diversification, agricultural feminisation and women's autonomy in the farm: evidence from India. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* 64:3, 940-959. [Crossref]
- 71. Salem Abo-Zaid. 2020. Taxation, credit frictions and the cyclical behavior of the labor wedge. Empirical Economics 75. . [Crossref]
- 72. Maria Marino, Paolo Li Donni, Sebastiano Bavetta, Marco Cellini. 2020. The democratization process: An empirical appraisal of the role of political protest. *European Journal of Political Economy* **63**, 101881. [Crossref]
- 73. Sarah Huber-Krum, Aayush Khadka, Elina Pradhan, Julia Rohr, Mahesh Puri, Dev Maharjan, Saugat Joshi, Iqbal Shah, David Canning. 2020. The effect of antenatal counseling and intrauterine device insertion services on postpartum contraceptive use in Nepal: Results from a stepped-wedge randomized controlled trial. *Contraception* 101:6, 384-392. [Crossref]
- 74. M. Niaz Asadullah, Saizi Xiao. 2020. The changing pattern of wage returns to education in post-reform China. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 53, 137-148. [Crossref]
- 75. Xin Liu, Guclu Atinc. 2020. CEO selection, reference setting, and postsuccession strategic change. Management Decision ahead-of-print: ahead-of-print. . [Crossref]
- 76. Fernanda Mazzotta, Francesca Bettio, Valentina Zigante. 2020. Eldercare hours, work hours and perceived filial obligations. *Applied Economics* **52**:21, 2219-2238. [Crossref]
- 77. Erik Hille, Bernhard Lambernd. 2020. The role of innovation in reducing South Korea's energy intensity: Regional-data evidence on various energy carriers. *Journal of Environmental Management* **262**, 110293. [Crossref]
- 78. Calum A. Arthur, Nicolas Bastardoz. Leadership in Sport 344-371. [Crossref]
- 79. Ryan Yeung, Phuong Nguyen-Hoang. 2020. It's the journey, not the destination: the effect of school travel mode on student achievement. *Journal of Urbanism: International Research on Placemaking and Urban Sustainability* 13:2, 170-186. [Crossref]
- 80. Greg Clarke, Christina R. Sheppler, Alison J. Firemark, Andreea M. Rawlings, John F. Dickerson, Michael C. Leo. 2020. Augmenting usual care SSRIs with cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia to improve depression outcomes in youth: Design of a randomized controlled efficacy-effectiveness trial. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 91, 105967. [Crossref]
- 81. Salem Abo-Zaid, Anastasia Zervou. 2020. Financing of Firms, Labor Reallocation, and the Distributional Role of Monetary Policy*. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* **122**:2, 790-823. [Crossref]
- 82. Rulyusa Pratikto, Sylvia Yazid, Elisabeth Dewi. 2020. Enhancing the role of remittances through social capital: Evidence from Indonesian household data. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* 29:1, 30-54. [Crossref]
- 83. Luqun Xie, Jieyu Zhou, Qingqing Zong, Qian Lu. 2020. Gender diversity in R&D teams and innovation efficiency: Role of the innovation context. *Research Policy* 49:1, 103885. [Crossref]
- 84. Xiaoxiao Shi, Qingpu Zhang. 2020. Network inertia and inbound open innovation: is there a bidirectional relationship?. *Scientometrics* 122:2, 791-815. [Crossref]
- 85. David Reeb, Mariko Sakakibara, Ishtiaq P. Mahmood. Endogeneity in International Business Research 359-375. [Crossref]
- 86. Inken von Borzyskowski, Patrick M Kuhn. 2020. Dangerously informed: Voter information and preelectoral violence in Africa. *Journal of Peace Research* 57:1, 15-29. [Crossref]
- 87. Shantaram P. Hegde, Sudi Sudarsanam, Naaguesh Appadu. 2020. Defined Contribution Pension Risk and Mergers and Acquisitions: Evidence from United Kingdom. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 88. Miquel-Àngel Garcia-López, Ilias Pasidis, Elisabet Viladecans-Marsal. 2020. Congestion in Highways When Tolls and Railroads Matter: Evidence From European Cities. SSRN Electronic Journal 18. . [Crossref]
- 89. Florian Léon, Alexandra Zins. 2020. Regional foreign banks and financial inclusion: Evidence from Africa. *Economic Modelling* 84, 102-116. [Crossref]
- 90. Pham Bao Duong, Pham Tien Thanh. 2019. Adoption and effects of modern rice varieties in Vietnam: Micro-econometric analysis of household surveys. *Economic Analysis and Policy* 64, 282-292. [Crossref]
- 91. Shatanjaya Dasgupta. 2019. Attitudes About Wife-Beating and Incidence of Domestic Violence in India: An Instrumental Variables Analysis. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 40:4, 647-657. [Crossref]
- 92. Volker Grossmann, Aderonke Osikominu. 2019. Let the Data Speak? On the Importance of Theory-Based Instrumental Variable Estimations. *German Economic Review* 20:4. . [Crossref]
- 93. Miquel-Angel Garcia-López. 2019. All roads lead to Rome ... and to sprawl? Evidence from European cities. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **79**, 103467. [Crossref]
- 94. Rodrigo Basco, Giovanna Campopiano, Andrea Calabrò, Sascha Kraus. 2019. They Are Not All the Same! Investigating the Effect of Executive versus Non-executive Family Board Members on Firm Performance. *Journal of Small Business Management* 57:sup2, 637-657. [Crossref]
- 95. M. Niaz Asadullah, Zaki Wahhaj. 2019. Early Marriage, Social Networks and the Transmission of Norms. *Economica* **86**:344, 801-831. [Crossref]
- 96. Anna Minasyan, Juliane Zenker, Stephan Klasen, Sebastian Vollmer. 2019. Educational gender gaps and economic growth: A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *World Development* 122, 199-217. [Crossref]
- 97. Muhammad Asim Afridi, Sampath Kehelwalatenna, Imran Naseem, Muhammad Tahir. 2019. Per capita income, trade openness, urbanization, energy consumption, and CO2 emissions: an empirical study on the SAARC Region. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26:29, 29978-29990. [Crossref]
- 98. Manuel Frondel, Gerhard Kussel, Stephan Sommer. 2019. Heterogeneity in the price response of residential electricity demand: A dynamic approach for Germany. *Resource and Energy Economics* 57, 119-134. [Crossref]
- 99. Haoying Wang. 2019. Irrigation efficiency and water withdrawal in US agriculture. *Water Policy* 21:4, 768-786. [Crossref]
- 100. Carl Lin, Yana van der Meulen Rodgers. Parental Migration Decisions and Child Health Outcomes: Evidence from China 281-310. [Crossref]
- 101. Jonas Krämer, Jonas Schreyögg. 2019. Demand-side determinants of rising hospital admissions in Germany: the role of ageing. *The European Journal of Health Economics* **20**:5, 715-728. [Crossref]
- 102. Grigorios Spanos. 2019. Firm organization and productivity across locations. *Journal of Urban Economics* 112, 152-168. [Crossref]
- 103. Dong-Young Kim, Sean M. Davis. 2019. Acquisition experience and production resource efficiency. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management 30:4, 772-795. [Crossref]
- 104. Eleanor Sanderson, George Davey Smith, Frank Windmeijer, Jack Bowden. 2019. An examination of multivariable Mendelian randomization in the single-sample and two-sample summary data settings. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 48:3, 713-727. [Crossref]
- 105. Peng Ouyang, Wenjun Sun, Chunlan Wang. 2019. Well-being loss in informal care for the elderly people: Empirical study from China national baseline CHARLS. *Asia-Pacific Psychiatry* 11:2, e12336. [Crossref]

- 106. Stefano Comino, Fabio M. Manenti, Franco Mariuzzo. 2019. Updates management in mobile applications: iTunes versus Google Play. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy* 28:3, 392-419. [Crossref]
- 107. George B Frisvold. 2019. How low can you go? Estimating impacts of reduced pesticide use. *Pest Management Science* 75:5, 1223-1233. [Crossref]
- 108. Young Sik Cho, Kevin Linderman. 2019. Metacognition-based process improvement practices. *International Journal of Production Economics* 211, 132-144. [Crossref]
- 109. Muhammad Halley Yudhistira, Witri Indriyani, Andhika Putra Pratama, Yusuf Sofiyandi, Yusuf Reza Kurniawan. 2019. Transportation network and changes in urban structure: Evidence from the Jakarta Metropolitan Area. *Research in Transportation Economics* 74, 52-63. [Crossref]
- 110. A. Mushfiq Mobarak, Theresa Chaudhry, Julia Brown, Tetyana Zelenska, M. Nizam Khan, Shamyla Chaudry, Rana Abdul Wajid, Alan H. Bittles, Steven Li. 2019. ESTIMATING THE HEALTH AND SOCIOECONOMIC EFFECTS OF COUSIN MARRIAGE IN SOUTH ASIA. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 51:3, 418-435. [Crossref]
- 111. Viet-Ngu Hoang, Son Nghiem, Xuan-Binh Vu. 2019. Stunting and academic achievement among Vietnamese children: new evidence from the young lives survey. *Applied Economics* 51:18, 2001-2009. [Crossref]
- 112. Bienvenido Ortega, Jesús Sanjuán, Antonio Casquero. 2019. Illicit Financial Flows: Another Road Block to Human Development in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Social Indicators Research* 142:3, 1231-1253. [Crossref]
- 113. Veeshan Rayamajhee, Alok K. Bohara. 2019. Do voluntary associations reduce hunger? An empirical exploration of the social capital- food security nexus among food impoverished households in western Nepal. *Food Security* 11:2, 405-415. [Crossref]
- 114. Henry Laverde-Rojas, Juan C. Correa, Klaus Jaffe, Mario I. Caicedo. 2019. Are average years of education losing predictive power for economic growth? An alternative measure through structural equations modeling. *PLOS ONE* 14:3, e0213651. [Crossref]
- 115. Sarah E. Wolfolds, Jordan Siegel. 2019. Misaccounting for endogeneity: The peril of relying on the Heckman two-step method without a valid instrument. *Strategic Management Journal* **40**:3, 432-462. [Crossref]
- 116. Nikolaos Papageorgiadis, Yue Xu, Constantinos Alexiou. 2019. The Effect of European Intellectual Property Institutions on Chinese Outward Foreign Direct Investment. *Management and Organization Review* 15:1, 81-110. [Crossref]
- 117. M Niaz Asadullah, Saizi Xiao. 2019. Labor Market Returns to Education and English Language Skills in the People's Republic of China: An Update. *Asian Development Review* **36**:1, 80-111. [Crossref]
- 118. Paul McNamee, Silvia Mendolia. 2019. Changes in health-related quality of life: a compensating income variation approach. *Applied Economics* 51:6, 639-650. [Crossref]
- 119. Micha Germann, Kostas Gemenis. 2019. Getting Out the Vote With Voting Advice Applications. *Political Communication* **36**:1, 149-170. [Crossref]
- 120. Jonathan Mills, Patrick Wolf. 2019. The Effects of the Louisiana Scholarship Program on Student Achievement after Four Years. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 121. Christian Königsheim, Moritz Lukas, Markus Noeth. 2019. Should I Stop or Should I Go? New Evidence on the Labor Supply of Taxi Drivers in New York City. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 122. Mochen Yang, Edward McFowland, Gordon Burtch, Gediminas Adomavicius. 2019. Achieving Reliable Causal Inference with Data-Mined Variables: A Random Forest Approach to the Measurement Error Problem. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 123. Chander Kant. 2018. Financial openness & institutions in developing countries. Research in International Business and Finance 46, 240-250. [Crossref]
- 124. Georgi Boichev. 2018. Does global titling sustain informal taxation? Evidence from Ecuadorian urban slums. *Environment and Development Economics* 23:6, 721-736. [Crossref]
- 125. Haoying Wang. 2018. The Spatial Structure of Farmland Values: A Semiparametric Approach. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review* 47:3, 568-591. [Crossref]
- 126. Anthony Cawley, Cathal O'Donoghue, Kevin Heanue, Rachel Hilliard, Maura Sheehan. 2018. The Impact of Extension Services on Farm-level Income: An Instrumental Variable Approach to Combat Endogeneity Concerns. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 40:4, 585-612. [Crossref]
- 127. Richard Volpe, Edward C Jaenicke, Lauren Chenarides. 2018. Store Formats, Market Structure, and Consumers' Food Shopping Decisions. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* **40**:4, 672-694. [Crossref]
- 128. Isaure Delaporte, Matloob Piracha. 2018. Integration of humanitarian migrants into the host country labour market: evidence from Australia. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 44:15, 2480-2505. [Crossref]
- 129. Nabamita Dutta, Deepraj Mukherjee. 2018. Can financial development enhance transparency?. *Economic Change and Restructuring* **51**:4, 279-302. [Crossref]
- 130. Zijian Guo, Hyunseung Kang, T. Tony Cai, Dylan S. Small. 2018. Testing endogeneity with high dimensional covariates. *Journal of Econometrics* **207**:1, 175-187. [Crossref]
- 131. Guanyi Lu, Xin (David) Ding, David Xiaosong Peng, Howard Hao-Chun Chuang. 2018. Addressing endogeneity in operations management research: Recent developments, common problems, and directions for future research. *Journal of Operations Management* 64:1, 53-64. [Crossref]
- 132. Tracey Broome, Winston Moore, Philmore Alleyne. 2018. Financing constraints and the R&D decision in the Caribbean. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development* 30:9-10, 964-986. [Crossref]
- 133. Jing Dai, Hing Kai Chan, Rachel W.Y. Yee. 2018. Examining moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between market pressure and corporate environmental strategy. *Industrial Marketing Management* 74, 227-236. [Crossref]
- 134. Abhinav Gupta, Forrest Briscoe, Donald C. Hambrick. 2018. Evenhandedness in Resource Allocation: Its Relationship with CEO Ideology, Organizational Discretion, and Firm Performance. *Academy of Management Journal* 61:5, 1848-1868. [Crossref]
- 135. Abbas Ali Chandio, Yuansheng Jiang, Feng Wei, Xu Guangshun. 2018. Effects of agricultural credit on wheat productivity of small farms in Sindh, Pakistan. *Agricultural Finance Review* **78**:5, 592-610. [Crossref]
- 136. Maria Kulander. 2018. Moving later in life preferences and opportunity. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis* 11:5, 852-874. [Crossref]
- 137. Sangkon Park, Cheolsung Park, Changhui Kang. 2018. Effects of a holiday trip on health and quality of life: evidence from a natural experiment in South Korea. *Applied Economics* **50**:42, 4556-4569. [Crossref]
- 138. Mooweon Rhee, Young-Choon Kim. 2018. Organizational attention and learning under regulatory intervention: Governmental investigation into auto engine quality. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences / Revue Canadianne des Sciences de l'Administration* 35:3, 457-472. [Crossref]
- 139. Nicola Ciccarelli, Arthur Van Soest. 2018. Informal Caregiving, Employment Status and Work Hours of the 50+ Population in Europe. *De Economist* **166**:3, 363-396. [Crossref]
- 140. Nabamita Dutta, Russell S. Sobel. 2018. Entrepreneurship and human capital: The role of financial development. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 57, 319-332. [Crossref]

- 141. Stephanie W. Burge, Ann M. Beutel. 2018. Gender, High School Romantic Involvement, and College Enrollment*. *Social Science Quarterly* **99**:3, 1134-1157. [Crossref]
- 142. G. Tomas M. Hult, Joseph F. Hair, Dorian Proksch, Marko Sarstedt, Andreas Pinkwart, Christian M. Ringle. 2018. Addressing Endogeneity in International Marketing Applications of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of International Marketing* 26:3, 1-21. [Crossref]
- 143. Zijian Guo, Hyunseung Kang, T. Tony Cai, Dylan S. Small. 2018. Confidence intervals for causal effects with invalid instruments by using two-stage hard thresholding with voting. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* **80**:4, 793-815. [Crossref]
- 144. Hayden Stewart, Diansheng Dong. 2018. How strong is the demand for food through direct-to-consumer outlets?. *Food Policy* **79**, 35-43. [Crossref]
- 145. Alfredo Jiménez, Dirk Boehe. 2018. How do political and market exposure nurture ambidexterity?. *Journal of Business Research* 89, 67-76. [Crossref]
- 146. Irene Mosca, Robert E. Wright. 2018. Effect of Retirement on Cognition: Evidence From the Irish Marriage Bar. *Demography* 55:4, 1317-1341. [Crossref]
- 147. Javier Gundelfinger-Casar, Pablo Coto-Millán. 2018. Measuring the main determinants of tourism flows to the Canary Islands from mainland Spain. *Journal of Air Transport Management* 70, 83-90. [Crossref]
- 148. Chandan Kumar Jha, Sudipta Sarangi. 2018. Women and corruption: What positions must they hold to make a difference?. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 151, 219-233. [Crossref]
- 149. Stein Østbye, Mikko Moilanen, Hannu Tervo, Olle Westerlund. 2018. The creative class: do jobs follow people or do people follow jobs?. *Regional Studies* **52**:6, 745-755. [Crossref]
- 150. Osamu Suzuki. 2018. Enabling or constraining? Unraveling the influence of organizational slack on innovation. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 27:3, 555-575. [Crossref]
- 151. David Wuepper, Habtamu Yesigat Ayenew, Johannes Sauer. 2018. Social Capital, Income Diversification and Climate Change Adaptation: Panel Data Evidence from Rural Ethiopia. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 69:2, 458-475. [Crossref]
- 152. Jon Bingen Sande, Mrinal Ghosh. 2018. Endogeneity in survey research. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 35:2, 185-204. [Crossref]
- 153. Thomas A. DiPrete, Casper A. P. Burik, Philipp D. Koellinger. 2018. Genetic instrumental variable regression: Explaining socioeconomic and health outcomes in nonexperimental data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115:22. . [Crossref]
- 154. Manuel Frondel, Colin Vance. 2018. Drivers' response to fuel taxes and efficiency standards: evidence from Germany. *Transportation* 45:3, 989-1001. [Crossref]
- 155. Shaobo Jin, Chunzheng Cao. 2018. Selecting polychoric instrumental variables in confirmatory factor analysis: An alternative specification test and effects of instrumental variables. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* 71:2, 387-413. [Crossref]
- 156. Jiancheng Guan, Lanxin Pang. 2018. Bidirectional relationship between network position and knowledge creation in Scientometrics. *Scientometrics* 115:1, 201-222. [Crossref]
- 157. Nabamita Dutta, Saibal Kar. 2018. Relating rule of law and budgetary allocation for tourism: Does per capita income growth make a difference for Indian states?. *Economic Modelling* 71, 263-271. [Crossref]
- 158. Robertico Croes, Jorge Ridderstaat, Mathilda van Niekerk. 2018. Connecting quality of life, tourism specialization, and economic growth in small island destinations: The case of Malta. *Tourism Management* 65, 212-223. [Crossref]
- 159. Subha Mani, Sophie Mitra, Usha Sambamoorthi. 2018. Dynamics in health and employment: Evidence from Indonesia. *World Development* 104, 297-309. [Crossref]

- 160. Donald J. Schepker, Anthony J. Nyberg, Michael D. Ulrich, Patrick M. Wright. 2018. Planning for Future Leadership: Procedural Rationality, Formalized Succession Processes, and CEO Influence in CEO Succession Planning. *Academy of Management Journal* 61:2, 523-552. [Crossref]
- 161. Rachel Mosher Henke, Zeynal Karaca, Teresa B. Gibson, Eli Cutler, Chapin White, Herbert S. Wong. 2018. Medicare Advantage Penetration and Hospital Costs Before and After the Affordable Care Act. Medical Care 56:4, 321-328. [Crossref]
- 162. Tuyen Quang Tran, Huong Van Vu. 2018. A microeconometric analysis of housing and life satisfaction among the Vietnamese elderly. *Quality & Quantity* 52:2, 849-867. [Crossref]
- 163. Hiroko Okudaira. 2018. The economic costs of court decisions concerning dismissals in Japan: Identification by judge transfers. *International Review of Law and Economics* **53**, 60-75. [Crossref]
- 164. Silvia Camussi, Anna Laura Mancini, Pietro Tommasino. 2018. Does Trust Influence Social Expenditures? Evidence from Local Governments. *Kyklos* 71:1, 59-85. [Crossref]
- 165. Kyung-A. Sun, Seoki Lee. 2018. Effects of franchising on industry competition: The moderating role of the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **68**, 80-88. [Crossref]
- 166. Bear F. Braumoeller, Giampiero Marra, Rosalba Radice, Aisha E. Bradshaw. 2018. Flexible Causal Inference for Political Science. *Political Analysis* 26:1, 54-71. [Crossref]
- 167. Kanae Tokunaga. 2018. Estimating Elasticity of Demand for Pacific Bluefin Tuna in Tsukiji Fish Market. *Marine Resource Economics* 33:1, 27-60. [Crossref]
- 168. Lamar Pierce, Jason A. Snyder. 2018. The Historical Slave Trade and Firm Access to Finance in Africa. *The Review of Financial Studies* 31:1, 142-174. [Crossref]
- 169. My Tran Ngo, Ann Jorissen, Walter Nonneman. 2018. Do OECD-type governance principles have economic value for Vietnamese firms at IPO?. *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 26:1, 58-79. [Crossref]
- 170. Gustavo Adolfo Garcca Cruz. 2018. Agglomeration Economies in the Presence of an Informal Sector the Colombian Case. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 171. Miquel--ngel Garcia-LLpez. 2018. All Roads Lead to Rome ... and to Sprawl? Evidence from European Cities. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 172. Leah Lakdawala. 2018. From Loans to Labor: Access to Credit, Entrepreneurship, and Child Labor. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 173. Deepraj Mukherjee, Nabamita Dutta. 2018. What determines governance across nations: Do economic and social globalization play a role?. *Economic Modelling* **69**, 103-113. [Crossref]
- 174. Xiaowen Tian. 2017. Learning breakdown in latecomer multinational enterprises. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* 34:4, 823-850. [Crossref]
- 175. Nabamita Dutta, Saibal Kar, Shrabani Saha. 2017. Human capital and FDI: How does corruption affect the relationship?. *Economic Analysis and Policy* **56**, 126-134. [Crossref]
- 176. Bradley R. Curs, Ozan Jaquette. 2017. Crowded Out? The Effect of Nonresident Enrollment on Resident Access to Public Research Universities. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 39:4, 644-669. [Crossref]
- 177. Jonas F. Puck, Markus Hödl, Igor Filatotchev, Thomas Lindner. Firm Resources, Institutional Distance, and the Choice of Entry Mode 239-270. [Crossref]
- 178. Paolo Roma, Antonio Messeni Petruzzelli, Giovanni Perrone. 2017. From the crowd to the market: The role of reward-based crowdfunding performance in attracting professional investors. *Research Policy* 46:9, 1606-1628. [Crossref]
- 179. Bertille Antoine, Eric Renault. 2017. On the relevance of weaker instruments. *Econometric Reviews* **36**:6-9, 928-945. [Crossref]

- 180. Marc Brechot, Stephan Nüesch, Egon Franck. 2017. Does sports activity improve health? Representative evidence using local density of sports facilities as an instrument. *Applied Economics* 49:48, 4871-4884. [Crossref]
- 181. Elizabeth A. Moorhouse. 2017. The Many Dimensions of Gender Equality and Their Impact on Economic Growth. *Forum for Social Economics* 46:4, 350-370. [Crossref]
- 182. Peter J. Buckley, Xiaowen Tian. 2017. Internalization theory and the performance of emerging-market multinational enterprises. *International Business Review* 26:5, 976-990. [Crossref]
- 183. Ian P. McCarthy, Karen Ruckman. 2017. Licensing speed: Its determinants and payoffs. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management* 46, 52-66. [Crossref]
- 184. Xiaowen Tian, Wenjuan Ruan, Erwei Xiang. 2017. Open for innovation or bribery to secure bank finance in an emerging economy: A model and some evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 142, 226-240. [Crossref]
- 185. Till Bärnighausen, Catherine Oldenburg, Peter Tugwell, Christian Bommer, Cara Ebert, Mauricio Barreto, Eric Djimeu, Noah Haber, Hugh Waddington, Peter Rockers, Barbara Sianesi, Jacob Bor, Günther Fink, Jeffrey Valentine, Jeffrey Tanner, Tom Stanley, Eduardo Sierra, Eric Tchetgen Tchetgen, Rifat Atun, Sebastian Vollmer. 2017. Quasi-experimental study designs series—paper 7: assessing the assumptions. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 89, 53-66. [Crossref]
- 186. Mark Wiykiynyuy Tangwa, Francis Menjo Baye, Boniface Ngah Epo. 2017. Identification and Social Welfare Decomposition of Human Capital Sources of Well-being in Cameroon. *African Development Review* 29:3, 458-470. [Crossref]
- 187. Khalid Sekkat. 2017. Urban Concentration and Poverty in Developing Countries. *Growth and Change* **48**:3, 435-458. [Crossref]
- 188. P. Owen. 2017. Evaluating Ingenious Instruments for Fundamental Determinants of Long-Run Economic Growth and Development. *Econometrics* 5:3, 38. [Crossref]
- 189. Jonathan N. Mills, Patrick J. Wolf. 2017. Vouchers in the Bayou: The Effects of the Louisiana Scholarship Program on Student Achievement After 2 Years. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 39:3, 464-484. [Crossref]
- 190. Peter J. Buckley, Xiaowen Tian. 2017. Transnationality and Financial Performance in the Era of the Global Factory. *Management International Review* 57:4, 501-528. [Crossref]
- 191. M. Kamrul Islam, Sherman Folland, Oddvar M. Kaarbøe. 2017. Social capital and cigarette smoking: New empirics featuring the Norwegian HUNT data. *Economics & Human Biology* **26**, 174-185. [Crossref]
- 192. Ghasem Zaefarian, Vita Kadile, Stephan C. Henneberg, Alexander Leischnig. 2017. Endogeneity bias in marketing research: Problem, causes and remedies. *Industrial Marketing Management* **65**, 39-46. [Crossref]
- 193. Christopher M. Murtaugh, Partha Deb, Carolyn Zhu, Timothy R. Peng, Yolanda Barrón, Shivani Shah, Stanley M. Moore, Kathryn H. Bowles, Jill Kalman, Penny H. Feldman, Albert L. Siu. 2017. Reducing Readmissions among Heart Failure Patients Discharged to Home Health Care: Effectiveness of Early and Intensive Nursing Services and Early Physician Follow-Up. *Health Services Research* 52:4, 1445-1472. [Crossref]
- 194. Mário Jorge Mendonça, Tito Belchior S. Moreira, Adolfo Sachsida. 2017. Uma avaliação da demanda creditícia para automóveis no Brasil no período de 2000 a 2012. *Economia e Sociedade* **26**:2, 427-457. [Crossref]
- 195. Roland A. Matsouaka, Eric J. Tchetgen Tchetgen. 2017. Instrumental variable estimation of causal odds ratios using structural nested mean models. *Biostatistics* 18:3, 465-476. [Crossref]

- 196. Jonathan M. Karpoff, Robert J. Schonlau, Eric W. Wehrly. 2017. Do Takeover Defense Indices Measure Takeover Deterrence?. *The Review of Financial Studies* 30:7, 2359-2412. [Crossref]
- 197. Kalle Hirvonen, John Hoddinott, Bart Minten, David Stifel. 2017. Children's Diets, Nutrition Knowledge, and Access to Markets. *World Development* 95, 303-315. [Crossref]
- 198. Chandan Kumar Jha, Sudipta Sarangi. 2017. Does social media reduce corruption?. *Information Economics and Policy* **39**, 60-71. [Crossref]
- 199. ARUSHA COORAY, NABAMITA DUTTA, SUSHANTA MALLICK. 2017. The right to be free: is media freedom good news for women's rights?. *Journal of Institutional Economics* 13:2, 327-355. [Crossref]
- 200. Rebecca Elskamp, Getu Hailu. 2017. The Impact of Market Intervention on Quota Mobility: The Case of the Ontario Dairy Industry. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie* 65:2, 249-270. [Crossref]
- 201. Bradford H. Bishop, Mark R. Dudley. 2017. The Role of Constituency, Party, and Industry in Pennsylvania's Act 13. *State Politics & Policy Quarterly* 17:2, 154-179. [Crossref]
- 202. Miaoying Shi, Runsheng Yin, Hongdi Lv. 2017. WITHDRAWN: An empirical analysis of the driving forces of forest cover change in northeast China. *Forest Policy and Economics* **78**, 200-209. [Crossref]
- 203. Jayjit Roy. 2017. On the environmental consequences of intra-industry trade. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 83, 50-67. [Crossref]
- 204. Mikko Ketokivi, Cameron N. McIntosh. 2017. Addressing the endogeneity dilemma in operations management research: Theoretical, empirical, and pragmatic considerations. *Journal of Operations Management* 52:1, 1-14. [Crossref]
- 205. Miaoying Shi, Runsheng Yin, Hongdi Lv. 2017. An empirical analysis of the driving forces of forest cover change in northeast China. *Forest Policy and Economics* **78**, 78-87. [Crossref]
- 206. Nazim Habibov, Lida Fan, Derek Campbell, Chi Ho Cheung. 2017. Effect of Prenatal Care Frequency, Timing, and Quality on Child Birth Weight in Tajikistan. World Medical & Health Policy 9:1, 89-102. [Crossref]
- 207. Johan Blomquist, Martin Nordin. 2017. Do the CAP subsidies increase employment in Sweden? estimating the effects of government transfers using an exogenous change in the CAP. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **63**, 13-24. [Crossref]
- 208. Amy H. Liu, Megan Roosevelt, Sarah Wilson Sokhey. 2017. Trade and the Recognition of Commercial Lingua Francas: Russian Language Laws in Post-Soviet Countries. *Economics & Politics* 29:1, 48-68. [Crossref]
- 209. Abhinav Gupta, Adam J. Wowak. 2017. The Elephant (or Donkey) in the Boardroom. *Administrative Science Quarterly* **62**:1, 1-30. [Crossref]
- 210. Martin Nordin, Daniel Almén. 2017. Long-term unemployment and violent crime. *Empirical Economics* **52**:1, 1-29. [Crossref]
- 211. Elizabeth A Moorhouse. 2017. Sex segregation by field of study and the influence of labor markets: Evidence from 39 countries. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* **58**:1, 3-32. [Crossref]
- 212. Michelle Rogan, Marie Louise Mors. 2017. Managerial Networks and Exploration in a Professional Service Firm. *Organization Studies* **38**:2, 225-249. [Crossref]
- 213. Mika Haapanen, Petri Böckerman. 2017. More educated, more mobile? Evidence from post-secondary education reform. *Spatial Economic Analysis* 12:1, 8-26. [Crossref]
- 214. Tom J. Wansbeek. Generalized Method of Moments 453-491. [Crossref]
- 215. Dominik Papies, Peter Ebbes, Harald J. Van Heerde. Addressing Endogeneity in Marketing Models 581-627. [Crossref]

- 216. Mark Dickie. Averting Behavior Methods 293-346. [Crossref]
- 217. Kiyoyasu Tanaka, Kenmei Tsubota. 2017. Directional imbalance in freight rates: evidence from Japanese inter-prefectural data. *Journal of Economic Geography* 17:1, 217-232. [Crossref]
- 218. Martin Nordin, Sören Höjgård. 2017. An evaluation of extension services in Sweden. *Agricultural Economics* **48**:1, 51-60. [Crossref]
- 219. Dimitrios Georgakakis, Winfried Ruigrok. 2017. CEO Succession Origin and Firm Performance: A Multilevel Study. *Journal of Management Studies* 54:1, 58-87. [Crossref]
- 220. Denise DiPasquale, Michael P. Murray. 2017. THE SHIFTING DEMAND FOR HOUSING BY AMERICAN RENTERS AND ITS IMPACT ON HOUSEHOLD BUDGETS: 1940-2010*. Journal of Regional Science 57:1, 3-27. [Crossref]
- 221. Hongchang Wang, Eric M. Overby. 2017. How Does Online Lending Influence Bankruptcy Filings? Evidence from a Natural Experiment. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 222. Adewole Musiliu Adeolu, Adewole Oluwemimo Oyesola. 2017. Koranic and Western Education in Nigeria. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 223. Ryan Edwards. 2017. Tropical Oil Crops and Rural Poverty. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 224. Christine Siew-Pyng Chong, Suresh Narayanan. 2017. The Size and Costs of Bribes in Malaysia: An Analysis Based on Convicted Bribe Givers. *Asian Economic Papers* 16:1, 66-84. [Crossref]
- 225. Kaitlin P. Anderson, Patrick J. Wolf. 2017. Evaluating School Vouchers: Evidence from a Within-Study Comparison. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 226. Tim Johnson, Christopher T. Dawes. 2016. Do Parents' Life Experiences Affect the Political and Civic Participation of Their Children? The Case of Draft-Induced Military Service. *Political Behavior* 38:4, 793-816. [Crossref]
- 227. Marc Cowling, Weixi Liu, Maria Minniti, Ning Zhang. 2016. UK credit and discouragement during the GFC. Small Business Economics 47:4, 1049-1074. [Crossref]
- 228. Anna M. Ferragina, Fernanda Mazzotta, Khalid Sekkat. 2016. Financial constraints and productivity growth across the size spectrum: microeconomic evidence from Morocco. *Eurasian Business Review* 6:3, 361-381. [Crossref]
- 229. Nabamita Dutta, Claudia R. Williamson. 2016. Aiding economic freedom: Exploring the role of political institutions. *European Journal of Political Economy* 45, 24-38. [Crossref]
- 230. Kevin T. Schnepel. 2016. Economics of Incarceration. *Australian Economic Review* 49:4, 515-523. [Crossref]
- 231. Necmi K. Avkiran, Direnç K. Kanol, Barry Oliver. 2016. Knowledge of campaign finance regulation reduces perceptions of corruption. *Accounting & Finance* **56**:4, 961-984. [Crossref]
- 232. Li-Chen Chou, Chung-Yuan Fu. 2016. An empirical analysis of land property lawsuits and rainfalls. SpringerPlus 5:1. . [Crossref]
- 233. Wade M. Cole. 2016. The Effects of Human Rights on Economic Growth, 1965 to 2010. Sociology of Development 2:4, 375-412. [Crossref]
- 234. Nabamita Dutta, Russell S. Sobel, Sanjukta Roy. 2016. Foreign aid's impact on domestic business climates. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Public Policy* 5:3, 365-382. [Crossref]
- 235. Nabamita Dutta, Sanjukta Roy. 2016. The interactive impact of press freedom and media reach on corruption. *Economic Modelling* **58**, 227-236. [Crossref]
- 236. Peter May, Melissa M. Garrido, J. Brian Cassel, R. Sean Morrison, Charles Normand. 2016. Using Length of Stay to Control for Unobserved Heterogeneity When Estimating Treatment Effect on Hospital Costs with Observational Data: Issues of Reliability, Robustness, and Usefulness. *Health Services Research* 51:5, 2020-2043. [Crossref]

- 237. Abu S. Shonchoy. 2016. POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS, GOVERNANCE, AND CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: A PANEL DATA ANALYSIS. Contemporary Economic Policy 34:4, 710-728. [Crossref]
- 238. Xiang Zhang, Douglas E. Faries, Natalie Boytsov, James D. Stamey, John W. Seaman. 2016. "A Bayesian sensitivity analysis to evaluate the impact of unmeasured confounding with external data: a real world comparative effectiveness study in osteoporosis". *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 25:9, 982-992. [Crossref]
- 239. Anni Heikkilä, Panu Kalmi, Olli-Pekka Ruuskanen. 2016. Social Capital and Access to Credit: Evidence from Uganda. *The Journal of Development Studies* **52**:9, 1273-1288. [Crossref]
- 240. Yu-Chu Shen, Vivian Y. Wu. 2016. Comparison of Long-run Trends in 30-day Readmission by Degrees of Medicare Payment Cuts. *Medical Care* 54:9, 891-898. [Crossref]
- 241. Michaël Aklin. 2016. Re-exploring the Trade and Environment Nexus Through the Diffusion of Pollution. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 64:4, 663-682. [Crossref]
- 242. Byela Tibesigwa, Martine Visser, Brennan Hodkinson. 2016. Effects of Objective and Subjective Income Comparisons on Subjective Wellbeing. *Social Indicators Research* 128:1, 361-389. [Crossref]
- 243. Shaikh M. Rahman, Ariel Dinar, Donald F. Larson. 2016. The incidence and extent of the CDM across developing countries. *Environment and Development Economics* 21:4, 415-438. [Crossref]
- 244. Jeffrey Smith, Arthur Sweetman. 2016. Viewpoint: Estimating the causal effects of policies and programs. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique 49:3, 871-905. [Crossref]
- 245. Jakob B. Madsen, Iqtiar Mamun. 2016. Has the capital accumulation in the Asian miracle economies been fuelled by growth?. *Applied Economics* **48**:34, 3175-3194. [Crossref]
- 246. Mamudu Abunga Akudugu. 2016. Agricultural productivity, credit and farm size nexus in Africa: a case study of Ghana. *Agricultural Finance Review* **76**:2, 288-308. [Crossref]
- 247. Ivana Catturani, Panu Kalmi, Maria Lucia Stefani. 2016. Social Capital and Credit Cooperative Banks. *Economic Notes* 45:2, 205-234. [Crossref]
- 248. Jesse Bockstedt, Cheryl Druehl, Anant Mishra. 2016. Heterogeneous Submission Behavior and its Implications for Success in Innovation Contests with Public Submissions. *Production and Operations Management* 25:7, 1157-1176. [Crossref]
- 249. Apsara Karki Nepal. 2016. The Impact of International Remittances on Child Outcomes and Household Expenditures in Nepal. *The Journal of Development Studies* **52**:6, 838-853. [Crossref]
- 250. Jonathan O. Adongo. 2016. Creditor Protection Law Effects on Venture Capital Investment in Africa: Country-Level Evidence. *The Journal of Private Equity* 19:3, 37-50. [Crossref]
- 251. Ruey-Jer "Bryan" Jean, Ziliang Deng, Daekwan Kim, Xiaohui Yuan. 2016. Assessing endogeneity issues in international marketing research. *International Marketing Review* 33:3, 483-512. [Crossref]
- 252. Jamel Boukhatem. 2016. Assessing the direct effect of financial development on poverty reduction in a panel of low- and middle-income countries. *Research in International Business and Finance* 37, 214-230. [Crossref]
- 253. Race and gender differences in the cognitive effects of childhood overweight 76-82. [Crossref]
- 254. James Alm, Jorge Martinez-Vazquez, Chandler McClellan. 2016. Corruption and firm tax evasion. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 124, 146-163. [Crossref]
- 255. David D. Dobrzykowski, Kathleen L. McFadden, Mark A. Vonderembse. 2016. Examining pathways to safety and financial performance in hospitals: A study of lean in professional service operations. *Journal of Operations Management* 42-43:1, 39-51. [Crossref]
- 256. Chander Kant. 2016. Are institutions in developing countries malleable?. *Journal of Policy Modeling* **38**:2, 272-289. [Crossref]

- 257. Atanas Mihov. 2016. Predisclosure Accumulations by Activist Investors and Price Impact of Trading. *Review of Finance* **20**:1, 231-263. [Crossref]
- 258. Tobias Schlueter,, Ramona Busch,, Soenke Sievers,, Thomas Hartmann-Wendels. 2016. Loan Pricing: Do Borrowers Benefit from Cost-Efficient Banking?. *Credit and Capital Markets Kredit und Kapital* 49:1, 93-125. [Crossref]
- 259. Andreas P. Kyriacou. 2016. Individualism–collectivism, governance and economic development. *European Journal of Political Economy* **42**, 91-104. [Crossref]
- 260. Chris Sakellariou, Zheng Fang. 2016. Returns to schooling for urban and migrant workers in China: a detailed investigation. *Applied Economics* **48**:8, 684-700. [Crossref]
- 261. Khanh N. Vu, Christie M. Ballantyne, Ron C. Hoogeveen, Vijay Nambi, Kelly A. Volcik, Eric Boerwinkle, Alanna C. Morrison. 2016. Causal Role of Alcohol Consumption in an Improved Lipid Profile: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. PLOS ONE 11:2, e0148765. [Crossref]
- 262. Eva Spring, Volker Grossmann. 2016. Does bilateral trust across countries really affect international trade and factor mobility?. *Empirical Economics* **50**:1, 103-136. [Crossref]
- 263. William H. Crown. 2016. Specification Issues in a Big Data Context: Controlling for the Endogeneity of Consumer and Provider Behaviours in Healthcare Treatment Effects Models. *PharmacoEconomics* 34:2, 95-100. [Crossref]
- 264. Tony Fang, Morley Gunderson, Carl Lin. 2016. The use and impact of job search procedures by migrant workers in China. *China Economic Review* 37, 154-165. [Crossref]
- 265. Lee Stapleton, Steve Sorrell, Tim Schwanen. 2016. Estimating direct rebound effects for personal automotive travel in Great Britain. *Energy Economics* 54, 313-325. [Crossref]
- 266. Scott D. Graffin, Jerayr (John) Haleblian, Jason T. Kiley. 2016. Ready, AIM, Acquire: Impression Offsetting and Acquisitions. *Academy of Management Journal* **59**:1, 232-252. [Crossref]
- 267. Nam Kyu Kim. 2016. Revisiting Economic Shocks and Coups. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* **60**:1, 3-31. [Crossref]
- 268. Vathunyoo Sila, Angelica Gonzalez, Jens Hagendorff. 2016. Women on board: Does boardroom gender diversity affect firm risk?. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 36, 26-53. [Crossref]
- 269. Hyunseung Kang, Anru Zhang, T. Tony Cai, Dylan S. Small. 2016. Instrumental Variables Estimation With Some Invalid Instruments and its Application to Mendelian Randomization. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 111:513, 132-144. [Crossref]
- 270. Ryan Yeung, Phuong Nguyen-Hoang. 2016. Endogenous peer effects: Fact or fiction?. *The Journal of Educational Research* **109**:1, 37-49. [Crossref]
- 271. Fan Wang, Nuala J. Meyer, Keith R. Walley, James A. Russell, Rui Feng. 2016. Causal Genetic Inference Using Haplotypes as Instrumental Variables. *Genetic Epidemiology* 40:1, 35-44. [Crossref]
- 272. Sajjad Faraji Dizaji. Oil Rents, Political Institutions, and Income Inequality in Iran 85-109. [Crossref]
- 273. Naeem Akram. 2016. Public debt and pro-poor economic growth evidence from South Asian countries. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 29:1, 746-757. [Crossref]
- 274. Jonathan N. Mills, Patrick J. Wolf. 2016. The Effects of the Louisiana Scholarship Program On Student Achievement after Two Years. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 275. Gustavo Adolfo Garcca Cruz. 2016. Agglomeration Economies in the Presence of an Informal Sector: The Colombian Case. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 276. Claus Holm. 2016. The New Statutory Audit Framework in Europe: Implications for the Provision of Non-Audit Services. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 277. Michelle Rogan, Louise Mors. 2016. Managerial Networks and Exploration in a Professional Service Firm. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 278. Richard A. Ashley, Christopher F. Parmeter. 2015. Sensitivity analysis for inference in 2SLS/GMM estimation with possibly flawed instruments. *Empirical Economics* 49:4, 1153-1171. [Crossref]
- 279. Byela Tibesigwa, Martine Visser. 2015. Multiple and Concurrent Sex Partnerships and Social Norms: Young Adults' Sexual Relationships in the Metropolitan Communities of Cape Town, South Africa. Sexuality Research and Social Policy 12:4, 301-316. [Crossref]
- 280. Valeria Gattai. 2015. Internationalisation and performance at the firm-level: what we learn from Italy. *Economia e Politica Industriale* **42**:4, 475-509. [Crossref]
- 281. Maria Isabella Leone, Raffaele Oriani, Toke Reichstein. 2015. How much are flexibility and uncertainty worth in patent licensing?. *Economia e Politica Industriale* 42:4, 371-394. [Crossref]
- 282. Mira Nurmakhanova, Gavin Kretzschmar, Hassouna Fedhila. 2015. Trade-off between financial sustainability and outreach of microfinance institutions. *Eurasian Economic Review* 5:2, 231-250. [Crossref]
- 283. Yoko Akachi, David Canning. 2015. Inferring the economic standard of living and health from cohort height: Evidence from modern populations in developing countries. *Economics & Human Biology* 19, 114-128. [Crossref]
- 284. Tammy L. Madsen, Michael J. Leiblein. 2015. What Factors Affect the Persistence of an Innovation Advantage?. *Journal of Management Studies* 52:8, 1097-1127. [Crossref]
- 285. Marcos Sanso-Navarro, Fernando Sanz, María Vera-Cabello. 2015. The impact of the American Civil War on city growth. *Urban Studies* **52**:16, 3070-3085. [Crossref]
- 286. Jennifer J. Kish-Gephart, Joanna Tochman Campbell. 2015. You Don't Forget Your Roots: The Influence of CEO Social Class Background on Strategic Risk Taking. *Academy of Management Journal* 58:6, 1614-1636. [Crossref]
- 287. Richard A. Ashley, Christopher F. Parmeter. 2015. When is it justifiable to ignore explanatory variable endogeneity in a regression model?. *Economics Letters* 137, 70-74. [Crossref]
- 288. Xuequn Hu, Murat K. Munkin, Pravin K. Trivedi. 2015. Estimating Incentive and Selection Effects in the Medigap Insurance Market: An Application with Dirichlet Process Mixture Model. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 30:7, 1115-1143. [Crossref]
- 289. Michael J. Aitken, Frederick H. de B. Harris, Shan Ji. 2015. A Worldwide Examination of Exchange Market Quality: Greater Integrity Increases Market Efficiency. *Journal of Business Ethics* 132:1, 147-170. [Crossref]
- 290. Gazi Hassan, João Ricardo Faria. 2015. Do Remittances Diminish Social Violence?. *The Journal of Development Studies* 51:10, 1309-1325. [Crossref]
- 291. Timothy J. Gronberg, Dennis W. Jansen, Mustafa U. Karakaplan, Lori L. Taylor. 2015. School district consolidation: Market concentration and the scale-efficiency tradeoff. *Southern Economic Journal* 82:2, 580-597. [Crossref]
- 292. Thomas Brenner, Matthias Duschl. 2015. Causal dynamic effects in regional systems of technological activities: a SVAR approach. *The Annals of Regional Science* 55:1, 103-130. [Crossref]
- 293. Alexander C. Tsai, Atheendar S. Venkataramani. 2015. The causal effect of education on HIV stigma in Uganda: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Social Science & Medicine* 142, 37-46. [Crossref]
- 294. Rekha Krishnan, Rajiv Krishnan Kozhikode. 2015. Status and Corporate Illegality: Illegal Loan Recovery Practices of Commercial Banks in India. *Academy of Management Journal* **58**:5, 1287-1312. [Crossref]
- 295. Fred M. Dzanku. 2015. Household Welfare Effects of Agricultural Productivity: A Multidimensional Perspective from Ghana. *The Journal of Development Studies* **51**:9, 1139-1154. [Crossref]

- 296. Deepraj Mukherjee. 2015. Did pre-WTO agreements curb corruption?. *Economic Analysis and Policy* 47, 1-10. [Crossref]
- 297. Thomas G. Hansford, Brad T. Gomez. 2015. Reevaluating the sociotropic economic voting hypothesis. *Electoral Studies* **39**, 15-25. [Crossref]
- 298. Markus Leibrecht, Hans Pitlik. 2015. Social trust, institutional and political constraints on the executive and deregulation of markets. *European Journal of Political Economy* 39, 249-268. [Crossref]
- 299. Gerald Shively, Celeste Sununtnasuk. 2015. Agricultural Diversity and Child Stunting in Nepal. *The Journal of Development Studies* 51:8, 1078-1096. [Crossref]
- 300. Donald Lange, Steven Boivie, James D. Westphal. 2015. Predicting organizational identification at the CEO level. *Strategic Management Journal* 36:8, 1224-1244. [Crossref]
- 301. Jakob B. Madsen, Paul A. Raschky, Ahmed Skali. 2015. Does democracy drive income in the world, 1500–2000?. European Economic Review 78, 175-195. [Crossref]
- 302. Thomas Rapp, Pauline Chauvin, Nicolas Sirven. 2015. Are public subsidies effective to reduce emergency care? Evidence from the PLASA study. Social Science & Medicine 138, 31-37. [Crossref]
- 303. Sarah Säll, Ing-Marie Gren. 2015. Effects of an environmental tax on meat and dairy consumption in Sweden. *Food Policy* **55**, 41-53. [Crossref]
- 304. Qichun He. 2015. Quality-Adjusted Agricultural Land Abundance Curse in Economic Development: Evidence from Postreform Chinese Panel Data. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 51:sup4, S23-S39. [Crossref]
- 305. Kathleen Carey. 2015. Measuring the Hospital Length of Stay/Readmission Cost Trade-Off Under a Bundled Payment Mechanism. *Health Economics* 24:7, 790-802. [Crossref]
- 306. V. Daniel R. Guide, Mikko Ketokivi. 2015. Notes from the Editors: Redefining some methodological criteria for the journal#. *Journal of Operations Management* 37:1, v-viii. [Crossref]
- 307. Rodrigo Basco, Wim Voordeckers. 2015. The relationship between the board of directors and firm performance in private family firms: A test of the demographic versus behavioral approach. *Journal of Management & Organization* 21:4, 411-435. [Crossref]
- 308. Gilal Muhammad Akram, Joseph P. Byrne. 2015. Foreign exchange market pressure and capital controls. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 37, 42-53. [Crossref]
- 309. Scott Fung, Shih-Chuan Tsai. 2015. Stock market-driven investment: new evidence on information, financing and agency effects. *Applied Economics* 47:27, 2821-2843. [Crossref]
- 310. Edwin S. Wong. 2015. Marital bargaining in the demand for life insurance: evidence from the Health and Retirement Study. *Review of Economics of the Household* 13:2, 243-268. [Crossref]
- 311. Adriana Di Liberto, Marco Sideri. 2015. Past dominations, current institutions and the Italian regional economic performance. *European Journal of Political Economy* **38**, 12-41. [Crossref]
- 312. Mats Wilhelmsson, Vania Ceccato. 2015. Does burglary affect property prices in a nonmetropolitan municipality?. *Journal of Rural Studies* **39**, 210-218. [Crossref]
- 313. Jason Enia, Patrick James. 2015. Regime Type, Peace, and Reciprocal Effects. *Social Science Quarterly* **96**:2, 523–539. [Crossref]
- 314. Tao Chen. 2015. Institutions, board structure, and corporate performance: Evidence from Chinese firms. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 32, 217-237. [Crossref]
- 315. Nabamita Dutta, Deepraj Mukherjee, Sanjukta Roy. 2015. Re-examining the relationship between domestic investment and foreign aid: does political stability matter?. *International Review of Applied Economics* 29:3, 259-286. [Crossref]
- 316. Ryan Yeung. 2015. Athletics, Athletic Leadership, and Academic Achievement. *Education and Urban Society* 47:3, 361-387. [Crossref]

- 317. Andreas P. Kyriacou, Noemí Morral-Palacín. 2015. Secessionism and the Quality of Government: Evidence from a Sample of OECD Countries. *Territory, Politics, Governance* 3:2, 187-204. [Crossref]
- 318. Melissa S. Cardon, Pankaj C. Patel. 2015. Is Stress Worth it? Stress-Related Health and Wealth Trade-Offs for Entrepreneurs. *Applied Psychology* 64:2, 379-420. [Crossref]
- 319. Peter Howley, Stephen O Neill, Rowland Atkinson. 2015. Who Needs Good Neighbors?. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 47:4, 939-956. [Crossref]
- 320. Jonas Månsson, A. M. M. Shahiduzzaman Quoreshi. 2015. Evaluating regional cuts in the payroll tax from a firm perspective. *The Annals of Regional Science* 54:2, 323-347. [Crossref]
- 321. Phuong Nguyen-Hoang. 2015. Volatile earmarked revenues and state highway expenditures in the United States. *Transportation* 42:2, 237-256. [Crossref]
- 322. Guglielmo Barone, Gaia Narciso. 2015. Organized crime and business subsidies: Where does the money go?. *Journal of Urban Economics* **86**, 98-110. [Crossref]
- 323. Francis Menjo Baye, Boniface Ngah Epo. 2015. Impact of Human Capital Endowments on Inequality of Outcomes in Cameroon. *Review of Income and Wealth* 61:1, 93-118. [Crossref]
- 324. Kun Xu, Yanyuan Ma, Liqun Wang. 2015. Instrument Assisted Regression for Errors in Variables Models with Binary Response. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 42:1, 104-117. [Crossref]
- 325. P.A.V.B. Swamy, George Tavlas, Stephen Hall. 2015. On the Interpretation of Instrumental Variables in the Presence of Specification Errors. *Econometrics* 3:1, 55-64. [Crossref]
- 326. Martin Huber. 2015. Testing the Validity of the Sibling Sex Ratio Instrument. *LABOUR* **29**:1, 1-14. [Crossref]
- 327. Maurice J. G. Bun. 2015. Identifying the impact of deterrence on crime: internal versus external instruments. *Applied Economics Letters* 22:3, 204-208. [Crossref]
- 328. Ahmed Al-Hadi, Grantley Taylor, Mahmud Hossain. 2015. Disaggregation, auditor conservatism and implied cost of equity capital: An international evidence from the GCC. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management* 29, 66-98. [Crossref]
- 329. Zohid Askarov, Hristos Doucouliagos. 2015. Development Aid and Growth in Transition Countries. *World Development* **66**, 383-399. [Crossref]
- 330. Frédéric C. Godart, William W. Maddux, Andrew V. Shipilov, Adam D. Galinsky. 2015. Fashion with a Foreign Flair: Professional Experiences Abroad Facilitate the Creative Innovations of Organizations. *Academy of Management Journal* 58:1, 195-220. [Crossref]
- 331. Sandra J. Newman, C. Scott Holupka. 2015. Housing Affordability and Child Well-Being. *Housing Policy Debate* 25:1, 116-151. [Crossref]
- 332. Aniruddha Mitra, James T. Bang, Arnab Biswas. 2015. Gender Equality and Economic Growth: Is it Equality of Opportunity or Equality of Outcomes?. *Feminist Economics* 21:1, 110-135. [Crossref]
- 333. Sharon N. Kioko. Impact of Tax and Expenditure Limitations on Local Government Savings 141-169. [Crossref]
- 334. Giovanni Cerulli. Methods Based on Selection on Unobservables 161-227. [Crossref]
- 335. A. P. Kyriacou, N. Morral-Palacin. 2015. Regional Inequalities and the Electoral Success of Regional Parties: Evidence from the OECD. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism* 45:1, 3-23. [Crossref]
- 336. Jeongeun Kim, Jiyun Kim, Stephen L. DesJardins, Brian P. McCall. 2015. Completing Algebra II in High School: Does It Increase College Access and Success?. *The Journal of Higher Education* 86:4, 628-662. [Crossref]
- 337. Claus Holm. 2015. Decomposing Fees Paid to Audit Firms -- Assessing Knowledge Spillovers and Independence. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]

- 338. Jonathan M. Karpoff, Robert J. Schonlau, Eric W Wehrly. 2015. Do Takeover Defenses Deter Takeovers?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 339. Adewole Musiliu Adeolu. 2015. Labour Market Outcome of 1976 Universal Primary Education in Nigeria. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 340. Adewole Musiliu Adeolu, Theophilus O Fadayomi. 2015. 1976 Universal Primary Education and Schooling Attainment in Nigeria. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 341. Mejra Festić. 2015. The Stability of the Credit Supply in the Globalized Banking Sector Environment: The Case of the EU New Member States-10. *Prague Economic Papers* 24:4, 386-398. [Crossref]
- 342. Meliyanni Johar, Jeffrey Truong. 2014. Direct and indirect effect of depression in adolescence on adult wages. *Applied Economics* **46**:36, 4431-4444. [Crossref]
- 343. Susan L. Averett, Sarah M. Estelle. 2014. Will daughters walk mom's talk? The effects of maternal communication about sex on the sexual behavior of female adolescents. *Review of Economics of the Household* 12:4, 613-639. [Crossref]
- 344. Adam S. Maiga. 2014. Assessing self-selection and endogeneity issues in the relation between activity-based costing and performance. *Advances in Accounting* **30**:2, 251-262. [Crossref]
- 345. Chengri Ding, Yi Niu, Erik Lichtenberg. 2014. Spending preferences of local officials with off-budget land revenues of Chinese cities. *China Economic Review* 31, 265-276. [Crossref]
- 346. Susan L. Averett, Julie K. Smith. 2014. Financial hardship and obesity. *Economics & Human Biology* 15, 201-212. [Crossref]
- 347. Markus Tepe, Pieter Vanhuysse. 2014. A vote at the opera? The political economy of public theaters and orchestras in the German states. *European Journal of Political Economy* **36**, 254-273. [Crossref]
- 348. Jing Liu, Gerald E. Shively, James K. Binkley. 2014. Access to variety contributes to dietary diversity in China. *Food Policy* **49**, 323-331. [Crossref]
- 349. Roland Andersson, Pardis Nabavi, Mats Wilhelmsson. 2014. The impact of advanced vocational education and training on earnings in Sweden. *International Journal of Training and Development* 18:4, 256-270. [Crossref]
- 350. Japheth Osotsi Awiti. 2014. A multilevel analysis of prenatal care and birth weight in Kenya. *Health Economics Review* 4:1. . [Crossref]
- 351. Michelle Rogan, Marie Louise Mors. 2014. A Network Perspective on Individual-Level Ambidexterity in Organizations. *Organization Science* 25:6, 1860-1877. [Crossref]
- 352. Desirée F. Pacheco, Jeffrey G. York, Timothy J. Hargrave. 2014. The Coevolution of Industries, Social Movements, and Institutions: Wind Power in the United States. *Organization Science* 25:6, 1609-1632. [Crossref]
- 353. Wim Voordeckers, Isabelle Le Breton-Miller, Danny Miller. 2014. In Search of the Best of Both Worlds. Family Business Review 27:4, 281-286. [Crossref]
- 354. Jan F. Kiviet, Jerzy Niemczyk. On the Limiting and Empirical Distributions of IV Estimators When Some of the Instruments are Actually Endogenous 425-490. [Crossref]
- 355. Mahelet G. Fikru. 2014. International certification in developing countries: The role of internal and external institutional pressure. *Journal of Environmental Management* 144, 286-296. [Crossref]
- 356. Paul McNamee, Silvia Mendolia. 2014. The effect of chronic pain on life satisfaction: Evidence from Australian data. *Social Science & Medicine* 121, 65-73. [Crossref]
- 357. Johanna Catherine Maclean, Michael T. French. 2014. Personality disorders, alcohol use, and alcohol misuse. *Social Science & Medicine* 120, 286-300. [Crossref]
- 358. Nikolaos I. Papanikolaou, Christian C.P. Wolff. 2014. The role of on- and off-balance-sheet leverage of banks in the late 2000s crisis. *Journal of Financial Stability* 14, 3-22. [Crossref]

- 359. A. Akudugu M.. 2014. Estimating the effects of formal and informal credit on farm household welfare: A hierarchical competitive welfare model approach. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics* 6:10, 412-420. [Crossref]
- 360. Dan Goldhaber, Lesley Lavery, Roddy Theobald. 2014. My End of the Bargain. *ILR Review* 67:4, 1274-1305. [Crossref]
- 361. Caroline E. Weber. 2014. Toward obtaining a consistent estimate of the elasticity of taxable income using difference-in-differences. *Journal of Public Economics* 117, 90-103. [Crossref]
- 362. Jinfeng Du, Richard B. Peiser. 2014. Land supply, pricing and local governments' land hoarding in China. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 48, 180-189. [Crossref]
- 363. Martin Nordin. 2014. Does the Decoupling Reform Affect Agricultural Employment in Sweden? Evidence from an Exogenous Change. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* **65**:3, 616-636. [Crossref]
- 364. Rebecca Hendrick, Jared Crawford. 2014. Municipal Fiscal Policy Space and Fiscal Structure: Tools for Managing Spending Volatility. *Public Budgeting & Finance* 34:3, 24-50. [Crossref]
- 365. Yoon Ah Oh. 2014. Life Satisfaction of the Families of Migrants in the Philippines. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* 23:3, 249-271. [Crossref]
- 366. Hans T. W. Frankort. Open Innovation Norms and Knowledge Transfer in Interfirm Technology Alliances: Evidence from Information Technology, 1980–1999 239-282. [Crossref]
- 367. Stefan Dercon, Catherine Porter. 2014. LIVE AID REVISITED: LONG-TERM IMPACTS OF THE 1984 ETHIOPIAN FAMINE ON CHILDREN. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 12:4, 927-948. [Crossref]
- 368. Ron Malega. 2014. The role of metropolitan opportunity structures for understanding variation in the rate of Black household affluence. *Urban Geography* **35**:4, 530-549. [Crossref]
- 369. Andreas P. Kyriacou, Oriol Roca-Sagalés. 2014. Regional Disparities and Government Quality: Redistributive Conflict Crowds Out Good Government. *Spatial Economic Analysis* 9:2, 183-201. [Crossref]
- 370. Anirban Basu, Kwun Chuen Gary Chan. 2014. CAN WE MAKE SMART CHOICES BETWEEN OLS AND CONTAMINATED IV METHODS?. *Health Economics* 23:4, 462-472. [Crossref]
- 371. Tobias Schlueter, Soenke Sievers. 2014. Determinants of market beta: the impacts of firm-specific accounting figures and market conditions. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* **42**:3, 535-570. [Crossref]
- 372. Xiaoyang Wang. 2014. The Behavior of Bid-Ask Spreads in the Electronically-Traded Corn Futures Market. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **96**:2, 557-577. [Crossref]
- 373. Francisco Brahm, Jorge Tarziján. 2014. Transactional hazards, institutional change, and capabilities: Integrating the theories of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal* 35:2, 224-245. [Crossref]
- 374. Michael Firth, Sonia M L Wong, Yong Yang. 2014. The double-edged sword of CEO/chairperson duality in corporatized state-owned firms: evidence from top management turnover in China. *Journal of Management & Governance* 18:1, 207-244. [Crossref]
- 375. William H. Crown. 2014. Propensity-Score Matching in Economic Analyses: Comparison with Regression Models, Instrumental Variables, Residual Inclusion, Differences-in-Differences, and Decomposition Methods. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy* 12:1, 7-18. [Crossref]
- 376. Ioana Popovici, Michael T. French, Rosalie L. Pacula, Johanna C. Maclean, Olena Antonaccio. 2014. Cannabis Use and Antisocial Behavior among Youth. *Sociological Inquiry* 84:1, 131-162. [Crossref]
- 377. Md. Jamal Uddin, Rolf H. H. Groenwold, Anthonius de Boer, Svetlana V. Belitser, Kit C. B. Roes, Arno W. Hoes, Olaf H. Klungel. 2014. Performance of instrumental variable methods in cohort and nested case-control studies: a simulation study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 23:2, 165-177. [Crossref]

- 378. Miles B. Gietzmann, Angela K. Pettinicchio. 2014. External Auditor Reassessment of Client Business Risk Following the Issuance of a Comment Letter by the SEC. *European Accounting Review* 23:1, 57-85. [Crossref]
- 379. Richard Rosenfeld, Robert Fornango. 2014. The Impact of Police Stops on Precinct Robbery and Burglary Rates in New York City, 2003-2010. *Justice Quarterly* 31:1, 96-122. [Crossref]
- 380. Cameron N. McIntosh. 2014. The presence of an error term does not preclude causal inference in regression: a comment on Krause (2012). *Quality & Quantity* 48:1, 243-250. [Crossref]
- 381. Ahmed K. Alhadi, Grantley Taylor, Mahmud Hossain. 2014. Disaggregation in Mandatory Risk Disclosure, Audit Conservatism and Implied Cost of Equity Capital. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 382. Chandan Kumar Jha, Sudipta Sarangi. 2014. Social Media, Internet and Corruption. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 383. Christopher Andrew Hartwell. 2014. The Impact of Institutional Volatility on Financial Volatility in Transition Economies: A GARCH Family Approach. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 384. Chandan Kumar Jha, Sudipta Sarangi. 2014. Women and Corruption: What Positions Must They Hold to Make a Difference?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 385. Michael J. Aitken, Angelo Aspris, Sean Foley, Frederick H. deB. Harris. 2014. The Effects of Algorithmic Trading on Security Market Quality. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 386. Necmi Avkiran, Direnn Kanol, Barry R. Oliver. 2014. Knowledge of Campaign Finance Regulation and Attitudes towards Politics. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 387. Marc Brechot, Stephan NNesch, Egon P. Franck. 2014. Does Sports Activity Improve Health? Representative Evidence Using Proximity to Sports Facilities as an Instrument. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 388. Gabriel Cepaluni. 2014. 'United We Stand, Divided We Fall.' Which Countries Join Coalitions More Often in GATT/WTO Negotiations?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 389. Ivanka Visnjic, Bart Van Looy. 2014. Servitization: Disentangling the Impact of Service Business Model Innovation on Manufacturing Firm Performance. SSRN Electronic Journal 27. . [Crossref]
- 390. Demissie Alemayehu, Joseph C Cappelleri. 2014. Evaluating methodological assumptions in comparative effectiveness research: overcoming pitfalls. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research* 3:1, 79-93. [Crossref]
- 391. Hamid Beladi, Margot Quijano. 2013. CEO incentives for risk shifting and its effect on corporate bank loan cost. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 30, 182-188. [Crossref]
- 392. Michel Beine, Khalid Sekkat. 2013. Skilled migration and the transfer of institutional norms. *IZA Journal of Migration* 2:1. . [Crossref]
- 393. Qichun He, Meng Sun, Heng-Fu Zou. 2013. Financial Deregulation, Absorptive Capability, Technology Diffusion and Growth: Evidence from Chinese Panel Data. *Journal of Applied Economics* **16**:2, 275-301. [Crossref]
- 394. Johannes Fedderke, Robert Klitgaard. 2013. How Much Do Rights Matter?. World Development 51, 187-206. [Crossref]
- 395. Ayse Karaevli, Edward J. Zajac. 2013. When Do Outsider CEOs Generate Strategic Change? The Enabling Role of Corporate Stability. *Journal of Management Studies* 50:7, 1267-1294. [Crossref]
- 396. Jean-François Godbout. 2013. Turnout and presidential coattails in congressional elections. *Public Choice* 157:1-2, 333-356. [Crossref]
- 397. F. Brahm, J. Tarzijan. 2013. Boundary choice interdependency: evidence from the construction industry. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 22:5, 1229-1271. [Crossref]

- 398. Christian Deutscher, Bernd Frick, Oliver Gürtler, Joachim Prinz. 2013. Sabotage in Tournaments with Heterogeneous Contestants: Empirical Evidence from the Soccer Pitch. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 115:4, 1138-1157. [Crossref]
- 399. Renáta Kosová, Francine Lafontaine, Rozenn Perrigot. 2013. Organizational Form and Performance: Evidence from the Hotel Industry. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **95**:4, 1303–1323. [Crossref]
- 400. Suresh Muthulingam, Charles J. Corbett, Shlomo Benartzi, Bohdan Oppenheim. 2013. Energy Efficiency in Small and Medium-Sized Manufacturing Firms: Order Effects and the Adoption of Process Improvement Recommendations. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management* 15:4, 596-615. [Crossref]
- 401. Henning Finseraas, Andreas Kotsadam. 2013. Hvordan identifisere årsakssammenhenger i ikke-eksperimentelle data? En ikke-teknisk introduksjon. *Tidsskrift for samfunnsforskning* **54**:3, 371-387. [Crossref]
- 402. Maria Cancian, Carolyn J. Heinrich, Yiyoon Chung. 2013. Discouraging Disadvantaged Fathers' Employment: An Unintended Consequence of Policies Designed to Support Families. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 32:4, 758-784. [Crossref]
- 403. Yoo-Mi Chin. 2013. Does HIV increase the risk of spousal violence in sub-Saharan Africa?. *Journal of Health Economics* **32**:5, 997-1006. [Crossref]
- 404. Alex Eapen. 2013. FDI spillover effects in incomplete datasets. *Journal of International Business Studies* 44:7, 719-744. [Crossref]
- 405. James Dzansi. 2013. Do remittance inflows promote manufacturing growth?. *The Annals of Regional Science* 51:1, 89-111. [Crossref]
- 406. Asif Reza Anik, A. V. Manjunatha, Siegfried Bauer. 2013. Impact of farm level corruption on the food security of households in Bangladesh. *Food Security* 5:4, 565-574. [Crossref]
- 407. Markus Tepe, Pieter Vanhuysse. 2013. Cops for hire? The political economy of police employment in the German states. *Journal of Public Policy* 33:2, 165-199. [Crossref]
- 408. Demissie Alemayehu, Joseph C. Cappelleri. 2013. Revisiting issues, drawbacks and opportunities with observational studies in comparative effectiveness research. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 19:4, 579–583. [Crossref]
- 409. Matt Dickson. 2013. The Causal Effect of Education on Wages Revisited*. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 75:4, 477-498. [Crossref]
- 410. John Lewis. 2013. Fiscal policy in Central and Eastern Europe with real time data: cyclicality, inertia and the role of EU accession. *Applied Economics* **45**:23, 3347-3359. [Crossref]
- 411. Carlos Enrique Cardoso Vargas. 2013. Economía de aglomeración y salarios en México. Un análisis en mercados laborales. *Economía Informa* **381**, 3-28. [Crossref]
- 412. Chloé Gervès, Martine Marie Bellanger, Joël Ankri. 2013. Economic Analysis of the Intangible Impacts of Informal Care for People with Alzheimer's Disease and Other Mental Disorders. *Value in Health* 16:5, 745-754. [Crossref]
- 413. Fábio Augusto Reis Gomes, Lourenço S. Paz. 2013. Estimating the elasticity of intertemporal substitution: Is the aggregate financial return free from the weak instrument problem?. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 36, 63-75. [Crossref]
- 414. JUSTIN M. ROSS, PHUONG NGUYEN-HOANG. 2013. School District Income Taxes: New Revenue or a Property Tax Substitute?. *Public Budgeting & Finance* **33**:2, 19-40. [Crossref]
- 415. R. Michael Mc Gregor. 2013. Cognitive dissonance and political attitudes: The case of Canada. *The Social Science Journal* **50**:2, 168-176. [Crossref]
- 416. Anneleen Michiels, Wim Voordeckers, Nadine Lybaert, Tensie Steijvers. 2013. CEO Compensation in Private Family Firms. *Family Business Review* **26**:2, 140-160. [Crossref]

- 417. Alessandro Gambini, Alberto Zazzaro. 2013. Long-lasting bank relationships and growth of firms. Small Business Economics 40:4, 977-1007. [Crossref]
- 418. Ivanka Visnjic Kastalli, Bart Van Looy. 2013. Servitization: Disentangling the impact of service business model innovation on manufacturing firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management* 31:4, 169-180. [Crossref]
- 419. Hangsheng Liu, Soeren Mattke, Katherine M. Harris, Sarah Weinberger, Seth Serxner, John P. Caloyeras, Ellen Exum. 2013. Do Workplace Wellness Programs Reduce Medical Costs? Evidence from a Fortune 500 Company. *INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing* 50:2, 150-158. [Crossref]
- 420. Diemo Urbig, Robin Bürger, Holger Patzelt, Lars Schweizer. 2013. Investor Reactions to New Product Development Failures. *Journal of Management* 39:4, 985-1015. [Crossref]
- 421. Samuel Bazzi, Michael A. Clemens. 2013. Blunt Instruments: Avoiding Common Pitfalls in Identifying the Causes of Economic Growth. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 5:2, 152-186. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 422. Gustavo A. Flores-Macías, Sarah E. Kreps. 2013. The Foreign Policy Consequences of Trade: China's Commercial Relations with Africa and Latin America, 1992–2006. *The Journal of Politics* 75:2, 357-371. [Crossref]
- 423. George Galster, Lina Hedman. 2013. Measuring Neighbourhood Effects Non-experimentally: How Much Do Alternative Methods Matter?. *Housing Studies* 28:3, 473-498. [Crossref]
- 424. D. M. Konisky, C. Reenock. 2013. Case Selection in Public Management Research: Problems and Solutions. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 23:2, 361-393. [Crossref]
- 425. Ingo Outes, Catherine Porter. 2013. Catching up from early nutritional deficits? Evidence from rural Ethiopia. *Economics & Human Biology* 11:2, 148-163. [Crossref]
- 426. Qichun He, Meng Sun. 2013. Does Financial Reform Promote the Inflow of FDI? Evidence from China's Panel Data. *Global Economic Review* 42:1, 15-28. [Crossref]
- 427. Qichun He, Meng Sun. 2013. Does Financial Liberalization Change the Sectoral Allocation of Investments? Macro Evidence from Chinese Provinces. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 49:sup2, 6-22. [Crossref]
- 428. Valentina Galvani, André Plourde. 2013. Spanning with futures contracts. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 53:1, 61-72. [Crossref]
- 429. Andreas P. Kyriacou. 2013. Ethnic Group Inequalities and Governance: Evidence from Developing Countries. Kyklos 66:1, 78-101. [Crossref]
- 430. Rob M. Bielby, Emily House, Allyson Flaster, Stephen L. DesJardins. Instrumental Variables: Conceptual Issues and an Application Considering High School Course Taking 263-321. [Crossref]
- 431. D.L. Millimet. Empirical Methods for Political Economy Analyses of Environmental Policy 250-260. [Crossref]
- 432. Hugo J. Faría, Hugo M. Montesinos-Yufa, Daniel R. Morales, César G. Aviles B., Osmel Brito-Bigott. 2013. Does corruption cause encumbered business regulations? An IV approach. *Applied Economics* 45:1, 65-83. [Crossref]
- 433. Lauren C. Porter. 2013. Trying Something Old: The Impact of Shame Sanctioning on Drunk Driving and Alcohol-Related Traffic Safety. *Law & Social Inquiry* 38:04, 863-891. [Crossref]
- 434. Guglielmo Barone, Gaia Narciso. 2013. The Effect of Organized Crime on Public Funds. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 435. Daniela Del Boca, Anna Laura Mancini. 2013. Parental Time and Child Outcomes: Does Gender Matter?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 436. Richard J. Sullivan, Zhu Wang. 2013. Internet Banking: An Exploration in Technology Diffusion and Impact. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 437. Atanas Mihov. 2013. Cost Inflexibility and Capital Structure: Evidence from SOX Section 404. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 438. Ana M. Ibbbez, Juan Carlos Muuoz-Mora, Philip Verwimp. 2013. Abandoning Coffee Under the Threat of Violence and the Presence of Illicit Crops: Evidence from Colombia. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 439. Giang Ngoc Hoong. 2013. Inside Debt and Corporate Failure. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 440. Manuel Frondel, Colin Vance. 2013. Fuel Taxes versus Efficiency Standards An Instrumental Variable Approach. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 441. Aurélien Décamps, Frédéric Gaschet. 2013. La contribution des effets de voisinage à la formation des prix du logement. *Revue économique* **64**:5, 883. [Crossref]
- 442. Lina Hedman, George Galster. 2013. Neighbourhood Income Sorting and the Effects of Neighbourhood Income Mix on Income: A Holistic Empirical Exploration. *Urban Studies* 50:1, 107-127. [Crossref]
- 443. Reagan A. Baughman, Kristin E. Smith. 2012. LABOR MOBILITY OF THE DIRECT CARE WORKFORCE: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE PROVISION OF LONG-TERM CARE. *Health Economics* 21:12, 1402-1415. [Crossref]
- 444. Mercy G. Mugo. 2012. Impact of Parental Socioeconomic Status on Child Health Outcomes in Kenya**. *African Development Review* 24:4, 342-357. [Crossref]
- 445. Mari Rege, Kjetil Telle, Mark Votruba. 2012. Social Interaction Effects in Disability Pension Participation: Evidence from Plant Downsizing*. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 114:4, 1208-1239. [Crossref]
- 446. J. Paul Leigh, Daniel Tancredi, Anthony Jerant, Patrick S. Romano, Richard L. Kravitz. 2012. Lifetime Earnings for Physicians Across Specialties. *Medical Care* 50:12, 1093-1101. [Crossref]
- 447. Robert Elgie. 2012. Exogenous Political Institutions? Constitutional Choice in Postindependence Francophone Sub-Saharan Africa. *Political Research Quarterly* **65**:4, 771-783. [Crossref]
- 448. Matloob Piracha, Massimiliano Tani, Florin Vadean. 2012. Immigrant over- and under-education: the role of home country labour market experience. *IZA Journal of Migration* 1:1. . [Crossref]
- 449. María E. Dávalos, Hai Fang, Michael T. French. 2012. EASING THE PAIN OF AN ECONOMIC DOWNTURN: MACROECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND EXCESSIVE ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION. *Health Economics* 21:11, 1318-1335. [Crossref]
- 450. Subha Mani, John Hoddinott, John Strauss. 2012. Long-term impact of investments in early schooling Empirical evidence from rural Ethiopia. *Journal of Development Economics* **99**:2, 292-299. [Crossref]
- 451. Enrique López-Bazo, Elisabet Motellón. 2012. Human Capital and Regional Wage Gaps. *Regional Studies* 46:10, 1347-1365. [Crossref]
- 452. David Figlio, Jens Ludwig. 2012. Sex, Drugs, and Catholic Schools: Private Schooling and Non-Market Adolescent Behaviors. *German Economic Review* 13:4, 385-415. [Crossref]
- 453. Sharon N. Kioko, Christine R. Martell. 2012. Impact of State-Level Tax and Expenditure Limits (TELs) on Government Revenues and Aid to Local Governments. *Public Finance Review* 40:6, 736-766. [Crossref]
- 454. Geoffrey Propheter. 2012. Are Basketball Arenas Catalysts of Economic Development?. *Journal of Urban Affairs* 34:4, 441-459. [Crossref]

- 455. Subha Mani. 2012. Is there Complete, Partial, or No Recovery from Childhood Malnutrition?

 Empirical Evidence from Indonesia*. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 74:5, 691-715.

 [Crossref]
- 456. Jens Ludwig, Greg J. Duncan, Lisa A. Gennetian, Lawrence F. Katz, Ronald C. Kessler, Jeffrey R. Kling, Lisa Sanbonmatsu. 2012. Neighborhood Effects on the Long-Term Well-Being of Low-Income Adults. *Science* 337:6101, 1505-1510. [Crossref]
- 457. Miquel-Àngel Garcia-López. 2012. Urban spatial structure, suburbanization and transportation in Barcelona. *Journal of Urban Economics* **72**:2-3, 176-190. [Crossref]
- 458. THOMAS B. PEPINSKY. 2012. Do Currency Crises Cause Capital Account Liberalization?1. *International Studies Quarterly* **56**:3, 544-559. [Crossref]
- 459. Scott Holupka, Sandra J. Newman. 2012. The Effects of Homeownership on Children's Outcomes: Real Effects or Self-Selection?. *Real Estate Economics* 40:3, 566-602. [Crossref]
- 460. David Bradley Wright. 2012. Consumer Governance and the Provision of Enabling Services That Facilitate Access to Care at Community Health Centers. *Medical Care* **50**:8, 668-675. [Crossref]
- 461. Shelby Gerking, Raman Khaddaria. 2012. PERCEPTIONS OF HEALTH RISK AND SMOKING DECISIONS OF YOUNG PEOPLE. *Health Economics* 21:7, 865-877. [Crossref]
- 462. Ridha Nouira, Khalid Sekkat. 2012. Desperately seeking the positive impact of undervaluation on growth. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 34:2, 537-552. [Crossref]
- 463. Cuiping Xu, H. Holly Wang, Qinghua Shi. 2012. Farmers' Income and Production Responses to Rural Taxation Reform in Three Regions in China. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 63:2, 291-309. [Crossref]
- 464. Michael S. Dahl, Olav Sorenson. 2012. Home Sweet Home: Entrepreneurs' Location Choices and the Performance of Their Ventures. *Management Science* 58:6, 1059-1071. [Crossref]
- 465. Andreas C. Drichoutis, Rodolfo M. Nayga, Panagiotis Lazaridis. 2012. Food away from home expenditures and obesity among older Europeans: are there gender differences?. *Empirical Economics* 42:3, 1051-1078. [Crossref]
- 466. Tom M Palmer, Debbie A Lawlor, Roger M Harbord, Nuala A Sheehan, Jon H Tobias, Nicholas J Timpson, George Davey Smith, Jonathan AC Sterne. 2012. Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research* 21:3, 223-242. [Crossref]
- 467. Paulo M.D.C. Parente, J.M.C. Santos Silva. 2012. A cautionary note on tests of overidentifying restrictions. *Economics Letters* 115:2, 314-317. [Crossref]
- 468. Blaine G. Robbins. 2012. A Blessing and a Curse? Political Institutions in the Growth and Decay of Generalized Trust: A Cross-National Panel Analysis, 1980–2009. *PLoS ONE* 7:4, e35120. [Crossref]
- 469. Timothy J. Gronberg, Dennis W. Jansen, Lori L. Taylor. 2012. The relative efficiency of charter schools: A cost frontier approach. *Economics of Education Review* 31:2, 302-317. [Crossref]
- 470. David Reeb, Mariko Sakakibara, Ishtiaq P Mahmood. 2012. From the Editors: Endogeneity in international business research. *Journal of International Business Studies* 43:3, 211-218. [Crossref]
- 471. Laurie J. Bates, James I. Hilliard, Rexford E. Santerre. 2012. Do Health Insurers Possess Market Power?. Southern Economic Journal 78:4, 1289-1304. [Crossref]
- 472. Marcus Marktanner, Lana Salman, Hania Bekdash. 2012. AN EXPLORATIVE STUDY IN QUALITATIVE REGIME TRANSITIONS. *Journal of International Development* 24:2, 152-166. [Crossref]
- 473. Michael Debabrata Patra, Muneesh Kapur. 2012. A monetary policy model for India. *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies* 5:1, 18-41. [Crossref]

- 474. WILLIAM NILSSON. 2012. HETEROGENEITY OR TRUE STATE DEPENDENCE IN POVERTY: THE TALE TOLD BY TWINS. Review of Income and Wealth 58:1, 1-23. [Crossref]
- 475. Mejra Festić. 2012. THE ROLE OF THE FOREIGN BANKS IN THE 5 EU MEMBER STATES / UŽSIENIO BANKŲ VAIDMENS 5 EUROPOS SĄJUNGOS VALSTYBĖSE TYRIMAS. Journal of Business Economics and Management 13:1, 189-206. [Crossref]
- 476. Fabrice Lumineau, Bertrand V. Quélin. 2012. An empirical investigation of interorganizational opportunism and contracting mechanisms. *Strategic Organization* 10:1, 55-84. [Crossref]
- 477. Aart Kraay. 2012. Instrumental variables regressions with uncertain exclusion restrictions: a Bayesian approach. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 27:1, 108-128. [Crossref]
- 478. Tobias Schlueter, Soenke Sievers. 2012. Determinants of Market Beta: The Impacts of Firm-Specific Accounting Figures and Market Conditions. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 479. Frederick H. deB. Harris, Michael J. Aitken, Shan Ji. 2012. Trade-Based Manipulation and Market Efficiency After the Introduction of Real-Time Surveillance: A Cross-Market Comparison. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 480. D. McVicar. 2012. Cross Country Estimates of Peer Effects in Adolescent Smoking Using IV and School Fixed Effects. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 481. Markus S. Tepe, Pieter Vanhuysse. 2012. Cops for Hire? The Political Economy of Police Employment in 16 German Länder, 1992-2008. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 482. Tobias Schlueter, Ramona Busch, Thomas Hartmann-Wendels, Soenke Sievers. 2012. Determinants of the Interest Rate Pass-Through of Banks Evidence from German Loan Products. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 483. Lamar Pierce, Jason Snyder. 2012. Trust and Finance: Evidence from the African Slave Trade. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 484. Arndt Reichert. 2012. Obesity, Weight Loss, and Employment Prospects Evidence from a Randomized Trial. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 485. Benjamin Villena-Roldan, Cecilia Rios-Aguilar. 2012. Causal Effects of Maternal Time-Investment on Children's Cognitive Outcomes. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 486. Patricio Valdivieso, Benjamin Villena-Roldan. 2012. Participation in Organizations, Trust, and Social Capital Formation: Evidence from Chile. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 487. Ivanka Visnjic, Bart Van Looy. 2012. Servitization: Disentangling the Impact of Service Business Model Innovation on the Performance of Manufacturing Firms. SSRN Electronic Journal 58. . [Crossref]
- 488. Travis Minor. 2011. The effect of diabetes on female labor force decisions: new evidence from the National Health Interview Survey. *Health Economics* **20**:12, 1468-1486. [Crossref]
- 489. William H. Crown, Henry J. Henk, David J. Vanness. 2011. Some Cautions on the Use of Instrumental Variables Estimators in Outcomes Research: How Bias in Instrumental Variables Estimators Is Affected by Instrument Strength, Instrument Contamination, and Sample Size. *Value in Health* 14:8, 1078-1084. [Crossref]
- 490. Le Thuc Duc. 2011. Height and Cognitive Achievement of Vietnamese Children. *World Development* **39**:12, 2211-2220. [Crossref]
- 491. Ole Dahl Rasmussen, Nikolaj Malchow-Møller, Thomas Barnebeck Andersen. 2011. Walking the talk: the need for a trial registry for development interventions. *Journal of Development Effectiveness* 3:4, 502-519. [Crossref]
- 492. Tinh Doan, Philip Stevens. 2011. Labor Market Returns to Higher Education in Vietnam. *Economics* 5:1. . [Crossref]

- 493. Timothy W. Guinnane, Jochen Streb, Manuel Frondel, Christoph M. Schmidt. 2011. Krank oder Simulant? Das Identifikationsproblem der Krankenkassen aus wirtschaftshistorischer Perspektive. *Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik* 12:4, 413-429. [Crossref]
- 494. George Quartey, Maurille Feudjo-Tepie, Jixian Wang, Joseph Kim. 2011. Opportunities for minimization of confounding in observational research. *Pharmaceutical Statistics* **10**:6, 539-547. [Crossref]
- 495. Francisca M. Antman. 2011. The intergenerational effects of paternal migration on schooling and work: What can we learn from children's time allocations?. *Journal of Development Economics* **96**:2, 200-208. [Crossref]
- 496. John V. Gray, Aleda V. Roth, Michael J. Leiblein. 2011. Quality risk in offshore manufacturing: Evidence from the pharmaceutical industry. *Journal of Operations Management* **29**:7-8, 737-752. [Crossref]
- 497. Mukesh Eswaran, Nisha Malhotra. 2011. Domestic violence and women's autonomy in developing countries: theory and evidence. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique* 44:4, 1222-1263. [Crossref]
- 498. Lahcen Achy, Khalid Sekkat. 2011. Training, New Equipment and Job Creation: A Firm-level Analysis using Moroccan Data. *The European Journal of Development Research* 23:4, 615-629. [Crossref]
- 499. Khalid Sekkat. 2011. Firm Sponsored Training and Productivity in Morocco. *Journal of Development Studies* 47:9, 1391-1409. [Crossref]
- 500. LEONEL MUINELO-GALLO, ORIOL ROCA-SAGALÉS. 2011. ECONOMIC GROWTH AND INEQUALITY: THE ROLE OF FISCAL POLICIES*. Australian Economic Papers 50:2-3, 74-97. [Crossref]
- 501. Gerrit Antonides, I. Manon de Groot, W. Fred van Raaij. 2011. Mental budgeting and the management of household finance. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **32**:4, 546–555. [Crossref]
- 502. Michael T. French, Johanna Catherine Maclean, Jody L. Sindelar, Hai Fang. 2011. The morning after: alcohol misuse and employment problems. *Applied Economics* 43:21, 2705-2720. [Crossref]
- 503. G. W. Harrison. 2011. Experimental methods and the welfare evaluation of policy lotteries. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* **38**:3, 335–360. [Crossref]
- 504. G. W. Harrison. 2011. Randomisation and Its Discontents. *Journal of African Economies* **20**:4, 626-652. [Crossref]
- 505. Priit Vahter. 2011. Does FDI Spur Productivity, Knowledge Sourcing and Innovation by Incumbent Firms? Evidence from Manufacturing Industry in Estonia. *The World Economy* 34:8, 1308-1326. [Crossref]
- 506. Peter Z. Schochet. 2011. Do Typical RCTs of Education Interventions Have Sufficient Statistical Power for Linking Impacts on Teacher Practice and Student Achievement Outcomes?. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics* 36:4, 441-471. [Crossref]
- 507. Roger C. Graham, Kristina D. Frankenberger. 2011. The Earnings Effects of Marketing Communication Expenditures During Recessions. *Journal of Advertising* 40:2, 5-24. [Crossref]
- 508. William Duncombe, John Yinger. 2011. Making do: state constraints and local responses in California's education finance system. *International Tax and Public Finance* 18:3, 337-368. [Crossref]
- 509. Michael T. French, Hai Fang, Ana I. Balsa. 2011. Longitudinal Analysis of Changes in Illicit Drug Use and Health Services Utilization. *Health Services Research* 46:3, 877-899. [Crossref]
- 510. Gurneeta Vasudeva, Jaideep Anand. 2011. Unpacking Absorptive Capacity: A Study of Knowledge Utilization from Alliance Portfolios. *Academy of Management Journal* **54**:3, 611-623. [Crossref]

- 511. Peter Z. Schochet, Hanley S. Chiang. 2011. Estimation and Identification of the Complier Average Causal Effect Parameter in Education RCTs. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics* **36**:3, 307-345. [Crossref]
- 512. Mats Wilhelmsson, Roland Andersson, Kerstin Klingborg. 2011. Rent control and vacancies in Sweden. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis* 4:2, 105-129. [Crossref]
- 513. Sabrina Di Addario. 2011. Job search in thick markets. *Journal of Urban Economics* **69**:3, 303-318. [Crossref]
- 514. Li Jianhua, Jing Yongping. Rural structure change and rural energy efficiency 575-578. [Crossref]
- 515. Michaela Bär, Alexander Kempf, Stefan Ruenzi. 2011. Is a Team Different from the Sum of its Parts? Evidence from Mutual Fund Managers*. *Review of Finance* **15**:2, 359-396. [Crossref]
- 516. Bryan E. Dowd. 2011. Separated at Birth: Statisticians, Social Scientists, and Causality in Health Services Research. *Health Services Research* 46:2, 397-420. [Crossref]
- 517. Andreas P Kyriacou, Oriol Roca-Sagalés. 2011. Fiscal and Political Decentralization and Government Quality. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 29:2, 204-223. [Crossref]
- 518. Phuong Nguyen-Hoang, John Yinger. 2011. The capitalization of school quality into house values: A review. *Journal of Housing Economics* **20**:1, 30-48. [Crossref]
- 519. Philip Brown, Wendy Beekes, Peter Verhoeven. 2011. Corporate governance, accounting and finance: A review. *Accounting & Finance* 51:1, 96-172. [Crossref]
- 520. Vânia Ceccato, Mats Wilhelmsson. 2011. The impact of crime on apartment prices: evidence from stockholm, sweden. *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography* **93**:1, 81-103. [Crossref]
- 521. Heather D. Hill, Pamela A. Morris, Nina Castells, Jessica Thornton Walker. 2011. Getting a job is only half the battle: Maternal job loss and child classroom behavior in low-income families. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 30:2, 310-333. [Crossref]
- 522. Andrew Hanson, Shawn Rohlin. 2011. The Effect of Location-Based Tax Incentives on Establishment Location and Employment across Industry Sectors. *Public Finance Review* 39:2, 195-225. [Crossref]
- 523. Michael T. French, Ioana Popovici. 2011. That instrument is lousy! In search of agreement when using instrumental variables estimation in substance use research. *Health Economics* **20**:2, 127-146. [Crossref]
- 524. Mejra Festić, Alenka Kavkler, Sebastijan Repina. 2011. The macroeconomic sources of systemic risk in the banking sectors of five new EU member states. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **35**:2, 310-322. [Crossref]
- 525. Alex Coad, Rekha Rao, Federico Tamagni. 2011. Growth processes of Italian manufacturing firms. Structural Change and Economic Dynamics 22:1, 54-70. [Crossref]
- 526. William Duncombe, John Yinger. 2011. Are Education Cost Functions Ready for Prime Time? An Examination of Their Validity and Reliability. *Peabody Journal of Education* 86:1, 28-57. [Crossref]
- 527. Aekapol Chongvilaivan, Jung Hur. 2011. Outsourcing, labour productivity and wage inequality in the US: a primal approach. *Applied Economics* **43**:4, 487-502. [Crossref]
- 528. Allison J. Sovey, Donald P. Green. 2011. Instrumental Variables Estimation in Political Science: A Readers' Guide. *American Journal of Political Science* 55:1, 188-200. [Crossref]
- 529. Priit Vahter. 2011. Learning by Exporting: Evidence Based on Data of Knowledge Flows from Innovation Surveys in Estonia. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 530. Michaël Aklin. 2011. Trade, Development & the Environment: The Diffusion of Pollution. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [Crossref]

- 531. Tinh Thanh Doan. 2011. Labour Market Returns to Higher Education in Vietnam. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 532. Michael P. Murray. 2011. Avoiding the Pitfalls of Instrumental Variables Estimation with Few or Many Instruments. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 533. Phuong Nguyen-Hoang, John Yinger. 2011. The Capitalization of School Quality into House Values: A Review. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 534. Jesse Bockstedt, Anant Mishra, Cheryl Druehl. 2011. Do Participation Strategy and Experience Impact the Likelihood of Winning in Unblind Innovation Contests?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 535. Sankar Mukhopadhyay, Shunfeng Song, Erqian Zhu. 2011. Employment and Earnings of Low-Income Residents in Urban China. *The Chinese Economy* 44:1, 6-17. [Crossref]
- 536. Roderick A. Rose, Susan I. Stone. 2011. Instrumental Variable Estimation in Social Work Research: A Technique for Estimating Causal Effects in Nonrandomized Settings. *Journal of the Society for Social Work and Research* 2:2, 76-88. [Crossref]
- 537. Svante Mandell, Mats Wilhelmsson. 2011. Willingness to Pay for Sustainable Housing. *Journal of Housing Research* **20**:1, 35-51. [Crossref]
- 538. Michael D. Eriksen, Stuart S. Rosenthal. 2010. Crowd out effects of place-based subsidized rental housing: New evidence from the LIHTC program. *Journal of Public Economics* 94:11-12, 953-966. [Crossref]
- 539. Phuong Nguyen-Hoang, Ryan Yeung. 2010. What is paratransit worth?. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* 44:10, 841-853. [Crossref]
- 540. Douglas A. Wolf, Anna A. Amirkhanyan. 2010. Demographic Change and Its Public Sector Consequences. *Public Administration Review* **70**, s12-s23. [Crossref]
- 541. Stuart A. Gabriel, Stuart S. Rosenthal. 2010. Do the GSEs expand the supply of mortgage credit? New evidence of crowd out in the secondary mortgage market. *Journal of Public Economics* **94**:11-12, 975-986. [Crossref]
- 542. Susan L. Averett, David C. Stifel. 2010. Race and gender differences in the cognitive effects of childhood overweight. *Applied Economics Letters* 17:17, 1673-1679. [Crossref]
- 543. Catherine Porter. 2010. Safety nets or investment in the future: Does food aid have any long-term impact on children's growth?. *Journal of International Development* 22:8, 1134-1145. [Crossref]
- 544. Michael D. Eriksen. 2010. Homeownership subsidies and the marriage decisions of low-income households. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **40**:6, 490-497. [Crossref]
- 545. Dianna Moodley. 2010. Language accessibility and language preference gridlocked at the University of KwaZulu-Natal. *Language Matters* 41:2, 214-237. [Crossref]
- 546. Abukar Warsame, Mats Wilhelmsson, Lena Borg. 2010. The effect of subsidy on housing construction in various regions of Sweden. *Journal of European Real Estate Research* 3:3, 228-244. [Crossref]
- 547. Antonio C. David, Carmen A. Li. 2010. Exploring the links between HIV/AIDS, social capital and development. *Journal of International Development* 22:7, 941-961. [Crossref]
- 548. William H. Crown. 2010. There's a Reason They Call Them Dummy Variables. *PharmacoEconomics* **28**:10, 947-955. [Crossref]
- 549. Miguel C. Manjón-Antolín. 2010. Firm size and short-term dynamics in aggregate entry and exit. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* **28**:5, 464-476. [Crossref]
- 550. Daniel E. Bergan. 2010. Estimating the Effect of Tobacco Contributions on Legislative Behavior Using Panel Data*. Social Science Quarterly 91:3, 635-648. [Crossref]

- 551. Susan L. Ettner, Michael T. French, Ioana Popovici. 2010. Heavy drinking and health promotion activities. Social Science & Medicine 71:1, 134-142. [Crossref]
- 552. Lucas Ronconi. 2010. Enforcement and Compliance with Labor Regulations in Argentina. *ILR Review* **63**:4, 719-736. [Crossref]
- 553. Oana Branzei, Samer Abdelnour. 2010. Another day, another dollar: Enterprise resilience under terrorism in developing countries. *Journal of International Business Studies* 41:5, 804-825. [Crossref]
- 554. Lisa A. Gennetian, Heather D. Hill, Andrew S. London, Leonard M. Lopoo. 2010. Maternal employment and the health of low-income young children. *Journal of Health Economics* **29**:3, 353–363. [Crossref]
- 555. JESSE RICHMAN. 2010. The Logic of Legislative Leadership: Preferences, Challenges, and the Speaker's Powers. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* **35**:2, 211-233. [Crossref]
- 556. Ana Isabel Gil Lacruz, Marta Gil Lacruz. 2010. Does alcohol consumption reinforce mental problems in adolescence?. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **39**:2, 223-232. [Crossref]
- 557. Jon P. Nelson. 2010. What is Learned from Longitudinal Studies of Advertising and Youth Drinking and Smoking? A Critical Assessment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 7:3, 870-926. [Crossref]
- 558. Christian Pfeifer, Thomas Cornelißen. 2010. The impact of participation in sports on educational attainment—New evidence from Germany. *Economics of Education Review* 29:1, 94-103. [Crossref]
- 559. Camelia Minoiu, Sanjay G. Reddy. 2010. Development aid and economic growth: A positive long-run relation. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 50:1, 27-39. [Crossref]
- 560. Harald Hau, Marcel P. Thum. 2010. Subprime Crisis and Board (In)Competence: Private vs. Public Banks in Germany. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 561. Priit Vahter. 2010. Does FDI Spur Innovation, Productivity and Knowledge Sourcing by Incumbent Firms? Evidence from Manufacturing Industry in Estonia. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 562. Tamar Khitarishvili. 2010. Assessing the Returns to Education in Georgia. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 563. Osmel Brito-Bigott, Hugo Joaquin Faria, Daniel R. Morales, Hugo Montesinos. 2010. Do High Levels of Economic and Political Freedom Cause Less Corruption?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 564. Craig Arthur Gallet. 2009. The Determinants of AIDS Mortality: Evidence from a State-Level Panel. *Atlantic Economic Journal* 37:4, 425-436. [Crossref]
- 565. Weiyong Yang. 2009. Economic structural changes and rural income: Evidence from Chinese provinces during the reform period. *China Economic Review* **20**:4, 742-753. [Crossref]
- 566. Jeremy A. Rassen, M. Alan Brookhart, Robert J. Glynn, Murray A. Mittleman, Sebastian Schneeweiss. 2009. Instrumental variables II: instrumental variable application—in 25 variations, the physician prescribing preference generally was strong and reduced covariate imbalance. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 62:12, 1233-1241. [Crossref]
- 567. Subramanian Rangan, Metin Sengul. 2009. Information technology and transnational integration: Theory and evidence on the evolution of the modern multinational enterprise. *Journal of International Business Studies* 40:9, 1496-1514. [Crossref]
- 568. Bisakha Sen, Susan Averett, Laura Argys, Daniel I. Rees. 2009. The effect of substance use on the delinquent behaviour of adolescents. *Applied Economics Letters* 16:17, 1721-1729. [Crossref]
- 569. Andrew Hanson. 2009. Local employment, poverty, and property value effects of geographically-targeted tax incentives: An instrumental variables approach. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 39:6, 721-731. [Crossref]

- 570. Michael L. Johnson, William Crown, Bradley C. Martin, Colin R. Dormuth, Uwe Siebert. 2009. Good Research Practices for Comparative Effectiveness Research: Analytic Methods to Improve Causal Inference from Nonrandomized Studies of Treatment Effects Using Secondary Data Sources: The ISPOR Good Research Practices for Retrospective Database Analysis Task Force Report—Part III. Value in Health 12:8, 1062-1073. [Crossref]
- 571. Hugo J. Faria, Hugo M. Montesinos. 2009. Does economic freedom cause prosperity? An IV approach. *Public Choice* 141:1-2, 103-127. [Crossref]
- 572. Harald Hau, Marcel Thum. 2009. Subprime crisis and board (in-) competence: private versus public banks in Germany. *Economic Policy* 24:60, 701-752. [Crossref]
- 573. Feng Hou, Zheng Wu. 2009. Racial diversity, minority concentration, and trust in Canadian urban neighborhoods. *Social Science Research* 38:3, 693-716. [Crossref]
- 574. Guush Berhane, Cornelis Gardebroek, Henk A. J. Moll. 2009. Risk-matching behavior in microcredit group formation: evidence from northern Ethiopia. *Agricultural Economics* 40:4, 409-419. [Crossref]
- 575. Derek C. Jones, Panu Kalmi. 2009. TRUST, INEQUALITY AND THE SIZE OF THE CO-OPERATIVE SECTOR: CROSS-COUNTRY EVIDENCE. Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics 80:2, 165-195. [Crossref]
- 576. Ming-Jen Lin, Jin-Tan Liu. 2009. Do lower birth weight babies have lower grades? Twin fixed effect and instrumental variable method evidence from Taiwan. *Social Science & Medicine* **68**:10, 1780-1787. [Crossref]
- 577. Joan D. Penrod, Nathan E. Goldstein, Partha Deb. 2009. When and How to Use Instrumental Variables in Palliative Care Research. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* 12:5, 471-474. [Crossref]
- 578. Wouter Van Overfelt, Jan Annaert, Marc De Ceuster, Marc Deloof. 2009. Do universal banks create value? Universal bank affiliation and company performance in Belgium, 1905–1909. *Explorations in Economic History* **46**:2, 253–265. [Crossref]
- 579. Tomas Korpi, Michael Tåhlin. 2009. Educational mismatch, wages, and wage growth: Overeducation in Sweden, 1974–2000. *Labour Economics* **16**:2, 183-193. [Crossref]
- 580. Nabamita Dutta, Sanjukta Roy. 2009. The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Press Freedom. *Kyklos* **62**:2, 239-257. [Crossref]
- 581. Serden Özcan, Toke Reichstein. 2009. Transition to Entrepreneurship from the Public Sector: Predispositional and Contextual Effects. *Management Science* 55:4, 604-618. [Crossref]
- 582. Joanne Oxley, Tetsuo Wada. 2009. Alliance Structure and the Scope of Knowledge Transfer: Evidence from U.S.-Japan Agreements. *Management Science* 55:4, 635-649. [Crossref]
- 583. Joan Daouli, Michael Demoussis, Nicholas Giannakopoulos. 2009. Sibling-sex composition and its effects on fertility and labor supply of Greek mothers. *Economics Letters* **102**:3, 189-191. [Crossref]
- 584. Bethany Albertson, Adria Lawrence. 2009. After the Credits Roll. *American Politics Research* 37:2, 275-300. [Crossref]
- 585. M.V. Shyam Kumar. 2009. The relationship between product and international diversification: the effects of short-run constraints and endogeneity. *Strategic Management Journal* **30**:1, 99-116. [Crossref]
- 586. Garry D. Bruton, Igor Filatotchev, Salim Chahine, Mike Wright. 2009. Governance, ownership structure, and performance of IPO firms: the impact of different types of private equity investors and institutional environments. *Strategic Management Journal* 9, n/a-n/a. [Crossref]
- 587. Ana I. Balsa, Jenny F. Homer, Michael T. French, Constance M. Weisner. 2009. Substance Use, Education, Employment, and Criminal Activity Outcomes of Adolescents in Outpatient Chemical Dependency Programs. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research* 36:1, 75-95. [Crossref]
- 588. Thorsten Beck. The Econometrics of Finance and Growth 1180-1209. [Crossref]

- 589. Michaela Baer, Alexander Kempf, Stefan Ruenzi. 2009. Is a Team Different from the Sum of its Parts? Evidence from Mutual Fund Managers. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 590. D. Mark Anderson. 2009. The Effects of Poverty on the Susceptibility to Crime in South Africa. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 591. Michael A. Clemens, Samuel Bazzi. 2009. Blunt Instruments: On Establishing the Causes of Economic Growth. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 592. Niclas Berggren, Christian Bjørnskov. 2009. Does Religiosity Promote or Discourage Social Trust? Evidence from Cross-Country and Cross-State Comparisons. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 593. Channing Arndt, Sam Jones, Finn Tarp. 2009. Aid and Growth: Have We Come Full Circle?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 594. Subha Mani, John Hoddinott, John Strauss. 2009. Long-Term Impact of Investments in Early Schooling: Empirical Evidence from Rural Ethiopia. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 595. Mihaela Livia Ghita, Ludo Cuyvers, Marc Deloof. 2009. Business Elites, Political Connections, and Economic Entrenchment: Evidence from Belgium 1858-1909. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 596. Constanze Dobler. 2009. The Impact of Formal and Informal Institutions on Per Capita Income. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 597. Sanjay Reddy, Camelia Minoiu. 2009. Development Aid and Economic Growth: A Positive Long-Run Relation. *IMF Working Papers* **09**:118, 1. [Crossref]
- 598. Sam Hak Kan Tang, Nicolaas Groenewold, Charles Ka Yui Leung. 2008. The link between institutions, technical change and macroeconomic volatility. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 30:4, 1520-1549. [Crossref]
- 599. Cory Koedel. 2008. Teacher quality and dropout outcomes in a large, urban school district. *Journal of Urban Economics* 64:3, 560-572. [Crossref]
- 600. Sabrina Di Addario, Eleonora Patacchini. 2008. Wages and the City. Evidence from Italy. *Labour Economics* 15:5, 1040-1061. [Crossref]
- 601. Marco A. Castaneda, Dino Falaschetti. 2008. Does a Hospital's Profit Status Affect its Operational Scope?. *Review of Industrial Organization* 33:2, 129-159. [Crossref]
- 602. Stuart S. Rosenthal, William C. Strange. 2008. The attenuation of human capital spillovers. *Journal of Urban Economics* 64:2, 373-389. [Crossref]
- 603. Guilhem Bascle. 2008. Controlling for endogeneity with instrumental variables in strategic management research. *Strategic Organization* 6:3, 285-327. [Crossref]
- 604. Kerry A. Chase. 2008. Protecting Free Trade: The Political Economy of Rules of Origin. *International Organization* 62:3, 507-530. [Crossref]
- 605. Philip H. Brown, Jessica H. Minty. 2008. Media Coverage and Charitable Giving after the 2004 Tsunami. *Southern Economic Journal* 75:1, 9-25. [Crossref]
- 606. Hangsheng Liu, Charles E. Phelps. 2008. Quality and Guidelines. *Health Services Research* 43:3, 971-987. [Crossref]
- 607. Robert Costrell, Eric Hanushek, Susanna Loeb. 2008. What Do Cost Functions Tell Us About the Cost of an Adequate Education? *Peabody Journal of Education* 83:2, 198-223. [Crossref]
- 608. Stuart S. Rosenthal. 2008. Old homes, externalities, and poor neighborhoods. A model of urban decline and renewal. *Journal of Urban Economics* **63**:3, 816-840. [Crossref]
- 609. Derek Headey. 2008. Geopolitics and the effect of foreign aid on economic growth: 1970–2001. Journal of International Development 20:2, 161-180. [Crossref]
- 610. Jon P. Nelson. 2008. How Similar are Youth and Adult Alcohol Behaviors? Panel Results for Excise Taxes and Outlet Density. *Atlantic Economic Journal* **36**:1, 89-104. [Crossref]

- 611. Karsten Staehr. 2008. Fiscal policies and business cycles in an enlarged euro area. *Economic Systems* **32**:1, 46-69. [Crossref]
- 612. Francis Green. 2008. Leeway for the Loyal: A Model of Employee Discretion. *British Journal of Industrial Relations* 46:1, 1-32. [Crossref]
- 613. George C Galster. 2008. Quantifying the Effect of Neighbourhood on Individuals: Challenges, Alternative Approaches, and Promising Directions. *Schmollers Jahrbuch* 128:1, 7-48. [Crossref]
- 614. Robert W. Wassmer. 2008. Causes of Urban Sprawl in the United States: Auto reliance as compared to natural evolution, flight from blight, and local revenue reliance. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 27:3, 536-555. [Crossref]
- 615. David Bjerk. 2008. Thieves, Thugs, and Neighborhood Poverty. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 616. George Galster, Dave E. Marcotte, Marv Mandell, Hal Wolman, Nancy Augustine. 2007. The Influence of Neighborhood Poverty During Childhood on Fertility, Education, and Earnings Outcomes. *Housing Studies* 22:5, 723-751. [Crossref]
- 617. S Schneeweiss. 2007. Developments in Post-marketing Comparative Effectiveness Research. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics 82:2, 143-156. [Crossref]
- 618. Rosemary Avery, Donald Kenkel, Dean R. Lillard, Alan Mathios. 2007. Private Profits and Public Health: Does Advertising of Smoking Cessation Products Encourage Smokers to Quit?. *Journal of Political Economy* 115:3, 447-481. [Crossref]
- 619. George Galster, Dave E. Marcotte, Marvin B. Mandell, Hal Wolman, Nancy Augustine. 2007. The impact of parental homeownership on children's outcomes during early adulthood. *Housing Policy Debate* 18:4, 785-827. [Crossref]
- 620. Renáta Kosová, Francine Lafontaine, Rozenn Perrigot. 2007. Organizational Form and Performance: Evidence from the Hotel Industry. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 621. Stephen P. Jenkins, Thomas Siedler. 2007. Using Household Panel Data to Understand the Intergenerational Transmission of Poverty. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 622. Matthew D. Mitchell. 2007. Supreme Court Justices and Supreme Court Nominations: Do Nomination Battles Affect the Decisions of Sitting Justices?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 623. Vitaliy V. Zheka. 2006. Does Corporate Governance Causally Predict Firm Performance? Panel Data and Instrumental Variables Evidence. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]